

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, JUDGE PRESIDING

- - - - -

ECHOSTAR SATELLITE CORP., et)	
al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
vs.)	No. SACV 03-950 DOC
)	Day 14, Volume I
NDS GROUP PLC, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Jury Trial

Santa Ana, California

Thursday, May 1, 2008

Debbie Gale, CSR 9472, RPR
 Federal Official Court Reporter
 United States District Court
 411 West 4th Street, Room 1-053
 Santa Ana, California 92701
 (714) 558-8141

EchoStar 2008-05-01 D14V1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFF ECHOSTAR SATELLITE CORPORATION, ET AL.:

T. WADE WELCH & ASSOCIATES

BY: CHAD M. HAGAN

CHRISTINE D. WILLETTS

WADE WELCH

DAVID NOLL

Attorneys at Law

2401 Fountainview

Suite 700

Houston, Texas 77057

(713) 952-4334

FOR DEFENDANT NDS GROUP PLC, ET AL.:

O'MELVENY & MYERS

BY: DARIN W. SNYDER

DAVID R. EBERHART

Attorneys at Law

275 Embarcadero Center West

Suite 2600

San Francisco, California 94111

(415) 984-8700

-and-

HOGAN & HARTSON

BY: RICHARD L. STONE

KENNETH D. KLEIN

Attorneys at Law

1999 Avenue of the Stars

Suite 1400

Los Angeles, California 90067

(310) 785-4600

ALSO PRESENT:

David Moskowitz

Dov Rubin

Sophia Hansky, Hebrew Interpreter

1 SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, MAY 1, 2008

2 Day 14, Volume I

3 (8:05 a.m.)

4 (In the presence of the jury.)

5 THE COURT: The jury's present. All counsel are
6 present. The parties are present. The witness is present.

7 Thank you for your courtesy. If you would please
8 be seated.

9 REUVEN HASAK, DEFENSE WITNESS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN

10 RESUMED THE STAND

11 THE COURT: Mr. Snyder, if you'd like to continue
12 with your direct examination on behalf of NDS of
13 Reuven Hasak.

14 MR. SNYDER: Thank you, Your Honor.

15 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

16 BY MR. SNYDER:

17 Q. Good morning, Mr. Hasak.

18 A. Good morning.

19 Q. When we left yesterday, you were telling us about some
20 of the work that you do in fighting piracy worldwide.

21 Does NDS ever work with its competitors to fight
22 satellite piracy?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Can you give us some examples or give us an example of
25 what you did with one of NDS's competitors to help fight

1 piracy?

2 A. Couple of years ago, we had -- we came across
3 information regarding the company, Irdeto.

4 Q. That's Irdeto, I-R-D-E-T-O?

5 A. Yes. Irdeto. And we came across information that
6 hackers -- that pirates are trying to hack into the system.
7 We got some very good, relevant information. We notified
8 Irdeto. Just now I don't remember the name of the person we
9 called, the head of security. And we offer them to join the
10 operation, and it was in Thailand because the pirate -- his
11 nickname was "Mad Max" -- he was going to Thailand to run a
12 hack against Irdeto, and we gave them all the information.
13 We stayed in the shadow, and they worked together with
14 agencies in Thailand, and they jailed Mad Max for one, two,
15 three years -- I don't remember. It was an example.

16 Q. Mr. Hasak, has NDS ever worked to fight piracy of a
17 Nagra system?

18 A. Fight piracy? Yeah. Even currently in the UK, we
19 fight the cable piracy, which is -- belongs to ABA, BskyB,
20 but are using Nagra system in the UK.

21 And when -- when John Norris was going to approach
22 Mr. Ergen regarding the hack of EchoStar in the States, I
23 told John that when he had the communication with Ergen that
24 he should offer to run joint operations against the piracy
25 in the States, but he never got back to him, so nothing came

1 out of it.

2 Q. Mr. Ergen never got back to Mr. Norris?

3 A. To the best of my knowledge, never, yeah.

4 Q. Now, Mr. Hasak, who do you report to at NDS?

5 A. Dr. Abe Peled.

6 Q. And how do you report to Dr. Peled?

7 A. Most of the time it will be verbally in Hebrew.

8 Q. Okay. What kinds of things do you report to Dr. Peled?

9 A. At the beginning, when I just started to work, I used
10 to call him quite frequently and to tell him about my work
11 just to make him more acquainted with my modus operandi, and
12 he like the way -- he like the war stories.

13 Later on, I started reporting to him only either
14 important things or things that I needed his approval, or
15 sometimes, you know, interesting things.

16 Q. Now, Mr. Hasak, at some point, did you receive some
17 information that NDS was accused of being involved in
18 EchoStar piracy?

19 A. Please say it again.

20 Q. Sure. At some point, did you receive some information
21 that NDS was accused of being involved in EchoStar piracy?

22 A. What do you mean by "information"?

23 Q. Any information at all.

24 A. I would say there were rumors on the Internet, which,
25 for me, it's not information. The first time I got real

1 information was this lawsuit.

2 Q. Okay. Let's go back for a moment to those rumors that
3 you're referring to.

4 Approximately when did you first see rumors on the
5 Internet that NDS was involved in EchoStar piracy?

6 A. I don't remember when.

7 Q. Was it before the lawsuit?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Did you report that information to Dr. Peled?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Why not?

12 A. Because it was just rumors and a daily thing on the
13 Internet that you find all kind of stories of no importance.

14 Q. When was the first time that you took seriously an
15 allegation that NDS was involved in some way in EchoStar
16 piracy?

17 A. In -- when this lawsuit began.

18 Q. Okay. And that was the first time?

19 A. Yeah.

20 Q. And what did you do after the lawsuit was filed?

21 A. I was communicating with my lawyers. I was asked
22 question by my lawyers, and that's it.

23 Q. Now, Mr. Hasak, at some point were you also told of an
24 allegation that NDS was involved in posting code from the
25 Canal+ system?

1 A. Say it again. Allegations?

2 Q. Sure. The allegation that NDS was involved in some way
3 in posting code from the Canal+ system?

4 A. Excuse me. Allegations means formal --

5 Q. No.

6 A. Just rumors or what?

7 Q. Anything at all, Mr. Hasak.

8 A. Anything at all. So I would say that there were again
9 rumors on the Internet all the time, but they just rumors.
10 I don't pay attention to it. But the first time somebody
11 told me about it was when Mr. Kommerling called me on the
12 phone and said something about it, yes.

13 Q. When did Mr. Kommerling call you on the phone?

14 A. I don't remember. One of my weak points is I don't
15 remember. Sorry.

16 Q. Okay. Do you recall that the posting of the Canal+
17 code was in March of 1999?

18 A. If you say so, I take it, yeah.

19 Q. Did Mr. Kommerling call you shortly after the code was
20 posted on the Internet?

21 A. No. He called me and told me that it's on the
22 Internet. I didn't see it before.

23 Q. And what else did Mr. Kommerling tell you?

24 A. His issue on the phone was the code, the ROM that he
25 extracted in Haifa was published on the net, and now he's

1 going to be exposed to the pirates that he works for NDS.

2 And he mentioned also a time stamp.

3 Q. Okay. When Mr. Kommerling told you that he was going
4 to be exposed, what did you understand that he meant?

5 A. That people or pirates or people in the pirate
6 community will understand that he works for NDS, that this
7 was his main concern.

8 Q. Was this something that worried him?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Why?

11 A. Because he was undercover, and it could be risky for
12 him.

13 Q. Why could it be risky for an undercover -- someone
14 working undercover for NDS to be exposed?

15 A. You have to understand that the world of piracy is not
16 ideal world. It's world with lots of money, violence,
17 weapon, things -- ugly things. So sometimes people can be
18 under severe risk if they -- if pirates or people knew the
19 pirates doesn't like them. And we have a few examples.

20 Q. Was Mr. Kommerling worried about being exposed?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. After Mr. Kommerling -- did Mr. Kommerling tell you
23 anything else in that conversation?

24 A. No.

25 Q. What did you tell him?

1 A. That I have to look into it because, you know, you are
2 very excited and -- excited, and I told him, "Calm down, I'm
3 going to look into it, and I'll call you back."

4 Q. What did you do, Mr. Hasak?

5 A. I called somebody of our technical department. I asked
6 him, and I asked him what is a time stamp. And he explain
7 me. And then I asked him -- where there's a time stamp, if
8 something a hundred percent proof. And he told me it's a
9 proof, but not hundred percent.

10 And then I asked our research people to find out if the
11 code of the ROM is really there. I got this information --
12 it was middle of day or two I guess -- and I called back
13 Kommerling on the phone, and I told him that I'm going to
14 look into it -- and that's it. But then he was much calmer,
15 so it was not a big issue for him anymore, at least.

16 Q. Okay. Did you do any further investigation?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Why did you do a further investigation?

19 A. Because it was a potential leak from Haifa Institute to
20 the Internet. So there is a potential leak.

21 Q. And you understood that potential leak because of what
22 Mr. Kommerling had said?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Okay. So what investigation did you do?

25 A. I asked one of my partners, Mr. Melca (phonetic), to

1 run a thorough investigation in Haifa to try and find out
2 what are the loopholes in the security system in Haifa.

3 And they -- he check the system. He talk to all the
4 employees. He check the guarding company. He talk to
5 people who worked in the same floor. He checked all the
6 access control -- I mentioned it. And they -- he checked
7 the access to the computers, and it took about four weeks or
8 three or four weeks.

9 Q. What were the results of those investigations?

10 A. That in general the security system is okay, but there
11 are loopholes that should be improved.

12 Q. What did you do as a result of that investigation?

13 A. I close the loopholes and to make sure that the system
14 is improved. And it was a trigger to have a full-time data
15 security officer on our team. By then, we had only a
16 consultant. And we took him as a full employee and on a
17 full-time job.

18 Q. During that investigation, did they find any evidence
19 that someone at NDS was involved in posting that Canal+ code
20 on the Internet?

21 A. No.

22 Q. Did you report that investigation to Dr. Peled?

23 A. After I finished the investigation, I reported to
24 Dr. Peled. I called Dr. Peled, and I told him about the
25 investigation, yes.

1 Q. Okay. Did you do anything to test NDS's security after
2 the investigation?

3 A. To do what?

4 Q. Did you do anything to test NDS security after the
5 investigation?

6 A. Yes. We --

7 Q. What did you do?

8 A. We ran penetration tests in Haifa.

9 Q. Could you describe for the jury what you mean by a
10 "penetration test"?

11 A. Penetration test is when you take somebody else and you
12 tell 'em, "Now you asked to penetrate into the premises."
13 And nobody knows -- nobody else knows about it. I mean, the
14 people in the premises, they don't know about it. Only we
15 and him. And he's trying his best to go into premises. His
16 target was to get to Dr. Peled's office -- was in Haifa --
17 and to bug it. This was the test.

18 Q. Was the penetration test successful?

19 A. No, he failed. I mean, we were successful. He failed,
20 the hacker failed, yeah, which means that we were
21 successful.

22 Q. Now, after you reported the results of the
23 investigation to Dr. Peled, did you have any other
24 conversations with Dr. Peled about the accusation that NDS
25 was somehow involved in Canal+ piracy?

1 A. After year, maybe more, I don't remember, he called me,
2 and he told me that Mr. Kommerling told him that NDS was
3 behind the posting of the ROM -- or I think the ROM or the
4 code -- I don't remember, yeah.

5 Q. What else did Mr. Peled tell you?

6 A. Not that I remember anything else. This was the
7 subject.

8 Q. And what did you tell Dr. Peled?

9 A. I told him two things as far as I recall. I told him
10 that this was the reason we run investigations at Haifa that
11 I told you before -- I mean, to you, to Dr. Peled -- not to
12 you, Mr. Snyder -- to you, to Dr. Peled -- and that he
13 should not take seriously rumors on the Internet. And I
14 have my doubts regarding where from Kommerling takes his
15 accusations.

16 Q. Why did you have your doubts about Mr. Kommerling's
17 allegations?

18 A. Because you have to understand that this world of
19 piracy is full of violence, is full of egos. And they are
20 fighting each other. They are -- they are accusing each
21 other. They put information and dirt on each other.

22 And it was a good example that I think couple of months
23 earlier we had a meeting in Tel Aviv, what we called "the
24 dream" -- "the dream team meeting" when we worked together,
25 Kommerling and Tarnovsky and Norris and Adams and other

1 people, to a meeting which, to tell the truth, I didn't like
2 it before, but it's my responsibility.

3 So we had this meeting, and this meeting everybody was
4 drunk, everybody was fighting, everybody was talking
5 nonsense, which was a big, big mistake to run this meeting.

6 So I went to the site. So I told Dr. Peled, "Don't
7 take it too seriously."

8 Q. Now, you mentioned Chris Tarnovsky, Mr. Hasak, and
9 we've had a lot of testimony about Mr. Tarnovsky, so I'd
10 like to ask you several questions about him, all right?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Was Chris Tarnovsky someone that NDS recruited?

13 A. Say it again, please.

14 Q. Was Chris Tarnovsky someone that NDS recruited?

15 A. He was recruited, yes.

16 Q. Okay. He was recruited to work for NDS?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. When NDS recruited Mr. Tarnovsky, was he involved in
19 piracy?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. What kind of piracy? What systems?

22 A. BskyB, supplying British soldiers in Germany the
23 capability to watch football or soccer from England.

24 Q. Okay. Any other piracy?

25 A. That's what I remember now.

1 Q. Okay. Was Chris Tarnovsky involved in DirecTV piracy?

2 A. No.

3 Q. When did NDS first recruit Chris Tarnovsky?

4 A. Late '90s, maybe '96, '97 -- no, not '96 for sure --
5 '97, I guess, '98. I don't know. I don't remember.

6 THE COURT: Well, just a moment.

7 I want you to take your time.

8 THE WITNESS: Okay.

9 THE COURT: Okay. Think about it. "I don't
10 remember" --

11 THE WITNESS: It's okay.

12 THE COURT: -- it's okay. But if you don't
13 remember, that's fine. But that's an easy way to answer a
14 question.

15 THE WITNESS: Okay, sir.

16 THE COURT: You're an intelligence expert. You
17 know the date. Think about it. When did you recruit
18 Mr. Tarnovsky?

19 THE WITNESS: 1997 or 1998.

20 BY MR. SNYDER:

21 Q. How did Chris Tarnovsky first come to NDS's attention?

22 A. Chris Tarnovsky made a kind of approach to Perry Smith,
23 who is the head of our R&D, who was a kind of a guru among
24 the pirate because is he was a genius in encryption.

25 Prior to it, his name surfaced in our Internet

1 research. His name a very capable pirate. So it was
2 parallel. I mean, the same period.

3 Q. And this was while Mr. Tarnovsky was living in Europe?

4 A. Yes. He was a soldier in the American Army in Europe,
5 yes.

6 Q. Did you later learn that Mr. Tarnovsky moved to the
7 United States?

8 A. Later on we heard it from him that he's moving to the
9 United States -- back home to the United States.

10 Q. Okay. And while Mr. Tarnovsky was in the
11 United States, did you learn that he became involved in
12 DirecTV piracy?

13 A. I don't remember what happened after he moved to the
14 United States. He was recommended by us, and I don't
15 remember if he was involved in pirate activity or not. I
16 don't remember.

17 Q. Did you learn that he was involved with someone named
18 Ron Ereiser?

19 A. Sure.

20 Q. Okay.

21 A. I do remember.

22 Q. Okay. And do you recall what his involvement was? Did
23 you know that Ron Ereiser was a pirate, satellite pirate?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And do you recall what systems Mr. Ereiser and

1 Mr. Tarnovsky were involved in pirating?

2 A. DirectTV. Ron Ereiser, you mean?

3 Q. Yes.

4 A. DirectTV.

5 Q. And was Mr. Tarnovsky working with Ron Ereiser?

6 A. Yes. But I don't remember if before recruited him
7 already worked with him, or only after he was recruited. I
8 don't remember. But in any case, absolutely after he was an
9 agent of ours, he was working undercover with Ron Ereiser.

10 Q. Now, before we get to that, Mr. Hasak, why did NDS
11 recruit Chris Tarnovsky as an agent?

12 A. I think we talked about it yesterday, that we are
13 looking for intelligence. One of the best ways to get
14 intelligence is to get the capable pirate who could supply
15 us with information about piracy modus operandi and about
16 the technical approaches that pirates takes -- the pirates
17 take to take our systems and other systems.

18 Q. Were you concerned when you recruited Mr. Tarnovsky
19 that he might continue to be involved in piracy?

20 A. Say it again, because when you ask this question, I
21 remember. Yeah.

22 To answer your previous question, yes, Chris Tarnovsky
23 was involved in pirating DirectTV with Ron Ereiser.

24 Q. When you recruited Mr. Tarnovsky as an agent, were you
25 concerned that he might continue being involved in piracy?

1 A. When you recruit an agent, you always have to take into
2 consideration that there is a risk. But one of the
3 consideration if to recruit him or not is to see if you can
4 eliminate or diminish or minimize this risk. So if you see
5 that you can minimize, diminish this risk so no risk. But
6 you have to run the control accordingly in order not to have
7 this risk.

8 Q. Did NDS take steps to diminish or minimize the risk
9 that Chris Tarnovsky could continue participating in piracy?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. What did NDS do?

12 A. There are many layers, ways to control an agent, which
13 we implemented on Chris Tarnovsky. Getting very close to
14 him, establishing very close relationships, monitoring his
15 activity, getting all his aliases on the net, briefing him
16 regarding past and current piracy activities, reminding him
17 day and night to keep those -- to forget about his being a
18 pirate in the past, to investigate him -- to investigate him
19 and to tell him that we can run this investigation more and
20 more, to offer him a fair contract to show him that he's
21 going to lose benefits if he goes back to his old days,
22 close relationship with the family, did I mention
23 cross-reference of information that he gave us. And I could
24 miss one thing or two. I don't...

