Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, JUDGE PRESIDING - - - - - - -ECHOSTAR SATELLITE ) CORPORATION, et al., ) Plaintiffs, ) ) No. SACV 03-0950-DOC vs. ) NDS GROUP PLC, et al., ) Day 12, Volume I ) Defendants. )

> REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS Jury Trial Santa Ana, California Tuesday, April 29, 2008

Jane C.S. Rule, CSR 9316 Federal Official Court Reporter United States District Court 411 West 4th Street, Room 1-053 Santa Ana, California 92701 (714) 558-7755 08-04-29 EchoStarD12V1

1	1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:	
2		
3		
5		A.L.E.S
4	Attorneys at Law	
4		
F	T. WADE WELCH	
5	DAVID M. NOLL	
C	CHRISTINE D. WILI	LETTS
6	Accorneys at haw	
_	2401 Fountainview	
7	Surce 100	
0	Houston, Texas 77057	
8	(113) 552 1031	
9		
10		
	Attorneys at Law	
11	DI. DARIN W. SNIDER	
1.0	NATHANIEL L. DILC	
12		
1.0	MICHAEL O'DONNEL]	_
13	necorneys ac haw	
	Embarcadero Center West	-
14	275 Dattery Street	
1 -	Suite 2600	
15		nia 94111-3305
1.0	(415) 984-8700	
16		
17		
18		
1.0	Attorneys at Law	
19		
	KENNETH D. KLEIN	
20	necorneys ac haw	
	275 Battery Street	
21	54100 2000	
	San Francisco, Californ	nia 94111-3305
22		
23		
24		Interpreter
25	20	

Page 3

I N D E X EXAMINATION Witness Name Direct Cross Redirect Recross KUDELSKI, HENRI By Mr. Eberhart 4 By Mr. Noll EXHIBITS Exhibit Identification Evidence Defendants' No. 829 Defendants' Nos. 1596 and 1670 Defendants' Nos. 1671 and 1687 Defendants' No. 1672 Defendants' No. 1674 Defendants' No. 1676 Defendants' No. 1690 Defendants' No. 1691 Defendants' No. 2501 

1 SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, APRIL 29, 2008 2 DAY 12 - VOLUME I 3 (8:15 a.m.) 4 (The following proceedings is taken in the 5 presence of the jury.) 6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Counsel. We're 7 back in session. All counsel are present. 8 And Counsel, if you'd like to proceed. 9 MR. SNYDER: We need Mr. Henri Kudelski to return 10 to the stand. 11 HENRI KUDELSKI, DEFENDANTS' WITNESS, RESUMED 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 And this is Mr. Henri Kudelski's continued direct 14 examination by Mr. Eberhart. 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued.) 16 BY MR. EBERHART: 17 Good morning, Mr. Kudelski. Q 18 Good morning. Α 19 I gave your counsel a list of the documents that I was 0 20 going to show you today. Did you take time over the last 21 few days to review those documents? 22 THE INTERPRETER: Judge, I need the microphone. 23 THE COURT: Oh, thank you very much. 24 Kristee, if you'd give him the microphone, the 25 interpreter.

	Tage
1	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
2	BY MR. EBERHART:
3	Q I gave your counsel a list of the documents that I was
4	going to show you today. Did you take time over the weekend
5	to review those documents?
6	A I received them this morning. I looked at them briefly
7	this morning.
8	Q On Friday, we were discussing the report that was
9	issued by Nagra after the update secured the ROM 3 cards in
10	February 2001; do you remember that?
11	A Could you please show me the report again?
12	Q Absolutely.
13	Michael, could you show the witness Exhibit 1185,
14	please.
15	BY MR. EBERHART:
16	Q And Exhibit 1155, sir, is the report we were discussing
17	at the end of the day on Friday. It's dated February 22nd,
18	2001, and it talks about the update that has been made to
19	the DNASP-003 cards, and it reflects the status of the
20	DNASP-003 cards as secure, correct?
21	A Yes, I remember.
22	MR. EBERHART: Michael, please show the witness
23	Exhibit 1186.
24	BY MR. EBERHART:
25	Q Mr. Kudelski, this is a report that's already in

