Page 1

UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA
HONORABLE DAVID O. CART	ER, JUDGE PRESIDING
ECHOSTAR SATELLITE CORP., et)
al.,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
VS.) No. SACV 03-950 DOC
) Day 12, Volume II
NDS GROUP PLC, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)
)

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS Jury Trial Santa Ana, California Tuesday, April 29, 2008

Debbie Gale, CSR 9472, RPR Federal Official Court Reporter United States District Court 411 West 4th Street, Room 1-053 Santa Ana, California 92701 (714) 558-8141

EchoStar 2008-04-29 D12V2

```
1
    APPEARANCES:
2
3
    FOR PLAINTIFF ECHOSTAR SATELLITE CORPORATION, ET AL.:
4
               T. WADE WELCH & ASSOCIATES
5
               BY: CHAD M. HAGAN
                    CHRISTINE D. WILLETTS
6
                    DAVID NOLL
                    WADE WELCH
7
                    Attorneys at Law
               2401 Fountainview
8
               Suite 700
               Houston, Texas 77057
9
               (713) 952-4334
10
11
    FOR DEFENDANT NDS GROUP PLC, ET AL.:
12
               O'MELVENY & MYERS
13
               BY: DARIN W. SNYDER
                    DAVID R. EBERHART
14
                    Attorneys at Law
               275 Embarcadero Center West
15
               Suite 2600
               San Francisco, California 94111
16
               (415) 984-8700
17
               -and-
18
               HOGAN & HARTSON
               BY: RICHARD L. STONE
19
                    KENNETH D. KLEIN
                    Attorneys at Law
20
               1999 Avenue of the Stars
               Suite 1400
21
               Los Angeles, California 90067
               (310) 785-4600
22
23
    ALSO PRESENT:
24
               David Moskowitz
               Dov Rubin
25
```

1		I N	DEX		
2					
3					
4	WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
5	JONES, Nigel				
	By Mr. Stone	5			
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1 SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, APRIL 29, 2008 2 Day 12, Volume II 3 (10:25 a.m.) 4 (In the presence of the jury.) 5 THE COURT: We're back in session. The jury's 6 All counsel are present. present. 7 Counsel, thank you for your courtesy. 8 Mr. Stone, on behalf of NDS, your next witness, 9 please. 10 MR. STONE: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 Defendants call Nigel Jones. 12 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 13 Would you step between the double doors and raise 14 your right hand. 15 NIGEL JONES, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN 16 THE WITNESS: I do. 17 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 18 Would you be kind enough to be seated in the 19 witness box to my left. 20 Sir, would you state your full name for the jury, 21 please. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Nigel Andrew Jones. 23 THE COURT: Would you spell your first name. 24 THE WITNESS: N-I-G-E-L. 25 THE COURT: And your last name, please.

	Page
1	THE WITNESS: J-O-N-E-S.
2	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
3	This is direct examination by Mr. Stone on behalf
4	of NDS.
5	MR. STONE: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. STONE:
8	Q. Good morning, Mr. Jones.
9	Mr. Jones, how are you presently employed?
10	A. I'm president of R and B Consulting. It is a
11	consulting firm I founded about 13 years ago.
12	Q. What line of work is R and B Consulting?
13	A. R and B Consulting provides design services in the
14	field of electronics, software, embedded systems, and
15	firmware.
16	Q. Were you retained as an expert by NDS in this case?
17	A. Yes, I was.
18	Q. What were you asked to do?
19	A. My primary role was to assess the large amount of
20	technical information provided in this case and provide a
21	technical forensic analysis of it.
22	Q. I'd like to talk a little bit about your qualifications
23	and experience, sir. Can you tell us a little bit about
24	your educational background, please.
25	A. I have a first-class honors degree in engineering from

	raye
1	Brunel University in London.
2	Q. What is a first-class honors degree?
3	A. Yes. In England they have a different degree
4	classification system.
5	Honors is pretty much the same as in the United States,
6	an honors degree. First-class honors is basically
7	equivalent to a 4.0 GPA.
8	THE COURT: And would you state the university or
9	college again?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, Brunel, B-R-U-N-E-L.
11	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
12	BY MR. STONE:
13	Q. Do you currently live in England?
14	A. No, sir. I live in Maryland.
15	Q. And how have you been employed the last 25 years or so?
16	A. Basically my role in life is designing products. I
17	design electronic circuits. I write the firmware that goes
18	in the microprocessors that go in most of those circuits and
19	provide those services to customers. So the chances are,
20	several of you have probably at one time or another used
21	something that I designed.
22	Q. Are you familiar with embedded systems?
23	A. Absolutely. My primary expertise is in the field of
24	embedded systems.
25	To give you an explanation what are embedded

	Iage
1	systems? An embedded system is something that contains a
2	computer but isn't a computer. So, for example, I have a
3	little remote control here. Okay? It contains a
4	microprocessor. This would be an embedded system.
5	The piece of equipment the court reporter is using also
6	has a microprocessor. That would be classified as an
7	embedded system.
8	Q. Have you written programs for embedded systems?
9	A. Hundreds. That's what I do for a living.
10	Q. Is a Smart Card also considered an embedded system?
11	A. Yes, it is. In fact, a Smart Card is just about the
12	simplest form of embedded system you can have because it
13	contains just one chip. Most embedded systems contain
14	dozens or hundreds of chips. So a Smart Card is just a very
15	simple embedded system.
16	Q. Can you give us an example of some of the commercial
17	products that you've written programs for in the embedded
18	systems area?
19	A. Yes, sir. Last year, one of my clients asked me to
20	design a control system for a diesel burner that is used by
21	the United States Marine Corps on their mobile kitchens.
22	The problem that the Marines were having is that the
23	controller on the existing one would get corroded in adverse
24	environments and fail, and then they couldn't cook. And a
25	hungry Marine is an angry Marine.

	raye
1	And they came to me and said, "Can you design us a
2	better mousetrap, a better control?" And going off, I
3	designed all the electronics for it, wrote all the firmware.
4	We did the first production run right at the beginning of
5	this year. The Marines have seen that product, and they
6	can't wait to get it in the field.
7	Q. Have you written any software for scuba diving
8	equipment?
9	A. Yes, I have. One of the more interesting areas I work
10	in is, in fact, scuba, particularly highly advanced diving
11	systems. If you've ever seen anything on the Discovery
12	Channel where you've got divers doing really neat stuff down
13	deep, there's a really good chance they're wearing something
14	that I've designed.
15	My latest product that I'm working on for a company in
16	Sweden was just featured in Popular Mechanics last month.
17	Q. Do you hold any patents?
18	A. Yes. I have one patent issued and quite a few pending.
19	Q. And what are those fields in?
20	A. Yes. The patent that's being issued relates to a smart
21	battery that the U.S. military uses in all their equipment.
22	So I came up with a rather neat way to help the
23	U.S. military extend the use of those batteries. So that's
24	the patent that's issued.
25	Patents that are pending relate to this control I just

1 mentioned to you that I designed for the U.S. Marine Corps. 2 I also have some other patents pending on the diving stuff. 3 Have you written any articles in the embedded systems Q. 4 area? 5 Yes. In the embedded systems area there is the Α. 6 premiere magazine called Embedded Systems Design. I've 7 written about a dozen articles for that magazine. I'm also 8 on the editorial design review board. What that means is, 9 when an article is submitted for publication, if the editor 10 thinks it's in a field that I have particular knowledge of, 11 that paper will be submitted to me for vetting or approval. 12 And have you had any experience in assisting any Q. 13 companies as an expert in satellite piracy? 14 Α. Yes, I have. Four or five years ago, I was retained by 15 DirecTV, along with my colleague, Mr. Barr, who's in the 16 back here, who you'll be hearing from in a few days. The 17 two of us, plus a couple other gentlemen, spent the best 18 part of actually more than a year examining the hundreds of 19 devices used for DirecTV piracy. And so our job was to take 20 these devices, reverse-engineer them, work out what they 21 did, how they did it, and come to a conclusion whether those 22 devices were compatible with, designed for, suitable for 23 DirecTV piracy. 24 So having spent -- I think it was about a 15-month

25

period for me looking at all these devices, I learned a

1 tremendous amount about satellite piracy, how it's done, the 2 different devices that are used, and so on. 3 Have you ever been retained by Bell ExpressVu or Q. 4 EchoStar in connection with a satellite piracy case? 5 Yes, I have. About two or three years ago, there was a Α. 6 joint raid in Canada between DirecTV, EchoStar, and Bell 7 ExpressVu. And what they were doing, they were going to 8 raid a printed circuit board manufacturing plant. And this 9 was a place that was suspected of making printed circuit 10 boards used in all these DirecTV and Bell ExpressVu and 11 EchoStar piracy devices, so they needed someone who, (a), 12 knew what the devices were, what they looked like; and they 13 also needed someone who designed printed circuit boards and 14 knew their way around a circuit board plant. So I went off 15 on this raid. Very dramatic -- police, lawyers turn up at 16 the door, stand back from the desks, and then they bring the 17 engineer in. A fun experience, actually. 18 Do you have any experience with the microprocessors Ο. 19 used in the EchoStar access cards? 20 Yes, I do. Microprocessors come in families. Okay. Α. 21 And the family of processors used in the Smart Card issue in 22 this case generically is called a 6805. The 6805 was almost 23 the first microprocessor I ever programmed, and I've written 24 hundreds of programs for the 6805 and other members of its 25 family.

1 Have you also worked with encryption in your design Q. 2 work? 3 Yes, I have. I use encryption in two ways. A lot of Α. 4 the products I design include what are call encrypted 5 bootstrap loaders. 6 I also have a client that is in the car wash industry. 7 And the car wash industry, as you know, when you go up to 8 the car wash, you have a machine there where you can pay, 9 and they'll take cash, credit, or debit. Well, with debit 10 cards, Visa or MasterCard have a very stringent set of 11 encryption stuff that you have to go through in order to 12 have a debit keypad on a car wash system. If you go to 13 Wal-Mart and go through their car wash, and you pay with a 14 debit card, you'll be using software that I wrote. So if 15 you've done it, I hope it worked. 16 How many programs have you written total for various Q. 17 microprocessor families? 18 Oh, hundreds and hundreds. I've been doing it for 25 Α. 19 years. It's what I do every day. 20 Have you ever done any reverse engineering? Q. 21 Α. Oh, yes. 22 Is reverse engineering a common practice? Q. 23 Α. Oh, yes. 24 Q. Is it a secretive practice? 25 Α. No, not at all.

1 Can you give us any examples of that? Q. 2 Oh, yes, absolutely. I'll give you two examples. Α. 3 Whenever, say, Toyota brings out a new car, the first 4 person to buy that car is General Motors. What does General 5 Motors do? They reverse-engineer it. They rip it apart, 6 they look inside, they see what it cost, they look for new technologies, they look at things they think Toyota was 7 8 doing badly. 9 A second example, which is much closer to home, last 10 week -- I mentioned Embedded Systems Design magazine that I 11 was involved with -- twice a year that magazine holds a 12 conference. The main conference is in Silicon Valley. 13 Unfortunately, this year it coincided with this trial, so 14 instead of being at the conference, I was here. 15 But at that trial -- excuse me -- conference, the 16 advertising literature for the conferences -- one of the 17 highlights of the conference was going to be they were going 18 to tear apart the latest Sony OLEV -- stands for Organic LED 19 TV -- this is the next big thing in TV. 20 So at the conference as a draw to bring people in, 21 "Come on in. We're going to take this thing apart." I 22 don't think that's very secretive. 23 Is reverse engineering a widespread practice in your Ο. 24 industry? 25 A. Yes, it is.

1	Q. And how much time have you spent on this case?
2	A. Hundreds of hours. I think I'm up to about 800 hours.
3	Q. And what have you done with that time?
4	A. Quite frankly, I've almost gone bug-eyed. I have
5	looked at thousands of files, many of which are what are
6	called binary files. When you think of a file, most of the
7	time you think about text, okay? That's what you read.
8	Well, computers also use binary files. So I've had to look
9	at, at least, a hundred, probably more, binary files, which
10	means I have to take those binary files, put them into
11	special programs to allow me to examine them. I've gone
12	through all these different files. I've gone through what I
13	refer to as the Conus e-mails: Six-and-a-half ring binders,
14	each one that thick.
15	THE COURT: Six different
16	THE WITNESS: Six different.
17	THE COURT: Conus?
18	THE WITNESS: C-O-N-U-S.
19	THE COURT: Conus e-mails.
20	BY MR. STONE:
21	Q. And those would be e-mails reporting on the status of
22	the DNASP-II system?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. Have you reviewed other documents in this case as well?
25	A. A tremendous number of other documents, yes.

1 And when did you prepare your original report in this Q. 2 case? 3 I started work on it in March of 2007. The report was Α. 4 submitted May 10, 2007. 5 And were there any significant documents that came to Q. 6 light after you did your original report? 7 Two major sets of documents come to mind. Yes. The Α. 8 first one is what I refer to as the "black box files." And 9 the second set was the source code to the DNASP system. 10 And when did you have an opportunity to review the Q. 11 source code? 12 About two weeks before the trial started. Α. 13 And did any of those new documents change any of your Q. 14 opinions? 15 No. Actually, they did quite the opposite. Α. The 16 documents confirmed a lot of things that I suspected. Ιf 17 anything, they helped to confirm my opinions. 18 And you've also reviewed the deposition testimony in Ο. 19 this case? 20 Yes, I have. I've probably read at least eight Α. 21 deposition transcripts. 22 Now, based on your review of the evidence and the Q. 23 documents and the files that you've testified to, were you 24 able to reach opinions in this case? 25 Α. Yes, I have.

1 And have you prepared a demonstrative that summarizes Q. 2 your key opinions? 3 Yes, I have. Α. 4 MR. STONE: Can we show that to him. 5 (Document displayed.) 6 THE WITNESS: This is my first opinion. Haifa was 7 not the source of the Nipper postings nor any of the other 8 information on the Internet. 9 BY MR. STONE: 10 When you say "nor the -- any of the other information Q. 11 on the Internet," what are you referring to? 12 Well, other than the Nipper postings that are issued in Α. 13 this case, we also have a lot of other technical 14 information: the StuntGuy FAQ, Dover FAQ, and hundreds of 15 other bits and pieces of information that are cropping up. 16 Perhaps what's most germane are excerpts of ROM from the 17 DNASP system. 18 And we'll be going through that information, and you'll Q. 19 have an opportunity to explain why you concluded it is not 20 connected to the Headend Report, correct? 21 Α. Correct. 22 Q. And have you formed any other opinions? 23 Α. Yes. This is my second opinion: My second opinion is 24 that it was inevitable that the NagraVision system would be 25 hacked.

	rage 1
1	Q. You formed any other opinions?
2	A. Yes. My third one: NagraVision knew about the
3	problems in their system before the Nipper postings and
4	
	chose to do nothing about them.
5	Q. And do you have a final major opinion?
6	A. Yes. The patch that NagraVision applied to the card
7	within months after the Nipper postings was completely
8	effective in closing the buffer overflow vulnerability that
9	you've heard so much about.
10	Q. Was there also an electronic countermeasure that
11	accompanied the patch?
12	A. Yes, There was.
13	Q. Have you studied that as well?
14	A. Yes, I have.
15	Q. Have you studied both the patch code as well as the
16	electronic countermeasure information?
17	A. Yes, I have.
18	Q. Okay. Now, let's talk a little bit about the EchoStar
19	satellite system. And the folks on the jury have heard
20	some, so I'd ask that you give a very brief review.
21	A. Brief?
22	Q. But slow.
23	A. I'll try. Okay. What we have here is a basic picture
24	of how this all works. I think you've got the basic gist.
25	We have a big satellite uplink dish. It sends an

1 encrypted signal to the satellite, gets bounced off the 2 satellite down to your satellite receiver dish. That signal 3 goes into the receiver. The receiver basically says to the 4 Smart Card or access card, "Does this person have permission 5 to see the particular channel?" And if they do, you get to 6 see Shrek on your TV. Okay? So that's the basic way this 7 thing works. 8 What I'd like to do now is show you the thing that's 9 really at issue in this case. This is the receiver, so 10 there's a little bit more detail here. 11 What we have here is messages in encrypted video coming 12 in, and the messages get routed to the access card. The 13 access card has got a couple of components that I'll talk 14 about in more detail later. The access card, if the person 15 is authorized, provides the encryption key, and that 16 encryption key allows video. 17 So to show that happening here, we've got messages in 18 encrypted video coming in, keys being provided by the access 19 card, and bingo! Decrypted video. 20 Okay. And do you have an animation of the normal Q. 21 operation? 22 Yes, I do. Α. 23 Q. Okay. 24 So this is an animation that I'd like you to look at. Α. 25 And before we play it, I'm gonna explain a little bit about

1 what you're going to see, and hopefully this will make a 2 little clearer to you a lot of these buzzwords you've been 3 hearing thrown around for the last few weeks. 4 You have a remote control, and the remote control is 5 gonna ask, "Please, can I watch HBO?" Okay. The message is 6 gonna go to the receiver, receiver is gonna put that message 7 into the I/O buffer. This is the buffer you've heard about 8 that's being overflown all the time. Okay? 9 This little thing here represents the buffer filling 10 up, and you're going to see this a few times today. Okay? 11 The man in the middle is the CPU. That's the actual 12 microprocessor that's doing the work. 13 There are three what I call "sets of books" in this 14 Smart Card. Over here we have the EEPROM. Now, the EEPROM 15 contains things like decryption keys, passwords, 16 pay-per-view authorizations, and so on. 17 In the middle, we have user ROM. These are the general 18 instructions for the CPU in terms of what it must do. 19 And then we have the system ROM, which is really 20 responsible for encryption-related functions. 21 Lastly, we've got this funny little guy here called a 22 "guard." This is representing what is called the "memory 23 access control matrix." The memory access control matrix is 24 going to feature quite heavily in my testimony. The way to 25 think of it is, it's a security guard.

	rage 1
1	This is the guy with his hands like this. Okay?
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	BY MR. STONE:
4	Q. And can we roll it?
5	A. Yes, sir. Can we run the animation, please?
6	Here we have the HBO request coming in, goes to the
7	receiver, into the I/O buffer. You see the I/O buffer
8	filling up. CPU goes along, picks up the message, says,
9	"Okay, what do I do with it?"
10	It goes to the user ROM to get the general instructions
11	and goes to the EEPROM and says, "Has this dude paid for
12	HBO? If he has, give me the decryption key."
13	He then takes that decryption key over to here. But
14	first off, the security guard says, "Hang on, pal. Are you
15	authorized to do this?" So he checks the credentials,
16	allows the guy in.
17	What this guy does now is, he takes the key and puts it
18	in a lock box. And it's going into a lock box because that
19	key's secret, and you've got to transmit it back to the
20	receiver in a secret way. So he's put it in a lock box. It
21	goes in over to the receiver, receiver extracts the key, and
22	guess what? We've got Shrek on TV again.
23	Okay?
24	Q. Can you give a sense to the jury how long that whole
25	process takes in real time?