25 Q. Let me ask you about a couple of the things you

1 mentioned, Mr. Hasak.

2 You said one of the things you did was to get close to
3 Mr. Tarnovsky?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Did you require that Mr. Tarnovsky relocate?

6 A. Ah, yes. He was living -- I don't remember where, in
7 Virginia, I don't remember where -- and we asked him to move
8 to California to be closer to our office, to John Norris,
9 and to in a way not to be -- not to live in a place which is
10 known to the pirates.

11 Q. Now, you also mentioned that Mr. Tarnovsky was reminded
12 frequently to keep the rules?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. What do you mean by that?

15 A. To work -- to work according to NDS rules, to work
16 according to the law within NDS.

17 Q. What kind of rules did you tell Mr. Tarnovsky he had to
18 follow?

19 A. He's not a pirate anymore. First thing, forget about
20 being a pirate. You are now in a respectful company. You
21 have to keep the rules of the company, which, you know,
22 decent, and you don't -- you cannot do anything on your own.
23 Everything should be done only with us. You have to work
24 close with Perry Smith who's the head of our R&D, and you
25 have to supply each information you get. You cannot go back

1 to your old days.

2 Q. Did you personally tell Mr. Tarnovsky that he had to
3 stop being a pirate?

4 A. Me also. Not only me, yes.

5 Q. Okay. Did you personally tell Mr. Tarnovsky that he
6 couldn't do anything illegal?

7 A. Absolutely, yes.

8 Q. When did you tell Mr. Tarnovsky that for the first
9 time?

10 A. Well, the first time when I met him in Israel when he
11 came for briefing, the briefing. And I met him two or three
12 long meetings in Tel Aviv, and I was lecturing him. After I
13 heard him, I was lecturing him about the way he behave. I
14 told him about my experience with running agents, so I
15 wanted him to -- to remember that he's not talking to
16 somebody who knows nothing about it. And I repeated it
17 later on when he was recruited. I met him two, three, four
18 times a year, and several occasions it was mentioned.

19 Q. Was one of the things that you told Mr. Tarnovsky not
20 to be involved with other companies' conditional access
21 systems?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Did you tell him that he was prohibited from being
24 involved in piracy of other companies' conditional access
25 systems?

1 A. I told him that he's not a pirate anymore. He can't do
2 anything, anything which he used to do before. I mean, he's
3 not a pirate. He's an employee of NDS, and that's it.

4 Q. You did also introduce Mr. Tarnovsky to law
5 enforcement?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Okay. When did you introduce Mr. Tarnovsky to law
8 enforcement?

9 A. The first -- I wouldn't say introduction, but after we
10 met him -- not we -- I mean, Norris and Segoly met him in
11 England. Norris sent a note either to the Secret Service or
12 to the Customs -- I don't remember -- about Tarnovsky, of
13 the details of Tarnovsky.

14 Later on, we -- after he was recruited, we introduced
15 him to your agencies to help them, to support them, to
16 educate them about piracy. And I mean by this, it was
17 Customs and Secret Service in the States.

18 Q. Now, after Mr. Tarnovsky relocated to California to
19 work for NDS, where was his office?

20 A. I don't remember the name of the city, but it was not
21 far from here.

22 Q. Okay. Did he work out of his home?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Why did Mr. Tarnovsky work out of his home?

25 A. Because he works abnormal hours: nights, Sundays, you

1 know, and where regular office is closed. So it would be
2 more efficient to work from home than from the office.

3 Q. Did you install any monitoring or other security
4 equipment in Mr. Tarnovsky's home?

5 A. We had his own secure -- what do you mean by
6 "monitoring"?

7 Q. What did you do to Mr. Tarnovsky's home after he became
8 an employee?

9 A. He -- his laboratory was alarm -- how do you say --
10 alarmed. Windows, doors, et cetera, all kind of alarms in
11 order to avoid burglars from coming in. It was lot of
12 equipment.

13 Q. Okay. Did you install any equipment to monitor what
14 Mr. Tarnovsky was doing?

15 A. No, we did not.

16 Q. Why not?

17 A. It's against my policy to do such things.

18 Q. Why is it against your policy to do something like
19 that?

20 A. Because I don't believe in it. I think it's a matter
21 of invading the privacy of the employee. And if you do it
22 with acknowledge -- if you do it but beforehand you
23 acknowledge the employee, he knows it. If you do it without
24 him knowing it, if he finds it, you are ruining your
25 relations with him. So I don't think it's a good system. I

1 never do it.

2 Q. Did you believe that the other measures that you were
3 taking to control Mr. Tarnovsky would be effective?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Why did you think that they would be more effective
6 than including monitoring equipment in his house?

7 A. Because I just told you. Monitoring in his house, I
8 think it's not a good system, and it's invading his privacy.
9 I never did it in all my career.

10 My own employees. I'm not talking about enemies --
11 to -- how do you say -- enemies, yeah. I mean, I never did
12 it with employees.

13 Q. Now, Mr. Hasak, when Chris Tarnovsky was recruited, was
14 it to be -- what did NDS want him to do?

15 A. Mainly two things: to give information about other
16 pirates, I mean, who are they, what are they doing, and what
17 are the technical methods; and secondly, to help us
18 bettering or improving our systems by checking our systems
19 and by telling -- and by helping us step into the shoes of a
20 pirate when looking at our Smart Cards.

21 Q. Was there also a long-term plan for what Chris
22 Tarnovsky would do with NDS?

23 A. To invent or to develop new things and to -- and to
24 find ways how to improve the chip, the future chip that
25 we'll be using. But this was done with technical people,

1 with R&D, not with me.

2 Q. When Chris Tarnovsky started working with NDS in 1997,
3 did you believe that it put Mr. Tarnovsky at risk?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Why?

6 A. Because, as I mentioned to you before, I mean, the
7 piracy world is a violent world. And it's not what people
8 tend to think, that it's a white-collar crime. It's not
9 white; sometime it's very black.

10 And -- and we know of examples where people were
11 threatened. We know that pirates were caught with weapon.
12 And we know that -- we knew that if Mr. Tarnovsky will be
13 exposed, he might be -- no -- he will be under potential
14 risk.

15 Q. Did NDS do anything to help protect Mr. Tarnovsky when
16 he started working for NDS?

17 A. Yes. As mentioned before, we located him from
18 Virginia, or wherever he lived, to California. So they
19 don't know where is he.

20 He was not under the payroll of us. I didn't want him
21 to be on NDS payroll. And we found another company from
22 News Corp., which is nothing to do with -- the pirates don't
23 have any interest in it. I think it was a booking --
24 publishing -- a book publishing -- publisher.

25 We kept his aliases. We kept compartmentalization in

1 NDS not to let other people know of his existence. And we
2 used -- inside the company among ourselves we used nickname
3 or cover name.

4 Q. What was Mr. Tarnovsky's nickname or cover name within
5 NDS?

6 A. Once it was, I think, "George," then it was "Mike,"
7 then it was "the kid."

8 THE COURT: "The kid"?

9 THE WITNESS: Kid, yeah.

10 THE COURT: Kid.

11 THE WITNESS: Kid, K-I-D, yeah.

12 And he called John and me "uncle" -- no, John was
13 "uncle." I was "great uncle."

14 BY MR. SNYDER:

15 Q. Why did you use a nickname or cover name even inside
16 NDS for Mr. Tarnovsky?

17 A. Though I'm -- where is my translator?

18 I'm very, very religious in compartmentalization. I
19 believe it. I believe that compartmentalization is needed
20 in a company which deals with secured systems. Still it's
21 better to use nickname because you never know who's walking
22 the corridor, et cetera. So that's the reason.

23 Q. You were --

24 A. And it's part of the game, you know, also, to use
25 nicknames. It gives also -- no, really. I'm coming -- my

1 previous school I've mentioned before is in the intelligence
2 organization. So in order to give the sense of keeping
3 secrets to people, you have to show them, for example, use a
4 nickname, for example --

5 Q. And --

6 A. -- to give them the atmosphere of -- of keeping
7 security because it's a hi-tech company; it's not
8 intelligence service.

9 Q. Did Mr. Tarnovsky, after he was recruited, help provide
10 NDS with intelligence?

11 A. Say it again, please.

12 Q. Sure. After he was recruited, did Mr. Tarnovsky
13 provide NDS with intelligence?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Okay. What kind of information did he provide?

16 A. He provided names and he provided plans, future plans,
17 or current plans of other pirates.

18 Q. Did Mr. Tarnovsky participate in any undercover
19 operations?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. Was one of those operations called Operation
22 Johnny Walker?

23 A. Yes.

24 MR. SNYDER: Okay. Could you show the witness,
25 please, Exhibit 602.

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, I see.

2 BY MR. SNYDER:

3 Q. Mr. Hasak, have you seen Exhibit 602 before today?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Is this a memo that was sent to you on or about
6 December 1st, 1997?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And is this a memo that was sent to you by Ms. Avigail
9 Gutman?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Who -- in December of 1997, what did Ms. Gutman do?

12 A. She was running the team in Jerusalem. She was --
13 yeah, she was running the team in Jerusalem. She was my
14 right-hand person in Jerusalem.

15 Q. Was she part of operational security?

16 A. Yes, sure.

17 Q. And is this a memo that Ms. Gutman sent to you as part
18 of the operational security group?

19 A. Yes.

20 MR. SNYDER: Your Honor, I move Exhibit 602.

21 THE COURT: Any objection?

22 MR. HAGAN: No, sir, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: It will be received.

24 (Exhibit No. 602 received in evidence.)

25 (Document displayed.)

1 BY MR. SNYDER:

2 Q. If you could look, please, at the first paragraph at
3 the bottom of the page under the heading "The Active
4 Personnel and Update."

5 A. It's here also?

6 Q. You can look either on the screen or on the page,
7 Mr. Hasak.

8 A. I see it, yes.

9 Q. Okay. There's a heading that says "DSS Ron Ereiser's
10 Group"?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And the first sentence says, "Ron Ereiser's group hired
13 Chris Tarnovsky to Calgary and tasked him to pick up where
14 Pavel Donev, their in-house Bulgarian hacker, left off."

15 Do you see that?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And then looking at the next paragraph, it says,
18 "Tarnovsky was tasked with creating four secure programmer
19 boxes."

20 Do you see that?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. What does that refer to?

23 A. This the operation you mentioned before, Johnny Walker,
24 as far as I recall.

25 And you want me to explain what's written here?

1 Q. Please.

2 A. To elaborate?

3 Q. Please.

4 A. Yeah. They were the hacker. His name was Donev,
5 Plamen Donev, from Bulgaria. He was a hacker. And they
6 wanted to replace him by Chris Tarnovsky, who in their
7 opinion was a better --

8 Q. When you say "they," Mr. Hasak --

9 A. I mean -- sorry.

10 Q. -- who are you referring to?

11 A. I mean Ron Ereiser and his team. I would say "gang."
12 And they wanted to recruit Tarnovsky to work with them and
13 to develop pirate devices to attack DirecTV.

14 Q. Okay. Did NDS develop an undercover operation to take
15 advantage of Mr. Ereiser's request to Chris Tarnovsky?

16 A. Yes.

17 MR. SNYDER: Could you show the witness, please,
18 Exhibit 478.

19 BY MR. SNYDER:

20 Q. Mr. Hasak, have you seen Exhibit 478 before today?

21 A. Yes, I did.

22 Q. Is this a memo describing Operation Johnny Walker?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And were you sent this memo on or about November 24th,
25 1997?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And this is a memo from Ms. Gutman to you and several
3 others at NDS?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Did you receive this memo as part of your work in
6 operational security?

7 A. Say it again, please.

8 Q. Did you receive this memo as part of your work in
9 charge of NDS's operational security?

10 A. You mean if I received it?

11 Q. Yes, sir.

12 A. Yes. The answer is yes.

13 MR. SNYDER: Your Honor, I move Exhibit 478.

14 THE COURT: Any objection?

15 MR. HAGAN: No objections, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Received.

17 (Exhibit No. 478 received in evidence.)

18 (Document displayed.)

19 BY MR. SNYDER:

20 Q. So the jury can see it, at the top, Mr. Hasak, it says
21 "Secret. Restricted Distribution, Operation Johnny Walker,
22 Preparatory Meeting."

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Let's go down to the first paragraph that says
25 "Background."

1 "Having visited with the Canadian group and gained
2 their confidence, Chris has been hired to produce four
3 secure programmer devices which will enable P2 cards to
4 receive all services and PPV's."

5 PPV's is pay per views?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. What was the plan in Operation Johnny Walker?

8 A. To gain Ron Ereiser's team confident in Chris Tarnovsky
9 and to feed them with false information, disinformation.
10 Remember, we talked yesterday about disinformation.

11 Q. What information or material was Mr. Tarnovsky going to
12 give Ron Ereiser?

13 A. Please, again.

14 Q. What information or material was Chris Tarnovsky going
15 to give Ron Ereiser and his group?

16 A. The software for these programs.

17 Q. When you say "the software for these programs," what do
18 you mean?

19 A. I mean software -- excuse me -- software for the
20 devices that Ron Ereiser and his team asked him to get for
21 them.

22 Q. Okay. If you look down on the first page, there's a
23 heading called "Securing the Product."

24 Actually, let's go up one paragraph so we can put it
25 all in context.

1 There's a paragraph on the first page that's headed
2 "The Product"?

3 A. "The Product," you mean? Yeah.

4 Q. And, then, it says, "Due to post-ECM anxiety of the
5 Canadians and Jerusalem's time constraints, Chris will
6 initially produce the software" -- S/W stands for
7 "software"?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. -- "without a box. The software will be an enabler
10 that will contain some other features such as the
11 possibility for remote update, control of rating, et cetera.
12 The software can be killed. And its content has been
13 approved by Perry and Jordan. It will remain unaffected by
14 the recent ECM for as long as we deem necessary."

15 Do you see that?

16 A. Yes, I see.

17 Q. And was that the description of the software you were
18 talking about?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. This refers to Perry. Who is Perry?

21 A. Perry Smith is the head of -- sorry. He is the head of
22 SDU department, security devices unit.

23 Q. Is SDU the name for the group that develops NDS's
24 chips?

25 A. Yes, yes.

1 Q. Did NDS -- let me take a step back. Was Operation
2 Johnny Walker giving software to Ron Ereiser that could be
3 used for DirecTV piracy?

4 A. To a certain extent, because it was limited in date and
5 in capability and it was up to us when to kill it.

6 Q. You had the ability to kill the software?

7 A. Whenever we wanted, yes.

8 Q. Did NDS inform DirecTV about the operation?

9 A. Inform is not the right word. We asked for approval.

10 Q. You asked for DirecTV's approval?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Did DirecTV give you that approval?

13 A. Yeah, sure. I remember in this operation we had to
14 delay it because we didn't get the approval in time because
15 of some technical reasons.

16 Q. Okay. But eventually NDS obtained DirecTV's approval
17 for the operation?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Was the operation a success?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. How?

22 A. They got the device. The device was killed. We got
23 information. They -- they took Chris very seriously. He
24 was a prominent member. He became as if he was a prominent
25 member of the team.

1 Q. So Mr. Tarnovsky successfully remained undercover?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And you obtained information from Mr. Ereiser and his
4 group?

5 A. And I what?

6 Q. You obtained information about Mr. Ereiser and his
7 group?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Did you use that information to bring lawsuits against
10 Mr. Ereiser?

11 A. Against Mr. Ereiser?

12 Q. Yes.

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Did you inform Dr. Peled about this operation?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. This was one of the things that you reported to him?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Now, as part of the operation, Mr. Hasak, did
19 Mr. Tarnovsky receive any money?

20 A. Please repeat the question.

21 Q. As part of the operation, or his work with Ron
22 Ereiser's group, did Chris Tarnovsky receive any money?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Okay. What money did Mr. Tarnovsky receive?

25 A. Money from Ron Ereiser and his team for doing whatever

1 he did.

2 Q. How was it sent to Mr. Tarnovsky?

3 A. It was sent secretly. They hide it in all kind of
4 tools to send it to him.

5 Q. When you say it was hidden inside of tools, do you mean
6 inside of electronic devices?

7 A. Yeah, electronic devices, yeah.

8 Q. When Mr. Tarnovsky received that money, did he report
9 it?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Were you advised that he had received that money?

12 A. John Norris told me.

13 Q. Okay. And did you believe that there was anything
14 wrong with Mr. Tarnovsky receiving that money as part of
15 this undercover operation?

16 A. On the contrary, it was very good.

17 Q. Okay. Why was it very good?

18 A. Because it showed that they pay him, they believe in
19 him, and he became a member of the team. It was part of the
20 object.

21 Q. What -- did you instruct Mr. Norris or Mr. Tarnovsky
22 what should be done with that money?

23 A. I talked to John Norris. I don't remember talking to
24 Tarnovsky about it, but to Norris. And Norris told me that
25 the way to keep it would be to put it in the bank and not to

1 use it.

2 And I told him it's a good idea because -- we should
3 not use it because, maybe in the future, if ask him where is
4 the money, he could tell it's in the bank. But if he spend
5 it or if he give it to us, he might be embarrassed. He had
6 to lie to Ron Ereiser. So it's the best thing to keep it in
7 the bank.

8 Q. Now, later Mr. Tarnovsky stopped being an undercover
9 agent; isn't that right?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. After Mr. Tarnovsky stopped being an undercover agent,
12 did you tell him or anyone else at NDS what should be done
13 with that money?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Okay. Why not?

16 A. Because, to the best of my knowledge, the money was
17 still in the bank, so...

18 Q. Now, after Mr. Tarnovsky stopped being an undercover
19 agent, did he continue working for NDS?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. What kinds of things did he do for NDS?