1	evidence.
2	So, Charlie, you can put it on the screen.
3	BY MR. EBERHART:
4	Q This is dated March 2nd, 2001, and this is a further
5	update from Joel Conus about the status of piracy of the
6	EchoStar system, correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And in this report, Mr. Conus gives what he describes
9	as his point of view, or POV, about the current situation;
10	do you see that about halfway down the page?
11	A Yes, I can see it.
12	Q And so Mr. Conus, whom you were supervising, was
13	providing his views on the current piracy situation, not
14	just repeating what the pirates were saying on websites,
15	correct?
16	A I have not if you say so. I haven't read it.
17	Q Well, that's what Mr. Conus says here, correct, that
18	he's "He would like to give my POV about the current
19	situation"; that's what he says, correct?
20	A Just a second, please.
21	Yes, he says that. He says it is his POV.
22	Q And Mr. Conus goes on to provide the following
23	information that that constitutes his point of view on
24	the current situation, and I am going to ask you if I'm
25	reading the following correctly:

	raye
1	"Not much is happening on the forums now. The hacking
2	is stalling, it seems. The number of hackers who still have
3	non-updated cards is very limited, and therefore, the
4	blocker code that has been published cannot be widely used."
5	Did I read that correctly?
6	A Yes, that is what is written.
7	Q So as of March 2nd, 2001, it was Mr. Conus's view that
8	the blocker code that had been published could not be widely
9	used, correct?
10	A Yes, he said that at that date.
11	Q And looking down the page on Exhibit 1186, Mr. Conus
12	also writes that "The DNASP-003 cards are secured. VIP
13	rights on some cards. Cards and blockers not affected by
14	the update," correct?
15	A Yes, he said some cards are secure, some other cards
16	have a VIP, and some other cards have a blocker.
17	Q But he also says that the blocker code that had been
18	published could not be widely used, correct?
19	A Yes, that was in his POV.
20	Q Why don't you hold on to that exhibit for a minute, and
21	let's
22	Michael, please give the witness Exhibit 1674.
23	BY MR. EBERHART:
24	Q Exhibit 1674 is another update from Mr. Conus dated
25	December 11th, 2001, and it was sent to you, correct?

	Page
1	A Yes.
2	Q And you received this in the normal course of your work
3	for NagraVision, NagraCard; is that correct?
4	A Yes, as a team leader of security of the
5	countermeasures.
6	MR. EBERHART: Your Honor, defendants offer
7	Exhibit 1674.
8	THE COURT: Any objection?
9	MR. NOLL: No objection, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Received.
11	(Defendants' Exhibit No. 1674 is received
12	into evidence.)
13	BY MR. EBERHART:
14	Q Now, Mr. Kudelski, looking about two-thirds of the way
15	down the first page of Exhibit 1674, it shows the status of
16	the DNASP-003 cards as, "Hole closed. Some cards have
17	blocker software," correct?
18	A Yes, that's what is written.
19	Q Okay. So as of December 11th, 2001, Nagra believed
20	that the hole in the DNASP-003 card has been closed,
21	correct?
22	A No, no. It says the hole is closed for certain cards,
23	and for other cards, there are soft blockers.
24	Q And this update also omits the reference to VIP rights
25	that you saw in Exhibit 1186, correct, and that's the prior

1 report we just looked at? 2 No, it doesn't mention that, and I don't see why it Α 3 should --4 So as of December --Q 5 THE INTERPRETER: Please, please --6 MR. EBERHART: I'm sorry. 7 THE INTERPRETER: The witness is not finished. 8 THE WITNESS: I don't see any reason why they 9 shouldn't say that they are not, because normally there 10 should be some. 11 BY MR. EBERHART: 12 But the report does not reflect any VIP rights in the 0 13 DNASP-003 cards as of December 11th, 2001, correct? 14 No. That is what is written, but as far as I'm Α 15 concerned, I think there should be some, also. 16 So you disagree with Mr. Conus's reports? Q 17 No. I'm -- I'm just saying it's not complete. А 18 So you believe Mr. Conus prepared incomplete reports 0 19 that he submitted on the status of Nagra piracy? 20 No, no. That is just my opinion. I think there were Α 21 some more. It's just my opinion. 22 Your opinion is that Mr. Conus submitted incomplete Q 23 reports summarizing the status of Nagra piracy, correct? 24 They didn't see at the time of monitoring the VIP Α 25 cards. At the time of monitoring, maybe he did not see the