1	A. Yes. In real time, we're talking about maybe half a
2	second. Okay? And I might add as well the most
3	time-consuming portion is this bit around here. Okay?
4	Q. Okay. Now, do you have an animation that illustrates
5	what we've heard a lot about, called the buffer overflow
6	attack?
7	A. Yes, I do.
8	Q. Can we run that?
9	A. Right. So in this case, things have changed a little
10	bit. We no longer have our receiver. We've got what is
11	called a Smart Card reader/writer. And attached to that is
12	the hacker's computer.
13	What I'm going to show now is what happens when a
14	hacker sends a message that is bigger than the buffer, and
15	that buffer overflows.
16	So if you could run the animation, please.
17	Here's our big red message. Okay? Comes into the I/O
18	buffer. The buffer starts filling, and then it overflows.
19	Now, the way to think of this message is like a
20	computer virus. Okay? So the CPU goes and picks it up, and
21	he is somewhat confused. I mean, this is something he
22	doesn't quite know what to do with. So the virus takes over
23	and starts commanding the CPU what to do.
24	In this case, what's happening is, he's modifying the
25	EEPROM and also getting the EEPROM contents going over to

	raye 2
1	the I/O buffer, sticks it in the buffer, which then goes out
2	to the hacker's computer.
3	So at this stage, okay, that is a buffer overflow in
4	practice in this card. Okay? And by doing this, the EEPROM
5	contents are now available on the hacker's computer.
6	Q. Now, we've talked about two Internet postings in this
7	case. And the first one I'd like to focus on is the
8	December 23rd posting by xbr21 of something we've been
9	calling the Nipper code.
10	Did you do anything to determine if that Nipper code
11	came from the information in the Headend Report?
12	A. Yes, I did. I ran an extensive amount of analysis on
13	it.
14	Q. Can you tell the jury in broad terms what you found
15	when you compared the Nipper code to the Headend, or Haifa,
16	Report?
17	A. Yes. So I looked at this program in many ways, and
18	what I found in broad terms was that wherever Haifa and
19	Nipper had a choice to do something, they chose differently.
20	Q. Surely they must have made some of the same choices?
21	A. Actually, no, nothing substantial.
22	Q. Now, plaintiffs have identified four things that they
23	claim prove that the Headend Report shares the same DNA, I
24	think was the reference, as the Nipper code. What is your
25	opinion on that?

1 Yes, this is very interesting. You heard Dr. Rubin Α. 2 talk about what I consider the four pillars. He identified 3 four things. 4 So Dr. Rubin identified four pillars that he said were 5 characteristic of an attack that must have originated from 6 Haifa. What I will be showing you is that any buffer 7 overflow attack on this card must use those four things that 8 Dr. Rubin identified. 9 Now, there is also testimony that any differences Ο. 10 between the Headend Report information and the Nipper code 11 is a result of two years' time to improve the Headend 12 information. 13 Do you have an opinion on that? 14 Α. Yes, I do. 15 So what Mr. Stone is referring to here is that the 16 suggestion that any differences between Nipper and Haifa can 17 be attributed to the two-year difference in time between 18 when Mordinson wrote his code and when Nipper wrote his 19 code. Well, what I'm going to show you is that 20 David Mordinson's architecture, the way he put his program 21 together, is considerably better, superior to what the 22 Nipper architecture is. 23 Ο. So there was no improvement in that intervening time 24 period? 25 No, the exact opposite. Α.

1 Now, can you explain to the jury the steps you went Q. 2 through to compare the Nipper code to the Headend Report 3 information? 4 Α. Yes, I think so. I'll start with the next slide. 5 What this slide is, this is the Nipper posting, okay? 6 And over here on the left are his instructions, and this was 7 literally what was published on the Internet. And even if 8 you could read it in detail, you'll see that it is just a 9 bunch of hexadecimal numbers. Okay? 10 So, because this was supposedly derived from Haifa, the 11 first thing I did was say, "Okay, let's look at David 12 Mordinson's equivalent." So on the left is Nipper code. On 13 the right, this is taken from Appendix "F" of the Headend 14 Report. 15 I believe you have this in evidence. You can go and 16 look at this. Okay? On the left, Nipper; on the right, 17 this is David Mordinson's code. 18 Did you do anything to make it easier to compare the Q. 19 two? 20 Right. Well, for those of you that know anything about Α. 21 computer programming, you will recognize what's on the left 22 here is what's called a binary representation, and this is 23 what's called source code. So to do a comparison, obviously 24 I have to convert the two into the same format. I started 25 off by converting them both to binary.

1 Q. What does this show here?

A. The Nipper code and the Mordinson code, side by side,
now in the binary format.

Okay. Now, you don't have to know anything about computer programming or chips or whatever to see immediately that the Mordinson code is a different size. Okay? Well, so what about the actual values that are in it? What I did is, I said, "Well, I put the two programs on top of each other, and the red is where codes don't match, and the gray is where the codes do.

And so to further illustrate the point, I've now removed all the places where they don't match.

So it -- what this illustrates here is evidently there wasn't much of a match between the two at a binary level. Okay?

Now, if you've done any computer programming, you will know that you need to make a very slight change to what's called the source code to make the binary image completely different. So this is perhaps not a particularly fair comparison, but it is an interesting one nevertheless.

What I did now was, I went the other way. I converted Nipper's code into what is called source code. I did what is called disassembly. So now Nipper and Mordinson are in exactly the same format, but this time in a source code format.

	rage
1	Q. And what did that show?
2	A. Well, I'm sure you can't see enough detail on the
3	screen there, ladies and gentlemen, to really be able to
4	tell, but if you went up and looked at what are called the
5	actual operation codes up there, you would see that these
6	two programs are completely different. They differ in many,
7	many ways.
8	Q. Can you tell the jury some of the other ways in which
9	the programs differ?
10	A. Yes. So the first thing I'd like to show you is this
11	line that I have highlighted here. Now
12	Q. And what is that?
13	A. The line I have highlighted here is the call to
14	transmit a byte out of the card. So if you remember, the
15	purpose of this program is to read the contents of the
16	EEPROM book and transmit it out. And it transmitted out a
17	byte at a time. Okay? Now, if you look carefully, you will
18	see that the subroutines that are being called by Mordinson
19	and Nipper are very different. Let me show you how
20	different.
21	Nipper chose to use a routine that was built into the
22	card. Okay? He took one line of code to do it. David
23	Mordinson, by comparison, decided to write his own routine.
24	He devoted 36 lines of code to do what Nipper did in one
25	line. To me, that's a very fundamental difference in the

	rage
1	way the two people were thinking.
2	Q. Okay. Was there a difference in the way they
З	terminated the programs?
4	A. Yes. We've gone back to the slide here, and what I
5	have highlighted here is how the program ends. If you look
6	on the bottom, that is David Mordinson's code. And you see
7	this very strange thing that says "B-R-A-\$." That means in
8	assembly language branch always to yourself. In other
9	words, loop on yourself. Okay? Go into an infinite loop.
10	Now, there's only one way out of an infinite loop, and
11	that is to reset the card. Pull it out, plug it back in.
12	Not a very elegant way of finishing a program.
13	Q. Is that consistent with something called "proof of
14	concept"?
15	A. Absolutely.
16	Q. What is proof of concept?
17	A. Proof of concept is something I get to do all the time.
18	It is customers come to me, and they say, "We've got this
19	great idea that we think we can turn into a product. We're
20	not sure it can work. What we want you to do is just enough
21	work to show that the concept is good. Prove out the basic
22	ideas. We don't want fancy code. We don't want it well
23	documented. Just do the smallest possible amount of work to
24	prove it out." That is called proof of concept.
25	Q. How did the Nipper code terminate?

1 I think this was very interesting. The Nipper code Α. 2 jumped to location 7381. 3 What does that mean? Ο. 4 What that means is, it is jumping into part of what is Α. 5 called the user ROM. And furthermore, this jump requires 6 you to pass what is called a parameter. Okay? 7 You'll see that strange notation, ".DBE8." That is a 8 parameter being passed to that subroutine. Now, here's the 9 rub. That subroutine 7381 does not appear in the Headend 10 Report. So the person that wrote this code must have had 11 something else other than the Headend Report. What they 12 must have had is the ROM contents. Okay? If they had the 13 ROM contents, they could do exactly what David Mordinson had 14 done. 15 Are there any other differences that you saw between Q. 16 the two programs? 17 Yes, many. This slide here that I have, the first four Α. 18 we have already discussed. So program size, the actual 19 detail of the coding sequences, the write routine used, how 20 they terminate the program. 21 The fifth one is kind of easy to explain. You've heard 22 some testimony about invalid checksums before. Well, the 23 interesting thing is that Nipper and Haifa chose to use a 24 different value for the invalid checksum. 25 Q. What is the next point?

1 The next three points -- stack pointer, addressing use, Α. 2 how it handles interrupts -- quite frankly, ladies and 3 gentlemen, you need a degree in electrical engineering with 4 computer science to understand those. I'll just ask that 5 you accept -- when I tell you they are significantly 6 different, that you accept that. 7 THE COURT: The jury will understand everything, 8 both you and the other expert. 9 BY MR. STONE: 10 That means manipulate and interrupt, just briefly, Q. 11 versus not using an interrupt? 12 Yes. So what an interrupt is, in an embedded system Α. 13 is, when the program is running normally, and then something 14 happens that causes the program to stop doing what it's 15 doing and run off. It's a bit like when you're working at 16 your desk, or whatever, and the phone rings. The phone is 17 an interrupt. Okay? You handle the phone call, you put the 18 phone back down, and then hopefully you carry on the work 19 you were doing. 20 Okay. So interrupts feature very heavily in embedded 21 systems. They're one of the most difficult things to grasp 22 and do correctly. So the fact that the authors of these two 23 codes took different approaches to the use of interrupt 24 handling is highly significant. 25 Q. And in broad terms, what is the difference between

	raye 2
1	direct addressing and indexed addressing?
2	A. With direct addressing, you say, "Give me the value
3	from this specific location." With indexed addressing, you
4	say, "Give me the value at a location that is offset from a
5	base address."
6	Q. If you could move the pointer there you go
7	there's a reference to "shell code" in the second column
8	from the bottom.
9	What is shell code?
10	A. You've heard the term "shell code" before. I think a
11	better term for it that's easy to understand is "virus."
12	This is the virus that we're putting into the card to take
13	it over and do its thing.
14	Now, what's important here is where Mordinson located
15	that virus and where Nipper did. Mordinson located it in
16	the communications buffer. Nipper located it in a region
17	called the stack. And I will be showing you later why that
18	is incredibly significant.
19	Q. Do we have a slide for that?
20	A. So what this shows here is, we have the Nipper code and
21	the Mordinson code side by side again. And the light blue
22	that you're looking at, that is David Mordinson's shell
23	code. And you can see it's at the top in the communications
24	buffer, whereas the Nipper code is at the bottom in the
25	stack region.

1	And I've just gone ahead and highlighted those areas.
2	
3	be talking about for that difference?
4	A. Basically, by David Mordinson putting the program, the
5	virus, into the communications buffer, it allowed him to
6	deliver a program faster that was bigger and was easier to
7	use. And that will be the basis of my opinion that the
8	Mordinson method is superior to the Nipper method.
9	Q. Okay. Now, if we could go back to the slide or go
10	forward to the slide with the summary. Okay, if I
11	understand it correctly, there were at least those 10
12	differences between the two programs?
13	A. That's correct. There were many more, but I felt that
14	10 was more than enough to make my point.
15	Q. And how would you summarize these differences?
16	A. To me, when you look at all these differences, it is
17	clear that these two programs were written by different
18	people independently. I see this as independent development
19	of these two codes.
20	Q. So do you think the Headend Report was the source of
21	the Nipper code?
22	A. No, I do not.
23	Q. Now, did Dr. Rubin disagree with you on the point that
24	these were different programs?
25	A. No, no, he didn't, actually. I had this excerpt from

	raye .
1	his expert report, and this is what he has to say: "The
2	point of contention here is not whether or not the two
3	programs are the same, because clearly they are not."
4	Q. Now, did you find any significant error in Dr. Rubin's
5	report that might influence the assessment of his method of
6	charting the structure of the two programs?
7	A. Yes, I did. In Dr. Rubin's report, he put together
8	some graphs which showed the two programs, and he used those
9	graphs as a basis or an aid to reaching his opinions.
10	Unfortunately, there were some errors in those graphs
11	which I think are quite significant.
12	MR. STONE: Okay. And, Your Honor, may I approach
13	the easel?
14	THE COURT: You may.
15	BY MR. STONE:
16	Q. Mr. Jones, what I'd ask that you do is step down and
17	demonstrate how you found the error and what the consequence
18	of that error is in the analysis.
19	For the record, we have two blowups of Page 35 and 36
20	from the Appendix "F" of the Headend Report.
21	THE COURT: Just a moment. I want to see if
22	Dr. Rubin can see also.
23	DR. RUBIN: Yes, I can.
24	THE COURT: If you need to get closer, either
25	expert, that's fine.

	Page .
1	THE WITNESS: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, what
2	I'd like to show you is this excerpt from the
3	Headend Report, which is David Mordinson's code. You can go
4	into the jury room and look at this and do what I'm about to
5	do just for yourself. Okay?
6	The way to look at this is, in this column here,
7	these numbers here are the numbers that appear over here.
8	Okay? These are what we call pneumonics or the actual op
9	codes that the computer executes. These are variables
10	associated with those op codes.
11	And over here we have comments. A typical
12	comment, load to high byte, check the EEPROM boundary, and
13	so on.
14	What was done with Dr. Rubin's report is, he
15	looked at this and started at the top, and he saw "2100A8."
16	And that's what you see here.
17	BY MR. STONE:
18	Q. So these three bytes match. And then we come down to
19	this byte, and you see a whole series of 9Ds. And here you
20	have a whole series of 9Ds. And we come all the way down to
21	here, CC01A0. And that's these three bytes here.
22	And you notice that Dr. Rubin then says that is the end
23	of what he calls the shell code, or the virus.
24	Well, he didn't look below the line. This is a
25	subroutine here that's very important, and you can see the

	Page
1	subroutine now. We get all these 9Ds, and then we come to
2	1100, and, in fact, we go all the way down here, all the way
3	up here, and all the way down to the last 81, which is here.
4	So in reality, the shell code isn't here; the shell
5	code includes all of this.
6	Now, I thought that was quite significant. We're not
7	talking about a few bytes here. We've missed well over half
8	the program. And the importance that I can now show you
9	on an animation.
10	Could we step to the first part of the animation,
11	please?
12	So what you see here, this is exactly the same thing we
13	just had on the board. Okay? This is an excerpt from
14	Dr. Rubin's report. All the labels and things are his.
15	Okay?
16	We'll now step the animation, please.
17	All I've done now is add color. Okay? And what I want
18	you to understand is that the different colored regions do
19	different things. Okay? I haven't changed anything, just
20	colorized it. So as this shows right now, the light blue is
21	where Dr. Rubin says the shell code is.
22	We step the animation, please.
23	So what I've done now is go ahead and correct the shell
24	code representation. As you can see, it's quite dramatic.
25	Can you step the animation, please.

1 As you see here, Dr. Rubin has identified this yellow 2 area as what he calls overflow. It isn't overflow. There's 3 some padding in there, but there's setup of some very 4 important variables in low memory which will become 5 important later. 6 Step the animation, please. 7 So what we're now showing, the stuff in red is what 8 David Mordinson considered important setup of that memory 9 location. The stuff in yellow are padding bytes. Okay? So 10 this represents a much more accurate and detailed 11 representation of David Mordinson's code. 12 When I realized there were mistakes in the Mordinson 13 representation, I asked myself, well, is there a similar 14 problem with the Nipper representation? 15 And I have an animation that shows that. So this one's 16 a bit shorter. So same thing, this is from Dr. Rubin's 17 report, and the first thing I do is colorize it. 18 Now, the thing I want you to know is the colors I've 19 added are consistent. So the shell code is still light 20 blue, overflow is in the same color, and so on. Okay. 21 So can we step the animation, please. 22 Again, Dr. Rubin had a bit of an error in his 23 description of these overflow bytes. And what you see here 24 is the yellow is indeed padding, but the red is what Nipper 25 considers to be important memory setup.