22 A. 95 percent of his employment was to work with SDU,
23 Perry Smith.

24 Q. The secure device unit?

25 A. Yeah, yeah. Research and developing chips and things

1 like this.

2 Q. Okay. Was Mr. Tarnovsky ever rewarded for his work
3 with the secure device unit?

4 A. I think he was rewarded several times.

5 Q. Okay. Did he ever receive any bonuses from the secure
6 device unit?

7 A. No. He got it from me. There was one or two or three
8 cases that he got extra bonus from me upon recommendation of
9 the SDU.

10 Q. And this was the recommendation of Mr. Smith, Perry
11 Smith, who was the head of SDU?

12 A. Yeah. But could be from somebody else in the SDU.

13 Q. Can you give the -- let me start again, Mr. Hasak.
14 Did Mr. Tarnovsky's work also include developing
15 antipiracy devices?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Can you give the jury an example of the kind of
18 antipiracy device that Mr. Tarnovsky developed?

19 A. One of the most prominent thing that he developed, and
20 it is patented worldwide, is what we call CAMID, C-A-M-I-D.
21 I don't remember what is the abbreviation, but CAMID, which
22 was small tool like a small calculator. You put the Smart
23 Card in and then you can tell whether the Smart Card is a
24 hack or genuine, legitimate card.

25 And after it was well-developed, we gave these CAMID's

1 to many of the law agencies in the States and also abroad.

2 Q. Why did NDS provide that CAMID to law enforcement
3 agencies?

4 A. To support fight against piracy. It's a very good
5 tool.

6 Q. What --

7 A. For example, when they run a raid, they find cards,
8 they can -- on the spot they can decide if it's hack or not
9 hack.

10 Q. So, Mr. Hasak, did you later learn or were you later
11 told of some accusation involving Ron Ereiser that Chris
12 Tarnovsky was somehow involved in EchoStar piracy?

13 A. You have to repeat your question. It's a long
14 question.

15 Q. It was. Let me try and break it down. Actually, let
16 me try and take this in small parts.

17 Do you know someone name Gilles Kaehlin?

18 A. Yes, I do.

19 Q. Who is Gilles Kaehlin?

20 A. Gilles Kaehlin is a Frenchman. He used to work for
21 Canal+. And he used to work with Oliver Kommerling.

22 He had relations with Nagra. I don't know exactly
23 which ones. He was an ex-member of the French Security
24 Service, DST -- I'm not sure about it.

25 Q. Did Mr. Kaehlin ever contact you about Ron Ereiser?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. What did Mr. Kaehlin say to you about Ron Ereiser?

3 A. The first time I met him, he told me that Ron Ereiser
4 is on his payroll and that Ron Ereiser accusing NDS of
5 pirating its -- of pirating other systems. And if I tell
6 Ron Ereiser -- if I give money to Ron Ereiser through Gilles
7 Kaehlin, he might stop him from -- stop Ron Ereiser from
8 distributing this information, this source.

9 Q. When did Mr. Kaehlin tell you this?

10 A. I don't remember the year, but the first time it was in
11 Rome.

12 Q. What did you tell Mr. Kaehlin?

13 MR. HAGAN: Objection. Hearsay.

14 THE COURT: Well, just a moment.

15 MR. SNYDER: It's not for the truth, Your Honor.
16 We also had the conversation.

17 THE COURT: You've gone so far with this gentleman
18 in terms of allegedly qualifying as an expert that it's
19 opened up a whole set of issues that were formally in
20 contention, such as 626 and 613, with the breadth of his
21 testimony.

22 (To the jury:) I'm going to allow you to hear
23 about the conversation between Kaehlin and Mr. Hasak. In
24 doing so -- there's something called the hearsay rule, and
25 if we tried to explain it to you, it would probably take a

1 year because of all the exceptions. And I don't intend to
2 turn you into lawyers as jurors.

3 You're admonished that what you're about to hear
4 is not for the truth of what is being said but to show the
5 activities, actions and response to that conversation.

6 Now, that's a very difficult ruling, the Court
7 understands, for a jury to follow, because you're about to
8 hear this alleged conversation. But, of course, Mr. Kaehlin
9 isn't here. Mr. Hasak's here. So you get one part of that
10 conversation.

11 And while the hearsay rule technically applies to
12 it, the Court is allowed to use its discretion and to find
13 that it's not hearsay, it's not offered for the truth of
14 what's being said. So I then am required to instruct you
15 that this conversation's not for the truth.

16 You're so instructed.

17 Counsel.

18 Now, if anybody can think of a better admonition
19 in that regard, for either side, I'd be glad to give it.

20 MR. SNYDER: Thank you, Your Honor. No.

21 THE COURT: I'm wide open to it.

22 Counsel.

23 MR. HAGAN: I think that was perfect.

24 THE COURT: Okay. This opens up a whole set of
25 previously discussed areas. And I'm going to open this up

1 for further direct examination and further
2 cross-examination. Not without limitation, but -- all
3 right.

4 Counsel.

5 BY MR. SNYDER:

6 Q. Mr. Hasak, what did you tell Mr. Kaehlin?

7 A. What did I tell him?

8 Q. Yes, sir.

9 A. In the response of what he offered to me?

10 Q. Yes.

11 A. I told him, "You better do -- if you don't believe
12 Ron Ereiser, if you don't meet him, and I suggest don't pay
13 him any money: I'm not going to pay anything for it because
14 he's a liar. He's a criminal."

15 Q. You thought Mr. Ereiser is a liar -- you thought
16 Mr. Ereiser was a liar and a criminal?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And you were unwilling to pay him any money?

19 A. Any money. And I told -- what's-his-name --
20 Gilles Kaehlin, that I don't like to be blackmailed. I'm
21 not the type to be blackmailed.

22 Q. For you, Mr. Hasak, at some point did you learn that
23 Mr. Tarnovsky had been sent money inside electronic devices
24 to a mailbox in Texas?

25 A. You refer to the money that we talk before that was put

1 in the bank?

2 Q. No, sir. Did you at some point learn of money that had
3 been sent to Mr. Tarnovsky to a mailbox in Texas?

4 A. You said Ron Ereiser. You mean, money -- you don't
5 mean by Ron Ereiser?

6 Q. No, sir.

7 A. Okay. Yeah, I'm aware. I was aware, yeah.

8 Q. When did you first learn about that?

9 A. I got a call. I remember it was Friday night. I was
10 busy with family dinner, and I got a call from John Norris
11 that there was a problem in California because
12 Chris Tarnovsky is accused by official people of pirating
13 DirectTV. So I flew to California together with the
14 investigator. And then I heard the story of the money in --
15 that you just mentioned.

16 Q. And who did you hear that story from?

17 A. John Norris and Chris Tarnovsky.

18 Q. Okay. What did you do after you arrived in California?

19 A. I ran an investigation on Chris Tarnovsky and -- and
20 John Norris.

21 Q. You take the allegations against Mr. Tarnovsky
22 seriously?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Why did you take them seriously?

25 A. Because I understood that it was done by former people

1 from the law agencies and not just from the Internet.

2 Q. Okay. What were the results of your investigation?

3 A. That Chris Tarnovsky was not involved in pirating
4 DirecTV.

5 Q. Okay. What caused you to reach that conclusion?

6 A. (No audible response.)

7 Q. What caused you to reach that conclusion?

8 A. My sole investigation.

9 Q. At any point in that investigation, was Mr. Tarnovsky
10 accused of being involved in EchoStar piracy?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Did you report this to Dr. Peled?

13 A. After I finish the investigation, I called Dr. Peled
14 and told him about it.

15 Q. Did you discipline, did you reprimand Mr. Tarnovsky in
16 any way?

17 A. "Discipline" means to punish?

18 Q. Yes, sir.

19 A. The answer is no.

20 Q. Why didn't you punish or reprimand Mr. Tarnovsky?

21 A. Because the accusation were -- were wrong. He did not
22 do anything wrong. He didn't pirate DirecTV.

23 Q. Now, did you later learn information about those
24 shipments to the Texas mailbox?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. What did you later learn?

2 A. That he got once or twice sums of money hidden in
3 electronic devices --

4 Q. Okay.

5 A. -- to this mailbox.

6 Q. And did you later learn additional information about
7 what was found inside those devices?

8 A. Yeah. It was about \$30,000 that I recall.

9 Q. Did you later learn about -- did you later learn
10 information about a fingerprint inside those boxes?

11 A. Yes. Years later.

12 Q. Years later?

13 A. Years later. We got information from EchoStar lawyers
14 who got information from RCMP or the American agencies, I
15 don't remember, that there were a fingerprint on one of the
16 packages.

17 Q. And what was that fingerprint?

18 A. From -- it was -- it belonged to a fellow by the name
19 of Marv. I think Marv is first name or his nickname. I
20 don't know. I don't remember.

21 Q. Was that the first time you had received that
22 information?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. What did you do?

25 A. I -- I -- what did I do? What I got -- I got it from

1 my lawyers. And I didn't do nothing because they told me
2 it's in the legal process now.

3 Q. Okay. Did you do anything regarding Mr. Tarnovsky?

4 A. Did I do what?

5 Q. Did you do anything regarding Mr. Tarnovsky?

6 A. Not when I learn about the fingerprint. I couldn't do
7 anything about it because I was instructed by you lawyers
8 not to do anything because it's under legal procedure.

9 Q. Did you ultimately terminate -- did you fire
10 Mr. Tarnovsky?

11 A. So the answer is yes, but this was only after I got a
12 call that Mr. Tarnovsky was confronted with his fingerprints
13 and he denied knowing it. Only then I fired him, not when I
14 heard about the fingerprints. I fired him only after he was
15 confronted with it.

16 Q. Okay. And why did you fire Mr. Tarnovsky?

17 A. Because I found out that in this case he was not
18 candid -- you say, "candid" -- with me, you know, frank. He
19 didn't tell the truth because he should have known this
20 Mr. Marv.

21 Q. Were you the person who ultimately made the decision to
22 terminate Mr. Tarnovsky, to fire him?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Was that a difficult decision?

25 A. Very difficult, yeah.

1 Q. Why?

2 A. First of all, I was under pressure of time. When I got
3 this call, I was in England in a very important meeting with
4 BSkyB. I had to get out of the room, and I have to think --
5 I had to think about it.

6 Secondly, I like Mr. Tarnovsky personally.

7 Thirdly, he was a big asset to NDS.

8 And fourthly, they've come, you know -- when you have
9 the authority, it comes to a point where you are the only
10 one to take a decision because it's my responsibility. So I
11 took this decision, I notified John Norris, and that's it.

12 Q. Do you believe that was the right decision?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Now, Mr. Hasak, are you also familiar with someone --
15 well, let me go back a moment.

16 Have you spoken to Mr. Tarnovsky since he was fired?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Are you also familiar with someone named Allen Menard?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. How did you first learn about Allen Menard?

21 A. I heard the name because he was running a website,
22 dr7, which we tried to close several times. We had steps
23 taken against dr7, so I knew the name Allen Menard from the
24 Internet research. I saw it in our reports.

25 Q. So you first learned about Allen Menard in the context

1 of trying to shut him down -- shut down dr7?

2 A. No. Before, I heard him in our report. I heard about
3 him later on when we started trying to close his -- his Web,
4 I heard his name again, yeah.

5 Q. Now, did NDS later recruit Al Menard?

6 A. It would be a mistake to say recruit. I'm using the
7 "recruit" when you recruit an agent. Al Menard was not an
8 agent. Al Menard was hired.

9 Q. Okay. At some point, did Al Menard start working with
10 NDS?

11 A. As a consultant, yes.

12 Q. Okay. And how did it come about that Al Menard became
13 a consultant for NDS?

14 A. There was a big leakage of documents which belonged to
15 DirecTV and to us which were -- of the lawyer, I don't
16 remember his name -- and it was leaked into the Net. And I
17 think we could not find it or what, I don't remember, but we
18 got the call or a note from Al Menard that he was able to --
19 to trace it and to find it. And he offered us to -- his
20 support how to get it.

21 So we realize that he has high capabilities of tracing
22 forms and information on the Internet.

23 Q. Okay. And after Mr. Menard was retained as -- well,
24 let me go back.

25 Did you approve of Mr. Menard's hiring as a consultant?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Was that your decision?

3 A. No. It would not be my decision, but because it was,
4 you know, some legal procedures or John Norris consulted me,
5 kept me in the loop -- I don't know how to say -- but I was
6 part of the decision. It was my -- it was me, too. It was
7 Norris and me together.

8 Q. You and Mr. Norris?

9 A. (No audible response.)

10 Q. You and Mr. Norris?

11 A. Yeah. And our lawyer.

12 Q. Okay. Did you agree with the decision to use
13 Mr. Menard as a consultant?

14 A. Oh, yes.

15 Q. Did he provide NDS with valuable information?

16 A. Absolutely, yes.

17 Q. Did you use any methods, or did you do anything to make
18 sure that Mr. Menard would not be involved in piracy?

19 A. He was instructed, but not by me. I never met him. He
20 was instructed that he could not do any piracy or be
21 involved. He was not a pirate.

22 Q. Did NDS do anything to monitor Mr. Menard's activities
23 on the Internet?

24 A. Monitoring -- don't forget he was not a pirate. He was
25 not active. He was just running the Web. So it was not a

1 problem. We didn't share any secrets with Al Menard, not
2 like with Chris Tarnovsky. Al Menard was not share -- was
3 not a secret holder, what we call, of NDS.

4 Q. Did Mr. -- who did Mr. Menard work with at NDS?

5 A. His name is Ted Rose.

6 Q. And who is Mr. Ted Rose?

7 A. Ted Rose is our chief Internet researcher.

8 Q. And how did Mr. Rose and Mr. Menard work together?

9 A. They were communicating. I think Ted Rose visited him
10 once or twice. Al Menard visited him, regular ways.

11 Q. Now, Mr. Hasak, you mentioned that Mr. Menard provided
12 valuable information to NDS?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. How did NDS use that information?

15 A. To trace and to follow pirate forums and to use legal
16 actions against them.

17 Q. And was NDS successful in shutting down pirate websites
18 and forums based on the information that Mr. Menard
19 provided?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. At some point did NDS end its relationship with
22 Mr. Menard?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Why?

25 A. His contract was -- it came to -- how do you say --

1 THE INTERPRETER: Expired.

2 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

3 THE INTERPRETER: His contract expired.

4 THE WITNESS: The contract expired, and we decided
5 not to renew it.

6 BY MR. SNYDER:

7 Q. Okay. And was that on almost exactly the same day that
8 Mr. Tarnovsky was fired?

9 A. There are coincidence in life.

10 (Laughter.)

11 Q. Are there those coincidences, Mr. Hasak?

12 A. Yeah. Because it was first of April that his contract
13 expired, so what can I do.

14 Q. Did NDS decide not to renew Mr. Menard's contract
15 because of the fingerprint that was disclosed by EchoStar's
16 counsel?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. So the timing was a coincidence, but the reason was
19 not?

20 A. I was talking about the timing. I mention first of
21 April. The timing, not the reason, no.

22 Q. And could you explain to the jury why you decided not
23 to renew Mr. Menard's contract?

24 A. Because in a way he is involved in all this story, and
25 because it was under legal procedures, and we thought it was

1 better that we keep him away and not deal with him anymore.

2 Q. Now, are you aware, Mr. Hasak, that NagraStar has
3 obtained several thousand pages of NDS documents?

4 A. Yes. When you say "NagraStar," you mean --

5 Q. Mr. Gee or Mr. Guggenheim in particular.

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And are you aware that at some point a hard drive
8 containing NDS materials disappeared from NDS?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Okay. How did that hard drive disappear?

11 A. When Ray Adams, who used to be the head of our UK team,
12 retired or resigned, I asked Len Withall, who replaced him,
13 to get from him all the equipment belonged to NDS,
14 especially laptop, cell phone, et cetera. So Len went and
15 called Ray Adams and told him that he needs it, and Ray told
16 him to -- sorry -- to come to his home in Windsor, England
17 and to pick it up.

18 Q. What did Mr. Withall do?

19 A. He went to Windsor, he visited Ray Adams in his home,
20 he got the laptop, and he went back to the office in
21 Maidenhead.

22 Q. What did NDS discover when it examined the laptop?

23 A. Andy Coulthurst, C-O-U-L-T-H-U-R-S-T, was the
24 technician. Andy got this laptop, and he told Ray that
25 something is wrong here because the hard drive inside is

1 either corrupted or deleted, erased.

2 Q. Did NDS follow up with Mr. Adams?

3 A. Yes. At the same day or same moment, even, Len called
4 Ray Adams and told him that "You are fooling me."

5 And Ray said, "Yeah, because I wanted to keep the other
6 hard drive because I had some family pictures there. So
7 come by, and I'll give you the original hard drive."

8 Q. Okay. What did Mr. Withall do then?

9 A. The next morning Mr. Withall then went back to Windsor.

10 Q. Was he able to get the hard drive?

11 A. No. Because, surprisingly, Mr. Adams told him that the
12 last night the hard drive was stolen from him. He said that
13 he kept the hard drive in the car, in his wife's car. And,
14 surprisingly, last night the car was broken in -- burglaried,
15 and they stole a couple of things from the car including the
16 hard drive. He found other things in the neighborhood, but
17 he did not find the hard drive.

18 Q. So Mr. Withall wasn't able to get the hard drive?

19 A. He was not able, and he told Ray that he's a liar.

20 Q. Mr. Withall told Ray Adams he was a liar?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Did NDS do anything to follow up on the disappearance
23 of that hard drive?