	rage 1
1	VIP cards.
2	Q Did you ever reprimand Mr. Conus for providing
3	incomplete reports?
4	A No, I don't recall that.
5	Q If you believe Mr. Conus's reports were incomplete, did
6	you ever ask him to add additional information that you
7	believed was relevant?
8	A I I don't recall.
9	Q Let's take a look at a couple additional reports,
10	Mr. Kudelski.
11	Michael, if you could hand the witness Exhibits 1687
12	and 1671.
13	BY MR. EBERHART:
14	Q Mr. Kudelski, Exhibit 1687 is a report from Joel Conus
15	to you and others dated May 30th, 2002; is that correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And Exhibit 1671 is a report from Joel Conus to you and
18	others dated August 26th, 2002, correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And these are both updates on the status of EchoStar
21	piracy, correct?
22	A Yes.
23	MR. EBERHART: Your Honor, defendants offer
24	Exhibits 1671 and 1687.
25	THE COURT: Any objection?

1	MR. NOLL: No objection.
2	THE COURT: Both are received.
3	(Defendants' Exhibit Nos. 1671 and 1687 are
4	received into evidence.)
5	BY MR. EBERHART:
6	Q Mr. Kudelski, both of these reports state that the
7	status of the DNASP-003 card is, quote, "Hole closed. Some
8	cards have blocker software," correct?
9	A When they put blockers in the card, there are VIP
10	rights that they want to protect.
11	Q My question to you, sir, is both Exhibit 1671 and 1687
12	list the status of the DNASP-003 cards as "Hole closed.
13	Some have blocker software," correct?
14	A Yes, that is what is written.
15	Q So on May 30th, 2002, and August 26th, 2002, Nagra's
16	internal reports listed the cards as being "Hole closed.
17	Some cards have blocker software," correct?
18	A That is what is written.
19	Q And that hole that has been closed is the buffer
20	overflow hole, correct?
21	A I can't say. I can't say for sure, but as far as I'm
22	concerned, it's the application of the recipe on the cards
23	that haven't received the patch. And on the other cards
24	that have not received the patch, you can still install the
25	VIP rights and put a blocker to protect

	iage
1	Q So your belief is that the hole that has been closed,
2	as referenced in these reports, is the hole that was exposed
3	
	by the xbr21 posting in December 2000, correct?
4	A I cannot confirm that, because the date is much farther
5	away.
6	Q Okay. So sitting here today before this jury, you
7	don't know what hole was closed, as reflected in
8	Exhibit 1671 and 1687?
9	A I can say today that the last portion of the cards that
10	had received the patch could not be attacked by hackers.
11	Q And those cards could not be attacked using the method
12	shown in the xbr21 posting made in December of 2000,
13	correct?
14	A I cannot certify that. I cannot say that.
15	Q Are you aware, sir, of the xbr21 posting from
16	December 2000?
17	A I've seen it.
18	Q And are you aware of the method that Nagra and EchoStar
19	claim was exposed by that posting?
20	A Could I see that again?
21	Q The xbr21 posting?
22	A Yes.
23	MR. EBERHART: Michael, could you show the
24	witness 511-A, please. It's in evidence.
25	Charlie, you could put that up.

	rage 1
1	THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question,
2	please?
3	BY MR. EBERHART:
4	Q Are you familiar with the xbr21 post of December 23rd,
5	2000, that's shown in Exhibit 511-A?
6	A I've I've seen it.
7	Q And this is the method or recipe that NagraStar and
8	EchoStar claim allowed pirates to attack the ROM 3 cards,
9	correct?
10	A No. It is a post that gives a recipe, but I cannot say
11	that that is the recipe.
12	Q And so sitting here today, you don't know whether this
13	is the recipe that Nagra attacked through its ECMs?
14	A No. All I can say is that the developers told me that
15	this is an application of the recipe.
16	Q And did the developers tell you that this was an
17	application of the recipe that they attacked through their
18	update in February 2001?
19	A I do not understand the question.
20	Q Did the developers tell you that the application of the
21	recipe that you contend is reflected in Exhibit 511-A was
22	something they attacked through their update to the ROM 3
23	cards in February 2001?
24	A We protected, we do not we do not attack. I do not
25	understand.