	Page
1	Okay. So what?
2	So can we go to the next animation, please. Oh, I'm
3	sorry. I hadn't quite finished that. We had a terminology
4	problem as well that got corrected.
5	So what I've done now is I've put these two side by
6	side for you to see the bigger picture. So I've dispensed
7	with the monochrome version, and I've gone straight to the
8	colorized version. So what I'm going to do is correct the
9	errors one by one.
10	Can we step it, please.
11	So there's the shell code.
12	Next, please.
13	That's the stack setup.
14	Next, please.
15	That's the correct representation of the Nipper code.
16	Now, to show the significance of that, could we go back to
17	the first step of that, please.
18	That's where we started.
19	Now I'm sorry. Can we stay on the first one,
20	please.
21	I don't know about you, but if you don't know much
22	about computers, you just look at those two pictures and you
23	say, well, yeah, they're basically the same. You just move
24	the light blue up to the top and you've got the same thing,
25	right?

	raye
1	Now, one thing I must stress here, ladies and
2	gentlemen, is that the data within each of the colored
3	regions are different. I'm not saying that these two blue
4	regions are identical. They're not. It's simply their
5	basic function we're talking about. So that's where it
6	started.
7	Can we go to the end now, please.
8	I think that's a considerably different representation
9	of the two programs.
10	BY MR. STONE:
11	Q. Mr. Jones, there are some colors that are the same in
12	the same place. Like up at the top there's a white box.
13	A. Yes, sir.
14	Q. What does that represent?
15	A. Right. So in some cases that white box, for
16	instance, is the ISO7816 mandated header. In other words,
17	the international standards say you've got to have that
18	there. You have no choice.
19	Q. Are there any other no-choice areas between those?
20	A. Yes. You can surely have noticed that towards the
21	bottom third there's this dark blue region. You've all
22	heard about the buffer overflow and memory aliasing. This
23	is that buffer overflow region where you have no choice in
24	the matter. So the hardware in the card is dictating what
25	you see there. You can do nothing about it.

	I dye S
1	Q. So once again, where the authors had a choice, did they
2	make completely different choices?
3	A. Correct, they did.
4	Q. What's the significance of that?
5	A. Well, to me, if you have got two people making
6	completely different choices wherever they have a choice,
7	the logical conclusion is they developed these things
8	differently, independently. There was no cross-coupling
9	between them.
10	Q. Now, the next area I'd like to shift to is the
11	plaintiff's claim that were four characteristics between
12	Headend Report and Nipper that show that they share the same
13	DNA, the four pillars, as you've described them.
14	A. Yes. I think we have a slide here that shows my
15	understanding of what Dr. Rubin said.
16	Q. And those four things are the use of a buffer overflow
17	attack, the use of memory aliasing, knowledge and use of the
18	index variable, and knowledge and use of the exception
19	handler, correct?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. And if you analyzed each of those four pillars, as it
22	were
23	A. Yes, I have.
24	So let's take the first pillar. The claim is, because
25	the buffer overflow attack was used, this is indicative it

1 came from Haifa. Well, you've heard testimony from 2 Mr. Nicolas and Dr. Rubin that a buffer overflow attack is 3 the most common form of attack on any computer system. That 4 was true back in 1980; it was true in 1990; it's true in 5 2000; it's still true today. Okay? 6 If you get this little update from Microsoft that says 7 "Windows has been updated," there's a good chance that 8 they've just patched a buffer overflow vulnerability in 9 their code. 10 The second item is memory aliasing. Can you explain a Ω. 11 little bit about memory aliasing? 12 Memory aliasing is a strange topic. And so I have some Α. 13 slides here which I hope will help you better understand 14 what memory aliasing is. 15 So consider this: We've got ourselves a street, Memory 16 Lane, and on there we've got four houses. And our person, 17 our mailman here, is going to deliver a letter addressed to 18 120 Memory Lane. And you can see the mailman has absolutely 19 no difficulty in doing it because 120 Memory Lane is there, 20 and the letter will be delivered. 21 Well, what happens if you send a letter to 180 and 22 relay? The mailman doesn't know what to do with it, so he 23 will mark it as "Return to Sender, Not Deliverable." 24 Okay. But what happens if you get a letter addressed 25 to 220 Memory Lane? The mailman could do one of two things

<pre>here. He could say, "You know what? 220 doesn't exist. I'll mark it 'return to Sender.'" Or he could say, "You know what? I bet they meant 120 Memory Lane, so I'll deliver it to 120 Memory Lane." That, ladies and gentlemen, is memory aliasing, where something designed for one address gets sent to another address. Q. And why do chip manufacturers allow memory aliasing to</pre>
<pre>I'll mark it 'return to Sender.'" Or he could say, "You know what? I bet they meant 120 Memory Lane, so I'll deliver it to 120 Memory Lane." That, ladies and gentlemen, is memory aliasing, where something designed for one address gets sent to another address.</pre>
<pre>know what? I bet they meant 120 Memory Lane, so I'll deliver it to 120 Memory Lane." That, ladies and gentlemen, is memory aliasing, where something designed for one address gets sent to another address.</pre>
deliver it to 120 Memory Lane." That, ladies and gentlemen, is memory aliasing, where something designed for one address gets sent to another address.
That, ladies and gentlemen, is memory aliasing, where something designed for one address gets sent to another address.
something designed for one address gets sent to another address.
address.
Q. And why do chip manufacturers allow memory aliasing to
occur?
A. Fundamentally, it's a cost-savings measure.
I'll have to explain a little bit about how chips are
designed. When you design a chip, in there you build in
something called a memory management unit. And the memory
management unit, as its name suggests, is a piece of a chip
whose job it is to manage memory.
Now, when you design a family of microprocessors, you
typically design the memory management unit such that it can
address, say, this much memory.
Now, if you don't need that much memory in your chip,
say this much or this much, they don't actually change the
memory management unit. They just say just don't install so
much memory. And that is exactly what happened on this
chip. Okay?
Q. Is there an easy way to tell if a particular chip is
memory aliasing?

	Iaye
1	A. Potentially. Sometimes you can just read it in the
2	data sheet. But regardless of that, the easiest way is to
3	run a test, do the equivalent of send a letter to 220 and
4	then go to 120's mailbox and see if it got it. It takes you
5	two, three hours tops to run that test.
6	Q. Is memory aliasing used a lot in the industry?
7	A. Certainly when I first graduated, first 10, 15 years,
8	yes, it was used a lot. It was almost standard. Today,
9	with the way they design chips differently and so on, it's
10	becoming less and less common.
11	Q. Now, is memory aliasing something that would be a
12	unique characteristic in the buffer overflow attack on this
13	particular chip?
14	A. Ah. Well, here's where it gets interesting. Okay? As
15	soon as you write beyond the end of the communications
16	buffer on this chip, aliasing occurs. Okay? You have no
17	choice in the matter. You can't say to the chip, "This byte
18	I'm writing I don't want you to alias. This byte I would
19	like you to alias."
20	It happens regardless. You have no control. So what's
21	the implication of this? The implication of this is, if you
22	perform a buffer overflow attack on this card, you have to
23	exploit aliasing because you have no choice.
24	Q. Well, just to be clear, is there any way to perform a
25	buffer overflow on this card without having the data memory

1 alias beyond the buffer? 2 Α. No. 3 There was a third point, the use of the index variable. Q. 4 Yes. We've heard a lot about the index variable. Α. 5 THE WITNESS: What I'd like to do now, Your Honor, 6 with your permission, is to go in front of the jury and do 7 some drawings. 8 THE COURT: Certainly. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. What we have here is what 10 engineers like to call a memory map. So I'm going to give 11 you an analogy first so you can better understand it. 12 Imagine you've got a big apartment building with lots of 13 residents, and all their mail is delivered in mailboxes at the bottom of the building. Okay? Each resident has one 14 15 mailbox. 16 Think of this as all the mailboxes for that 17 building. Okay? And just as you can say -- refer to, say, 18 the tenant who's in apartment 3C, or you can say Mrs. Smith, 19 so you can with memory. 20 So our famous index variable -- we can either 21 refer to the index variable or we can refer to its address 22 or, if you like, the apartment that it's in. Okay. In this 23 case, apartment 3F. 24 Now, Dr. Rubin was kind enough to explain 25 hexadecimal numbers to you. So even though these numbers

1 look a little strange for what we are used to counting in, 2 they are real numbers. 3 And so what I want to show you here on this 4 picture -- this is the memory of the microprocessor in this 5 card. Now, at the bottom we have what are called the 6 registers. We then have some memory. We get our index 7 variable, a location called top of stack. And then up at 8 location 19C it's the start of the I/O buffer. This is the 9 famous buffer that is being overflown. Okay? 10 What I'm going to do now is show you how the index 11 variable fits into all this. 12 So when a message is received, okay, start of a 13 message is received, the code in the user ROM -- remember 14 the instruction book on the animation -- is going to store 15 the first bytes it receives in the I/O buffer at the offset 16 given by the index available. 17 Wow! That was a bit of a mouthful. 18 So let's put it in practice. When the message 19 first comes in, the index variable has the value zero. And 20 so what will happen is the first byte will come in. It will 21 be stored in the I/O buffer at offset zero, here, the first 22 location. 23 Okay. We then increment the index variable to 1. 24 The next byte that comes in will be stored in the I/O buffer 25 at the offset given by the index variable, offset 1.

1 So far so good. 2 Now, the I/O buffer is a hundred bytes long, and 3 so I think you can see that by the time you get to the end 4 of the I/O buffer, the index variable is going to have a 5 value of 99. Okay? 6 But what happens now? Well, the next byte we send 7 gets aliased; that is, it comes off the end here, all the 8 way down into here. 9 Well, it so happens this region called registers 10 is special. Okay? It can't be touched by the aliasing. 11 And so you keep on sending bytes, and nothing much 12 happens until the index variable has got a value of 132. At 13 that point you are now into memory. So what that means is 14 when your index variable is 132, the next byte that is 15 received gets stored here. Okay. 16 Well, I think you can see what's coming here, 17 folks. As we overflow the buffer more and more, when the 18 index value -- variable has a value of 162, we're here, just 19 below the index variable. 20 Now, Dr. Rubin says if we use the index variable, 21 the attack must come from Haifa. So to not use it, at this 22 point I stop. 23 Anyone have any idea what will happen at this 24 point? Well, I understand you're not allowed to answer my 25 questions, and I don't want to upset the judge here, so I'll

answer the question. Okay? The answer is nothing happens,
 or nothing substantial.

З	Okay. We've gone to all this trouble of
4	overflowing the buffer. We've got all the way to here, and
5	nothing happens. Well, it seems to me, then, we don't have
6	any choice. We need to overwrite the index variable. Okay.
7	So the next byte we send overwrites the index
8	variable. Well, what happens if we overwrote the index
9	variable with zero? Where would the next byte go? Well,
10	the next byte is stored in the communications buffer at the
11	offset given by the index variable. It goes right back
12	there.
13	Well, that's not very useful. In fact, if you
14	chose any value of the index variable between zero and 162,
15	you just end up somewhere around here, and you just go in an
16	infinite loop all day long. Okay? Patently not very
17	useful.
18	So you have to do something with this index
19	variable. Well, so what did David Mordinson do? What David
20	Mordinson did is, he said, you know, "I'm gonna modify the
21	index variable such that the next byte gets written to the
22	top of stack." Okay? That's what David Mordinson did.
23	Well, what did Nipper do? What Nipper did is he

²⁵ he point it there? Because that's where his program wanted

modified the index variable to point to here. Well, why did

24

1 to go. And his program was just big enough such that the 2 last byte of the program overwrote the top of the stack. 3 Okay. 4 Well, you've also heard about black box. What did 5 black box do? Well, black box modified the index variable 6 such that the next byte got written immediately thereafter 7 it. Okay. 8 So all three of them modified the index variable, 9 but they modified it in different ways. 10 Now, the question is: How did David Mordinson 11 know how to modify the index variable? I presume he didn't 12 call anybody up. Okay? So the only way he worked out how 13 to use the index variable was to take the ROM contents, 14 study them, work out how the program works, and go for it. I might add, this whole use of index variables and 15 16 things is a very standard procedure. Okay? There's nothing 17 complicated or difficult about it. If I was implementing 18 code like this, this is exactly how I would do it. 19 So David Mordinson worked it out by reading the 20 ROM. 21 Well, does that mean that Nipper could have worked 22 it out by reading the ROM? Of course. And also the same 23 for black box. 24 So the point I want you to take away from this, 25 ladies and gentlemen, is I see no way of constructing a

1 buffer overflow attack against this card which doesn't use 2 the index variable. It is impossible. 3 Now, the fourth point was the exception handling. Can Ο. 4 you explain that? 5 Yes. So far the three steps we've talked about, all Α. 6 they do is get the virus into the card. Okay? But it's 7 dormant at this stage. It can do nothing. 8 And so we have to somehow persuade the CPU, the 9 microprocessor, to activate that virus. Well, it turns out 10 that there's a fairly standard way of doing this, and that 11 is what's called forcing an exception. 12 As its name suggests, what an exception is, is when you 13 cause something to happen to a computer system that is 14 unusual. Okay? Now, when you write these programs, you 15 like to take care of all contingencies, and you build in 16 what is called an exception handler whose job is to handle 17 exceptions. 18 And so the interesting thing about this card is, is the 19 exception handler was designed in such a way that if you 20 were to put a pointer to your shell code at the top of the 21 stack -- remember my picture we had of the top of stack --22 if you put the pointer to your shell code there and then 23 force an exception, then the exception handler will end up 24 running your code or, to put it in the vernacular, 25 activating the virus.

So the question is: Is this unique? Well, I've studied the code. I've gone through all the documentation and so on. And as far as I can tell, there are only two ways of generating an exception that would cause that behavior to happen. The first is to send an invalid checksum. Now, I think you've had this explained to you before. Checksum is

8 nothing more than where you sort of add up all the bytes 9 that have gone beforehand, and if they equal what you've 10 previously got, there is no error. So you deliberately send 11 an invalid checksum and you say there is an error in the 12 message. So that's one way to do it.

There is another way. You don't send a checksum. And what happens then is the card says, "Hang on a sec. Where's my checksum?" And it will wait for a large fraction of a second, eventually decide it's not getting the checksum, and run the same exception handler.

So two ways of doing it. Sending the invalid checksum is obviously superior because you don't waste the time waiting for the timeout. And so what we have here is, in my opinion, the only way of activating that virus in this card is to exploit the exception handler by sending an invalid checksum. There is no other way.

24 So if there is no other way, it is not surprising that 25 a buffer overflow attack that was independently developed

	Page 4
1	exploited that characteristic.
2	Q. So taking these four pillars, the buffer overflow
3	attack itself, that's the most common form of attack on
4	computers?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Would anyone engaging in such an attack have any choice
7	as to memory aliasing for this chip?
8	A. No, they would have no choice at all.
9	
10	Q. Would there be any choice about using the index variable to engage in such an attack?
10	
	A. Not that I can see.
12	Q. And for the exception handling, would there be any
13	choice as well?
14	A. None.
15	Q. So memory aliasing, modifying the index variable, and
16	exception handling are all necessary structural features for
17	a buffer overflow attack?
18	A. On this particular chip, yes.
19	Q. Now, when you discussed the index variable and the
20	exception handling, you mentioned that you could deduce
21	those from having the ROM contents, correct?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. Did you see any evidence that the ROM contents from
24	satellite cards were obtained by pirates?
25	A. Yes. There's a tremendous amount of information

1 supporting that position. 2 Do we have a demonstrative to start off with? 0. 3 Α. I think so. 4 What we have here, ladies and gentlemen, is a 5 historical perspective. What this is, is a list of all the 6 Smart Cards for satellite TV that I know about that had 7 their ROMs extracted by pirates. 8 As you can see, it's an extensive list. It starts 1993 9 and goes all the way through 2002. I cut it off there 10 because I ran out of slide. 11 In what ways can pirates obtain ROM contents? Q. 12 In general there are three ways of getting ROM Α. 13 contents: physical extraction, theft, and glitching. 14 Ο. Okay. Let's talk about physical extraction, or 15 invasive attacks. How difficult are those? 16 Invasive attacks, this is the testimony you've heard Α. 17 about where you use a FIB or a scanning electron microscope, 18 or so on, to get in and extract the chip's contents. So 19 that is a moderately -- well, a reasonably difficult, fairly 20 expensive means of extracting the ROM contents. 21 Have you seen evidence that that has been in the public Q. 22 literature well before the Haifa report? 23 Α. Yes, I have. 24 What I'd like to show you, ladies and gentlemen, is a 25 paper written by Ross Anderson in 1996.