24 A. We are looking, and we distributed the information that
25 the hard drive is missing to see if it will surface in the

1 pirate community. And we were hoping that the police will
2 do something. But because the complaint was submitted to
3 the police by Ray --

4 Q. Who submitted a complaint to the police?

5 A. Ray, Ray Adams.

6 Q. Did NDS submit a complaint to the police?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Why not?

9 A. Because according to the rule in the UK -- I checked it
10 then -- and they said, "The rules in our country, you cannot
11 submit two complaints." If we have a problem, for example,
12 in Israel that the laptop is stolen from an employee, he
13 should submit the complaint, not us. He should submit the
14 complaint because the laptop, for an example, was under his
15 responsibility. So he should prepare the complaint.

16 Q. Did NDS check to determine whether or not Mr. Adams had
17 filed a complaint with the police?

18 A. No. I asked Len to check it, and he said he cannot.
19 The police will not respond to him if he would check about
20 other complaints.

21 Q. Does Mr. Withall have experience with law enforcement
22 in the UK?

23 A. Correct.

24 Q. What kind of experience does Mr. Withall have?

25 A. I think for 30 years he was an officer with the police.

1 Q. Now, at some point, Mr. Hasak, did you learn that
2 documents from that hard drive were provided to
3 Mr. Guggenheim and Mr. Gee?

4 A. I saw part of the documents here during the lawsuit.

5 MR. SNYDER: Could you show the witness, please,
6 Exhibit 477?

7 BY MR. SNYDER:

8 Q. Mr. Hasak, have you seen this document before?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Is this a memo that was sent to you on or about
11 December 28th, 1997?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And is it a memo that was also sent to you by
14 Ms. Gutman?

15 A. The same Ms. Gutman, yes.

16 Q. And this was sent to you as part of your work as head
17 of operational security for NDS?

18 A. Yes.

19 MR. SNYDER: Your Honor, I move Exhibit 477.

20 THE COURT: Any objection?

21 MR. HAGAN: No, objections, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Received.

23 (Exhibit No. 477 received in evidence.)

24 (Document displayed.)

25

1 BY MR. SNYDER:

2 Q. Mr. Hasak, if you look at the very bottom right-hand
3 corner of this document, it has a number, "ESC," which
4 indicates that it was produced in this litigation by
5 EchoStar.

6 A. Okay.

7 Q. Could you describe very generally for the jury the
8 kinds of information that are included -- let me take a step
9 back.

10 What is this document?

11 A. It's part of our communication, of our report.

12 Q. Okay.

13 A. It's an example of reports.

14 Q. And is this --

15 A. Communication, written communication.

16 Q. Is this a report designed to provide information on the
17 status of NDS investigations?

18 A. Yeah. We used to call it "global review." And it was
19 relevant to operation security only, yes.

20 Q. Okay. Would it be harmful to NDS if the information in
21 this document, Exhibit 477, were in the hands of pirates?

22 A. We could expose -- we could expose Chris Tarnovsky. We
23 could -- it could expose Chris Tarnovsky. It could expose
24 our plans to attack Ron Ereiser's team. It would expose our
25 other targets. It would expose the information we have and

1 the information we are going to have or trying to get,
2 targets I mention before.

3 And some of our technical capability. For example, if
4 you see "P12 racket" on Page -- I don't know what page --
5 the table.

6 Q. On the fourth page of the document about halfway down
7 under the heading "operations"?

8 A. Yes, yes.

9 Q. Is that --

10 A. We were preparing fake P12 cards, which will be a big
11 disaster if it will come out from operational point of view.
12 So there are many subjects here which will cause a big
13 damage to NDS, yes.

14 Q. Does this document also mention some of the people
15 working with NDS, such as Mr. Tarnovsky?

16 A. Yes. I mentioned before Chris Tarnovsky, yes.

17 Q. Could it be dangerous to Mr. Tarnovsky if this
18 information got out?

19 A. As mentioned before, pirate community is a violent
20 community, and it would be a big risk, yeah.

21 Q. Now, Mr. Hasak, you understand that in this litigation
22 NDS is accused of posting code for the EchoStar system on
23 the Internet?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Have you ever received any code from an EchoStar card

1 or system?

2 A. Never.

3 Q. Are you aware of anyone in operational security
4 receiving code for the EchoStar system?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Mr. Hasak, if NDS were going to have an operation or --
7 if NDS were going to be involved in the piracy of a
8 competitor's system, would you know about that?

9 A. Very -- very theoretical question. The answer is yes.

10 Q. Would you have to approve that?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Has anyone ever suggested to you that NDS should
13 participate in the piracy of a competitor's system?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Have you ever approved an operation involving piracy of
16 a competitor's system?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Are you aware of any participation by NDS in the piracy
19 of a competitor's system?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Mr. Hasak, if NDS were going to participate in the
22 piracy of a competitor's system, would you have to notify
23 Dr. Peled?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Have you ever suggested any kind of operation involving

1 the piracy of a competitor's system to Dr. Peled?

2 A. No.

3 Q. That has never happened?

4 A. Never happened.

5 MR. SNYDER: Thank you, Mr. Hasak. No more
6 questions.

7 THE COURT: Why don't we take a recess at this
8 time.

9 You're admonished not to discuss this matter
10 amongst yourselves nor form or express any opinion
11 concerning this case.

12 Have a nice recess.

13 (Jury recesses at 9:28 a.m..)

14 THE COURT: (To the witness:) Thank you, sir. If
15 you'll step down, and we'll take 20 minutes, please.

16 (Witness steps down.)

17 MR. SNYDER: I want to speak to counsel for just a
18 moment. Thank you very much, sir. If you would like to
19 step outside.

20 (Witness complies.)

21 THE COURT: Counsel, if you would please be
22 seated.

23 (Outside the presence of the jury.)

24 THE COURT: Previously during the Saturday or
25 Sunday session, there was a disagreement between the two of

1 you informally that you were kind enough to alert the Court
2 to concerning Exhibit Nos. 613 and 626. And the breadth of
3 that included not only the desire by NDS to get in the
4 burglary of Mr. Adams' automobile, but also information in
5 the same documents concerning the murder or suicide of the
6 gentleman in West Germany.

7 It appears to this Court, because of the scope of
8 the direct examination, that previously I was inclined to
9 preclude much of this information from either party if there
10 was an objection. But now I think it's almost become
11 ridiculous. This gentleman's qualified as a security
12 expert, maybe one of the world's foremost experts. He's
13 certainly going to be aware of the murder in West Germany or
14 the suicide.

15 He's going to be aware of Ray Adams and the
16 relationship with Mr. Withall. His expertise now extends to
17 almost all security arrangements.

18 But I wanted to pay the courtesy of NDS making it
19 outside the presence of the jury. You can have a continuing
20 objection if you would like.

21 MR. SNYDER: So the question, Your Honor, is to
22 Exhibit 613 and 626?

23 THE COURT: 613 and 626 of the murder in
24 West Germany.

25 MR. SNYDER: We do not object, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: I think that takes that off the table,
2 and now EchoStar doesn't need to fear violating any of the
3 Court's concerns in that matter.

4 Now, are there any other issues before
5 cross-examination?

6 MR. HAGAN: No, Your Honor.

7 MR. SNYDER: No, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. Have a
9 nice recess.

10 (Recess held at 9:30 a.m.)

11 (Proceedings resumed at 9:53 a.m.)

12 (In the presence of the jury.)

13 THE COURT: All right. The jury's present all
14 counsel, all the parties.

15 If you would please be seated. Thank you for your
16 courtesy. This is cross-examination, Mr. Hagan, on behalf
17 of EchoStar.

18 MR. HAGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19 CROSS-EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. HAGAN:

21 Q. Good morning, Mr. Hasak.

22 A. Good morning, Mr. Hagan.

23 Q. Now, during your direct examination you spent quite a
24 bit of time trying to get the point across that hacking a
25 competitor is bad, and NDS would not have engaged in that

1 conduct.

2 Do you recall that testimony?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. You're familiar with a company called Seca, are you
5 not?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Who is Seca?

8 A. An affiliate of Canal+.

9 Q. And that was -- during the '96 to '99 time frame, that
10 was a competitor of NDS, correct?

11 A. I don't remember.

12 Q. Now, during that time frame did Seca use the NDS
13 technology?

14 A. Not to the best of my knowledge, no.

15 Q. Now, isn't it true, Mr. Hasak, that NDS was, in fact,
16 responsible for leaking pirate information into the
17 community about the Seca system or the Canal system?

18 A. Please repeat your question.

19 Q. Isn't it true, Mr. Hasak, that NDS was responsible for
20 leaking information into the pirate community about the Seca
21 system or Canal system?

22 A. You asked about Seca system?

23 Q. Yes, sir.

24 A. The answer is no.

25 Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 610.

1 This is an e-mail exchange, Mr. Hasak, between Avigail
2 Gutman and Ray Adams. On the subject line it says "ASTRO."
3 You understand that to mean ASTRO MEASAT, correct?

4 A. I don't remember ASTRO MEASAT.

5 Q. We'll look at the text of the document in a minute, and
6 maybe it will come back to you.

7 Avigail Gutman and Ray Adams in October of '99 were
8 both NDS employees, correct?

9 A. Yes.

10 MR. HAGAN: Your Honor, I would offer Exhibit 610
11 into evidence.

12 THE COURT: Any objection?

13 MR. SNYDER: No objection.

14 THE COURT: Received.

15 MR. HAGAN: And, Clint, if you could, let's blow
16 up the bottom portion of Exhibit 610.

17 (Exhibit No. 610 received in evidence.)

18 (Document displayed.)

19 BY MR. HAGAN:

20 Q. Mr. Hasak, what was Avigail Gutman's role at NDS in
21 October of 1999?

22 A. She was with the Jerusalem team, as far as I recall.

23 Q. And what was her job responsibilities?

24 A. She was running the team.

25 Q. Now, if you'll look at the bottom e-mail from

1 Ms. Gutman, she writes to Ray Adams as follows: "I would
2 like to use it to divert their attention away from our
3 system, but before I do, I want to make sure with you that
4 there is nothing about the box or card that can be traced
5 back to us...question, is there"?

6 A. Yeah.

7 Q. Did you understand Ms. Adams (sic) to be referring to a
8 pirate device for the Seca or Canal system?

9 A. It's difficult for me to read here. I mean, it's
10 deleted, you see? And so also, I have to reread it. Okay?

11 Q. Certainly. And I'll read it with you.

12 A. Okay.

13 Q. It says, "Hi. Remember, you sent me the analysis ASTRO
14 (Seca system)? Well, the Haifa team have finally decided
15 they are not interested in my logging it...I would like to
16 use it to divert their attention away from our system, but
17 before I do, I want to make sure with you that there is
18 nothing about the box or card that can be traced back to
19 us."

20 Do you understand Ms. Gutman to be referring to a
21 pirate box, reprogramming box, for the Seca or Canal+
22 system?

23 A. It's a pirate device, I guess, yeah.

24 Q. And part of NDS's antipiracy strategies was to filter
25 information into the market about pirating NDS's competitors

1 to try to hope the pirates would focus their attention there
2 and not on your technology --

3 A. No.

4 Q. -- is that correct, sir?

5 A. No. You are wrong.

6 Q. Did you understand in this e-mail Ms. Gutman is trying
7 to determine from a technical standpoint whether or not
8 there is anything about the box or the card that can be
9 traced back to NDS?

10 A. Yes, I see it.

11 Q. Now, Mr. Hasak, isn't it also true that NDS engaged in
12 an almost identical plan for spreading misinformation into
13 the pirate community about EchoStar's system?

14 A. No. This is not an example. And EchoStar's the same.
15 We didn't do it.

16 Q. Let's take a look at exhibit --

17 A. Sorry.

18 Q. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to cut you off.

19 A. I wanted to tell you that maybe -- I just wanted to say
20 that maybe it was initiative of Ms. Gutman. It was never
21 approved.

22 Q. Now, let's take a look at Exhibit 1568.

23 MR. HAGAN: Your Honor, this has already been
24 admitted into evidence.

25 (Document displayed.)

1 BY MR. HAGAN:

2 Q. Now, Mr. Hasak, I'll represent to you that this was a
3 document produced by NDS's lawyers in this case, which is a
4 text file of an e-mail exchange between Chaim Shen-Orr and
5 John Norris. And there's metadata attached to the back of
6 it to authenticate that exchange.

7 Who is Chaim Shen-Orr?

8 A. Chaim Shen-Orr is a technician in Israel. Regretfully,
9 now he's very sick with cancer.

10 Q. Chaim Shen-Orr was the boss of David Mordinson and Zvi
11 Shkedy at the time they developed a hack for EchoStar's
12 system; is that correct?

13 A. Wrong, because they didn't develop a hack of EchoStar.
14 So don't say -- I didn't say it. But he -- at some times he
15 was the boss in Haifa, yes.

16 Q. If Mr. Mordinson testified that he did, in fact,
17 develop a hack for EchoStar's security system and write that
18 hack in a Headend Report, do you believe that his testimony
19 is inaccurate?

20 A. To my -- to my best understanding, I call it -- I call
21 it technical research. So don't put in me things that I
22 don't know.

23 Q. Now, let's look down at what Mr. Shen-Orr is asking of
24 John Norris.

25 MR. HAGAN: Clint, if we can blow up the paragraph

1 that starts with "They need to be absolutely certain."

2 That's it right there.

3 BY MR. HAGAN:

4 Q. Now, in 1998 David Mordinson and Zvi Shkedy's boss is
5 writing to Mr. Norris, who is Chris Tarnovsky's boss, and
6 he's asking him as follows: "Hi. The field told our
7 contact of their requirement for a second image of the code
8 for comparison reasons."

9 THE COURT: Just a moment. Just a second.

10 Which portion are you reading from? There's a
11 portion outlined in red that doesn't --

12 MR. HAGAN: Scroll up just a little bit.

13 THE COURT: It doesn't appear to be what you're
14 reading from.

15 MR. HAGAN: Starting with "Chaim."

16 BY MR. HAGAN:

17 Q. Mr. Shen-Orr writes to Mr. Norris: "Chaim, the field
18 told our contact of their requirement for a second image of
19 the code for comparison reasons."

20 And then if you'll look down just a little bit, there's
21 a paragraph that starts with "they need to be absolutely
22 certain." Do you see that, Mr. Hasak?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And here Mr. Shen-Orr -- I'm sorry, Mr. Norris states
25 as follows: "They need to be absolutely certain there is

1 not the hidden possibility of identifying the ID of the
2 EchoStar card that their code comes from, some kind of a
3 fingerprint or a receiver's serial number, if a card has
4 been paired, in the code that is developed. They can do
5 this if they have code from a second card."

6 Now, you would agree with me, Mr. Hasak, that that is
7 very similar language to what Ms. Gutman is using in
8 Exhibit 610, where she says, "I want to make sure with you
9 that there is nothing about the box or the card that can be
10 traced back to us"?

11 A. So what the question?

12 Q. You would agree that the language being used in both of
13 those e-mails is similar?

14 A. I don't think so. This looks to me more technical.
15 Avigail Gutman is not a technician.

16 Q. Now, there's a reference to a gentleman named
17 Mr. Strong at the top of Exhibit 1568. Who is Mr. Strong?

18 A. I don't know.

19 Q. There's also a reference to "the field and our
20 contact." Who do those refer to?

21 A. I don't know.

22 Q. Mr. Rubin testified earlier in the trial that they
23 relied upon Chris Tarnovsky's estimate of a hundred thousand
24 pirated EchoStar cards. And in that document they refer to
25 the person providing that information as the field contact.

1 Do you believe, knowing that information, that the
2 field and "our contact" reference in Exhibit 1568 is to
3 Christopher Tarnovsky?

4 A. I can't say it. I don't know. I don't know what
5 document you are talking about from Mr. Rubin, so I don't
6 know.

7 Q. Let's take a look at page 3 of Exhibit 1568.

8 MR. HAGAN: It's page 2 of the actual document,
9 Clint, but there's two cover pages.

10 That's it. Would you blow up the version 2,
11 version 3 chip.

12 (Document displayed.)

13 BY MR. HAGAN:

14 Q. Mr. Hasak, there is -- there are two entries on the top
15 of this page for a version 2 chip and version 3 chip. It's
16 got an IRD number and a card number.

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Would it surprise you, sir, if one of those numbers was
19 traced back to the EchoStar account of Christopher Tarnovsky
20 that the defendants were paying for?

21 A. I really don't understand your question. I'm sorry.

22 Q. Knowing that information, do you believe that the
23 reference to the field contact on page 1 of Exhibit 1568 is
24 to Christopher Tarnovsky?

25 A. I cannot get into this conclusion, no.

1 Q. Who would know the answer to that conclusion?

2 A. Maybe Shen-Orr. I don't know.

3 Q. And you understand that Mr. Shen-Orr has not been made
4 available for us to depose him in this case, correct?

5 A. I know that he is very sick, yes.

6 Q. Now, during the latter part of your testimony, I
7 believe you said it was just a coincidence that Chris
8 Tarnovsky and Al Menard were terminated from NDS just three
9 days before their court-ordered deposition. That was a
10 coincidence, correct?

11 A. No. I said that the two -- the two things happened
12 coincidentally in the same time. What I mean is the date,
13 not the reasons. I mean, the fact was that his contract
14 expired on 1st of April. That's it.

15 Q. Now, let's talk about some other events relevant to
16 this case, and let's see if we can determine whether or not
17 they are just a coincidence.

18 You're aware, sir, that the Haifa team
19 reverse-engineered the Canal+ system, which was one of NDS's
20 competitors, correct?

21 A. Internet research, also technical research.

22 Q. And you're aware that the code extracted from Haifa was
23 posted on Allen Menard's dr7 website, correct?

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. And you're aware that the date and time stamp from that

1 code on Mr. Menard's website was the same date and time
2 stamp as the code that the defendants extracted in their
3 Haifa facility, correct?