	rage
1	Q I'll rephrase my question, sir.
2	Did the developers tell you that they protected the
3	ROM 3 cards against the method or recipe shown in
4	Exhibit 511-A through the update to the ROM 3 cards that was
5	issued in February 2001?
6	A This recipe was no longer applicable if the card had
7	received the patch at the the patch was broadcast.
8	Q So following the broadcast of the patch in
9	February 2001, this method or recipe shown in Exhibit 511-A
10	would no longer work on the cards that accepted the update,
11	correct?
12	A No, they did not work at the time the patch was
13	broadcast.
14	Q Do you know when the patch was broadcast to the ROM 3 $$
15	cards to fix the vulnerability shown in Exhibit 511-A?
16	A Looking at the monitoring reports, I think it was in
17	February.
18	Q So following the broadcast of that patch in
19	February 2001, isn't it correct that the ROM 3 cards that
20	accepted that update were not vulnerable to the method or
21	recipe shown in Exhibit 511-A?
22	A No. At the date in February when it was applied, the
23	card could not receive it, but that does not mean that it
24	couldn't receive it later on.
25	Q But at least as of the date that the patch was applied

	I dyc -
1	in February 2001, the method or recipe shown in
2	Exhibit 511-A would no longer work to access the ROM 3
3	cards, correct?
4	
5	A Could you repeat the question?
	Q At least as of the date that the patch was broadcast in
6	February 2001, the method or recipe shown in Exhibit 511-A
7	could not be used to access ROM 3 cards that accepted that
8	update.
9	A It was true at the time that I received the patch.
10	That does not mean it was true later on.
11	Q We've looked at a lot of Mr. Conus's reports on Friday
12	and today, Mr. Kudelski. Do any of those reports after
13	February of 2001 indicate that the hole was open?
14	A The reports he showed me do not deny it, but there were
15	reports every day, and there are other reports that say that
16	it was reopened.
17	Q Really? Well, let's take a look at some more reports,
18	Mr. Kudelski.
19	You've looked at Exhibit 1687 and 1671. Those both say
20	that the ROM 3 hole is closed, correct?
21	A The reports I've been shown.
22	Q I'm sorry, sir, was that a "yes"?
23	A The reports that I was shown said that. That does not
24	mean it is true.
25	Q So Mr. Conus's reports are false; is that your

1 testimony to this jury? 2 Not at all, no. The reports that I was shown said it А 3 was closed, but there are other reports that say other 4 things. 5 Well, these reports in 2002 both say the DNASP ROM 3 Ο 6 hole was closed, correct? 7 The reports I was shown said that. Α 8 Let's look at some additional reports, sir. 0 9 Michael, could you show the witness Exhibits 1670 and 10 1596. 11 BY MR. EBERHART: 12 Ο Exhibit 1670 is an e-mail report from Mr. Conus to you 13 dated January 29th, 2003, correct? 14 Α Yes. 15 And Exhibit 1596 is a report dated January 30th, 2003, Q 16 from Dominique Bongard to you and others, and this relates 17 to the ExpressVu system, correct? 18 Α Yes. 19 MR. EBERHART: Your Honor, defendants move 20 Exhibits 1596 and 1670. 21 THE COURT: Any objection? 22 MR. NOLL: No objection, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Received. 24 (Defendants' Exhibit Nos. 1596 and 1670 are 25 received into evidence.)

i	raye
1	BY MR. EBERHART:
2	Q Mr. Kudelski, Exhibit 1670, which is dated January 29,
З	2003, says the same thing as the DNASP-003 card, quote,
4	"Hole closed. Some cards have blocker software," correct?
5	A That's that's what it says at that date.
6	Q And that's the same thing that the reports that we just
7	looked at from May of 2002 and August of 2002 said, correct?
8	A Yes, but that does not mean there was no changes
9	between the two.
10	Q And these were reports that you sent to your customer,
11	NagraStar, correct?
12	A Yes, we sent them to Alan Guggenheim with NagraStar.
13	Q And you intended for these reports to be relied on by
14	NagraStar, correct?
15	A Yes, these were the reports that showed the status of
16	the monitoring, the status on the internet.
17	Q You also provided similar reports to your customer,
18	Bell ExpressVu, correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And looking at Exhibit 1596, that is an example of one
21	of the reports you provided to Bell ExpressVu, correct?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And so Bell ExpressVu also used the ROM 3 card,
24	correct?
25	A Yes.

	rage .
1	Q And in Exhibit 1596, you list the status of the ROM 3
2	card as "Hole closed. Some cards have blocker software,"
3	correct?
4	
5	A Yes, at that date.
	Q And that is the same status that you list for EchoStar
6	on the previous date, January 29th, 2003, correct?
7	A Yes, that's what is written.
8	MR. EBERHART: Michael, please show the witness
9	Exhibit 1676.
10	BY MR. EBERHART:
11	Q Sir, Exhibit 1676 is an e-mail from an address called
12	"Monitoring" to you and others, and it's dated June 16,
13	2003, correct?
14	A Yes, that is what is written.
15	Q And this is a further update on the status of the
16	ExpressVu system as of that date, correct?
17	A Yes, that talks about ExpressVu.
18	MR. EBERHART: Defendants offer Exhibit 1676,
19	your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Any objection?
21	MR. NOLL: No objection.
22	THE COURT: Received.
23	(Defendants' Exhibit No. 1676 is received
24	into evidence.)
25	