1 Q. Who was Ross Anderson?

2	A. Ross Anderson is professor of computer security
3	engineering, or something like that, at Cambridge University
4	in England. He is arguably the world's foremost authority
5	on computer security.
6	Q. Are you in agreement with Dr. Rubin on that point?
7	A. Yes. Actually, I'll show you this slide. This is what
8	I found on amazon.com where Dr. Rubin was talking about Ross
9	Anderson's book, Security Engineering. You can see
10	Dr. Rubin has some very nice things to say about the book.
11	I must agree. It's a terrific book. If you have any
12	interest at all in this topic, it's quite readable, and I
13	quite recommend it.
14	Q. What did the paper that Mr. Anderson have to say?
15	A. This paper was fascinating. Okay? What we have here
16	is the front page of the paper, and in the abstract you
17	can read it all, but the key thing is Smart Cards are broken
18	routinely. Okay? So this is Professor Anderson talking in
19	1996.
20	Q. And where was this paper presented?
21	A. This paper was presented at USENIX. Okay? USENIX is
22	not some obscure body. This is the premier advanced
23	computing society in the world. In fact, Dr. Rubin sat on
24	the board of USENIX for a few years.
25	Q. Is there anything else interesting about Mr. Anderson's

1	1996 article?
2	A. Oh, many things. Let me show you this one. He goes on
3	to say "Smart Cards are broken routinely, and even a device
4	that was described by a government signals agency as the
5	'most secure processor generally available' turns out to be
6	vulnerable."
7	So that is the best the government can do. The NSA and
8	the rest of it, in '96, isn't good enough.
9	Q. Is there anything else about this article that you
10	relied upon?
11	A. Yes. In this paper Professor Anderson described some
12	of the ways in which you can attack Smart Cards. And here's
13	an interesting quote. "We will now briefly describe some of
14	the techniques available in professionally equipped
15	semiconductor laboratories, of which there are several
16	hundred worldwide."
17	So this is in 1996. Professor Anderson is saying
18	there's hundreds of labs worldwide with sophisticated
19	equipment capable of attacking Smart Cards.
20	Q. And does he discuss the ability to rent time on such
21	equipment?
22	A. Yes. How's about this for an interesting quote? "We
23	understand, for example, that production attacks carried out
24	by some pay-TV pirates involve the use of a focused ion
25	beam, or FIB, workstation. Low budget attackers can rent

l

	raye -
1	time on them from various semiconductor companies."
2	So here's the thing, ladies and gentlemen. In 1996
3	Professor Anderson was writing that satellite TV pirates had
4	already used a FIB to attack a satellite TV card.
5	Q. Does Mr. Anderson's paper spell out exactly how to use
6	a FIB to extract ROM contents?
7	A. Yes, it does. The method described in the paper is
8	very similar to what was ultimately used by Haifa.
9	Q. And if a chip memory aliases, would using the Anderson
10	method to extract show to one the memory aliasing?
11	A. Yes. That was a rather complicated question. But what
12	happens, if you use the technique that's described, as you
13	are extracting the memory contents, you will inherently see
14	this memory aliasing occurring. And you'll see it because
15	you'll see the same values appearing time and again when you
16	would only expect them to appear once.
17	Q. And did you also look at documents in this case that
18	referenced an analysis by TNO?
19	A. Yes, I did. In late 1997 and early 1998, DirecTV
20	contracted with a firm called TNO. And what they basically
21	said to TNO was, "We want you to hack our P3 card if you
22	can." Okay? And TNO wrote a report on their attempts.
23	Q. What was the upshot of that?
24	A. Well, here's an interesting quote from TNO. They
25	were they found it possible to rent time on a FIB for

1	\$2500 a day. Okay. Now, bear in mind, what we're talking
2	about here, this is full commercial rates. If you happen to
3	be a grad student at the university that has this FIB, you
4	don't pay much to use it.
5	Q. Okay. Do we have a slide showing a summary of the
6	invasive attack information?
7	A. Yes. So these are some of the things that I'd like you
8	to take away regarding extracting ROM contents using
9	invasive techniques.
10	Number one, reverse engineering is a routine procedure.
11	It is done every day in industry.
12	Number two, the technique used by Haifa was described
13	in Anderson's paper in '96.
14	Anderson has reported that pay-TV pirates had used a
15	FIB prior to 1996 to hack satellite TV. He says there are
16	hundreds of labs around the world capable of deploying
17	advanced attacks against these cards.
18	And then in 1998 TNO could rent time on a FIB for \$2500
19	a day. Now, this equipment is available at universities.
20	And that's not just my opinion. The plaintiff's consultant,
21	Ron Ereiser, also made this point. And as I mentioned,
22	access is typically free to this equipment for grad
23	students.
24	Q. Now, what is the optical technique that's referenced at
25	No. 8?

	Idge
1	
1	A. Yes, sir. As well as using a FIB to extract the ROM
2	contents, you can also use what are called optical
3	techniques. What you do here is you literally take a very,
4	very high resolution picture of the chip and then, by using
5	staining techniques and taking more pictures, you can work
6	out whether the ROM is a zero or a 1. You get all those
7	zeros and 1's, you've got the program.
8	Q. Did you see any evidence that a real live hacker in
9	this case had used optical techniques for examining the ROM
10	contents of a NagraVision card?
11	A. Yes. I believe in a day or two you'll be hearing from
12	someone called StuntGuy. StuntGuy was the biggest hacker on
13	the scene. He wrote a document called "The StuntGuy FAQ,"
14	frequently asked questions, which literally told you
15	everything you needed to know about how to hack an EchoStar
16	card.
17	In there he describes receiving photomicrographs of
18	ST16 chip that's at issue in this case. And indeed, he even
19	produced pictures of them at his deposition.
20	Q. Now, the TNO report dealt with invasive attack on the
21	P3 card. Would that be more difficult or less difficult
22	than such an attack on the NagraVision card?
23	A. Yeah. The P3 card would have been dramatically harder
24	for two reasons. First of all, it's a newer generation
25	design. Obviously this is brand-new, whereas the

1 NagraVision system by '98 was three, four years old. 2 The second thing is, in the DirecTV system, the card 3 included what is called an ASIC. ASIC stands for 4 application-specific integrated circuit or, in the 5 vernacular, a custom chip. Okay. 6 And so TNO not only had to hack the CPU, but they also 7 had to hack the ASIC. That is a dramatically harder thing 8 to do. 9 Are there noninvasive ways to obtain the ROM contents? 0. 10 Yes, there are. The most well-known method is Α. 11 something called glitching. 12 Just briefly, what is glitching? Q. 13 Α. Glitching is when you -- I'll back up. A 14 microprocessor is designed to operate at a certain voltage 15 with a certain clock. It's called a clock. If you force 16 the microprocessor to work outside the design envelope, so 17 you set the voltage too high or too low, you set the clock 18 too fast or too slow, then you can literally confuse the 19 electronics or "hiccup" it. That is called glitching. 20 Do we have an animation that shows that? Ο. 21 Yes, we do. Α. 22 What I'm going to show you here is arguably the world's 23 stupidest bank teller, but we'll go with it anyway. 24 If we'd start the animation, please. 25 The customer is asking the bank teller, "Could I have

	raye
1	\$10, please." And the bank teller counts out the money, and
2	they're done.
З	Now let's add a glitcher to the mix.
4	So if we would continue the animation, please.
5	Customer is asking for \$10. Bank teller starts to
6	count. Along comes our glitcher. He yells, "Look." The
7	bank teller turns around and doesn't remember that they've
8	already handed over the money. And the glitcher continues
9	to do that and does it and does it and does it and
10	eventually gets all the money in the bank or, in this case,
11	all the ROM contents. Okay.
12	Q. How much does it cost to build a glitcher?
13	A. Well, you can buy commercial glitchers for about a
14	hundred dollars. You can build your own for about a
15	thousand.
16	Q. Is glitching widely used in the pirate community?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And did StuntGuy discuss it in his frequently asked
19	questions?
20	A. Yes, he did. Not only did he discuss it, but I've seen
21	circuit diagrams of glitches that he designed and built.
22	Q. Is it also possible to steal the ROM contents?
23	A. Yes, of course. You can steal just about anything.
24	Q. And do you have a slide showing the three ways of doing
25	that?

1 A. Yes, I think so.

So the first method, breaking and entering, I think we all understand this is where you break into the building, burglarize it, and off you go.

The second one is much more subtle. Because a ROM is a ROM is a ROM, if you take a copy of the ROM, it's as good as the original. And furthermore, the person you've copied it from is none the wiser that it's been taken. Okay.

9 So anybody that's got access to the ROM, if they can 10 copy it and walk out the door with it, then that's as good 11 as renting a FIB and, you know, a lab and doing the rest of 12 it. Okay.

So the question is: Who had potential access to copy?
And this was a list of people that I came up with. Okay?
So -- and you can read it, but essentially actually the guys
designing the system, computer backup people, cleaning
staff, security personnel -- security people have to be able
to get into secure areas. Senior management, a lot of leaks
in companies come via senior management.

Now, here's the thing. When NagraVision designed this code, they had to send it to STMicro to have it put into chips. So, then, we've got all the employees of STMicro. And then finally a couple others. There's some good evidence to show that the code at various times was at EchoStar and also at a company called DiviCom in Sunnyvale.

	Page :
1	So it's a pretty wide circle of people that could
2	potentially steal this.
3	Q. What is a tempest attack?
4	A. Right.
5	So hopefully, if you learn nothing else today, ladies
6	and gentlemen, you'll enjoy this one. This is a tempest
7	attack. The way this works is that when the electrons hit
8	your TV screen to draw the letters on it, they emit
9	electromagnetic radiation. If you sit outside the building
10	with a receiver like this, you can pick up those
11	transmissions and see them on your TV screen.
12	Now, this was first demonstrated in 1995. At the time,
13	I was living in the Washington, D.C. area; and I can tell
14	you, the federal government went nuts because they suddenly
15	realized that all these secure computers they had were
16	vulnerable to this type of attack. Okay? So very
17	interesting form of attack. It's there, nonetheless.
18	Q. Now, have you seen any evidence to suggest the ROM
19	contents were out in the pirate community prior to
20	December 2000?
21	A. Yes. There's a huge amount of evidence suggesting that
22	the ROM contents was out in the community.
23	Q. What did that evidence consist of?
24	A. In 1999 I think it was September of 1999 there
25	was published on an IRC channel a list of the ROM fragments

1 from NagraVision cards. These ROM fragments were published 2 by six different people, and they covered both ROM 2 and 3 ROM 3. And in several cases the people publishing the 4 fragments said, "We have it all, and to prove it, we're just 5 giving you an excerpt." 6 Okay. So this is in September 1999. 7 Did those fragments include what's called system ROM Q. 8 fragments? 9 Yes, they did. If you remember the animation of normal Α. 10 operation, you had EEPROM, user ROM, and system ROM. Well, 11 a large number of the fragments that were published were 12 from the system ROM. 13 Q. Can a buffer overflow on this card be used to obtain 14 system ROM? 15 No, it can't. Remember our little security card, the Α. 16 MACM? It turns out that if you do a buffer overflow attack 17 on this card, one of the few things you can't do is extract 18 the system ROM. Okay? You can't use buffer overflow to 19 extract system ROM. 20 So the fact that the pirates had it meant they didn't 21 use buffer overflow. They used either an invasive technique 22 or glitching or they stole it from someone. 23 Q. Now, let's go back to the famous, or infamous, 24 StuntGuy. Did you see any evidence that StuntGuy had the 25 full ROM images?

1 Yes, I did. Α. 2 And do we have a slide on that? Ο. 3 You're going to be hearing a bit about StuntGuy today. Α. 4 So this is an excerpt from the StuntGuy FAQ. And one 5 of the things StuntGuy was very kind to do was he had what's 6 called a change log in this document. Every time he updated it, he said why he was updating it and the information that 7 8 was added. 9 And so you can see here: "July 15th, 2000. Completed 10 analysis of all commands based on EROM288-02 ROM dump." 11 Well, what you need to know is a 288-02 is the official 12 designation for a ROM 3 card. So StuntGuy's saying, "Hey, I 13 finished analyzing all the commands." 14 And then below that we've got this excerpt where he 15 says, "...the EROM guys, for providing a good environment in 16 which to work, good information and good sounding boards. 17 In addition, as of 25th of August, 2000, the EROM group has 18 managed to gain full access, including back-door commands to 19 the EchoStar 288-02 cards." 20 Now, let me explain to you the significance of the back 21 door. I'll be talking about that later. Once you have 22 access through the back door, you've got complete control of 23 this card. Okay? Complete control. 24 Now, was there other evidence of ROM contents that you Q. 25 saw as well?

	rage
1 2	A. Yes. I have a slide that shows this. Okay? You may recognize this. This was something that was
3	shown to Mr. Nicolas when he was testifying. And this is an
4	e-mail sent, I believe, by Suzanne Guggenheim. And the
5	title is essentially Publicly Available EchoStar ROM Dump
6	and Commented Disassembly.
7	Q. Okay. Have you had an opportunity to look at the
8	attachments to that e-mail?
9	A. Yes, I have.
10	Q. And do you have a slide on that?
11	A. Yes. There was a readme file in here, and there was a
12	couple of things I thought you should see.
13	So this first one, it says, "This file contains all of
14	the ROM dumps of the EchoStar 288-01 cards that have been
15	available on the Net, as well as some ROM information we got
16	from other sources." So a 288-01, that's the official
17	designation for a ROM 2 card.
18	Q. And how similar is the ROM 2 code to the ROM 3 code?
19	A. Oh, it's very similar. Essentially the ROM 3, they
20	just took the ROM 2 and fixed all the bugs in it and issued
21	it as ROM 3. So essentially the same card.
22	Q. And do we have another slide?
23	A. Yes. They go on to say why they're doing this. And
24	here's the quote at the end: "Until eventually a working
25	hack emerges at the far end of all of this."

	Page 6
1	Q. Is there another slide?
2	A. Yes. And this is where they're discussing who they
3	are. And a couple of things I'd like you to see. "This
4	information wasn't all discovered by just one person."
5	And then at the bottom there, "We and others have put a
6	lot of time into this." So you go through this readme file,
7	and it is very clear that there are lots of people with this
8	ROM working on the problem of hacking the system.
9	Q. Now, was there something else in the zip file other
10	than the readme file?
11	A. Well, absolutely. What was in there was a commented
12	disassembly of a NagraVision ROM 2 card, including system
13	ROM, user ROM, and EEPROM.
14	Q. Can system ROM be obtained using any buffer overflow
15	attack?
16	A. No, absolutely not.
17	Q. And what is a commented disassembly of ROM?
18	A. Yes. So remember when I put up David Mordinson's code
19	side by side with the Nipper code? The Nipper code you just
20	saw was a binary image. And on the right we had David
21	Mordinson's code, which was very nicely formatted and had
22	all sorts of comments and explanations.
23	So the process of disassembly is taking the binary
24	image, just those numbers, and back-converting it into a
25	meaningful program that a human can read. Significant

1 undertaking. 2 MR. STONE: Your Honor, I'm going to shift to 3 another topic. I don't know if this would be a good time. 4 THE COURT: This is a good time. 5 Ladies and gentlemen, why don't we resume at 6 1:00 o'clock. You're admonished not to discuss this matter 7 amongst yourselves nor to form or express any opinion 8 concerning this case. 9 Sir, why don't you step down. 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 11 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, have a nice 12 lunch. 13 (Lunch recess held at 11:56 a.m.) 14 (Further proceedings reported by Jane Rule in 15 Volume III.) 16 -000-17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	-000-					
2						
3	CERTIFICATE					
4						
5	I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753,					
6	Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing is a true and					
7	correct transcript of the stenographically reported					
8	proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the					
9	transcript page format is in conformance with the					
10	regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.					
11						
12	Date: Month Date , Year					
13						
14						
15						
	DEBBIE GALE, U.S. COURT REPORTER					
16	CSR NO. 9472, RPR					
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

				Page 1
	1	1	1	I LUGC I
A	41:1	41:18,22,23	attacking 51:19	becoming 40:10
ability 51:20	aliased 43:7	appear 27:9 32:7	attacks 49:15,16	beginning 8:4
able 14:24 25:3	aliases 52:9	52:16	51:23 53:17	behalf 4:8 5:3
57:17	aliasing 36:22	APPEARANCES	attempts 52:22	behavior 47:5
above-entitled	37:17 38:10,11	2:1	Attorneys 2:7,14	believe 23:15
64:8	38:12,14 39:5,8	appearing 52:15	2:19	54:11 61:4
absolutely 6:23	39:25 40:6,11	Appendix 23:13	attributed 22:17	Bell 10:3,6,10
12:2 26:15	40:16,23 43:10	31:20	August 60:17	best 9:17 51:7
38:18 62:11,16	48:7,15 52:10	application-spe	authority 50:4	bet 39:3
abstract 50:16	52:14	55:4	authorizations	better 8:2,2 22:21
accept 28:5,6	allow 13:11 39:8	applied 16:6	18:16	29:11 38:13
access 10:19 17:4	allowed 30:5	approach 31:12	authorized 17:15	41:11
17:12,13,14,18	43:24	approaches 28:23	19:15	beyond 40:15
18:23,23 53:22	allows 17:16	approval 9:11	authors 28:22	41:1
57:9,13 60:18	19:16	April 1:17 4:1	37:1	big 12:19 16:25
60:22	amazon.com 50:8	architecture	available 21:5	20:17 41:12
accompanied	amount 5:19 10:1	22:20,22	42:16 51:5,14	45:1
16:11	21:12 26:23	area 7:18 9:4,5	53:19 61:5,15	bigger 20:14 30:6
accurate 34:10	48:25 58:21	34:2 37:10	Avenue 2:20	35:6
activate 46:9	Ana 1:16,23 4:1	58:13	a.m 4:3 63:13	biggest 54:12
activating 46:25	analogy 41:11	areas 8:9 30:1		binary 13:6,8,9
47:21	analysis 5:21	36:19 57:18	<u> </u>	13:10 23:22,25
actual 18:11 24:7	21:12 31:18	arguably 50:4	B 5:10,12,13	24:3,14,18
25:5 27:18 32:8	52:18 60:10	55:22	back 4:5 9:16	62:20,23
add 20:2 33:17	analyzed 37:21	article 9:9 51:1,9	10:16 19:19	binders 13:13
45:15 47:8 56:3	analyzing 60:13	articles 9:3,7	26:4,11 28:18	bingo 17:19
added 34:19 60:8	Anderson 49:25	ASIC 55:3,3,7	30:9 35:16 38:4	bit 5:22,23 16:18
addition 60:17	50:1,2,14,18	asked 5:18 7:19	44:11 55:13	17:10,25 20:3
address 29:5 39:6	51:11,17 52:3,9	34:13 54:14	59:23 60:20,22	20:10 28:15
39:7,18 41:21	53:14	56:18	background 5:24	34:16,22 38:11
addressed 38:17	Anderson's 50:9	asking 55:25 56:5	backup 57:16	39:11 42:17
38:24	50:25 52:5	assembly 26:8	back-converting	60:3
addressing 28:1	53:13	assess 5:19	62:24	bits 15:15
29:1,1,2,3	Andrew 4:22	assessment 31:5	back-door 60:18	black 14:8 45:4,5
admonished 63:6	Angeles 2:21	assisting 9:12	badly 12:8	45:5,23
advanced 8:10	angry 7:25	associated 32:10	bank 55:23,25	blowups 31:19
50:22 53:17	animation 17:20	ASSOCIATES	56:1,5,7,10 Barry 0:15	blue 29:21 33:20
adverse 7:23	17:24 19:5 20:4	2:4	Barr 9:15	34:20 35:24
advertising 12:16	20:16 33:9,10	attached 20:11	base 29:5	36:3,21
agency 51:4	33:16,22,25	attachments 61:8	based 14:22 60:10	board 9:8 10:8,14
ago 5:11 9:14	34:6,15,21 35:2	attack 20:6 22:5,7	basic 16:23,24	33:13 50:24
10:5	42:14 55:20,24	37:17,25 38:2,3	17:6 26:21 36:5	boards 10:10,13
agree 50:11	56:4 59:9	40:12,22 43:21	basically 6:6,16	60:16
agreement 50:6	answer 43:24	46:1 47:25 48:3	17:3 30:4 35:23 52:20	body 50:22
Ah 40:14	44:1,1	48:3,6,10,17	basis 30:7 31:9	book 25:16 42:14
ahead 30:1 33:23	anybody 45:12	51:12 52:4 53:6	basis 30:7 31:9 batteries 8:23	50:9,10,11
aid 31:9	57:9	54:20,22 58:3,7	battery 8:21	books 18:13
al 1:5,8 2:3,11	anyway 55:23	58:16,17 59:16	beam 51:25	bootstrap 11:5
alias 40:18,19	apart 12:5,18,21	62:15	bear 53:1	bottom 26:6 29:8
	apartment 41:12	attackers 51:25		29:24 36:21
	•	•	•	