4 A. No. What is correct, Oliver Kommerling told me that it
5 is the same time stamp.

6 Q. Okay. So NDS reverse -- let's use your words. NDS did
7 technical research on their competitor, Canal+.

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And they pulled code out of that card, and that code
10 ended up on Mr. Menard's dr7 website. Is that, in your
11 opinion, a coincidence?

12 A. I don't know if it was the same code, but it was on the
13 Net, yes.

14 Q. Do you believe that was just a coincidence?

15 A. No. The fact that it was on the Net, I'm not saying if
16 it was a coincidence or not. I cannot say about what
17 happened between NDS and the Net.

18 Q. Now, you're also aware that NDS hacked -- performed
19 technical research on EchoStar's security system in the
20 Haifa facility, correct?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And extracted codes -- we heard from David Mordinson
23 that they extracted codes and developed a method to hack
24 EchoStar's security system. That method was posted on Al
25 Menard's dr7 website. Do you believe that is just a

1 coincidence?

2 A. I'm not aware of this method being published.

3 Q. If I -- we've seen it as Exhibit 998, but if I put a
4 document in front of you that has codes and technical
5 information, you would not understand that, right?

6 A. I would not understand it, no.

7 Q. Now, you testified that Christopher Tarnovsky received
8 money concealed inside electronic equipment from Canada
9 through a mail account in Manassas, Virginia, correct?

10 A. What's correct? What's the question?

11 Q. You testified that you knew -- you had knowledge that
12 Chris Tarnovsky received money concealed inside electronic
13 equipment from Canada through a mail account NDS paid for in
14 Manassas, Virginia, correct?

15 A. Yes. Yes.

16 Q. And you're also aware, because it was one of the
17 reasons underlying the termination of Chris Tarnovsky, that
18 packages with money concealed inside electronic equipment
19 were intercepted by government officials through
20 Mr. Tarnovsky's mail account in San Marcos, Texas, correct?

21 A. You're -- your question is so long. You have to cut it
22 into pieces. I'm sorry. Or you want it to be translated?

23 Q. Sure. Let me see if I can break it down.

24 A. Okay.

25 Q. You are aware that two packages were intercepted at

1 Chris Tarnovsky's mail account in San Marcos, Texas,
2 correct?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. And they were intercepted by government officials,
5 correct?

6 A. Correct.

7 Q. And when they analyzed those packages, they found over
8 \$40,000 concealed inside electronic equipment, correct?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. Now, knowing that Chris Tarnovsky admitted to receiving
11 money in the same way, through the NDS mail account in
12 Virginia, do you believe it's just a coincidence that those
13 packages were intercepted at the mail account in Texas?

14 A. I don't understand. What do you mean by "coincident"?

15 Q. You testified at your deposition that you asked Chris
16 Tarnovsky about those packages in Texas. Do you recall
17 that?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And he told you he didn't know anything about 'em, that
20 someone was trying to set him up?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. But then later you terminated Mr. Tarnovsky after
23 looking at some of the evidence from the Canadian officials,
24 the RCMP, correct?

25 A. Sorry. Repeat it, please.

1 Q. Last year you terminated Christopher Tarnovsky after
2 reviewing evidence from the Canadian government, the RCMP,
3 about those packages, correct?

4 A. I terminated him after he was shown the fingerprints
5 and he denied knowing where from the packages are.

6 Q. Do you still believe, knowing that information, that
7 the packages being sent to Chris Tarnovsky in Texas was part
8 of some elaborate conspiracy to set him up?

9 A. I cannot exclude this possibility.

10 Q. But you still terminated him?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Now, you're aware that DirecTV filed a lawsuit against
13 NDS, correct?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And I don't want to get into the allegations in that
16 lawsuit, but you're aware that that lawsuit was filed,
17 correct?

18 A. Very vaguely.

19 Q. And after that lawsuit was filed, News Corp., the
20 defendants' parent company, purchased the controlling
21 interest in DirecTV, correct?

22 A. I don't know the sequence of these events. I'm not
23 aware of it, no.

24 Q. And then after that acquisition, the lawsuit went away.
25 Do you believe that that is just a coincidence?

1 A. I'm not aware of the sequence of events. I was not
2 involved in this lawsuit.

3 Q. You're aware that Canal+ filed a lawsuit against NDS?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And that lawsuit involved the publication of their
6 codes on dr7's website?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. The same codes that were extracted by Mr. Mordinson at
9 the Haifa facility, correct?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. After that lawsuit was filed, News Corp. purchased
12 certain assets of Canal+, correct?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. And then that lawsuit went away, correct?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. Do you believe that was just a coincidence?

17 A. I don't know. You better ask the people who made the
18 purchases.

19 Q. Now, Mr. Hasak, you understand that EchoStar filed a
20 lawsuit against NDS and that's what we're here about today?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And you understand that part of that lawsuit involves
23 NDS hacking EchoStar's system and then a post of code on
24 Mr. Menard's website?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And after that lawsuit was initiated, NDS went out and
2 hired Allen Menard. Do you believe that was a coincidence?

3 A. Nothing to do between the two.

4 Q. Now, I will represent to you that Mr. Mordinson's
5 Headend Report, the report that -- I will represent to you
6 that Mr. Mordinson's Headend Report -- and that is the
7 report that described how to hack EchoStar's system -- the
8 final version was dated November 1st of 1998. The first
9 Nipper posting was 11 days later, on November 12th, 1998.

10 Do you believe that is just a coincidence?

11 A. I don't know when this report was done, so I can't
12 answer this question.

13 Q. You're aware that in 1998 DirecTV became dissatisfied
14 with the security technology provided by your company,
15 correct?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And it was after that that Mr. Mordinson and Mr. Shkedy
18 hacked -- conducted technical research on EchoStar's
19 security system, correct?

20 A. No, I don't know about it.

21 Q. And then after that first Nipper posting in November of
22 1998, you're aware that DirecTV decided to remain with NDS
23 and not switch to Nagra.

24 Do you believe that is a coincidence?

25 A. Mr. Hagan, I am not acquainted with this chain of

1 events. I can't approve it, and I don't know.

2 Q. Now, when NDS's technology was compromised in the
3 '96-'97-'98 time frame, the company decided to react and
4 take action. And part of that action was to go out and
5 recruit hackers, correct?

6 A. Recruit pirates.

7 Q. And at your deposition you testified that Christopher
8 Tarnovsky was one of those hackers, correct?

9 A. Of these pirates, yes.

10 Q. In fact, your testimony was that he was one of the two
11 best pirates in the world. Do you still stand by that
12 testimony?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And the other gentleman that you included in the top
15 two list, the gentleman at the dream team meeting, that was
16 Oliver Kommerling, correct?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. Now, knowing that Oliver Kommerling was one of the two
19 best pirates in the world, can you explain to us why he was
20 allowed to participate in the technical research of NDS's
21 competitors?

22 A. He was allowed to participate, as far as I recall, in
23 showing to the Haifa team how to use different methods of
24 technical research.

25 Q. Technical research of NDS's competitors, correct?

1 A. Technical research in general. I can't tell you if
2 competitors or not.

3 THE COURT: And, Counsel, be specific about
4 competitors. Who are you referring to?

5 MR. HAGAN: The Canal+ and EchoStar competitors.

6 THE WITNESS: I cannot confirm it.

7 BY MR. HAGAN:

8 Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 189.

9 Do you have that document in front of you, Mr. Hasak?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. This is a report dated September 26th of 1997 to you,
12 Reuven, correct?

13 A. Yeah, it's me.

14 Q. And these are the types of reports that you would
15 receive from the people who reported to you at NDS, correct?

16 A. No. It's a typical report of Ray Adams, not from other
17 people.

18 Q. And what was Mr. Adams' position in 1997?

19 A. He was the head of the UK antipiracy team.

20 Q. And you are the head of worldwide antipiracy?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. So Mr. Adams at this time reported to you?

23 A. Yes.

24 MR. HAGAN: Your Honor, I'll offer Exhibit 189
25 into evidence.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. SNYDER: No objection.

THE COURT: Received.

(Exhibit No. 189 received in evidence.)

(Document displayed.)

(Live reporter switch with Jane Rule.

Further proceedings reported in Volume II.)

-oOo-

1 -oOo-

2
3 CERTIFICATE

4
5 I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753,
6 Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing is a true and
7 correct transcript of the stenographically reported
8 proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the
9 transcript page format is in conformance with the
10 regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

11
12 Date: May 2, 2008

13
14
15 _____
16 DEBBIE GALE, U.S. COURT REPORTER

17 CSR NO. 9472, RPR
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A				
ABA 5:19	72:10	43:19 45:11	55:24	68:1,22 69:7
abbreviation	admonished 40:3	57:9 61:24 69:1	attention 8:10	70:14,25 72:12
37:21	58:9	75:12	15:21 63:2,16	73:6,25 74:16
Abe 6:5	admonition 40:18	antipiracy 37:15	64:1	75:2,10,24
ability 33:6	advantage 29:15	37:18 63:24	Attorneys 2:7,12	belonged 44:18
able 47:18 52:10	advised 35:11	77:19,20	2:18	47:14 51:13
52:18,19	affiliate 61:8	anxiety 32:4	audible 43:6 48:9	belongs 5:19
abnormal 21:25	agencies 5:14	anybody 40:18	authenticate 65:6	benefits 18:21
above-entitled	21:15 38:1,3	anymore 10:15	authority 46:9	best 6:3 12:15
79:8	43:1 44:14	19:19 21:1 51:1	automobile 59:4	17:13 36:6,16
abroad 38:1	agent 17:9,11,24	appear 66:13	available 69:4	61:14 65:20
absolutely 17:8	18:1,12 36:9,11	APPEARANCES	Avenue 2:18	76:11,19
20:7 48:16 66:1	36:19 47:7,8	2:1	Avigail 27:8 62:1	better 25:21 29:7
66:21,25	agents 20:14	appears 59:7	62:7,20 67:15	40:18 41:11
access 11:6,7	ago 5:2	applies 40:11	Aviv 13:23 20:12	51:1 74:17
20:20,24	agree 48:12 67:6	approach 5:21	avoid 22:11	bettering 23:18
account 68:19	67:12	15:22	aware 42:7,7 51:2	big 10:15 14:5,5
71:9,13,20 72:1	Ah 19:6	approaches 17:16	51:7 57:3,18	46:7 47:14
72:11,13	al 1:5,8 2:3,10	approval 6:14	59:13,15 69:18	56:10,12,20
accusation 12:24	47:5,7,8,9,12,18	33:9,10,12,14	69:22,25 70:18	bit 60:24 66:12,20
38:11 43:21	49:1,2,10 69:8	33:16	71:2,16,25	black 24:9
accusations 13:15	70:24	approve 47:25	73:12,16,23	blackmailed
accused 6:17,21	alarm 22:9	57:10 76:1	74:1,3 75:13,22	41:20,21
42:12 43:10	alarmed 22:10	approved 32:13	a.m 4:3 58:13	blow 62:15 65:25
56:22	alarms 22:10	57:15 64:21	60:10,11	68:10
accusing 13:20	alert 59:1	Approximately		bonus 37:8
39:4	aliases 18:15	7:4	B	bonuses 37:5
acknowledge	24:25	April 50:12,21	back 5:25 6:2 7:2	book 24:24
22:22,23	allegation 7:15,24	69:14	10:3,12 16:9	booking 24:23
acquainted 6:11	8:2	areas 40:25	18:21 19:25	boss 65:10,15
75:25	allegations 8:1,4	Army 16:4	33:1 46:15	66:4,5
acquisition 73:24	13:17 42:21	arrangements	47:24 51:20	bottom 28:3 55:2
action 76:4,4	73:15	59:17	52:9 55:9 62:6	62:16,25
actions 40:5 49:16	alleged 40:8	arrived 42:18	63:5,18 64:9	box 32:9 63:4,18
active 28:3 48:25	allegedly 39:18	asked 7:21 10:5,6	65:5 67:10	63:21,21 64:8
activities 18:16	Allen 46:18,20,23	10:7,10,25	68:19	67:9
40:5 48:22	46:25 69:23	12:12 19:7	Background	boxes 28:19 44:10
activity 16:15	75:2	31:20 33:9,10	30:25	breadth 39:20
18:15	allow 39:22	51:12 53:18	bad 60:25	59:2
actual 68:8	allowed 40:12	61:22 72:15	bank 35:25 36:4,7	break 38:15 71:23
Adams 3:15 13:25	76:20,22	asking 65:23 66:6	36:17 42:1	briefing 18:15
51:11,15,19	American 16:4	asset 46:7	based 49:18	20:11,11
52:2,4,11,20	44:14	assets 74:12	began 7:17	bring 34:9
53:5,16 59:4,15	Ana 1:16,23 4:1	ASSOCIATES	beginning 6:9	British 14:22
62:2,7 63:1,7	analysis 63:13	2:4	behalf 4:12 60:16	broken 52:14
77:16,18,22	analyzed 72:7	ASTRO 62:2,3,4	behave 20:13	BSkyB 5:19 14:22
additional 44:6	Andy 51:23,24	63:13	believe 22:20 23:2	46:4
admitted 64:24	Angeles 2:19	atmosphere 26:6	24:3 25:19,19	bug 12:17
	answer 15:13	attached 65:5	35:13,18 41:11	Bulgaria 29:5
	17:22 30:12	attack 29:13	46:12 65:18	Bulgarian 28:14

burglared 52:14	56:25 63:4,18	42:12,17,19	5:23 55:11,15	confident 31:8
burglars 22:11	64:8 67:2,3,5,9	43:3 49:2 55:22	55:15	confirm 77:6
burglary 59:4	68:16 70:9	55:23 56:16	community 9:6	conformance
busy 42:10	cards 23:20 31:3	66:5 67:23 69:7	53:1 56:19,20	79:9
	38:7 56:10	71:12,17 72:1	61:17,20 64:13	confronted 45:12
	67:24	72:10,15 73:7	companies 20:20	45:15
C	career 23:9	CHRISTINE 2:5	20:24	consideration
cable 5:19	CARTER 1:3	Christopher 68:3	company 5:3 11:4	18:2,3
calculator 37:22	case 17:8 45:17	68:19,24 71:7	19:20,21 24:21	conspiracy 73:8
Calgary 28:13	58:11 65:3 69:4	73:1 76:7	25:2,20 26:7	constraints 32:5
California 1:2,16	69:16	city 21:20	61:4 73:20	consultant 11:16
1:23 2:14,19 4:1	cases 37:8	Clint 62:15 65:25	75:14 76:3	47:11,13,25
19:8 21:18	caught 24:11	68:9	comparison 66:8	48:13
24:18 42:11,13	cause 56:12	close 11:13 18:13	66:19	consulted 48:4
42:18	caused 43:5,7	18:14,22 19:2	compartmental...	contact 38:25
call 6:10 8:13,19	cell 51:14	19:24 46:22	24:25 25:18,19	66:7,18 67:20
10:3 37:20 42:9	Center 2:13	47:3	competitor 60:25	67:25 68:2,23
42:10 45:12	CENTRAL 1:2	closed 22:1	61:10 70:7	contain 32:10
46:3 47:18 49:3	certain 33:4 66:1	closer 19:8	competitors 4:21	containing 51:8
55:18 65:20,20	66:22,25 74:12	code 7:24 8:3,17	4:25 63:25	content 32:12
called 5:9 8:11,21	certainly 59:13	8:19,24 10:11	69:20 76:21,25	contention 39:20
10:5,12 11:24	63:11	11:19 13:4	77:2,4,5	context 31:25
13:1,23 25:12	CERTIFICATE	56:22,25 57:4	competitor's 57:8	46:25
26:21 31:23	79:3	66:7,19 67:2,4,5	57:13,16,19,22	continue 4:11
39:24 43:13	certify 79:5	69:22 70:1,2,9,9	58:1	17:19,25 18:9
51:15 52:3 61:4	cetera 22:10	70:12 74:23	complaint 53:2,4	36:19
Calm 10:2	25:22 32:11	79:6	53:6,13,14,15	Continued 4:15
calmer 10:14	51:14	codes 70:22,23	53:17	continuing 59:19
CAMID 37:20,21	CHAD 2:5	71:4 74:6,8	complaints 53:11	contract 18:20
38:2	Chaim 65:4,7,8	coincidence 50:9	53:20	49:25 50:3,4,12
CAMID's 37:25	65:10 66:15,17	50:18 69:7,10	complies 58:20	50:14,23 69:13
Canada 71:8,13	chain 75:25	69:17 70:11,14	compromised	contrary 35:16
Canadian 31:1	charge 30:9	70:16 71:1	76:2	control 11:6 18:6
72:23 73:2	check 11:3,4	72:12 73:25	computers 11:7	18:12 23:3
Canadians 32:5	53:16,18,19	74:16 75:2,10	concealed 71:8,12	32:11
Canal 7:25 8:3,16	checked 11:5,6	75:24	71:18 72:8	controlling 73:20
11:19 12:25	53:9	coincidences	concern 9:7	conversation 9:23
38:21 61:8,17	checking 23:18	50:11	concerned 17:18	39:16,23 40:5,8
61:21 63:8,21	chief 49:7	coincident 72:14	17:25	40:10
69:19 70:7 74:3	chip 23:24,24	coincidentally	concerning 58:11	conversations
74:12 77:5	68:11,15,15	69:12	59:2,5	12:24
cancer 65:9	chips 32:24 36:25	come 15:21 46:8	concerns 60:3	conversation's
candid 45:18,18	Chris 14:8,12,14	47:12 51:16	conclusion 43:5,7	40:15
capabilities 47:21	15:1,3,21,22	52:7 56:11 62:6	68:25 69:1	corner 55:3
capability 14:23	17:11,22 18:9	comes 46:9 67:2	conditional 20:20	Corp 1:5 24:22
33:5 56:3	18:13 23:13,21	coming 22:11	20:24	73:19 74:11
capable 16:1	24:2 28:13 29:6	25:25	conduct 61:1	CORPORATI...
17:14	29:15 31:2,8,14	communicating	conducted 75:18	2:3
car 52:13,13,14	32:5 33:23	7:21 49:9	Conference 79:10	correct 53:23
52:15	34:22 38:11	communication	confidence 31:2	61:10 62:3,8
card 37:23,23,24				