1 BY MR. EBERHART: 2 Now, this document also shows the status of the 0 3 DNASP-003 cards as, quote, "Hole closed. Some cards have 4 blocker software," correct? 5 Yes, at that date, it says that some cards -- some Α 6 cards are closed, and some others have the blocker software. 7 Was your team still preparing these reports for Q 8 EchoStar in June of 2003? 9 Yes. Normally in June, we -- we used to prepare those А 10 reports every day. 11 Now, this is an ExpressVu report, correct? Q 12 Yes. Α 13 Q Do you know why no EchoStar reports for June 2003 were 14 produced to us? 15 I cannot say why. As far as -- as far as I'm Α 16 concerned, the reports were made every day, and there 17 were -- there might have been exception. 18 Let's talk about a different topic, sir. Q 19 Do you remember on Friday we discussed Exhibit 812, 20 which was an e-mail from EchoStar's president and COO, Mike 21 Dugan, where he was demanding action against piracy in 22 January of 2001? 23 Α Can you -- can you show me the report? 24 Q Absolutely. 25 Michael, could you show the Exhibit 812 to the witness,

	Page 2
1	please.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, I remember talking about this
3	report on Friday.
4	BY MR. EBERHART:
5	Q And this was an e-mail from Mr. Dugan entitled, "Guys,
6	I want action," correct?
7	A Yes, that is what he said.
8	Q And that was action against piracy that he wanted,
9	correct?
10	A I I don't know if that's what he means, but that's a
11	possible explanation.
12	Q Isn't it true, sir, that the body of Mr. Dugan's e-mail
13	is talking about different steps to fight piracy of the
14	EchoStar system?
15	A I don't see any elements other than security.
16	Q And by "security," you mean security against piracy of
17	the EchoStar system, correct?
18	A There are certain things that I do not understand in
19	the sentences, so I can only imagine. These are this is
20	all I can do; these are not facts.
21	Q You don't know whether Mr. Dugan's e-mail is talking
22	about fighting piracy of the EchoStar system; is that your
23	testimony?
24	A Maybe in part, but I cannot say if that's all it is
25	talking about.

	Lage 2
1	Q Mr. Dugan later congratulated your team for the
2	progress they made fighting piracy, correct?
3	A Yes, that's that's what it says, and that is a part
4	of the e-mail.
5	Q Now, sir, let me let me clarify.
6	About 10 months later, in January of 2001, isn't it
7	true that Mr. Dugan congratulated your team for the success
8	they had had in fighting EchoStar piracy?
9	A Can you show me the post where he said that, because
10	it's not the same one.
11	MR. EBERHART: Michael, could you show the witness
12	Exhibit 1690, please.
13	BY MR. EBERHART:
14	Q Mr. Kudelski, Exhibit 1690 is an e-mail dated
15	November 29th, 2001, from Alan Guggenheim to you and others,
16	correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And you received this as part of your work for
19	NagraVision, NagraCard?
20	A Yes, from NagraCard, NagraVision.
21	MR. EBERHART: Your Honor, defendants offer
22	Exhibit 1690.
23	THE COURT: Any objection?
24	MR. NOLL: No objection.
25	THE COURT: Received.

	raye 2
1	(Defendants' Exhibit No. 1690 is received
2	into evidence.)
3	BY MR. EBERHART:
4	Q In this e-mail, Mr. Kudelski, Alan Guggenheim is
5	forwarding an e-mail from Mr. Dugan. Mr. Dugan's e-mail is
6	dated November 29th, 2001, correct?
7	A Yes, that's what is written.
8	Q And Mr. Guggenheim writes to you, "Congratulations, and
9	thanks for the good work," correct?
10	A Yes, I imagine that was for countermeasures.
11	Q And Mr. Dugan's e-mail is entitled "Recent security
12	team progress," correct?
13	A Yes, that is what is written in the header.
14	Q And in the body, he writes, quote, "Just a note to tell
15	you how much your recent deliverables are worth to our
16	company. I realize there was a huge amount of effort,
17	teamwork, design and testing that was needed for your recent
18	efforts to combat piracy and drive signal security for DISH
19	Network. I greatly appreciate all your hard work and
20	dedication and commend your recent advances. Keep up the
21	fight."
22	Did I read that correctly?
23	A Yes, that's what is written.
24	Q And Mr. Dugan was writing this to you to your team
25	immediately following a very successful ECM that you had