Page 2	
--------	--

				Page 2
41:14 42:5 62:5	40:18 42:20,24	54:23 55:2	aborting 21.6	24.2.2.6.19.22
bounced 17:1	40.18 42.20,24 43:6,14 44:7,9		charting 31:6 check 32:12	24:2,2,6,18,22
	44:10,21 45:2,6	59:13,15,17 60:12,23 61:17	checks 19:15	24:22,24 25:22 25:24 26:6,22
boundary 32:12		,		26:25 27:1,10
box 4:19 14:8	bytes 32:18,21	61:21 62:12	checksum 27:24	,
19:18,18,20	33:7 34:9,23	cards 10:19 11:10	47:6,7,11,13,15	29:7,9,10,20,21
36:12,15 45:4,5	42:15 43:2,11	48:24 49:6	47:16,18,23	29:23,24 30:21
45:5,23	47:8	50:17 51:3,12	checksums 27:22	32:3,23 33:4,5
branch 26:8	B-R-A 26:7	51:19 53:17	chip 7:13 39:8,12	33:21,24 34:11
brand-new 54:25	B-R-U-N-E-L	59:1 60:19	39:14,19,23,24	34:19 35:11,15
break 57:3	6:10	61:14	40:13,16,17	38:9 42:13
breaking 57:2	С	care 46:15	48:7,18 52:9	45:18 46:20,22
brief 16:20,21	California 1:2,16	carefully 25:17	54:4,18 55:5	46:24 47:2
briefly 28:10 30:2	1:23 2:15,21 4:1	carried 51:23	chips 7:14 24:5	57:21,24 61:18
51:13 55:12	call 4:11 11:4	carry 28:18	39:11 40:9	61:18 62:18,19
bring 10:16 12:20	18:13 25:13	CARTER 1:3	57:22	62:19,21 64:6
brings 12:3		case 5:16,20 10:4	chip's 49:18	codes 24:9,10
broad 21:14,18	28:17 32:8	10:22 13:1,24	choice 21:19	25:5 28:23
28:25	41:10 45:12	14:2,19,24	36:18,23 37:1,6	30:19 32:9,10
broken 50:17	called 9:6 10:22	15:13 17:9 20:9	40:17,23 44:6	coding 27:19
51:3	13:6 18:21,22	20:24 21:7	48:6,8,9,13	coincided 12:13
Brunel 6:1,10	20:5,11 23:22	41:23 52:17	choices 21:20	colleague 9:15
budget 51:25	23:23 24:18,22	54:9,18 56:10	37:2,6	college 6:9
buffer 16:8 18:7,7	24:23 25:4,18	63:8	chose 16:4 21:19	color 33:17 34:20
18:9 19:7,7 20:5	26:13,24 27:5,6	cases 36:15 59:3	25:21 27:23	colored 33:18
20:14,15,18,18	29:17 39:13	cash 11:9	44:14	36:2
21:1,1,3 22:6	42:5,7 43:9	cause 46:13 47:5	CHRISTINE 2:5	colorize 34:17
29:16,24 30:5	46:11,16 52:20	causes 28:14	circle 58:1	colorized 33:20
36:22,23 37:16	54:2,12,13 55:3	CC01A0 32:21	circuit 10:8,9,13	35:8
37:25 38:2,8	55:11,15,19	Center 2:14	10:14 55:4	colors 34:18
40:12,16,22,25	57:25 59:7 60:6	CENTRAL 1:2	56:21	36:11
41:1 42:8,9,15	calling 21:9	certain 55:14,15	circuits 6:17,18	column 29:7 32:6
42:21,24 43:2,4	calls 32:23 34:2	Certainly 40:7	claim 21:23 37:11	come 9:21 10:20
43:17 44:4,10	Cambridge 50:3	41:8	37:24	12:21 14:7
46:1 47:25 48:2	Canada 10:6	CERTIFICATE	classification 6:4	26:18 32:18,20
48:17 59:13,16	capable 51:19	64:3	classified 7:6	33:1 42:20
59:18,21 62:14	53:16	certify 64:5	cleaning 57:16	43:21 57:19
bugs 61:20	car 11:6,7,8,12,13	CHAD 2:5	clear 30:17 40:24	comes 20:17
bug-eyed 13:4	12:3,4	chance 8:13 38:7	62:7	42:19,24 43:7
build 39:12 46:15	card 7:10,11,14	chances 6:19	clearer 18:2	56:6
56:12,14	10:21 11:14	change 14:13	clearly 31:3	coming 17:11,18
building 41:12,14	16:6 17:4,4,12	24:17 39:20	client 11:6	19:6 43:16
41:17 57:3 58:9	17:13,14,19	60:6	clients 7:19	commanding
built 25:21 56:21	18:14 20:11	changed 20:9	clock 55:15,15,17	20:23
bunch 23:9	21:4 22:7 25:14	33:19	closer 12:9 31:24	commands 60:10
burglarize 57:4	25:22 26:11	channel 8:12 17:5	closing 16:8	60:13,18
burner 7:20	29:12 36:24	58:25	code 14:9,11	comment 32:12
buy 12:4 56:13	40:22,25 42:5	characteristic	16:15 21:9,10	commented 61:6
buzzwords 18:2	46:1,6,18 47:14	22:5 40:12 48:1	21:15,24 22:10	62:11,17
byte 25:14,17	47:21 52:4,21	characteristics	22:18,19 23:2	comments 32:11
32:12,19 40:17	54:10,16,21,22	37:11	23:12,17,23	62:22
		I		I

				Page 3
commercial 7:16	conformance	converting 23:25	CSR 1:21 64:16	deliver 30:6 38:17
53:2 56:13	64:9	cook 7:24	currently 6:13	39:4
common 11:22	confuse 55:18	copied 57:7	custom 55:5	Deliverable 38:23
38:3 40:10 48:3	confused 20:21	copy 57:6,10,13	customer 55:25	delivered 38:20
communications	connected 15:20	CORP 1:5	56:5	41:13
29:16,23 30:5	connection 10:4	CORPORATI	customers 6:19	demonstrate
40:15 44:10	consequence	2:3	26:18	31:17
community 56:16	31:17	Corps 7:21 9:1	cut 49:9	demonstrated
58:19,22	consider 22:2	correct 13:23	C-O-N-U-S 13:18	58:12
companies 9:13	38:15	15:20,21 30:13		demonstrative
52:1 57:19	considerably	33:23 35:8,15	D	15:1 49:2
company 8:15	22:21 36:8	37:3,19,20 48:5	D 2:5,19 3:1	deploying 53:16
57:25	considered 7:10	48:21,22 64:7	DARIN 2:13	deposition 14:18
compare 23:2,18	34:8	corrected 35:4	dark 36:21	14:21 54:19
compared 21:15	considers 34:25	correctly 28:22	data 36:2 40:2,25	derived 23:10
comparison 23:23	consist 58:23	30:11	Date 64:12,12	describe 51:13
24:20 25:23	consistent 26:13	corroded 7:23	David 1:3 2:6,13	described 37:13
compatible 9:22	34:19	cost 12:6 56:12	2:24 22:20	51:4,11 52:7,12
complete 60:22	constructing	cost-savings	23:11,17 25:22	53:12
60:23	45:25	39:10	26:6 27:13	describes 54:17
Completed 60:9	consultant 53:20	counsel 4:6,7	29:22 30:4 32:3	description 34:23
completely 16:7	consulting 5:10	63:11	34:8,11 44:19	design 5:13 6:17
24:18 25:6 37:2	5:11,12,13	count 56:6	44:19,22 45:10	7:20 8:1 9:6,8
37:6	contain 7:13	countermeasure	45:19 62:18,20	11:1,4 12:10
complicated	contains 7:1,3,13	16:10,16	day 1:8 4:2 11:19	39:12,16,17
45:17 52:11	18:15 61:13	counting 42:1	44:16 53:1,11	40:9 54:25
components	contention 31:2	counts 56:1	53:19 54:11	55:16
17:13	contents 20:25	couple 9:17 17:13	days 9:16	designation 60:12
computer 7:2,2	21:5 25:15	57:23 61:12	DBE8 27:7	61:17
20:12,20 21:2,5	27:12,13 45:13	62:3	dealt 54:20	designed 6:21 8:3
23:21 24:5,16	48:21,23 49:11	course 45:22	Debbie 1:21 64:15	8:14 9:1,22
28:4 32:9 38:3	49:13,18,20	56:23	debit 11:9,9,12,14	10:13 39:6,12
46:13 50:2,5	52:6,13 53:8	court 1:1,21,22	December 21:8	46:19 55:14
57:16	54:2,10 55:9	4:5,12,17,23,25	58:20	56:21 57:20
computers 13:8	56:11,22 58:19	5:2 6:8,11 7:5	decide 47:16	designing 6:16
35:22 48:4	58:22 60:24	13:15,17,19	decided 25:23	57:16
58:15	contingencies	19:2 28:7 31:14	Decrypted 17:19	desk 28:16
computing 50:23	46:15	31:21,24 41:8	decryption 18:15	desks 10:16
concept 26:14,16	continue 56:4	63:4,11 64:15	19:12,13	detail 17:10,14
26:17,21,24	continues 56:8	courtesy 4:7	deduce 48:20	23:8 25:2 27:19
concerning 63:8	contracted 52:20	covered 59:2	deep 8:13	detailed 34:10
concluded 15:19	control 7:3,20 8:2	CPU 18:11,18	DEFENDANT	determine 21:10
conclusion 9:21	8:25 18:4,4,23	19:8 20:20,23	2:11	developed 37:7
37:7	18:23 40:20	46:8 55:6	Defendants 1:9	47:25
conference 12:12	60:22,23	credentials 19:15	4:11	development
12:12,14,15,17	controller 7:23	credit 11:9	DEFENSE 4:15	30:18
12:20 64:10	Conus 13:13,17	cropping 15:15	degree 5:25 6:2,3	device 51:3
conferences 12:16	13:19	CROSS 3:4	6:6 28:3	devices 9:19,20
confirm 14:17	convert 23:24	cross-coupling	deliberately	9:22,25 10:2,11
confirmed 14:16	converted 24:21	37:8	47:10	10:12
Commune 17.10	Converted 27.21	57.0		10.12

				Page 4
devoted 25:24	15:17	E 3:1	encryption 11:1,3	27:13 33:12
diagrams 56:21	DNASP-II 13:22	early 52:19	11:11 17:15,16	39:22 45:18
dictating 36:24	DOC 1:7	easel 31:13	encryption-rela	52:5
diesel 7:20	document 15:5	easier 23:18 30:6	18:20	examination 5:3,6
differ 25:6,9	54:13 60:6	easiest 40:2	ends 26:5	examine 13:11
difference 22:17	documentation	easy 27:21 29:11	engage 48:10	examining 9:18
25:25 26:2	47:3	39:24	engaging 48:6	54:9
28:25 30:3	documented	EBERHART	engineer 10:17	example 7:2,16
differences 22:9	26:23	2:13	engineering 5:25	12:9 51:23
22:16 27:15	documents 13:24	EchoStar 1:5,25	11:20,22 12:23	examples 12:1,2
30:12,15,16	13:25 14:5,7,13	2:3 10:4,6,11,19	28:3 50:3,9	exception 37:18
different 6:3 10:2	14:16,23 52:17	16:18 54:15	53:10	46:3,11,12,16
13:12,15,16	doing 8:12 10:7	57:25 60:19	engineers 41:10	46:19,23,23
24:6,19 25:6,19	11:18 12:8	61:5,14	England 6:3,13	40.19,23,23 47:4,17,22
25:20 27:24	18:12 21:4	editor 9:9	50:4	47.4,17,22 48:12,16,20
28:6,23 30:17	28:14,15,19	editorial 9:8	enjoy 58:6	exceptions 46:17
-	38:19 46:10	educational 5:24		excerpt 30:25
30:24 33:18,19	47:18 56:24		entering 57:2	32:2 33:13 59:5
36:3,8 37:2,6 45:9 59:2		EEPROM 18:14	envelope 55:16 environment	
	57:11 61:23	18:14 19:11		60:4,14
differently 21:19	dollars 56:14	20:25,25 21:4	60:15	excerpts 15:16
37:8 40:9	door 10:16 57:10	25:16 32:12	environments	excuse 12:15
difficult 28:21	60:21,22	59:10 62:13	7:24	executes 32:9
45:17 49:15,19	doors 4:13	effective 16:8	equal 47:9	exist 39:1
54:21,21	dormant 46:7	eight 14:20	equipment 7:5	existing 7:23
difficulty 38:19	double 4:13	either 31:24 41:20	8:8,21 51:19,21	expect 52:16
direct 3:4 5:3,6	Dov 2:24	59:21	53:19,22	expensive 49:20
29:1,2	Dover 15:14	electrical 28:3	equipped 51:14	experience 5:23
DirecTV 9:15,19	dozen 9:7	electromagnetic	equivalent 6:7	9:12 10:17,18
9:23 10:6,10	dozens 7:14	58:9	23:12 40:3	expert 5:16 9:13
52:19 55:2	Dr 22:1,4,8 30:23	electron 49:17	Ereiser 53:21	28:8 31:1,25
disagree 30:23	31:4,7,22,23	electronic 6:17	EROM 60:15,17	expertise 6:23
disassembly	32:14,22 33:14	16:10,16	EROM288-02	explain 15:19
24:23 61:6	33:21 34:1,16	electronics 5:14	60:10	17:25 23:1
62:12,17,23	34:22 37:15	8:3 55:19	error 31:4,17,18	27:21 38:10
discovered 62:4	38:2 41:24	electrons 58:7	34:22 47:10,11	39:11 41:24
Discovery 8:11	43:20 50:6,8,10	elegant 26:12	errors 31:10 35:9	46:4 60:20
discuss 51:20	50:23	Embarcadero	essentially 57:15	explained 47:7
56:18,20 63:6	dramatic 10:15	2:14	61:5,19,21	explanation 6:25
discussed 27:18	33:24	embedded 5:14	et 1:5,8 2:3,11	explanations
48:19	dramatically	6:22,24,25 7:1,4	eventually 47:16	62:22
discussing 62:2	54:23 55:7	7:7,8,10,12,13	56:10 61:24	exploit 40:23
dish 16:25 17:2	draw 12:20 58:8	7:15,17 9:3,5,6	evidence 14:22	47:22
dispensed 35:6	drawings 41:7	12:10 28:12,20	23:15 48:23	exploited 48:1
displayed 15:5	dude 19:11	emerges 61:25	49:21 54:8	express 63:7
District 1:1,2,22	dump 60:10 61:5	emit 58:8	57:24 58:18,21	ExpressVu 10:3,7
divers 8:12	dumps 61:14	employed 5:9	58:23 59:24	10:10
DiviCom 57:25	D.C 58:13	6:15	60:24	extend 8:23
diving 8:7,10 9:2	D12V2 1:25	employees 57:22	evidently 24:13	extensive 21:12
DNA 21:23 37:13		encrypted 11:4	exact 22:25	49:8
DNASP 14:9	E	17:1,11,18	exactly 24:24	extract 49:18 52:6
1	I	I	I	1