64:4 65:12 69:4 69:10,20,23,24 70:3,4,20 71:9 71:10,14,20 72:2,3,5,6,8,9 72:24 73:3,13 73:17,21 74:9 74:10,12,13,14 74:15 75:15,19 76:5,8,16,17,25 77:12,15 79:7 corridor 25:22 corrupted 52:1 Coulthurst 51:23 counsel 4:5 40:17 40:22 41:4 50:16 58:17,21 60:14 77:3 country 53:10 couple 5:2 13:22 18:25 52:15 course 40:8 Court 1:1,21,22 4:5,11 15:6,9,12 15:16 25:8,10 27:21,23 30:14 30:16 39:14,17 40:6,12,21,24 54:20,22 58:7 58:14,21,24 59:1,7,23 60:1,8 60:13 62:12,14 66:9,13 77:3 78:1,3 79:15 courtesy 4:7 59:18 60:16 Court's 60:3 court-ordered 69:9 cover 25:3,4,15 68:9 creating 28:18 crime 24:8 criminal 41:14,16 CROSS 3:3 cross-examinati... 41:2 60:5,16,19 cross-reference 18:23 CSR 1:21 79:16	current 18:16 26:17 currently 5:18 Customs 21:12,17 cut 64:18 71:21 C-A-M-I-D 37:20 C-O-U-L-T-H-... 51:23 <hr/> D D 2:5,17 3:1 daily 7:12 damage 56:13 dangerous 56:17 DARIN 2:11 data 11:14 date 15:17 33:4 69:12,25 70:1 79:12 dated 75:8 77:11 David 1:3 2:6,12 2:22 65:10 66:4 70:22 day 1:8 4:2 10:12 18:17 50:7 52:3 days 18:21 20:1 69:9 75:9 deal 51:1 deals 25:20 Debbie 1:21 79:15 December 27:6 27:11 54:11 decent 19:22 decide 38:8 50:14 decided 50:4,22 63:14 75:22 76:3 decision 45:21,24 46:10,11,12 48:2,3,6,12 deem 32:14 DEFENDANT 2:10 defendants 1:9 68:20 70:2 73:20 DEFENSE 4:9 delay 33:14 deleted 52:1 63:10	denied 45:13 73:5 department 10:5 32:22 depose 69:4 deposition 69:9 72:15 76:7 describe 12:9 55:7 described 75:7 describing 29:22 description 32:17 designed 55:16 desire 59:3 details 21:13 determine 53:16 64:7 69:16 develop 23:23 29:13,14 65:13 65:17 developed 37:18 37:19 65:11 67:4 70:23 developing 36:25 37:14 develops 32:23 device 33:22,22 36:24 37:3,6,18 63:8,23 devices 29:13 31:3,20 32:22 35:6,7 37:15 41:23 44:3,7 different 76:23 difficult 40:6 45:24,25 63:9 diminish 18:4,5,8 dinner 42:10 direct 3:3 4:12,15 41:1 59:8 60:23 DirecTV 15:1 16:12 17:2,4,23 29:13 33:3,8,12 42:13 43:4,22 47:15 73:12,21 75:13,22 DirecTV's 33:10 33:16 dirt 13:21 disagreement 58:25	disappear 51:10 disappearance 52:22 disappeared 51:8 disaster 56:11 discipline 43:15 43:17 disclosed 50:15 discover 51:22 discretion 40:12 discuss 58:9 discussed 40:25 disinformation 31:9,10 displayed 27:25 30:18 54:24 62:18 64:25 68:12 78:5 dissatisfied 75:13 distributed 52:24 distributing 39:8 Distribution 30:21 District 1:1,2,22 divert 63:2,16 DOC 1:7 document 27:25 30:18 54:8,24 55:3,10,21 56:6 56:14 62:5,18 64:25 65:3 67:24 68:5,8,12 71:4 77:9 78:5 documents 47:14 51:3 54:2,4 59:5 doing 22:14 23:16 34:25 39:24 Donev 28:14 29:4 29:5 doors 22:10 doubts 13:14,16 Dov 2:23 Dr 6:5,6,8 7:9 11:22,24,24 12:16,23,24 13:8,11,12 14:6 34:14 43:12,13 57:23 58:1 dream 13:24,24 76:15	drive 51:7,10,25 52:6,7,10,12,13 52:16,17,18,23 52:25 54:2 drunk 14:4 dr7 46:22,23 47:1 69:23 70:10,25 dr7's 74:6 DSS 28:9 DST 38:24 Due 32:4 D14V1 1:25 <hr/> E E 3:1 earlier 13:23 67:22 easy 15:13 EBERHART 2:12 EchoStar 1:5,25 2:3 5:22 6:18,21 7:5,15 38:12 43:10 44:13 55:5 56:22,25 57:4 60:2,17 65:13 67:2,24 68:19 74:19 77:5 EchoStar's 50:15 64:13,14 65:11 65:17 70:19,24 74:23 75:7,18 ECM 32:14 educate 21:16 effective 23:3,5 efficient 22:2 egos 13:19 either 6:13 21:11 28:6 40:19 52:1 59:9 elaborate 29:2 73:8 electronic 35:6,7 41:23 44:3 71:8 71:12,18 72:8 eliminate 18:4 em 12:12 72:19 Embarcadero 2:13
--	---	---	--	---

embarrassed 36:5	eventually 33:16	expose 55:22,22	final 75:8	72:7
employee 11:16	everybody 14:3,4	55:23,23,24,25	finally 63:14	Fountainview 2:7
21:3 22:8,21,23	14:4	exposed 9:1,4,14	find 7:13 10:10	four 11:7,8 20:17
53:12	evidence 3:8	9:20 24:13	11:1,18 23:24	28:18 31:2
employees 11:4	11:18 27:24	express 58:10	38:7 40:12	fourth 56:6
23:10,12 62:8	30:17 54:23	extends 59:16	47:17,19 52:17	fourthly 46:8
employment	62:11,17 64:24	extent 33:4	finds 22:24	frame 61:9,12
36:22	72:23 73:2	extra 37:8	fine 15:13	76:3
enable 31:3	77:25 78:4	extracted 8:25	fingerprint 44:10	Francisco 2:14
enabler 32:9	exactly 38:22 50:7	69:22 70:2,22	44:15,17 45:6	frank 45:18
encryption 15:24	examination 4:12	70:23 74:8	50:15 67:3	French 38:23
ended 70:10	4:15 41:1 59:8	ex-member 38:23	fingerprints	Frenchman 38:20
enemies 23:10,11	60:23	e-mail 3:14 62:1	45:12,14 73:4	frequently 6:10
enforcement 21:5	examined 51:22	62:25 64:6 65:4	finish 43:13	19:12
21:8 38:2 53:21	example 4:24	e-mails 67:13	finished 11:23	Friday 42:9
engaged 60:25	5:15 13:22 26:3		fire 45:9,16,22	front 71:4 77:9
64:11	26:4 37:17 38:7	F	fired 45:13,14	full 11:16 13:19
England 14:23	53:11,14 55:13	facility 70:3,20	46:16 50:8	13:19
21:11 46:3	56:3 64:14	74:9	first 6:25 7:4,14	full-time 11:14,17
51:16	examples 4:24	fact 61:15 65:16	7:18 8:10 15:3	further 10:16,18
entries 68:14	9:19 24:10	69:13 70:15	15:21 19:19	41:1,1 78:7
equipment 22:4	exceptions 40:1	76:10	20:8,10 21:9	future 23:24
22:12,13 23:6	exchange 3:15	failed 12:19,19,20	28:2,12 30:24	26:16 36:3
51:13 71:8,13	62:1 65:4,6	fair 18:20	31:22 32:1 39:3	
71:18 72:8	excited 10:2,2	fake 56:10	39:10 42:8	G
erased 52:1	exclude 73:9	false 31:9	44:19,21 46:2	gain 31:8
Ereiser 16:18,23	excuse 8:4 31:19	familiar 46:14,18	46:20,25 50:12	gained 31:1
16:25 17:2,5,9	exhibit 3:8 26:25	61:4	50:20 75:8,21	Gale 1:21 79:15
17:23 29:11	27:3,20,24	family 18:22	flew 42:13	game 25:24
31:12,15,20	29:18,20 30:13	42:10 52:6	floor 11:5	gang 29:11
33:2 34:3,6,10	30:17 54:6,19	far 13:9 21:21	focus 64:1	Gee 51:5 54:3
34:11,25 36:6	54:23 55:21	28:24 39:17	follow 19:18 40:7	general 11:10
38:11,25 39:2,3	59:2,22 61:25	62:22 76:22	49:15 52:2,22	77:1
39:4,6,6,7 41:12	62:10,16,17	fear 60:2	follows 63:1 66:6	generally 55:7
41:15,16 42:4,5	64:16,22 67:8	features 32:10	66:25	genius 15:24
Ereiser's 28:9,12	67:17 68:2,7,23	Federal 1:21	fooling 52:4	gentleman 39:17
29:15 31:8	71:3 77:8,24	feed 31:9	football 14:23	59:6 67:16
34:22 55:24	78:4	fellow 44:18	foregoing 79:6	76:14,15
Ergen 5:22,23 6:2	existence 25:1	field 66:6,17	foremost 59:12	gentleman's
ESC 55:3	experience 20:14	67:19,25 68:2	forget 18:17	59:11
especially 51:14	53:21,24	68:23	19:19 48:24	genuine 37:24
establishing	expert 15:16	fight 4:21,25 5:16	form 58:10	George 25:6
18:14	39:18 59:12	5:18,19 38:4	formal 8:4	Germany 14:22
estimate 67:23	expertise 59:16	fighting 4:20	formally 39:19	59:6,13,24
et 1:5,8 2:3,10	experts 59:12	13:20 14:4	format 79:9	getting 18:13,15
22:10 25:22	expired 50:1,3,4	file 65:4	former 42:25	Gilles 38:17,19,20
32:11 51:14	50:13 69:14	filed 7:20 53:17	forms 47:22	39:6 41:20
Europe 16:3,4	explain 10:6	73:12,16,19	forums 49:15,18	give 4:24,24 23:15
events 69:15	28:25 39:25	74:3,11,19	found 24:21 44:7	26:2,6 31:12,15
73:22 74:1 76:1	50:22 76:19	filter 63:24	45:17 52:16	33:12 36:5

37:13,17 39:6 40:19 52:7 gives 25:25 giving 33:2 glad 40:19 global 55:18 go 7:2 12:15 19:25 30:24 31:24 46:15 47:24 76:4 goes 18:21 going 5:11,21 9:1 9:3 10:3,13 18:21 31:11,14 39:22 40:25 41:13 56:1 57:6 57:7,21 59:13 59:15 good 4:17,18 5:7 13:22 22:25 23:8 35:16,17 36:2 38:4 60:21 60:22 government 71:19 72:4 73:2 great 25:13 group 1:8 2:10 27:18 28:10,12 31:1,15 32:23 34:4,7,22 guarding 11:4 guess 10:12 15:5 63:23 Guggenheim 51:5 54:3 guru 15:23 Gutman 3:11,13 3:15 27:9,11,17 30:2 54:14,15 62:2,7 63:1,20 64:6,20 67:7,15 Gutman's 62:20	hacker 12:20 28:14 29:4,5 hackers 5:6 76:5 76:8 hacking 60:24 74:23 Hagan 2:5 3:5 27:22 30:15 39:13 40:23 54:21 60:6,16 60:18,20,22 62:10,15,19 64:23 65:1,25 66:3,12,15,16 68:8,13 75:25 77:5,7,24 Haifa 8:25 10:19 11:1,2 12:8,16 13:10 63:14 65:15 69:18,22 70:3,20 74:9 76:23 halfway 56:6 hands 55:21 Hansky 2:24 happened 16:13 58:3,4 69:11 70:17 hard 51:7,10,25 52:6,7,10,12,13 52:16,17,18,23 52:25 54:2 harmful 55:20 HARTSON 2:16 Hasak 3:4,9,12,13 4:9,13,17 5:16 6:4,16 7:23 8:7 10:4 14:8 17:10 19:1 23:13 27:3 28:7 29:8,20 30:20 34:18 37:13 38:10 39:23 41:6,22 46:14 49:11 50:11 51:2 54:1 54:8 55:2 56:21 57:6,21 58:5 60:21 61:15,19 62:1,20 64:11 65:2 66:22 67:6	68:14 74:19 77:9 Hasak's 40:9 head 5:9 15:23 19:24 32:21,21 37:11 51:11 54:16 77:19,20 headed 32:1 Headend 65:18 75:5,6 heading 28:3,9 31:23 56:7 hear 39:22 40:3,8 42:16 heard 16:8 20:13 42:14 45:14 46:21 47:2,2,4 70:22 hearsay 39:13,24 40:11,13 Hebrew 2:24 6:7 held 60:10 79:8 help 4:25 21:15 23:17 24:15 26:9 helping 23:19 Hi 63:13 66:6 hidden 35:5 44:2 67:1 hide 35:3 high 47:21 hired 28:12 31:2 47:8 75:2 hiring 47:25 hi-tech 26:7 HOGAN 2:16 holder 49:3 home 16:9 21:22 21:24 22:2,4,7 51:16,19 Honor 4:14 27:20 27:22 30:13,15 39:15 40:20 54:19,21 59:21 59:25 60:6,7,18 62:10 64:23 77:24 HONORABLE 1:3 hope 64:1	hoping 53:1 hours 21:25 house 23:6,7 Houston 2:8 hundred 10:8,9 67:23	49:12,14,18 52:24 55:8,16 55:20,25 56:1 56:18 59:4,9 61:16,20 63:25 67:25 68:1,22 71:5 73:6 initially 32:6 initiated 75:1 initiative 64:20 inside 25:2,15 35:5,6 41:23 44:7,10 51:25 71:8,12,18 72:8 install 22:3,13 Institute 10:19 instruct 35:21 40:14 instructed 40:16 45:7 48:19,20 intelligence 15:16 17:13,14 26:1,8 26:10,13 intend 40:1 intercepted 71:19 71:25 72:4,13 interest 24:23 73:21 interested 63:15 interesting 6:15 Internet 6:24 7:5 7:13 8:9,20,22 10:20 11:20 13:13 15:25 43:1 46:24 47:22 48:23 49:7 56:23 69:21 Interpreter 2:24 50:1,3 introduce 21:4,7 introduced 21:14 introduction 21:9 invading 22:21 23:8 invent 23:23 investigate 18:18 18:18 investigation 10:16,18,24
<hr/> H <hr/>			<hr/> I <hr/>	
hack 5:6,12,22 37:24 38:8,9 65:11,13,17,18 70:23 75:7 hacked 70:18 75:18			ID 67:1 idea 36:2 ideal 9:16 identical 64:12 IDENTIFICAT... 3:8 identifying 67:1 II 78:7 illegal 20:6 image 66:7,18 implemented 18:13 importance 7:13 important 6:14 46:3 improve 23:24 improved 11:11 11:14 improving 23:18 inaccurate 65:19 inclined 59:8 include 37:14 included 55:8 59:3 76:14 including 23:6 52:15 indicates 55:4 inform 33:8,9 34:14 informally 59:1 information 5:3,5 5:7,12 6:17,20 6:22,23,25 7:1,9 10:11 13:21 17:15 18:23 19:25 23:15 26:15 31:9,11 31:14 33:23 34:3,6,9 39:8 43:23 44:6,10 44:13,14,22 47:22 48:15	