1 launched to take out blocker devices and blocker software, 2 correct? 3 That is possible. I do not see that information in А 4 this piece. 5 MR. EBERHART: Michael, would you please show the 6 witness Exhibit 1690 -- I'm sorry, 1691. 7 BY MR. EBERHART: 8 Mr. Kudelski, Exhibit 1691 is another update from Joel Q 9 Conus. This is dated November 28th, 2001, correct? 10 Yes. А 11 MR. EBERHART: Your Honor, defendants offer 12 Exhibit 1691. 13 THE COURT: Any objection? 14 MR. NOLL: No objection. 15 THE COURT: Received. 16 (Defendants' Exhibit No. 1691 is received 17 into evidence.) 18 BY MR. EBERHART: 19 Mr. Conus -- I'm sorry. Mr. Kudelski, Mr. Conus's Q 20 e-mail is dated one day before Mr. Dugan's congratulatory 21 e-mail that is Exhibit 1690, correct? 22 Yes. А 23 Q And so in this e-mail, one day before Mr. Dugan 24 congratulates your team, Mr. Conus writes "The ECM Number 9 25 has been very successful. Most blocker devices as well as

	rage .
1	cards with blocker software went down (IRD kill)."
2	Did I read that correctly?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay.
5	A That is what is written.
6	Q Okay. So on November 28th, 2001, your team issued a
7	very successful ECM against blockers for the ROM 3 cards,
8	correct?
9	A Yes. On that day, we had a countermeasure that was
10	efficient, yes, but that does not mean that there were no
11	attacks just after that.
12	Q Let's look down at the bottom of the page of that
13	exhibit. It says, just like the other reports we've looked
14	at this morning, sir, "DNASP-003, hole closed. Some cards
15	have blocker software," correct?
16	A Yes, that is what is written, but that means that there
17	are cards that are still blocked, and some with blocker
18	software.
19	MR. EBERHART: Mike, would you hand the witness
20	Exhibit 1260, please.
21	Charlie, this is already in evidence, so you
22	should put it up.
23	BY MR. EBERHART:
24	Q Taking a look at the second page of Exhibit 1260, this
25	is the EchoStar ECM history document that we reviewed on

	Page 2
1	Friday.
2	A Yes.
3	Q This document begins with ECM Number 4 in
4	November 2000. Why doesn't this document list ECMs 1, 2 and
5	3?
6	A I don't know.
7	Q When was the first ECM performed against piracy of the
8	EchoStar system?
9	A I don't recall the date.
10	Q You were in charge of ECMs, though, correct?
11	A I was in charge of countermeasures, yes.
12	Q And was that first ECM undertaken in response to
13	EchoStar's demand for a card swap?
14	A I was doing countermeasures. I was not aware of any
15	counter of any card swaps at that time.
16	MR. EBERHART: Michael, could you show the witness
17	Exhibit 828, please.
18	It was already in evidence, Charlie.
19	BY MR. EBERHART:
20	Q Mr. Kudelski, Exhibit 828 is a letter dated July 2nd,
21	1999, from EchoStar to NagraStar demanding a card swap in
22	response to a compromise of the Nagra security system,
23	correct?
24	A That is what is written.
25	Q But it's your testimony you weren't aware of any demand

	raye .
1	for a card swap made by EchoStar in the 1999 period?
2	A No, I'm not aware of that.
3	Q Moving to another topic, sir.
4	Isn't it a fact that NagraVision and NagraCard
5	collected information related to DirecTV piracy?
6	A As far as I'm concerned, we saw things on the internet
7	regarding NagraVision and other systems, such as DirecTV.
8	Q And your team sometimes collected the information
9	regarding piracy of the DirecTV system, correct?
10	A Yes, we showed what was state of the art on the
11	internet.
12	Q It's a fact, though, isn't it, sir, that your team
13	actually obtained the contents of websites that were devoted
14	to DirecTV piracy?
15	A We we could see the information on the two systems,
16	since they were the same systems as the ones that were
17	holding the information about our own system.
18	(Interruption in the proceedings.)
19	THE WITNESS: The the sites that were showing
20	the information were the same for the both systems, so yes,
21	we saw the information on the other system as well.
22	BY MR. EBERHART:
23	Q Did your team ever obtain a complete dump of a site
24	devoted to DirecTV piracy?
25	A What kind of a dump?