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $:11 2,25
59:17,19 62:6,9,10 founded 5:11 give 6:25 7:16 grasp 28:21 extracted 49:7 files 13:5,6,8,9,10 Fountainview 2:7 four 9:14 21:22 19:12,24 29:2,4 grasp 28:21 52:13 53:8 filling 18:9 19:8 22:2,3,4,7 27:17 41:10 group 1:8 2: extraction 49:13 20:18 37:11,13,16,21 give 6:25 7:16 grasp 28:21 49:14 final 16:5 38:16 48:2 55:1 44:11 guard 18:22 extracts 19:21 finally 57:23 fourth 46:3 giving 59:5 19:14 e-mail 61:4,8 find 31:4 fraction 47:15 glitcher 56:3,6,8 guess 19:22 finishing 26:12 finishing 26:12 francisco 2:15 glitcher 56:13 guy 18:21 19 fict 7:11 8:10 firm s:11 52:20 frequently 54:14 56:16 59:22 H fiat 17:24 10:23 12:3 14:8 foul 4:20 53:2 30:9,9 32:3 33:2 61:25 fail 7:24 15:6 19:14 21:7 59:25 60:18 30:9,9 32:3 33:2 hacked 15:2 fair 24:19 23:11 25:10 fun 10:17 33:23 35:2,16 61:25 hacker 20:15 fairly 46:10 49:19 27:17 33:10 <	:11 2,25
extracted 49:7 extracting 49:20files 13:5,6,8,9,10 13:12 14:8,23Fountainview 2:7 four 9:14 21:2212:1,2 16:20 19:12,24 29:2,4gray 24:9 great 26:19 group 1:8 2:52:13 53:8 	2,25
extracting 49:2013:12 14:8,23four 9:14 21:2219:12,24 29:2,4great 26:1952:13 53:8filling 18:9 19:822:2,3,4,7 27:1741:10group 1:8 2:extraction 49:1320:1837:11,13,16,21given 42:16,2560:1749:14final 16:538:16 48:2 55:144:11guard 18:22e-mail 61:4,8find 31:4fraction 47:15gitcher 56:3,6,8guess 19:22e-mails 13:13,19fine 31:25fragments 58:2556:12guy 18:21 1913:21finishing 26:12frankly 13:4 28:2gitchers 56:13guy 18:21 19fact 7:11 8:10firm 5:11 52:20frequently 54:1456:16 59:2219:1728:22 33:26:17 8:356:18go 6:18 11:7,11,12guys 57:15 644:13 50:23first 4:23 8:4front 41:6 50:1611:13 18:654:15 55:659:2010:23 12:3 14:8full 4:20 53:230:9,9 32:3 33:261:25fail 7:2415:6 19:14 21:759:25 60:1830:9,9 32:3 33:2hacked 15:2fair 24:1927:17 33:10function 36:536:7 40:4 41:654:12	2,25
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2,25
extraction 49:13 49:1420:18 final 16:537:11,13,16,21 38:16 48:2 55:1given 42:16,25 44:1160:17 guard 18:22 19:14e-mail 61:4,8 e-mails 13:13,19find 31:4 fine 31:25fraction 47:15 fragments 58:25giving 59:5 glitcher 56:3,6,8 56:1219:14 guard 18:22 19:14mails 13:13,19 13:21fine 31:25 finished 35:3fragments 58:25 59:1,4,7,8,1156:12 glitchers 56:13 glitchers 56:13 glitchers 56:13 glitchers 56:21 glitchers 56:21 glitchers 56:21 glitcher 56:3,6,8Guggenheir guy 18:21 19 19:17	2,25
49:14 extracts 19:21 e-mail 61:4,8 e-mails 13:13,19 13:21final 16:5 finally 57:23 find 31:4 fine 31:25 finished 35:3 60:1338:16 48:2 55:1 fourth 46:3 fraction 47:15 fragments 58:25 59:1,4,7,8,11 Francisco 2:15 frakly 13:4 28:2 glitchers 56:13 glitchers 56:21 glitchers 56:21 glitcher 56:3,6,8 glitchers 56:13 glitchers 56:21 glitchers 56:21 glitcher 56:3,6,8 glitchers 56:21 glitchers 56:21 glitcher 56:3,6,8 glitchers 56:21 glitcher 56:3,6,8 glitcher 56:3,6,8 glitcher 56:3,6,8 glitcher 56:3,6,8 glitcher 56:13 glitcher 56:13 glits 220 free 53:22 free 53:22 front 41:6 50:16 11:13 18:6 54:15 55:6 61:25guard 18:22 19:14 guys 57:15 644:13 50:23 fail 7:24 fair 24:19 fair 24:19 fair 24:19 fair 24:19 27:17 33:10find 11:17 function 36:530:9,9 32:3 33:2 36:7 40:4 41:6hacker 20:14 54:12	
extracts 19:21 e-mail 61:4,8 find 31:4,13:13,19finally 57:23 find 31:4 fine 31:25 finished 35:3fourth 46:3 fraction 47:15 fragments 58:25giving 59:5 glitcher 56:3,6,819:14 guess 19:22 Guggenhein glitchers 56:13 glitchers 56:13 glitchers 56:13 glitchers 56:13 glitchers 56:13 glitchers 56:2119:14 guess 19:22 Guggenhein guy 18:21 19 19:17Ffinishing 26:12 firm 5:11 52:20 fact 7:11 8:10 28:22 33:2 44:13 50:23 first 4:23 8:4 fair 24:19 fair 24:19finally 57:23 first 4:23 8:4 10:23 12:3 14:8 15:6 19:14 21:7 23:11 25:10fourth 46:3 fragments 58:25 59:26 60:18 fourth 41:6 50:16 full 4:20 53:2giving 59:5 glitcher 56:3,6,8 56:1219:14 guess 19:22 Guggenhein guys 57:15 6Fair 24:19 fairly 46:10 49:1927:17 33:10fourth 46:3 function 36:5giving 59:5 glitcher 56:3,6,8 filt 4:20 56:1219:14 guess 19:22 glitcher 56:21 glitcher 56:22 glitcher 56:22 glitcher 59:22 glitcher 56:22 glitcher 59:22 glitcher 59:2219:14 glitcher 56:21 glitcher 56:21 glitcher 59:22 glitcher 59:22 glitcher 59:22 glitcher 59:22 glitcher 59:22	
e-mail 61:4,8 e-mails 13:13,19 13:21find 31:4 fine 31:25 finished 35:3 60:13 finishing 26:12 firm 5:11 52:20 fact 7:11 8:10 28:22 33:2 44:13 50:23 fail 7:24 fair 24:19 fair 24:19 fair 24:19find 31:4 fine 31:25 finished 35:3 60:13 firmshing 26:12 firm 5:11 52:20 firm 41:6 50:16 firm 41:6 50:16 full 4:20 53:2fraction 47:15 fragments 58:25 59:1,4,7,8,11 francisco 2:15 glitcher 56:3,6,8 59:1,4,7,8,11 glitcher 56:13 glitcher 56:13 glitcher 56:13 glitcher 56:13 glitcher 56:13 glitcher 56:13 glitcher 56:13 glitcher 56:13 glitcher 56:21 glitcher 56:22 glitcher 56:22 glitcher 56:21 glitcher 56:21 glitcher 56:21 glitcher 56:22 glitcher 56:22 glitcher 56:22 glitcher 56:22 glitcher 56:22 glitcher 56:22 glitcher 56:22 glitcher 56:22 glitcher 56:22 glitcher 56:21 glitcher 56:21 glitcher 56:21 glitcher 56:21 glitcher 56:21 glitcher 56:22 glitcher 56:23 glitcher 56:22 glitcher	n 61:4
e-mails 13:13,19 13:21fine 31:25 finished 35:3 60:13fragments 58:25 59:1,4,7,8,1156:12 glitchers 56:13 glitches 56:21 glitching 49:13Guggenheir guy 18:21 19 19:17FFfinishing 26:12 firm 5:11 52:20 fact 7:11 8:10 28:22 33:2 44:13 50:23 59:20fine 31:25 firm 5:11 52:20 first 4:23 8:4 10:23 12:3 14:8 fail 7:24 fair 24:19 fair 24:19fine 31:25 fine 31:25 fine 35:3Guggenheir guy 18:21 19 frequently 54:14 56:16Ffine 31:25 finishing 26:12 firm 5:11 52:20 firm 5:11 52:20 firm 5:11 52:20 first 4:23 8:4 first 4:23	n 61:4
13:21 finished 35:3 59:1,4,7,8,11 glitchers 56:13 guy 18:21 19 F 60:13 Francisco 2:15 glitchers 56:13 guy 18:21 19 F 23:13 31:20 firm 5:11 52:20 free 53:22 free 53:22 glitchers 56:13 guy 18:21 19 fact 7:11 8:10 firm 5:11 52:20 free 53:22 free 53:22 55:11,12,13,19 guy 57:15 6 28:22 33:2 6:17 8:3 fornt 41:6 50:16 56:16 59:22 go 6:18 11:7,11,12 hack 52:21 5 44:13 50:23 first 4:23 8:4 front 41:6 50:16 11:13 18:6 54:15 55:6 59:20 10:23 12:3 14:8 full 4:20 53:2 23:15 26:9 29:6 61:25 fail 7:24 15:6 19:14 21:7 59:25 60:18 30:9,9 32:3 33:2 hacked 15:2 fair 24:19 23:11 25:10 fun 10:17 33:23 35:2,16 hacker 20:14 fairly 46:10 49:19 27:17 33:10 function 36:5 36:7 40:4 41:6 54:12	
F60:13 finishing 26:12 firm 5:11 52:20 fact 7:11 8:10 28:22 33:2 44:13 50:23 59:20Francisco 2:15 frankly 13:4 28:2 firm 5:11 52:20 firet 4:23 8:4 first 4:23 8:4Francisco 2:15 free 53:22 free 53:22 free 53:22 free 53:22 free 53:22 free 53:22 free 53:22 go 6:18 11:7,11,12 11:13 18:6 23:15 26:9 29:6I 9:17 guys 57:15 6 figure 55:10 hack 52:21 3 free 53:22 free 53:22 go 6:18 11:7,11,12 11:13 18:6 23:15 26:9 29:6 61:25fail 7:24 fair 24:19 fair 27:17 33:10Francisco 2:15 frankly 13:4 28:2 free 53:22 free 53:23 free 53:23 free 53:23 free 53:23 <td></td>	
Ffinishing 26:12frankly 13:4 28:2glitching 49:13guys 57:15 6F 23:13 31:20firm 5:11 52:20free 53:2255:11,12,13,19Hfact 7:11 8:10firmware 5:15frequently 54:1456:16 59:22H28:22 33:26:17 8:356:18go 6:18 11:7,11,12hack 52:21 544:13 50:23first 4:23 8:4front 41:6 50:1611:13 18:654:15 55:659:2010:23 12:3 14:8full 4:20 53:223:15 26:9 29:661:25fail 7:2415:6 19:14 21:759:25 60:1830:9,9 32:3 33:2hacked 15:2fair 24:1923:11 25:10fun 10:1733:23 35:2,16hacker 20:14fairly 46:10 49:1927:17 33:10function 36:536:7 40:4 41:654:12	.1,10
F 23:13 31:20 firm 5:11 52:20 free 53:22 55:11,12,13,19 H fact 7:11 8:10 firmware 5:15 frequently 54:14 56:16 59:22 H 28:22 33:2 6:17 8:3 fornt 41:6 50:16 11:13 18:6 54:15 55:6 44:13 50:23 first 4:23 8:4 front 41:6 50:16 11:13 18:6 54:15 55:6 59:20 10:23 12:3 14:8 full 4:20 53:2 23:15 26:9 29:6 61:25 fail 7:24 15:6 19:14 21:7 59:25 60:18 30:9,9 32:3 33:2 hacked 15:2 fair 24:19 23:11 25:10 fun 10:17 33:23 35:2,16 hacker 20:14 fairly 46:10 49:19 27:17 33:10 function 36:5 36:7 40:4 41:6 54:12	50.15
fact 7:11 8:10 firmware 5:15 frequently 54:14 56:16 59:22 28:22 33:2 6:17 8:3 56:18 go 6:18 11:7,11,12 44:13 50:23 first 4:23 8:4 front 41:6 50:16 11:13 18:6 54:15 55:6 59:20 10:23 12:3 14:8 full 4:20 53:2 23:15 26:9 29:6 61:25 fail 7:24 15:6 19:14 21:7 59:25 60:18 30:9,9 32:3 33:2 hacked 15:2 fair 24:19 23:11 25:10 fun 10:17 33:23 35:2,16 hacker 20:14 fairly 46:10 49:19 27:17 33:10 function 36:5 36:7 40:4 41:6 54:12	
28:22 33:2 6:17 8:3 56:18 go 6:18 11:7,11,12 hack 52:21 4 44:13 50:23 first 4:23 8:4 front 41:6 50:16 11:13 18:6 54:15 55:6 59:20 10:23 12:3 14:8 full 4:20 53:2 23:15 26:9 29:6 61:25 fail 7:24 15:6 19:14 21:7 59:25 60:18 30:9,9 32:3 33:2 hacked 15:2 fair 24:19 23:11 25:10 fun 10:17 33:23 35:2,16 hacker 20:14 fairly 46:10 49:19 27:17 33:10 function 36:5 36:7 40:4 41:6 54:12	
44:13 50:23 59:20first 4:23 8:4 10:23 12:3 14:8 15:6 19:14 21:7front 41:6 50:16 	53:15
59:2010:23 12:3 14:8full 4:20 53:223:15 26:9 29:661:25fail 7:2415:6 19:14 21:759:25 60:1830:9,9 32:3 33:2hacked 15:2fair 24:1923:11 25:10fun 10:1733:23 35:2,16hacker 20:14fairly 46:10 49:1927:17 33:10function 36:536:7 40:4 41:654:12	
fail 7:2415:6 19:14 21:759:25 60:1830:9,9 32:3 33:2hacked 15:2fair 24:1923:11 25:10fun 10:1733:23 35:2,16hacker 20:14fairly 46:10 49:1927:17 33:10function 36:536:7 40:4 41:654:12	·
fair 24:1923:11 25:10fun 10:1733:23 35:2,16hacker 20:14fairly 46:10 49:1927:17 33:10function 36:536:7 40:4 41:654:12	5
fairly 46:10 49:19 27:17 33:10 function 36:5 36:7 40:4 41:6 54:12	
familiar 6:22 34:17 35:17,19 functions 18:20 44:9,15 45:1,14 hacker's 20:	:12
families 10:20 37:24 40:7,7 fundamental 55:23 57:4 21:2,5	
11:17 41:11 42:15,19 25:25 59:23 61:23 hacking 62:	8
family 10:21,25 42:20,21 47:6 Fundamentally 62:6 HAGAN 2:5	
39:16 54:24 57:2 39:10 goes 6:17 17:3 Haifa 15:6 2	
famous 41:20 58:12 61:13 funny 18:21 19:6,8,10,11,21 21:18 22:6	
42:9 59:23 first-class 5:25 further 24:11 20:20 21:1 23:10 27:2	· ·
fancy 26:22 6:2,6 63:14 24:11 49:9 51:2 38:1 43:21	
FAQ 15:14,14 fits 42:11 furthermore 27:5 going 8:2 10:7 49:22 52:8	
54:13 60:4 five 9:14 57:7 12:17,17,21 53:12	
far 43:1 46:5 47:3 fixed 61:20	.7
61:25 focus 21:7 G 15:16 16:1,10 hand 4:14	
fascinating 50:15 focused 51:24 gain 60:18 10:24 19:16 handed 56:8	3
fast 55:18 folks 16:19 43:17 Gale 1:21 64:15 35:8 38:17 handle 28:17	
faster 30:6 force 46:23 55:15 general 12:4,4 41:10 42:10,14 46:16	
feature 18:24 forcing 46:11 18:17 19:10 43:4 55:22 60:3 handler 37:	19
28:20 foregoing 64:6 49:12 63:2 46:16,19,2	
featured 8:16 foremost 50:4 generally 51:5 gonna 17:25 18:5 47:17,22	
features 48:16 forensic 5:21 generating 47:4 18:6,644:20 handles 28:2	2
federal 1:21 58:14 form 7:12 38:3 generation 54:24 good 5:8 8:13 handling 28	
felt 30:13 48:3 58:17 63:7 generically 10:22 26:21 38:7 43:1 46:3 48:12	
FIB 49:17 51:25 format 23:24 24:3 gentlemen 9:17 51:8 57:6,10,23 48:20	
52:4,6,25 53:3 24:24,25 64:9 25:3 28:3 32:1 60:15,16,16 hands 19:1	
53:15,18 54:1 formatted 62:21 36:2 39:5 45:25 63:3,4 Hang 19:14	47:14
57:11 formed 15:22 49:4,24 52:2 government 51:4 happen 42:2	
field 5:14 6:23 8:6 16:1 58:6 63:5 51:7 58:14 43:23 46:1	
9:10 forward 30:10 germane 15:16 GPA 6:7 47:5 53:2	3
fields 8:19 found 21:14,18 getting 20:25 grad 53:3,22 happened 39	3
fifth 27:21 31:17 50:8 47:16 49:12 grad 35:5,22 happening 1	
	9:22