11:1,12,18,22 11:23,25 12:2,5 12:23 18:19 42:19 43:2,8,9 43:13 investigations 11:9 13:10 55:17 investigator 42:14 involved 6:17,21 7:5,15,24 8:2 11:19 12:25 14:18 15:1 16:11,15,17 17:1,19,23,25 20:20,24 38:12 43:3,10 48:18 48:21 50:24 57:7 74:2,5 involvement 16:22 involves 74:22 involving 38:11 57:15,25 in-house 28:14 IRD 68:16 Irdeto 5:3,4,5,8 5:12 Israel 20:10 53:12 65:8 issue 8:24 10:15 issues 39:19 60:4 I-R-D-E-T-O 5:4	join 5:9 joint 5:24 Jordan 32:13 JUDGE 1:3 Judicial 79:10 jurors 40:2 jury 1:15 4:4 12:9 30:20 37:17 39:22 40:7 50:22 55:7 58:13,23 59:19 60:12 jury's 4:5 60:13	68:4,4,6 69:1,2 69:5 70:12 72:19 73:22 74:17 75:11,20 76:1 knowing 22:24 45:13 68:1,22 72:10 73:5,6 76:18 knowledge 6:3 36:16 61:14 71:11 known 19:10 45:19 knows 12:13,13 20:16 22:23 Kommerling 8:11 8:13,19,23 9:3 9:20,22,22 10:13,22 13:2 13:14,25 38:21 70:4 76:16,18 Kommerling's 13:16 K-I-D 25:11	layers 18:12 leak 10:19,20,21 leakage 47:14 leaked 47:16 leaking 61:16,20 learn 16:6,11,17 38:10 41:22 42:2,8 43:23 44:1,6,9,9 45:6 46:20 54:1 learned 46:25 lecturing 20:12 20:13 left 4:19 28:14 legal 45:2,8 48:4 49:15 50:25 legitimate 37:24 Len 51:12,14 52:3 53:18 let's 7:2 30:24 31:24 61:25 62:15 64:16,22 65:23 68:7 69:15,16 70:6 77:8 liar 41:14,15,16 52:19,20 lie 36:6 life 50:9 limitation 41:2 limited 33:4 line 62:2 list 76:15 litigation 55:4 56:21 little 66:12,20 live 19:9 78:6 lived 24:18 living 16:3 19:6 located 24:17 logging 63:15 long 20:12 32:14 38:13 71:21 long-term 23:21 look 10:1,3,14 28:2,6 31:22 55:2 61:25 62:5 62:25 64:16,22 65:23 66:20 68:7 77:8	looking 17:13 23:20 28:17 52:24 72:23 looks 67:14 loop 48:5 loopholes 11:2,11 11:13 Los 2:19 lose 18:21 lot 14:9 22:11 lots 9:16
	K			M
	Kaehlin 38:17,19 38:20,25 39:2,7 39:9,12,23 40:8 41:6,20 keep 18:17 19:12 19:21 35:25 36:6 51:1 52:5 keeping 26:2,6 KENNETH 2:17 kept 24:25,25 48:5 52:13 kid 25:7,8,9,10,11 kill 33:5,6 killed 32:12 33:22 kind 7:13 14:21 15:22,23 19:17 22:10 26:15 35:3 37:17 53:24 57:25 59:1 67:2 kinds 6:8 36:21 55:8 KLEIN 2:17 knew 9:18 24:12 46:23 71:11 know 6:15 10:1 12:14 15:5,17 16:23 19:21 22:1 24:10,11 24:12,19 25:1 25:21,24 38:17 38:22 44:20 45:18 46:8 48:4 48:5 56:4 57:8 65:22 67:18,21	L		M 2:5 Mad 5:11,14 Maidenhead 51:21 mail 71:9,13,20 72:1,11,13 mailbox 41:24 42:3 43:24 44:5 main 9:7 making 59:18 Manassas 71:9,14 March 8:17 Marcos 71:20 72:1 market 63:25 Marv 44:19,19 45:20 material 31:11,14 materials 51:8 matter 22:20 58:9 60:3 79:8 Max 5:11,14 mean 6:22 12:9 12:13,19 13:11 16:2 17:2 19:14 21:2,10,16 22:5 23:11,16 24:6 29:9,11 30:10 31:18,19 32:3 35:5 42:4,5 51:4 62:3 63:9 64:18 69:12,13 72:14 means 8:4 12:20 43:17 meant 9:4 MEASAT 62:3,4 measures 23:2
	J			
jailed 5:14 Jane 78:6 Jerusalem 27:12 27:13,14 62:22 Jerusalem's 32:5 job 11:17 62:23 John 5:21,23 19:8 25:12,12 35:12 35:23 42:10,17 42:20 46:11 48:4 65:5,24 Johnny 26:22 28:23 29:22 30:21 31:7 33:2		L 2:17 laboratory 22:9 language 67:7,12 laptop 51:14,20 51:22,24 53:12 53:14 Late 15:4 Laughter 50:10 law 2:7,12,18 19:16 21:4,7 38:1,2 43:1 53:21 lawsuit 7:1,7,17 7:20 54:4 73:12 73:16,16,19,24 74:2,3,5,11,14 74:20,22 75:1 lawsuits 34:9 lawyer 47:15 48:11 lawyers 7:21,22 40:2 44:13 45:1 45:7 65:3		

meet 41:12	52:3 58:18 66:9	8:2 9:1,6,14	News 24:22 73:19	office 12:16 19:8
meeting 13:23,24 14:1,3,3,5 30:22 46:3 76:15	money 9:16 34:19 34:22,24,25 35:8,11,14,22 36:4,13,16 39:6 41:13,18,19,23 41:25 42:2,4,14 44:2 71:8,12,18 72:11	11:19 12:4,24 13:2 14:12,14 14:16,18 15:3 17:10 18:8,11 19:15,16 21:3 21:19 23:14,22 24:2,15,16,21 25:1,5,16 26:10 26:13 29:14 30:3 33:1,8,16 36:12,19,21 38:2 39:4 46:7 47:5,10,13 48:15,22 49:3,4 49:12,14,17,21 50:14 51:3,8,8 51:13,22 52:2 52:22 53:6,16 54:17 55:17,20 56:13,15,22 57:6,7,12,18,21 59:3,18 60:25 61:10,12,15,19 62:8,20 64:9,11 69:8 70:6,6,17 70:18 71:13 72:11 73:13 74:3,20,23 75:1 75:22 77:15	74:11 nice 58:12 60:9 nickname 5:11 25:2,4,15,21 26:4 44:19 nicknames 25:25 night 18:17 42:9 52:12,14 nights 21:25 Nipper 75:9,21 NOLL 2:6 nonsense 14:5 Norris 5:21 6:2 13:25 19:8 21:10,11 35:12 35:21,23,24,24 42:10,17,20 46:11 48:4,7,8 48:10 65:5,24 66:5,17,24 Nos 59:2 note 21:11 47:18 notified 5:7 46:11 notify 57:22 November 29:24 75:8,9,21 number 55:3 67:3 68:16,16 numbers 68:18	76:11 official 11:15 53:25 official 1:21 42:12 officials 71:19 72:4,23 Oh 48:14 okay 6:8 7:2,18 8:16 9:3 10:16 10:24 11:10 12:1 14:16,24 15:1,8,9,11,12 15:15 16:10,20 16:22 20:5 21:7 21:22 22:13 26:15,21,24 28:9 29:14 31:22 33:16 34:24 35:13,17 36:15 37:2,5 40:24 42:7,18 43:2,5 44:4 45:3 45:16 47:9,12 47:23 48:12 50:7 51:10 52:8 55:6,12,20 60:8 63:10,12 70:6 71:24
memo 3:10,11,13 27:5,8,17 29:22 29:24 30:2,5,8 54:10,13	monitor 22:13 48:22 monitoring 18:14 22:3,6 23:6,7 48:24	48:15,22 49:3,4 49:12,14,17,21 50:14 51:3,8,8 51:13,22 52:2 52:22 53:6,16 54:17 55:17,20 56:13,15,22 57:6,7,12,18,21 59:3,18 60:25 61:10,12,15,19 62:8,20 64:9,11 69:8 70:6,6,17 70:18 71:13 72:11 73:13 74:3,20,23 75:1 75:22 77:15	October 3:14 62:7 62:21 offer 5:9,24 18:20 62:10 77:24 offered 40:13 41:9 47:19	old 18:21 20:1 Oliver 38:21 70:4 76:16,18 once 25:6 44:2 49:10 ones 38:23 oOo 78:8 79:1 open 40:21,25 opened 39:19 opens 40:24 operandi 6:11 17:15 operation 5:10 26:21 28:23 29:14,22 30:21 31:7 33:1,8,13 33:17,19 34:14 34:18,21 35:15 55:19 57:6,15 57:25
Melca 10:25	months 13:22 22:3,6 23:6,7 48:24	57:6,7,12,18,21 59:3,18 60:25 61:10,12,15,19 62:8,20 64:9,11 69:8 70:6,6,17 70:18 71:13 72:11 73:13 74:3,20,23 75:1 75:22 77:15	<hr/> O <hr/>	
member 33:24,25 35:19	Mordinson 65:10 65:16 66:4 70:22 74:8 75:17	NDS's 4:25 12:1 15:21 30:9 32:23 63:24,25 65:3 69:19 76:2 76:20,25	object 35:20 59:25 objection 27:21 30:14 39:13 54:20 59:10,20 62:12,13 78:1,2 objections 30:15 54:21 obtained 33:16 34:3,6 51:3 occasions 20:18 October 3:14 62:7 62:21 offer 5:9,24 18:20 62:10 77:24 offered 40:13 41:9 47:19	
Memo 3:10,11,13 27:5,8,17 29:22 29:24 30:2,5,8 54:10,13	Mordinson's 75:4 75:6 morning 4:17,18 52:9 60:21,22 Moskowitz 2:22 move 19:7 27:20 30:13 54:19 moved 16:6,13 moving 16:8 murder 59:5,13 59:23 MYERS 2:11	needed 6:14 25:19 needs 51:15 neighborhood 52:16 net 8:25 18:15 47:16 70:13,15 70:17 never 5:25 6:2,3 23:1,9,11 25:21 48:19 57:2 58:3 58:4 64:20 new 23:23		
Menard 46:18,20 46:23,25 47:5,7 47:8,9,12,18,23 48:13,18 49:1,2 49:4,8,10,11,18 49:22 69:8 75:2	named 16:17 46:18 67:16 names 26:16 NDS 1:8 2:10 4:12 4:21 5:16 6:4,17 6:21 7:5,15,24			
Menard's 47:25 48:22 50:14,23 69:23 70:1,10 70:25 74:24	N			
mention 18:22 50:20 56:2,14	N 3:1			
mentioned 9:2 11:6 14:8 19:1 19:11 20:18 24:6,17 26:1 28:23 42:15 49:11 56:16,19	Nagra 5:17,20 38:22 75:23 NagraStar 51:2,4 name 5:8 15:25 16:1 21:20 25:3 25:4,15 29:4 32:23 38:17 44:18,19 46:21 46:23 47:4,16 49:5 named 16:17 46:18 67:16 names 26:16 NDS 1:8 2:10 4:12 4:21 5:16 6:4,17 6:21 7:5,15,24			
met 20:10,11,17 21:10,10 39:3 48:19	metadata 65:5 method 70:23,24 71:2 methods 23:17 48:17 76:23 middle 10:12 Mike 25:6 minimize 18:4,5,8 minute 62:5 minutes 58:15 misinformation 64:12 missing 52:25 mistake 14:5 47:6 modus 6:11 17:15 moment 7:2 15:6 39:14 46:15			

operational 27:15 27:18 30:6,9 54:17 56:11 57:3	particular 51:5	5:16,18,19,24	61:18 72:25	procedure 45:8
operations 5:24 26:19,21 56:7	parties 4:6 60:14	6:18,21 7:5,16	point 6:16,20 7:23	procedures 48:4
opinion 29:7 58:10 70:11	partners 10:25	9:15 12:25	41:22 42:2 43:9	50:25
order 18:6 22:11 26:2	parts 38:16	13:19 14:19,21	46:9 47:9 49:21	proceedings 1:14
organization 26:2	party 59:9	14:24 15:1	51:7 54:1 56:11	60:11 78:7 79:8
original 52:7	patented 37:20	16:12 17:15,19	60:24	process 45:2
outlined 66:11	Pavel 28:14	17:25 18:9,16	points 8:14	produce 31:2 32:6
outside 58:19,23 59:19	pay 8:10 31:5 35:18 41:12,13	20:24 21:16	police 53:1,3,4,6	produced 55:4
O'MELVENY 2:11	41:18 59:18	24:7 33:3 38:4	53:17,19,25	65:3
<hr/> P <hr/>	paying 68:20	38:12 43:10	policy 22:17,18	Product 31:23
packages 44:16	payroll 24:20,21 39:4	48:18,20 57:7	portion 62:16	32:2,3
71:18,25 72:7	Peled 6:5,6,8 7:9	57:13,15,18,22	66:10,11	programmer
72:13,16 73:3,5	11:22,24,24	58:1	position 77:18	28:18 31:3
73:7	12:23,24 13:5,8	pirate 5:10 9:5	possibility 32:11	programs 31:16
page 28:3,6 31:22	13:11,12 14:6	15:24 16:1,15	67:1 73:9	31:17
32:1 56:4,4,6	34:14 43:12,13	16:23,23 17:14	post 74:23	prohibited 20:23
68:7,8,15,23	57:23 58:1	18:18 19:19,20	posted 8:20 69:23	prominent 33:24
79:9	Peled's 12:16	20:3 21:1,3	70:24	33:24 37:19
pages 51:3 68:9	12:10,11,18	23:20 29:13	posting 7:24 8:3	proof 10:8,9
paid 71:13	people 9:5,5,17,18	43:22 48:21,24	8:16 11:19 13:3	protect 24:15
paired 67:4	10:10 11:5	49:15,17 53:1	56:22 75:9,21	provide 26:9,13
paragraph 28:2	12:14 14:1	56:19 61:16,20	post-ECM 32:4	26:15 38:2
28:17 30:24	23:25 24:7,10	63:8,21,23	potential 10:19,20	48:15 55:16
31:24 32:1	25:1 26:3 42:12	64:13	10:21 24:13	provided 26:16
65:25 66:21	42:25 56:14	pirated 67:24	PPV's 31:4,5	26:16 49:11,19
parallel 16:2	74:17 77:15,17	pirates 5:6 9:1,5	preclude 59:9	54:2 75:14
parent 73:20	percent 10:8,9	9:18,19 17:16	premises 12:12,14	providing 67:25
part 25:24 27:15	36:22	17:16 19:10	12:15	publication 74:5
27:17 30:5,8	perfect 40:23	23:16 24:11,22	Preparatory	published 8:25
34:18,21 35:14	performed 70:18	26:17 55:21	30:22	71:2
35:19 40:9 48:6	period 16:2	64:1 76:6,9,11	prepare 53:15	publisher 24:24
54:4,16 55:11	Perry 15:22 19:24	76:19	preparing 56:10	publishing 24:24
63:24 69:6 73:7	32:13,20,20,21	pirating 17:1,23	presence 4:4	24:24
74:22 76:4	36:23 37:10	39:5,5 42:12	58:23 59:19	pulled 70:9
participate 26:18	person 5:8 27:14	43:3 63:25	60:12	punish 43:17,20
57:13,21 76:20	45:21 67:25	place 19:9	present 2:21 4:5,6	purchased 73:20
76:22	46:6	PLAINTIFF 2:3	4:6,6 60:13	74:11
participating	personally 20:2,5	Plaintiffs 1:6	PRESIDING 1:3	purchases 74:18
18:9	46:6	Plamen 29:5	pressure 46:2	pursuant 79:5
participation	Personnel 28:4	plan 23:21 31:7	previous 17:22	put 13:21 24:3
57:18	phone 8:12,13,24	64:12	26:1	31:24 35:25
	10:13 51:14	plans 26:16,16,17	previously 4:9	37:22 41:25
	phonetic 10:25	55:24	40:25 58:24	65:21 71:3
	pick 28:13 51:17	PLC 1:8 2:10	59:8	P12 56:4,10
	pictures 52:6	please 4:7 6:19	Prior 15:25	P2 31:3
	pieces 71:22	14:13 26:11,25	privacy 22:21	<hr/> Q <hr/>
	piracy 4:20,22 5:1	28:2 29:1,3,17	23:8	qualified 59:11
		30:7 31:13	probably 39:25	qualifying 39:18
		34:20 54:5	problem 42:11	question 7:22
		58:15,21 60:15	49:1 53:11	

15:14 17:20,22 34:20 38:13,14 57:9 59:21 61:18 63:5 67:11 68:21 71:10,21 75:12 questions 14:10 58:6 quite 6:10 60:23	receiver's 67:3 receiving 35:14 57:4 72:10 recess 58:7,12 60:9,10 recesses 58:13 recommendation 37:8,10 recommended 16:14 RECROSS 3:3 recruit 15:3,17 17:11 18:1,3 29:12 47:5,6,7,7 76:5,6 recruited 14:12 14:14,15,16,18 17:6,7,18,24 20:17 21:14 23:13 26:9,12 red 66:11 REDIRECT 3:3 refer 28:22 41:25 67:20,24 reference 67:16 67:19 68:2,23 referring 7:3 29:10 63:7,20 77:4 refers 32:20 regard 40:19 regarding 5:3,22 13:14 18:16 45:3,5 Regretfully 65:8 regular 22:1 49:10 regulations 79:10 relations 22:25 38:22 relationship 18:22 49:21 59:16 relationships 18:14 relevant 5:7 55:19 69:15 relied 67:23 religious 25:18 relocate 19:5	relocated 21:18 remain 32:13 75:22 remained 34:1 remember 5:8,15 7:6 8:14,15 13:1 13:4,6 14:25 15:5,10,13 16:13,15,16,21 17:6,8,21 19:6,7 20:15 21:12,20 31:10 33:13 35:23 37:21 39:10 42:9 44:15,20 47:16 47:17 61:11 62:4 63:13 reminded 19:11 reminding 18:16 remote 32:11 renew 50:5,14,23 repeat 34:20 38:13 61:18 72:25 repeated 20:16 replace 29:6 replaced 51:12 report 3:9 6:4,6,8 7:9 11:22 35:8 43:12 47:2 55:11,16 65:18 75:5,5,6,7,11 77:11,16 reported 11:23 12:22 34:16 77:15,22 78:7 79:7 reporter 1:21 78:6 79:15 REPORTER'S 1:14 reporting 6:13 reports 46:24 55:13 77:14 represent 65:2 75:4,5 reprimand 43:15 43:20 reprogramming 63:21	request 29:15 require 19:5 required 40:14 requirement 66:7 66:18 reread 63:10 research 10:10 16:1 36:25 46:24 65:21 69:21,21 70:7 70:19 75:18 76:20,24,25 77:1 researcher 49:7 resigned 51:12 respectful 19:20 respond 53:19 response 40:5 41:9 43:6 48:9 responsibilities 62:23 responsibility 14:2 46:10 53:15 responsible 61:16 61:19 Restricted 30:21 result 11:12 results 11:9 12:22 43:2 resumed 4:10 60:11 retained 47:23 retired 51:12 Reuven 3:4 4:9,13 77:12 reverse 70:6 reverse-engineer... 69:19 review 55:18 reviewing 73:2 rewarded 37:2,4 RICHARD 2:17 ridiculous 59:11 right 14:10 33:9 36:9 41:3 46:12 60:13 66:2 71:5 right-hand 27:14 55:2 risk 9:18 18:2,4,5	18:5,7,8 24:3,14 56:20 risky 9:11,13 role 62:20 ROM 8:24 10:11 13:3,3 Rome 39:11 Ron 16:18,23 17:2 17:5,9,23 28:9 28:12 29:11 31:8,12,15,20 33:2 34:21,25 36:6 38:11,25 39:2,3,4,6,6,7 41:12 42:4,5 55:24 room 1:22 46:4 Rose 49:5,6,7,8,9 RPR 1:21 79:16 Rubin 2:23 67:22 68:5 ruining 22:24 rule 39:24 40:11 53:9 78:6 rules 19:12,15,17 19:21 53:10 ruling 40:6 rumors 6:24 7:2,4 7:12 8:6,9,9 13:13 run 5:11,24 11:1 13:10 14:5 18:6 18:19 38:7 running 20:14 27:12,13 46:21 48:25 62:24 R&D 15:23 19:24 24:1
<hr/> R <hr/>				<hr/> S <hr/>
R 2:12 racket 56:4 raid 38:7 ran 12:8 42:19 rating 32:11 Ray 51:11,15,15 51:19,24 52:4,5 52:19,20 53:3,5 53:5 59:15 62:2 62:7 63:1 77:16 RCMP 44:14 72:24 73:2 reach 43:5,7 react 76:3 read 63:9,11 reading 66:10,14 real 6:25 realize 47:21 really 10:11 25:25 68:21 reason 13:10 25:22 50:18,21 reasons 33:15 66:8,19 69:13 71:17 recall 8:16 13:9 16:22,25 28:24 44:8 61:2 62:22 72:16 76:22 receive 6:16,20 30:5,8 31:4 34:19,22,24 37:5 77:15 received 27:23,24 30:10,16,17 35:8,11 44:21 54:22,23 56:25 62:14,17 71:7 71:12 78:3,4				SACV 1:7 San 2:14 71:20 72:1 Santa 1:16,23 4:1 satellite 1:5 2:3 4:22 16:23 Saturday 58:24 saw 46:24 54:4 saying 70:15 says 28:9,12,17