1 A dump of all the contents of the site? Q 2 In general, when you conduct monitoring, you take all Α 3 the information that is on the site. I -- I cannot say if 4 we had a complete or a partial dump of the site, the site 5 that contained information for us and for DirecTV. 6 MR. EBERHART: Michael, please show the witness 7 Exhibit 1672. 8 BY MR. EBERHART: 9 Mr. Kudelski, Exhibit 1672 is another monitoring report Q 10 from Joel Conus, and this report is dated July 26th, 2001, 11 correct? 12 Yes, that is what is written. Α 13 Q And you received this in the course of your work for 14 NagraVision, NagraCard, correct? 15 Yes. Α 16 MR. EBERHART: Defendants offer Exhibit 1672, 17 your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Any objection? 19 MR. NOLL: No objection. 20 THE COURT: Received. 21 (Defendants' Exhibit No. 1672 is received 22 into evidence.) 23 BY MR. EBERHART: 24 About three-quarters of the way down the page, sir, Q 25 Mr. Conus writes as follows:

	Fage 2
1	"The hackhu.com site has closed down. It was mainly
2	about hacking the latest DTV," that means DirecTV, "card,
3	the HU. If anyone is interested, I have made a complete
4	dump of that site a few months ago."
5	Did I read that correctly?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And Mr. Conus goes on to write, "It contains some
8	pretty valuable information about DTV hacking," correct?
9	A That's what is written.
10	Q And that was valuable information about hacking the
11	DirecTV system, correct?
12	A Those were useful information. I cannot say it was for
13	hacking DirecTV.
14	Q Doesn't Mr. Conus write that the information is, quote,
15	"about DirecTV hacking"?
16	A Yes, that's correct. That's what he says.
17	Q Why was information about DirecTV hacking pretty
18	valuable to NagraVision and NagraCard?
19	A In order to learn the hackers and figure out the
20	hackers, it's important to know their language. The DirecTV
21	hacks were the first ones, and therefore, the the
22	language that was implemented at that time was the one used
23	later on. And in order for us to understand what they were
24	talking about for us, it was important for us to read those
25	reports in order to figure out what they were saying for us,

	Page
1	and just in order to learn the language.
2	Q So at the time your team pulled this dump of the
3	hackhu.com site, is it your testimony that the EchoStar
4	system was not hacked?
5	A No, I didn't say that.
6	Q So at the time your team pulled this complete dump of
7	the hackhu.com site, EchoStar was already hacked, correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q But it's your testimony that you needed to pull the
10	information from a DirecTV hacking site in order to
11	understand the EchoStar hacks; is that correct?
12	A No. I said that for us to understand when you're
13	attacked, the vocabulary of the hacker, it is necessary for
14	us to understand the terms, the terminology. And the
15	terminology used is the same for both, and that's why we
16	went into the habit of reading what happened to both
17	systems.
18	Q Now, you went further and set up a security lab in
19	Denver that had DirecTV piracy devices in it, correct?
20	A The lab that was put in place in Denver was there to
21	make a triage of the different existing devices.
22	Q And those included DirecTV devices, correct?
23	A Yes, because when we obtained the devices, we did not
24	know if they worked for us or for DirecTV. Quite often when
25	they sell a device, for one, it will not work on one, but it

1 will work on the other. 2 And you would test those devices to see whether they 0 3 worked on the DirecTV system, correct? 4 First of all, to check or see if they worked on our Α 5 devices, and then if they didn't, to see what else was --6 they were doing, basically. 7 So if that piracy device didn't work on the EchoStar Q 8 system, you would hook it up to a DirecTV system and see if 9 the piracy device worked for DirecTV, correct? 10 Yes, I wasn't doing that personally, but we thought Α 11 that was a good thing to do to -- somebody in the 12 audience -- yeah, I had asked to make a triage and to only 13 get the interesting devices, but I cannot say that that is 14 indeed what happened. 15 And when the team in Denver tested DirecTV piracy Q 16 devices, they obtained without a subscription the DirecTV 17 programming, correct? 18 I do not understand the question. Α 19 You testified earlier that the team in the Denver lab  $\cap$ 20 tested DirecTV piracy devices, correct? 21 That was -- it was asked of them to check and see which А 22 system the device would operate, would be operable on. 23 0 So they tested those devices against both the EchoStar 24 system and the DirecTV system, correct? 25 I cannot say what they actually did. That was what was А