				Page 6
20:24	6:6,6	included 55:3	46:18 50:25	jury's 4:5
happens 20:13	hope 11:15 38:13	includes 33:5	51:13,22 52:24	J-O-N-E-S 5:1
28:14 38:21,24	hopefully 18:1	including 60:18	58:17	
40:20 43:6,9,12	28:18 58:5	62:12	international	K
44:1,5,8 47:14	hours 13:2,2 40:5	incredibly 29:18	36:17	keep 43:11
52:12	houses 38:16	increment 42:23	Internet 15:8,11	KENNETH 2:19
harder 54:23 55:7	Houston 2:8	independent	21:6 23:7	key 15:2 17:15,16
hardware 36:24	How's 51:22	30:18	interrupt 28:10	19:12,13,17,21
HARTSON 2:18	huge 58:21	independently	28:11,12,17,23	50:17
HBO 18:5 19:6,12	human 62:25	30:18 37:8	interrupts 28:2	keypad 11:12
Headend 15:20	hundred 13:9	47:25	28:20	keys 17:18 18:15
21:11,15,23	43:2 51:16	index 37:18 41:3	intervening 22:23	key's 19:19
22:10,11 23:2	56:14	41:4,20,21 42:6	invalid 27:22,24	kind 4:18 27:21
23:13 27:9,11	hundreds 7:9,14	42:10,16,19,23	47:6,11,18,22	41:24 60:5
30:20 31:20	9:18 10:24	42:25 43:4,12	invasive 49:15,16	kitchens 7:21
32:3 37:12	11:18,18 13:2	43:14,18,19,20	53:6,9 54:20	KLEIN 2:19
header 36:16	15:14 51:18	44:6,7,8,11,14	59:21	knew 10:12,14
heard 16:9,19	53:16	44:18,21,24	involve 51:24	16:2
18:7 20:5 22:1	hungry 7:25	45:5,8,11,13,15	involved 12:11	know 11:7 20:22
27:21 29:10		46:2 48:9,15,19	ion 51:24	23:20 24:4,17
36:22 38:1 41:4	I	indexed 29:1,3	IRC 58:25	34:18 35:21,21
45:4 49:16	idea 26:19 43:23	indicative 37:25	ISO7816 36:16	38:22 39:1,3
hearing 9:16 18:3	ideas 26:22	industry 11:6,7	issue 10:21 17:9	44:20 45:11
54:11 60:3	identical 36:4	12:24 40:6	54:18	49:6 54:15
heavily 18:24	identified 21:22	53:11	issued 8:18,20,24	57:11 60:11
28:20	22:2,4,8 34:1	inevitable 15:24	15:12 61:20	63:3
held 63:13 64:8	II 1:8 4:2	infamous 59:23	item 38:10	knowledge 9:10
help 8:22 38:13	III 63:15	infinite 26:9,10	I/O 18:7 19:7,7	37:17,18
helped 14:17	illustrate 24:11	44:16	20:17 21:1 42:8	
hexadecimal 23:9	illustrates 20:4	influence 31:5	42:15,21,24	L
41:25	24:13	information 5:20	43:2,4	L 2:18
Hey 60:12	image 24:18	15:8,10,14,15		lab 57:11
hiccup 55:19	62:20,24	15:18 16:16	J	labels 33:14
high 32:12 54:4	images 59:25	21:11 22:10,12	Jane 63:14	laboratories
55:17	Imagine 41:12	23:3 48:25 53:6	job 9:19 39:15	51:15
highlighted 25:11	immediately 24:5	60:7,16 61:15	46:16	labs 51:18 53:16
25:13 26:5 30:1	45:6	62:4	joint 10:6	ladies 25:3 28:2
highlights 12:17	implementing	inherently 52:13	Jones 3:5 4:11,15	32:1 36:1 39:5
highly 8:10 28:24	45:17	inside 12:6	4:22 5:8,9 31:16	45:25 49:4,24
historical 49:5	implication 40:21	install 39:21	36:11	52:2 58:5 63:5
hit 58:7	40:21	instance 36:16	judge 1:3 43:25	Lane 38:16,18,19
HOGAN 2:18	importance 33:8	instruction 42:14	Judicial 64:10	38:25 39:3,4
hold 8:17	important 29:14	instructions	July 60:9	language 26:8
holds 12:11	32:25 34:4,5,8	18:18 19:10	jump 27:5	large 5:19 47:15
home 12:9	34:25	23:6	jumped 27:2	59:11
Honor 4:10 5:5	impossible 46:2	integrated 55:4	jumping 27:4	Lastly 18:21
31:12 41:5 63:2	improve 22:11	interest 50:12	jury 1:15 4:4,20	late 52:19
HONORABLE	improvement	interesting 8:9	16:19 19:24	latest 8:15 12:18
1:3	22:23	22:1 24:20 27:1	21:14 23:1 25:8	Law 2:7,14,19
honors 5:25 6:2,5	include 11:4 59:7	27:23 40:14	28:7 32:4 41:6	lawyers 10:15
	I		l	

				Page
leaks 57:18	25:17 26:5	March 14:3	42:4 46:9 55:14	54:10,22 55:1
learn 58:5	30:16 32:4,6,24	Marine 7:21,25	55:16	57:20 59:1
learned 9:25	35:22 42:1	7:25 9:1	microprocessors	62:12
LED 12:18	52:17 56:6 61:7	Marines 7:22 8:5	6:18 10:18,20	name 4:20,23,25
left 4:19 23:6,12	looked 10:12 13:5	mark 38:23 39:2	39:16	39:14 46:12
23:16,21	21:17 25:4	Maryland 6:14	microscope 49:17	NDS 1:8 2:11 4:8
letter 38:17,20,21	32:15	MasterCard	Microsoft 38:6	5:4,16
38:24 40:3	looking 9:25	11:10	middle 18:11,17	neat 8:12,22
letters 58:8	29:22	match 24:9,12,14	military 8:21,23	necessary 48:16
let's 16:18 23:11	loop 26:9,9,10	32:18	mind 14:7 53:1	need 24:17 28:3
37:24 42:18	44:16	matrix 18:23,23	missed 33:7	31:24 39:19
49:14 56:3	Los 2:21	matter 36:24	mistakes 34:12	44:6 60:11
59:23	lot 11:3 14:16	40:17 63:6 64:8	mix 56:3	needed 10:11,13
level 24:14	15:13 18:2 20:5	mean 20:21 27:3	mobile 7:21	54:15
life 6:16	40:6,8 41:4	45:21	moderately 49:19	Net 61:15
light 14:6 29:21	57:18 62:6	meaningful 62:25	modified 44:24	nevertheless
33:20 34:19	lots 41:12 62:7	means 9:8 13:10	45:5,8,9	24:20
35:24	low 34:4 51:25	26:7 27:4 28:10	modify 44:20	new 12:3,6 14:13
line 5:12 25:11,13	55:17	43:13 49:20	45:11	newer 54:24
25:22,25 32:24	lunch 63:12,13	meant 39:3 59:20	modifying 20:24	nice 50:10 63:11
lines 25:24		measure 39:10	48:15	nicely 62:21
list 49:5,8 57:14	M	Mechanics 8:16	moment 31:21	Nicolas 38:2 61:3
58:25	M 2:5	members 10:24	money 56:1,8,10	Nigel 3:5 4:11,15
literally 23:7 54:3	machine 11:8	memory 18:22,23	monochrome	4:22
54:14 55:18	MACM 59:16	34:4,8,25 36:22	35:7	Nipper 15:7,12
literature 12:16	magazine 9:6,7	37:17 38:10,11	month 8:16 64:12	16:3,7 21:9,10
49:22	12:10,11	38:12,14,15,18	months 16:7	21:15,19,24
little 5:22,23 7:3	mail 41:13	38:19,25 39:3,4	Mordinson 22:18	22:10,16,18,22
16:18 17:10,25	mailbox 40:4	39:5,8,13,13,15	24:2,6,23 25:18	23:2,5,12,16
18:2,9,21 20:9	41:15	39:17,18,19,21	25:23 27:13	24:2,23 25:19
38:6,11 39:11	mailboxes 41:13	39:22,25 40:6	29:14,15,21	25:21,24 26:25
42:1 59:15	41:16	40:11,25 41:10	30:4,8 34:8,12	27:1,23 29:15
live 6:13,14 54:8	mailman 38:17,18	41:19 42:4,6	44:19,20,22	29:16,20,24
living 7:9 58:13	38:22,25	43:13 48:7,15	45:10,19	30:8,21 34:14
load 32:12	main 12:12	52:9,10,13,14	Mordinson's	34:24 35:15
loaders 11:5	major 14:7 16:5	mentioned 9:1	22:20 23:12,17	37:12 44:23,23
located 29:14,15	making 10:9 37:5	12:10 48:20	26:6 29:22 32:3	45:21 62:19,19
29:16	man 18:11	53:21	34:11 62:18,21	Nipper's 24:22
location 27:2 29:3	manage 39:15	message 18:5,6	morning 5:8	NOLL 2:6
29:4 34:9 42:7,8	managed 60:18	19:8 20:14,17	Moskowitz 2:24	noninvasive 55:9
42:22	management	20:19 42:12,13	Motors 12:4,5	normal 17:20
lock 19:18,18,20	39:13,14,17,21	42:18 47:12	mousetrap 8:2	59:9
log 60:6	57:18,19	messages 17:11	mouthful 42:17	normally 28:13
logical 37:7	mandated 36:16	17:12,17	move 29:6 35:23	notation 27:7
London 6:1	manipulate 28:10	method 30:8,8	MYERS 2:12	notice 32:22
long 19:24 43:2	manufacturers	31:5 52:7,10		noticed 36:20
44:16	39:8	55:10 57:2	N	no-choice 36:19
longer 20:10	manufacturing	microprocessor	N 3:1	NSA 51:7
look 12:6,6,7 13:8	10:8	7:4,6 10:23	NagraVision	number 13:25
17:24 23:11,16	map 41:10	11:17 18:12	15:24 16:2,6	53:10,12 59:11
1,.2,23.11,10	•	11,17,10,12	Í	

				Page d
numbers 23:9	55:5 56:11 57:8	20:18	41:6	plug 26:11
32:7,7 41:25,25	57:12,14 58:16	overwrite 44:6	person 12:4 17:4	plus 9:17
42:2 62:24	59:6,18 60:23	overwrites 44:7	17:14 27:10	pneumonics 32:8
nuts 58:14	61:1,7	overwrote 44:8	38:16 57:7 62:4	point 24:11 27:25
N-I-G-E-L 4:24	old 55:1	45:2	personnel 57:17	30:14,23 31:2
П-I-O-E-E Т .2т	OLEV 12:18	o'clock 63:6	perspective 49:5	41:3 43:13,22
0	once 37:1 52:16	O'MELVENY	persuade 46:8	43:24 44:24,25
$\overline{0}_{1:3}$	60:21	2:12	phone 28:16,16	45:24 46:3 50:6
obscure 50:22	one's 34:15	2.12	28:17,18	53:21
obtain 49:11 55:9	oOo 63:16 64:1	Р	photomicrogra	pointer 28:1 29:6
59:13	op 32:8,10	padding 34:3,9,24	54:17	46:20,22
obtained 48:24	operate 55:14	page 31:19 50:16	physical 49:13,14	points 28:1
62:14	operation 17:21	64:9	pick 58:10	police 10:15
obviously 23:23	25:5 59:10	paid 19:11	picks 19:8 20:20	Popular 8:16
47:19 54:25	opinion 15:6,23	pal 19:14	picture 16:23	portion 20:3
occur 39:9	15:23 16:5	paper 9:11 49:25	35:6 42:4 46:21	position 20:5
occurring 52:14	21:25 22:13	50:14,15,16,20	54:4	possible 26:23
occurs 40:16	30:7 47:21	50:21 51:11	pictures 35:22	52:25 56:22
official 1:21 60:11	53:20 63:7	52:5,7 53:13	54:5,19	posting 21:8 23:5
61:16	opinions 14:14,17	parameter 27:6,8	piece 7:5 39:14	postings 15:7,12
offset 29:4 42:15	14:24 15:2,22	part 9:18 27:4	pieces 15:15	16:3,7 21:6
42:21,25,25	16:1 31:9	33:10	pillar 37:24	potential 57:13
44:11	opportunity	particular 9:10	pillars 22:2,4	potentially 40:1
Oh 11:18,21,23	14:10 15:19	17:5 39:24	37:13,21 48:2	58:2
12:2 35:2 51:2	61:7	40:13 48:18	piracy 9:13,19,23	practice 11:22,24
61:19	opposite 14:15	particularly 8:10	10:1,4,11	12:23 21:4
okay 7:3 10:20	22:25	24:19	pirate 56:16	42:18
13:7 16:18,23	optical 53:24 54:2	pass 27:6	58:19	premier 50:22
17:6,20,23 18:5	54:9	passed 27:8	pirates 48:24 49:7	premiere 9:6
18:8,10 19:1,2,9	order 11:11	passwords 18:15	49:11 51:24	prepare 14:1
19:23 20:2,3,4	Organic 12:18	patch 16:6,11,15	52:3 53:14	prepared 15:1
20:17,20 21:3,4	original 14:1,6	patched 38:8	59:20	presence 4:4
23:5,9,11,16	57:7	patent 8:18,20,24	place 10:9 36:12	present 2:23 4:6,6
24:4,6,15 25:17	originated 22:5	Patently 44:16	places 24:12	presented 50:20
25:22 26:2,9	outside 55:16	patents 8:17,25	PLAINTIFF 2:3	50:21
27:6,12 28:17	58:9	9:2	plaintiffs 1:6	presently 5:9
28:20 30:9,10	overflow 16:8	pay 11:8,13 53:4	21:22	president 5:10
31:12 32:1,5,8	20:5 21:3 22:7	pay-per-view	plaintiff's 37:11	PRESIDING 1:3
33:13,15,17,19	34:2,2,20,23	18:16	53:20	presume 45:11
34:9,20 35:1	36:22,23 37:16	pay-TV 51:24	plant 10:8,14	pretty 6:5 58:1
38:5,24 39:23	37:25 38:2,8	53:14	play 17:25	previously 47:10
40:14,16 41:9	40:12,22,25	pending 8:18,25	PLC 1:8 2:11	primary 5:19
41:14,17,22	43:17 46:1	9:2	please 4:9,21,25	6:23
42:9,12,23 43:5	47:25 48:2,17	people 12:20 26:1	5:24 18:5 19:5	printed 10:8,9,13
43:10,15 44:1,3	59:13,16,18,21	30:18 37:5	20:16 33:11,16	prior 53:15 58:19
44:6,16,22 45:3	62:14	57:14,16,17	33:22,25 34:6	probably 6:20
45:7,12,16 46:6	overflowing 44:4	58:1 59:2,3 62:7	34:21 35:2,10	13:9 14:20
46:14 49:14	overflown 18:8	perform 40:22,24	35:12,14,17,20	problem 7:22
50:15,18,21	42:9	period 9:25 22:24	36:7 55:24 56:1	34:14 35:4 62:8
52:22 53:1,5	overflows 20:15	permission 17:4	56:4	problems 16:3
		l	l	· · · ·

				Page 9
A A 5-1((1.11		12.22.52.10
procedure 45:16	published 23:7	readme 61:11	relied 51:10	12:23 53:10
53:10	58:25 59:1,11	62:6,10	remember 25:14	reverse-engineer
proceedings 1:14	publishing 59:3	real 19:25 20:1	42:13 46:21	9:20 12:5
63:14 64:8	Pull 26:11	42:2 54:8	56:7 59:9,15	review 9:8 14:10
process 19:25	purpose 25:15	reality 33:4	62:18	14:22 16:20
62:23	pursuant 64:5	realized 34:12	remote 7:3 18:4,4	reviewed 13:24
processor 51:5	put 13:10 18:6	58:15	removed 24:12	14:18
processors 10:21	19:20 22:20	really 8:12,13	rent 51:20,25	RICHARD 2:18
produced 54:19	24:8 28:17 31:7	17:9 18:19 25:3	52:25 53:18	right 4:14 8:4
product 8:5,15	35:5 42:18	reasonably 49:19	renting 57:11	20:9 23:13,16
26:19	46:20,22,24	reasons 54:24	report 14:1,3,6	23:20 33:20
production 8:4	57:21 62:5,18	received 42:12,13	15:20 21:11,16	35:25 36:15
51:23	puts 19:17	43:15	21:23 22:10	44:11 58:4
products 6:16	putting 29:12	receiver 17:2,3,3	23:2,14 27:10	62:20 63:11
7:17 11:4	30:4	17:9 18:6,6 19:7	27:11 30:20	ring 13:13
professionally	P3 52:21 54:21,23	19:20,21,21	31:1,5,7,20 32:3	rings 28:16
51:14	Q	20:10 58:10	32:14 33:14	rip 12:5
professor 50:2,18		receives 42:15	34:17 37:12	role 5:19 6:16
51:11,17 52:3	qualifications	receiving 54:17	49:22 52:22	roll 19:4
program 21:17	5:22	recess 63:13	54:20	ROM 15:16 18:17
22:20 25:15	question 44:1	recognize 23:21	reported 53:14	18:19 19:10
26:5,12 27:18	45:10 47:1	61:2	63:14 64:7	27:5,12,13
27:20 28:13,14	52:11 57:13	recommend	reporter 1:21 7:5	42:13 45:13,20
30:4,6 33:8	questions 43:25	50:13	64:15	45:22 48:21,23
44:25 45:1,2,14	54:14 56:19	record 31:19	REPORTER'S	49:11,12,20
54:7 62:25	quite 8:18 13:4	RECROSS 3:4	1:14	52:6 53:8 54:1,6
programmed	14:15 18:24	red 20:17 24:9	reporting 13:21	54:9 55:9 56:11
10:23	20:22 28:2	34:7,24	represent 36:14	56:22 57:5,6,6,6
programming	31:11 33:6,24	REDIRECT 3:4	representation	57:9 58:18,22
23:21 24:5,16	35:3 50:12,13	refer 13:13 14:8	23:22 33:24	58:25 59:1,2,3,7
programs 7:8,17	quote 51:13,22	41:17,21,21	34:11,13,14	59:10,10,12,14
10:24 11:16	52:24 61:24	reference 21:24	35:15 36:8	59:18,19,25
13:11 24:8 25:6	R	29:7	representing	60:10,12,24
25:9 26:3 27:16		referenced 52:18	18:22	61:5,14,15,17
30:12,17,24	R 2:13 5:10,12,13	53:24	represents 18:9	61:18,18,19,20
31:3,6,8 36:9	radiation 58:9	referring 15:11	34:10	61:21 62:8,12
46:14	raid 10:6,8,15	22:15	request 19:6	62:13,13,14,17
proof 26:13,16,17	raise 4:13	regarding 53:8	requires 27:5	ROMs 49:7
26:24	ran 21:12 49:10	regardless 40:2	reset 26:11	Ron 53:21
prove 21:23 26:21	rates 53:2	40:20	resident 41:14	room 1:22 32:4
26:24 59:4	reach 14:24	region 29:16,25	residents 41:13	Ross 49:25 50:1,2
provide 5:20 6:19	reaching 31:9	36:21,23 43:9	resolution 54:4	50:8
provided 5:20	read 13:7 14:20	regions 33:18	responsible 18:20	routed 17:12
17:18	23:8 25:15 40:1	36:3,4	rest 51:8 57:11	routine 25:21,23
provides 5:13	50:17 57:15	registers 42:6	result 22:11	27:19 53:10
17:15	62:25	43:9	resume 63:5	routinely 50:18
providing 60:15	readable 50:12	regulations 64:10	retained 5:16	51:3
public 49:21	reader/writer	relate 8:25	9:14 10:3	RPR 1:21 64:16
publication 9:9	20:11	relates 8:20	return 38:23 39:2	rub 27:9
Publicly 61:5	reading 45:19,22	relay 38:22	reverse 11:20,22	Rubin 2:24 22:1,4
-			Í	Í