30:20,24 32:4 62:2 63:13 67:8 school 26:1 scope 59:7 screen 28:6 Scroll 66:12 SDU 32:22,23 36:22 37:9,11 37:12 seated 4:8 58:22 60:15 Seca 61:4,7,12,17 61:20,22 63:8 63:14,21 second 66:7,9,18 67:5 secondly 23:17 46:6 secret 21:11,17 30:21 49:3 secretly 35:3 secrets 26:3 49:1 Section 79:5 secure 22:5 28:18 31:3 36:24 37:3 37:5 secured 25:20 Securing 31:23 security 5:9 11:2 11:10,15 12:1,4 22:3 26:7 27:15 27:18 30:6,9 32:22 38:23 54:17 55:19 57:3 59:11,17 65:17 70:19,24 75:14,19 see 7:4 8:22 18:3 18:4 27:1 28:8 28:15,20 30:20 32:15,16 52:25 56:4 63:10 64:10 66:22 69:16 71:23 seen 27:3 29:20 54:8 71:3 Segoly 21:10 send 35:4 sense 26:2 sent 21:11 27:5,8	27:17 29:24 35:2,3 41:23 42:3 54:10,13 54:16 63:13 73:7 sentence 28:12 September 77:11 sequence 73:22 74:1 serial 67:3 seriously 7:14 13:13 14:7 33:23 42:22,24 service 21:11,17 26:8 38:24 services 31:4 session 58:25 set 39:19 40:24 72:20 73:8 severe 9:18 shadow 5:13 share 49:1,2 Shen-Orr 65:4,7 65:8,10,23 66:17,24 69:2,3 shipments 43:24 Shkedy 65:11 75:17 Shkedy's 66:4 shoes 23:19 shortly 8:19 show 18:20 26:3 26:24 29:17 40:4 54:5 showed 35:18 showing 76:23 shown 73:4 shut 47:1,1 shutting 49:17 sic 63:7 sick 65:9 69:5 side 40:19 similar 67:7,13 sir 15:15 27:22 30:11 41:8 42:2 42:6 43:18 58:14,18 61:23 64:4 68:18 69:18 site 14:6	small 37:22,22 38:16 Smart 23:20 37:22,23 Smith 15:22 19:24 32:21 36:23 37:10,11 Snyder 2:11 3:4 4:11,14,16 13:12 15:20 25:14 26:24 27:2,20 28:1 29:17,19 30:13 30:19 39:15 40:20 41:5 50:6 54:5,7,19 55:1 58:5,17 59:21 59:25 60:7 62:13 78:2 soccer 14:23 software 31:16,17 31:19,19 32:6,7 32:9,12,17 33:2 33:6 soldier 16:4 soldiers 14:22 sole 43:8 somebody 8:10 10:5 12:11 20:16 37:12 Sophia 2:24 sorry 8:15 29:9 32:21 51:16 64:17,18 66:24 68:21 71:22 72:25 source 39:8 speak 58:17 specific 77:3 spend 36:4 spent 60:23 spoken 46:16 spot 38:8 spreading 64:12 stamp 9:2 10:6,7 69:25 70:2,5 stand 4:10 76:11 standpoint 64:7 stands 32:6 Stars 2:18	start 37:13 47:9 started 6:9,13 24:2,16 47:3 Starting 66:15 starts 66:1,21 states 1:1,22 5:22 5:25 16:7,9,9,11 16:14 21:17 38:1 66:24 79:6 79:10 status 55:17 stayed 5:13 stenographically 79:7 step 23:19 33:1 55:8 58:15,19 steps 18:8 46:22 58:16 stole 52:15 stolen 52:12 53:12 STONE 2:17 stop 20:3 39:7,7 stopped 36:8,11 36:18 stories 6:12 7:13 story 42:14,16 50:24 strategies 63:24 Street 1:22 Strong 67:17,17 subject 13:7 62:2 subjects 56:12 submit 53:6,11,13 53:13 submitted 53:2,4 success 33:19 successful 12:18 12:19,21 49:17 successfully 34:1 suggest 41:12 suggested 57:12 57:25 suicide 59:5,14 Suite 2:8,13,19 sums 44:2 Sunday 58:25 Sundays 21:25 supply 17:14 19:25 supplying 14:22	support 21:15 38:4 47:20 sure 6:20 8:2 11:13 15:4 16:19 26:12 27:16 33:13 38:24 48:18 63:3,17 67:8 71:23 surface 52:25 surfaced 15:25 surprise 68:18 surprisingly 52:11,14 switch 75:23 78:6 SWORN 4:9 system 5:6,17,20 7:25 8:3 11:2,3 11:10,13 22:25 23:8 56:22 57:1 57:4,8,13,16,19 57:22 58:1 61:17,17,21,21 61:22 63:3,8,14 63:16,22 64:13 65:12,17 69:19 70:19,24 74:23 75:7,19 systems 14:21 16:25 17:17,17 20:21,25 23:18 23:18 25:20 39:5 S/W 32:6 <hr/> T <hr/> T 2:4 table 56:5 60:1 take 8:18 12:11 13:13 14:7 15:7 17:17,17 18:1,8 29:14 33:1 38:16 39:25 42:21,24 46:10 55:8 58:7,15 61:25 64:16,22 68:7 76:4 77:8 taken 46:23 takes 13:14 17:16 60:1
---	--	--	--	---

talk 11:3,4 41:25 69:15	34:25 35:19 51:11 55:24	text 62:5 65:4	times 20:18 37:4 46:22 65:14	two 5:14 10:12 13:9 18:24
talked 17:12 31:10 35:23	62:22,24 63:14 69:18 76:15,23	Thailand 5:10,11 5:14	timing 50:18,20 50:21	20:11,17 23:15 37:7 53:11
talking 14:4 20:15 23:10 32:18	77:19	Thank 4:7,14 40:20 50:2 58:5	Title 79:6	58:25 68:9,14 69:11,11 71:25
35:23 50:20 68:5	technical 10:5 17:16 23:17,25	58:14,18 60:8 60:15,18	today 27:3 29:20 74:20	75:3 76:10,15 76:18
target 12:16	33:15 56:3 64:7 65:21 67:14	theoretical 57:9	told 5:23 7:23 8:11,21 9:3 10:2	type 41:21
targets 55:25 56:2	69:21 70:7,19 71:4 75:18	thing 7:12 18:24 19:19 36:6	10:8,13 11:24 13:2,2,9,9,11	types 77:14
Tarnovsky 13:25 14:8,9,12,14,18	76:20,24,25 77:1	37:19	14:6 20:14,19 21:1 23:7 35:12	typical 77:16
15:1,3,18,21,22 16:3,6,10 17:1,5	technically 40:11	things 6:8,14,14 6:15 9:17,17	35:24 36:2 38:11 39:3	<hr/> U <hr/>
17:11,18,22,24 18:9,13 19:3,5	technician 51:24 65:8 67:15	13:9 18:25 19:2 20:19 22:17	41:11,19 43:14 45:1 51:15,15	ugly 9:17
19:11,17 20:2,5 20:8,19 21:4,7	technology 61:13 64:2 75:14 76:2	23:15,23 34:16 36:21,25 52:15	51:24 52:4,11 52:19,20 66:6	UK 5:18,20 51:11 53:9,22 77:19
21:12,13,18,24 22:14 23:3,13	Ted 49:5,6,7,9	52:16 65:21 69:11	66:18 70:4 72:19	ultimately 45:9 45:21
23:22 24:2,3,12 24:15 25:16	Tel 13:23 20:12	think 13:3,22 15:9,17 17:12	tool 37:22 38:5	unaffected 32:13
26:9,12,18 28:13,18 29:6	tell 6:10 8:23 9:22 9:25 12:12 13:5	22:20,25 23:5,8 24:8,23 25:6	tools 35:4,5	uncle 25:12,13,13
29:12,15 31:8 31:11,14 34:1	13:8 14:1 18:19 19:17 20:2,5,8	37:4 40:18,23 44:19 46:4,5	top 30:20 67:17 68:14 76:14	undercover 9:11 9:13,14 17:9
34:19,22,24 35:2,8,14,21,24	20:23 36:4,12 37:23 39:5,9,12	47:17 49:9 53:25 59:10	trace 47:19 49:15	26:18 29:14 34:1 35:15 36:8
36:8,11,18 37:2 37:18 38:12	41:6,7 45:19 64:19 77:1	60:1 67:14	traced 63:4,18 64:9 67:10	36:11,18
41:23 42:3,12 42:17,19,21	telling 4:19 23:19	Thirdly 46:7	68:19	underlying 71:17
43:3,9,15,20 45:3,5,10,12,16	tend 24:8	thorough 11:1	tracing 47:21	understand 9:4,6 9:15 13:18
45:22 46:6,16 49:2 50:8 55:22	terminate 45:9,22 72:22 73:1,4,10	thought 41:15,15 50:25	transcript 1:14 79:7,9	56:21 62:3 63:7 63:20 64:6
55:23 56:15,16 56:17 68:3,19	termination 71:17	thousand 51:3 67:23	translated 71:22	68:21 69:3 71:5 71:6 72:14
68:24 69:8 71:7 71:12,17 72:10	terms 39:18	threatened 24:11	translator 25:17	74:19,22
72:16,22 73:1,7 76:8	test 12:1,4,10,11 12:17,18	three 5:15 11:8 20:11,17 37:7	trial 1:15 67:22	understanding 65:20
Tarnovsky's 22:4 22:7 25:4 37:14	testified 65:16 67:22 71:7,11	69:8	tried 39:25 46:22	understands 40:7
66:5 67:23 71:20 72:1	72:15 76:7	Thursday 1:17 4:1	trigger 11:14 61:15,19	understood 10:21 42:25
tasked 28:13,18	testimony 14:9 39:21 61:2	time 6:7,25 7:14 7:18 8:9,10 9:2	64:11 79:6 79:7,9	unit 32:22 36:24 37:3,6
team 11:15 13:24 27:12,13 29:11	65:18 69:6 76:10,12	10:6,7 15:7 20:9 20:10 32:5	truth 14:1 39:15 40:4,13,15	United 1:1,22 16:7,9,9,11,14
31:8,20 33:25	tests 12:8	33:14 39:3,10 44:21 46:2 58:8	45:19	79:6,10
	Texas 2:8 41:24 42:3 43:24	60:24 61:9,12 65:11 69:12,25	try 11:1 38:15,16 64:1	unwilling 41:18
	71:20 72:1,13 72:16 73:7	70:1,5 76:3 77:22	64:1 79:6 79:7,9	update 28:4 32:11
			trying 5:6 12:15 47:1,3 56:1	use 25:15,21,24 26:3 34:9 36:1,3
			60:24 64:6 72:20	40:12 48:12,17 49:14,15 61:12
			turn 40:2	63:2,16 70:6
			twice 44:2 49:10	

76:23 U.S 79:15	23:24 49:10 weak 8:14 weapon 9:17 24:11 Web 47:3 48:25 website 46:21 69:23 70:1,10 70:25 74:6,24 websites 49:17 weeks 11:7,8 WELCH 2:4,6 well-developed 37:25 went 14:6 51:14 51:19,20 52:9 73:24 74:14 75:1 West 1:22 2:13 59:6,13,24 we'll 23:25 58:15 62:5 we're 74:20 we've 14:9 71:3 what's-his-name 41:19 white 24:9 white-collar 24:8 wide 40:21 wife's 52:13 WILLETTS 2:5 Windows 22:10 Windsor 51:16,19 52:9 Withall 51:12,18 52:8,9,18,20 53:21,24 59:16 witness 4:6,9 15:8 15:11,15,19 25:9,11 26:24 27:1 29:17 50:2 50:4 54:5 58:14 58:16,20 77:6 WITNESSES 3:3 word 33:9 words 70:6 work 4:20,21 6:9 6:10 14:16 19:15,15,15,23 21:19,22,24 22:2 29:12 30:5	30:8 34:21 36:22 37:2,14 38:20,21 49:4,8 54:16 worked 5:13,16 11:5 13:24 17:7 working 9:14 17:5,9 24:2,16 36:19 47:9 56:15 works 9:1,6 21:25 world 9:15,16,16 13:18 24:7,7 76:11,19 worldwide 4:20 37:20 77:20 world's 59:12 worried 9:8,20 wouldn't 21:9 write 65:17 writes 63:1 66:17 writing 66:5 written 28:25 55:15 wrong 35:14 43:21,22 51:25 64:5 65:13	yesterday 4:19 17:12 31:10	3 3 68:7,11,15 30 3:11 53:25 310 2:20
V vaguely 73:18 valuable 48:15 49:12 verbally 6:7 version 68:10,11 68:15,15 75:8 view 56:11 views 31:5 violating 60:2 violence 9:16 13:19 violent 24:7 56:19 Virginia 19:7 24:18 71:9,14 72:12 visited 31:1 49:9 49:10 51:19 Volume 1:8 4:2 78:7 vs 1:7	X X 3:1	Z Zvi 65:10 66:4	4 4 3:4 4th 1:22 411 1:22 415 2:14 477 3:10 54:6,19 54:23 55:21 478 3:11 29:18,20 30:13,17	
W W 2:11 WADE 2:4,6 Walker 26:22 28:23 29:22 30:21 31:7 33:2 walking 25:21 want 15:7 23:14 24:20 28:25 58:17 63:3,17 67:8 71:22 73:15 wanted 20:15 29:6,12 33:7 52:5 59:18 64:19,19 war 6:12 wasn't 52:18 watch 14:23 way 6:12 7:15 8:2 15:13 19:9 20:13 35:25 43:16 50:24 72:11 ways 17:13 18:12	Y yeah 5:18 6:3 7:19 8:18 12:20 13:4 17:21 23:11 25:9,11 27:13 29:4 32:3 33:13 35:7,7 36:25,25 37:12 42:7,7 44:8 45:25 47:4 48:11 50:12 52:5 55:18 56:20 63:6,23 77:13 year 13:1 20:18 39:10 40:1 73:1 years 5:2,15 44:11,12,13 53:25	\$ \$30,000 44:8 \$40,000 72:8	5 54 3:10 558-8141 1:23	
		0 03-950 1:7	6 60 3:5 602 3:13 26:25 27:3,20,24 610 3:14 61:25 62:10,16,17 67:8 613 39:20 59:2,22 59:23 62 3:14 626 39:20 59:2,22 59:23	
		1 1 1:17 4:1 68:23 1st 27:6 69:14 75:8 1-053 1:22 11 75:9 11/24/97 3:11 12th 75:9 12/1/97 3:13 14 1:8 4:2 1400 2:19 1568 64:22 67:17 68:2,7,23 189 3:9 77:8,24 78:4 1997 15:19 24:2 27:6,11 29:25 54:11 77:11,18 1998 15:19 66:4 75:8,9,13,22 1999 2:18 3:14 8:17 62:21	7 700 2:8 713 2:9 714 1:23 753 79:5 77057 2:8 78 3:9 785-4600 2:20	
		2 2 68:8,10,15 79:12 20 58:15 2008 1:17 4:1 79:12 2008-05-01 1:25 24th 29:24 2401 2:7 26th 77:11 2600 2:13 27 3:13 275 2:13 28 79:6 28th 54:11	8 8:05 4:3	
			9 9/26/97 3:9 9:28 58:13 9:30 60:10 9:53 60:11 90s 15:4	

<p>90067 2:19 92701 1:23 94111 2:14 9472 1:21 79:16 95 36:22 952-4334 2:9 96 15:4,4 61:9 76:3 97 15:4,5 76:3 98 15:5 76:3 984-8700 2:14 99 61:9 62:7 998 71:3</p>				
---	--	--	--	--