				Page I
22:8 30:23	12:22	sheet 40:2	Six-and-a-half	stand 10:16
31:22,23 32:22	Section 64:5	shell 29:7,9,10,22	13:13	standard 40:8
33:21 34:1,22	secure 51:5 57:18	32:23 33:4,4,21	size 24:6 27:18	45:16 46:10
37:15 38:2	58:15	33:23 34:19	slide 23:4,5 26:4	standards 36:17
41:24 43:20	security 18:25	35:11 46:20,22	27:17 29:19	stands 12:18 55:3
50:6,8,10,23	19:14 50:2,5,9	shift 37:10 63:2	30:9,10 37:14	Stars 2:20
Rubin's 31:4,7	57:17,17 59:15	shorter 34:16	49:10 50:7 53:5	start 23:4 42:8,12
32:14 33:14	see 12:6 17:5,6	show 15:4 17:8,17	56:24 60:2 61:1	49:2 55:24
34:16	18:1,10 19:7	20:13 22:19	61:10,22 62:1	started 14:3,12
Rule 63:14	23:8 24:5 25:2,5	24:1 25:1,10,19	slides 38:13	23:24 32:15
run 8:4 19:5 20:8	25:18 26:6 27:7	26:21 32:2 33:8	slight 24:17	35:18 36:6
20:16 28:15	29:23 30:18	35:16 37:12	slow 16:22 55:18	starts 20:18,23
40:3,5 47:17	31:21,22 32:16	42:3,10 49:24	smallest 26:23	49:8 56:5
running 28:13	32:19,25 33:12	50:7 51:2 52:10	smart 7:10,11,14	state 4:20 6:8
46:24	33:24 34:1,23	55:22 57:24	8:20 10:21 17:4	States 1:1,22 6:5
	35:6 36:25	showed 31:8	18:14 20:11	7:21 64:6,10
<u> </u>	38:18 40:4 43:3	showing 22:6	49:6 50:17 51:3	status 13:21
SACV 1:7	43:16 45:25	29:17 34:7 53:5	51:12,19	stay 35:19
San 2:15	48:11,23 49:8	56:24	Smith 41:18	steal 56:22,23
Santa 1:16,23 4:1	50:9 52:13,14	shown 61:3	SNYDER 2:13	58:2
sat 50:23	52:15 54:8	shows 29:20	society 50:23	stenographically
satellite 1:5 2:3	58:11 59:24	33:20 34:15	software 5:14 8:7	64:7
9:13 10:1,4	60:9 61:12 62:3	37:14 55:20	11:14	step 4:13 31:16
16:19,25 17:1,2	seen 8:5,11 49:21	61:1	somewhat 20:21	33:10,16,22,25
17:2 48:24 49:6	56:20 58:18	Shrek 17:6 19:22	Sony 12:18	34:6,21 35:10
52:3,4 53:15	semiconductor	side 24:2,2 29:21	soon 40:15	35:17 63:9
saw 27:15 32:15	51:15 52:1	29:21 35:5,6	sophisticated	steps 23:1 46:5
60:25 62:20	send 38:21 40:3	62:19,19	51:18	sticks 21:1
saying 36:3 51:17	43:6 44:7 47:6	signal 17:1,2	sorry 35:3,19	STMicro 57:21
60:12	47:10,13 57:21	signals 51:4	sort 47:8	57:22
says 17:3 19:8,11	Sender 38:23 39:2	significance 30:2	sorts 62:22	stole 59:22
19:14 26:7	sending 43:11	35:16 37:4	sounding 60:16	Stone 2:18 3:5 4:8
32:22 33:21	47:18,22	60:20	source 14:9,11	4:10 5:3,5,7
38:6 43:20	sends 16:25 20:14	significant 14:5	15:7 23:23	6:12 13:20 15:4
47:14 53:15	senior 57:18,19	28:24 29:18	24:18,22,24	15:9 19:3 22:15
60:15 61:13	sense 19:24	31:4,11 33:6	30:20	28:9 31:12,15
scanning 49:17	sent 39:6 61:4	62:25	sources 61:16	32:17 36:10
scene 54:13	September 58:24	significantly 28:5	special 13:11	63:2
science 28:4	59:6	Silicon 12:12	43:10	stop 28:14 43:22
screen 25:3 58:8	sequences 27:19	similar 34:13 52:8	specific 29:3	store 42:14
58:11	series 32:19,20	61:18,19	spell 4:23 52:5	stored 42:21,24
scuba 8:7,10	services 5:13 6:19	simple 7:15	spent 9:17,24	43:15 44:10
seated 4:18 sec 47:14	session 4:5	simplest 7:12	13:1	straight 35:7
sec 47.14 second 12:9 14:9	set 11:10 14:9	simply 36:4	stack 28:1 29:17	strange 26:7 27:7
15:23,23 20:2	55:17,17	sir 4:12,17,20,22	29:25 35:13	38:12 42:1
29:7 38:10	sets 14:7 18:13	5:23 6:14 7:19	42:7 44:22 45:2	street 1:22 38:15
47:16 55:2 57:5	setup 34:3,8,25	19:5 36:13 54:1	46:21,21	stress 36:1
secret 19:19,20	35:13 share 27:12	63:9	staff 57:17	stringent 11:10
secretive 11:24	share 37:12	sit 58:9	stage 21:3 46:7	structural 48:16
SULLIVE 11.24	shares 21:23	six 13:15,16 59:2	staining 54:5	structure 31:6
L	-	•	•	-

				Page 11
student 53:3	11:12 13:22	terminated 26:3	third 16:2 36:21	transmitted 25:16
students 53:23	14:9 15:17,24	terminology 35:3	41:3	tremendous 10:1
studied 16:13,15	16:3,19 18:19	terms 18:18 21:14	thought 33:6	13:25 48:25
47:2	28:12 38:3	21:18 28:25	61:12	trial 1:15 12:13
study 45:14	46:13 55:1,2	terrific 50:11	thousand 56:15	12:15 14:12
stuff 8:12 9:2	57:16 59:7,10	test 40:3,5	thousands 13:5	trouble 44:3
11:11 34:7,9	59:12,14,18,19	testified 14:23	three 10:5 18:13	true 38:4,4,4,5
StuntGuy 15:14	62:8,12,14	testifying 61:3	28:1 32:18,21	64:6
54:12,12,13	systems 5:14 6:22	testimony 14:18	40:5 45:8 46:5	try 16:23
56:18 59:24,24	6:24 7:1,8,13,18	18:24 22:9	49:12 55:1	Tuesday 1:17 4:1
60:3,4,5	8:11 9:3,5,6	27:22 38:1	56:24	turn 10:15 26:19
StuntGuy's 60:12	12:10 28:21	49:16	thrown 18:3	turns 46:9 51:5
stupidest 55:23		Texas 2:8	time 6:20 13:1,3,7	56:7 59:16
ST16 54:18	T	text 13:7	18:8 19:25 20:1	TV 12:19,19 17:6
submitted 9:9,11	T 2:4	thank 4:7,10,12	22:11,17,23	19:22 49:6 52:3
14:4	take 9:19 11:9	4:17 5:2,5 6:11	24:24 25:17	52:4 53:15 58:8
subroutine 27:8,9	12:21 13:10	63:10	26:17 43:3	58:11
32:25 33:1	29:12 37:24	theft 49:13	47:19 51:20	twice 12:11
subroutines 25:18	45:13,24 46:15	thick 13:14	52:1,15,25	two 9:17 10:5
substantial 21:21	53:8 54:3 57:6	thing 12:19,21	53:18 58:12	11:3 12:2 14:7
44:2	taken 23:13 57:8	17:7,8 18:9	60:6 62:6 63:3,4	14:12 21:6
subtle 57:5	takes 19:13,17,25	23:11 25:10	timeout 47:20	22:11 23:19,24
suddenly 58:14	20:22 40:4	26:7 27:23	times 18:10 57:24	24:8,14 25:6
suggest 58:18	talk 5:22 16:18	29:13 33:12	time-consuming	26:1 27:16
suggesting 58:21	17:13 22:2	34:16,17,18	20:3	28:22 30:12,17
suggestion 22:16	49:14	35:24 36:1	title 61:5 64:6	30:19 31:2,6,8
suggests 39:14	talked 21:6 46:5	46:18 50:17	TNO 52:18,20,21	31:19 35:5,22
46:12	talking 20:1 30:3	52:2 55:2,7	52:22,24 53:18	36:3,9 37:5
suitable 9:22	33:7 36:5 50:8	57:20	54:20 55:6	38:25 40:5 47:4
Suite 2:8,15,20	50:18 53:1	things 12:7 14:16	today 18:10 38:5	47:18 53:12
summarize 30:15	60:21	18:15 20:9	40:8 58:5 60:3	54:11,24
summarizes 15:1	tear 12:18	21:22 22:3,7	told 54:14	two-year 22:17
summary 30:10	technical 5:20,21	28:21 33:14,19	top 24:8 29:23	type 58:16
53:5	15:13	37:7,16 38:25	32:15 35:24	typical 32:11
Sunnyvale 57:25	technique 52:12	45:16 50:10	36:12 42:7	typically 39:17
superior 22:21	53:12,24 59:21	51:2 53:7 59:17	44:22 45:2	53:22
30:8 47:19	techniques 51:14	60:5 61:12 62:3	46:20,21	
supporting 49:1	53:9 54:3,5,9	think 9:24 12:7	topic 38:12 50:12	U
supposedly 23:10	technologies 12:7	12:22 13:2,6,7	63:3	ultimately 52:8
sure 25:2 26:20	tell 5:23 21:14	16:24 18:25	tops 40:5	understand 28:4
surely 21:20	25:4,8 28:5	20:19 21:24	total 11:16	28:7 29:11
36:20	39:24 47:3	23:4 26:19 27:1	touched 43:10	30:11 33:18
surprising 47:24	58:13	29:10 30:20	Toyota 12:3,7	38:13 41:11
suspected 10:9	teller 55:23,25	31:11 36:8	transcript 1:14	43:24 51:23
14:16	56:1,5,7	37:14 41:16	64:7,9	57:3
Suzanne 61:4	tempest 58:3,6	43:3,16 47:6	transcripts 14:21	understanding
Sweden 8:16	tenant 41:18	49:3 57:1,2	transmissions	37:15
SWORN 4:15	term 29:10,11	58:24	58:11	undertaking 63:1
system 6:4 7:1,4,7	terminate 26:25	thinking 26:1	transmit 19:19	Unfortunately
7:10,12,15,20	27:20	thinks 9:10	25:14,16	12:13 31:10
	I	I	I	·

Debbie Gale, CSR 9472, Federal Official Court Reporter

				Page 12
unique 40:12 47:1	46:2 48:10,15	22:20 24:21	9:20 11:2 14:3	zero 42:19,21
unit 39:13,14,17	48:19	26:1,2,10,12	18:12 26:20,21	44:9,14 54:6
39:21	variables 32:9	32:6,20 33:2,2,3	26:23 28:18	zeros 54:7
United 1:1,22 6:5	34:4 45:15	39:24 40:2,9,24	45:14 54:5	zip 62:9
7:21 64:6,10	various 11:16	43:8 44:4 45:12	43.14 54.5 55:16 60:16	ZIP 02.9
universities 53:19	52:1 57:24			\$
		45:25 46:10,19 47:12,13,21,23	worked 11:1,15	\$10 56:1,5
university 6:1,8 50:3 53:3	vernacular 46:24 55:5	47:24 49:9 58:7	45:12,19,21	\$2500 53:1,18
unusual 46:14		ways 11:3 21:17	working 8:15 28:15 61:24	\$2500 55.1,10
	version 35:7,8 versus 28:11	25:7,8 45:9 47:4	62:8	0
update 38:6		· ·	works 16:24 17:7	03-950 1:7
updated 38:7 60:6	vetting 9:11	47:18 49:11,12 51:12 55:9	45:14 58:7	
updating 60:7	video 17:11,16,18 17:19	56:24		1
uplink 16:25	virus 20:20,22		workstation 51:25	1 42:23,25 54:6
upset 43:25	,	wearing 8:13 week 12:10	world 50:23 53:16	1's 54:7
upshot 52:23	29:11,12,15	weeks 14:12 18:3		1-053 1:22
use 8:23 11:3 13:8	30:5 32:23 46:6		worldwide 51:16	1:00 63:6
22:7 25:21	46:9,25 47:21 Visa 11:10	WELCH 2:4,6	51:18	10 14:4 30:11,14
27:23 28:1,23		well-known 55:10	world's 50:4	40:7
30:7 37:16,17	voltage 55:14,17	went 10:14 23:1	55:22	10:25 4:3
37:17,18 41:3	Volume 1:8 4:2	24:21 25:4	Wow 42:17	11:56 63:13
43:20,21 45:13	63:15	58:14	write 6:17 25:23	1100 33:2
45:15 46:1	vs 1:7	West 1:22 2:14	27:19 40:15	12 1:8 4:2
49:17 51:24	vulnerability 16:8	we'll 15:18 33:16	46:14	120 38:18,19 39:3
52:5,12 53:4	38:8	55:23	writing 40:18	39:4
54:2 59:18,21	vulnerable 51:6	we're 4:5 12:21	52:3	120's 40:4
useful 44:13,17	58:16	20:1 26:19	written 7:8,17 8:7	13 5:11
USENIX 50:21,21	W	29:12 33:6 34:7	9:3,7 10:23	132 43:12,14
50:24	$\overline{\mathbf{W}}$ 2:13	36:5 43:18 53:1 59:4	11:16 30:17	1400 2:20
user 18:17 19:10	WADE 2:4,6		44:21 45:6 49:25	15 40:7
27:5 42:13 59:10 62:13	wait 8:6 47:15	we've 17:17 18:21	wrote 8:3 11:14	15th 60:9
	waiting 47:20	19:22 20:5,10		15-month 9:24
uses 8:21 U.S 8:21,23 9:1	walk 57:10	21:6,8 26:4,18 33:7 38:15,16	22:18,18 27:10 52:22 54:13	162 43:18 44:14
64:15	Wal-Mart 11:13	41:4 44:3,4 46:5	52.22 54.15	180 38:21
04.15	want 26:20,22,22	41.4 44.3,4 40.3 57:22 60:14	X	19C 42:8
V	31:21 33:17		X 3:1	1980 38:4
Valley 12:12	34:18 40:18	white 36:12,15 wide 58:1	xbr21 21:8	1990 38:4
value 27:24 29:2	42:3 43:25	widely 56:16		1993 49:8
29:4 42:19 43:5	45:24 52:21	widespread 12:23	Y	1995 58:12
43:12,18,18	wanted 44:25	WILLETTS 2:5	yeah 35:23 54:23	1996 49:25 50:19
44:14	wash 11:6,7,8,12	WILLET 15 2.5 Windows 38:7	year 7:19 8:5 9:18	51:1,17 52:2
values 24:7 52:15	11:13	wiser 57:8	12:11,13 64:12	53:15
variable 37:18	Washington	witness 4:8,15,16	years 5:11 6:15	1997 52:19
41:3,4,20,21	58:13		9:14 10:5 11:19	1998 52:19 53:18
42:7,11,19,23	wasn't 24:14 62:4	4:19,22,24 5:1 6:10 13:16,18	22:11 40:7	1999 2:20 58:24
42:25 43:4,12	wash (24.14.02.4 waste 47:19	15:6 32:1 41:5,9	50:24 55:1	58:24 59:6
43:14,18,19,20	waste 47.19 watch 18:5	63:10	yellow 34:1,9,24	
44:6,8,9,11,14	way 8:22 10:14	WITNESSES 3:4	yells 56:6	2
44:19,21,24	17:6 18:24	with NESSES 3:4 words 26:9 36:16		2 59:2 61:17,18,20
45:5,8,11,13	19:20 20:19	words 26:9 36:16 work 5:12 8:9	Z	62:12
10.0,0,11,10	17.20 20.17	WULK J.12 0.9		

			ruge is
2000 38:5 58:20	8		
60:9,17			
-	8 53:25		
2002 49:9	800 13:2		
2007 14:3,4	81 33:3		
2008 1:17 4:1			
2008-04-29 1:25	9		
2100A8 32:15	9Ds 32:19,20 33:1		
220 38:25 39:1	90067 2:21		
40:3	92701 1:23		
23rd 21:8	94111 2:15		
2401 2:7	9472 1:21 64:16		
25 6:15 11:18	952-4334 2:9		
25th 60:17	96 51:8 53:13		
2600 2:15	98 55:1		
275 2:14	984-8700 2:16		
28 64:6	99 43:5		
288-01 61:14,16			
288-02 60:11,19			
29 1:17 4:1			
47 1.17 T .1			
3			
3 59:3 60:12			
61:18,19,21			
3C 41:18			
3F 41:23			
310 2:21			
35 31:19			
36 25:24 31:19			
30 23.24 31.19			
4			
4th 1:22			
4.0 6:7			
411 1:22			
415 2:16			
5			
5 3:5			
558-8141 1:23			
6			
6805 10:22,22,24			
7			
700 2:8			
713 2:9			
714 1:23			
7381 27:2,9			
753 64:5			
77057 2:8			
785-4600 2:21			
	l	l	1