1	asked of them.
2	Q So they were asked to test against both the EchoStar
3	system and the DirecTV system, correct?
4	A They were asked to do triage and to select the devices
5	for us, but, however, I cannot say if that is actually what
6	was implemented.
7	Q Isn't it a fact that the security lab in Denver was
8	specifically directed to obtain the most popular DirecTV
9	piracy device?
10	A I don't remember that part explicitly, but that is a
11	possibility.
12	MR. EBERHART: Michael, please show the witness
13	Exhibit 683.
14	This is in evidence, Charlie.
15	BY MR. EBERHART:
16	Q Mr. Kudelski, this is an e-mail that has two parts to
17	it. The first part on December 17th, 2002, is written by
18	you, and the second part, also on December 17th, 2002, is
19	written by Alan Guggenheim, correct?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And Alan Guggenheim's e-mail is discussing organizing
22	the security lab in Denver, correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And he instructs Peter Kuykendall to "Set up and
25	maintain the security lab with, among other things, DirecTV,

	Page :
1	one device, the most popular," correct?
2	A Yes, that was the anticipated work. That was the
3	target work.
4	Q And that was the most popular DirecTV piracy device at
5	that time, correct?
6	A It says that it was interested in putting the most
7	popular the most popular into the lab, yes.
8	Q And those were the most popular piracy devices,
9	correct?
10	A I cannot say.
11	Q You cannot say whether what Mr. Guggenheim was
12	directing Mr. Kuykendall to do was to acquire the most
13	popular piracy devices for the Denver security lab?
14	A That's not written in here.
15	Q Well, let's take a little closer look, sir.
16	Mr. Guggenheim writes, "This is to confirm what we
17	expect and how we are getting organized for the security lab
18	in Denver," correct?
19	A Yes, that is what is written.
20	Q He goes on to write, "Your function for this part of
21	your job will be," and then he gives six numbered tasks,
22	correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And number two includes "Set up and maintain the
25	security lab with DirecTV, one device, the most popular,"

	Page
1	correct?
2	A (No audible response.)
3	Q And number three
4	THE INTERPRETER: Just a minute.
5	THE WITNESS: The device, I do not know if he's
6	talking about set-top boxes or something else.
7	BY MR. EBERHART:
8	Q Well, we are going to continue reading, sir. I think
9	it becomes abundantly clear.
10	He goes on to write in number three, "Keep up to date
11	and send to Cheseaux daily the devices status report, what
12	is working, what is not," correct?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And number four, "Send to Cheseaux regular updates on
15	all new devices, including schematics, software, hardware,
16	how to make it work for dummies document," correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And number five, "Report on effect of your lab of ECMs
19	and fixes," correct?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And number six, "Analysis and identification of
22	potential weaknesses in pirate devices for Cheseaux to
23	design ECMs," correct?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And so the devices being set up in the Denver lab were

	Page .
1	piracy devices, correct?
2	A For the EchoStar parts, yes, that's true. And for the
3	DirecTV part, most probably.
4	Q So your best
5	A I I cannot affirm that. I cannot confirm that.
6	Q Your best testimony is that most probably the Denver
7	lab was setting up DirecTV piracy devices, correct?
8	A I I could not say what it meant exactly for DTV.
9	Q Do you believe that Denver security lab was reverse
10	engineering legitimate DirecTV set-top boxes and cards?
11	A Could you repeat the question, please?
12	Q Do you believe the Denver security lab was reverse
13	engineering legitimate DirecTV set-top boxes and Smart
14	Cards?
15	A No, no, we cannot say that. As far as I am concerned,
16	they were not doing that. As far as I'm concerned, it was
17	just a matter of triage, the triage of devices. I don't see
18	any reason why they would do reverse engineering.
19	Q That was a triage of pirate devices, correct, sir?
20	A Yes, that was the objective.
21	Q At the time of this e-mail, December December 17th,
22	2002, who was Peter Kuykendall?
23	A It was somebody who worked for NagraStar.
24	Q So Peter Kuykendall was the NagraStar employee who was
25	directed to set up the security lab in Denver, correct?

	rage .
1	A Yes, that's what is written.
2	Q Let's look down at your portion of Exhibit 683, the
3	earlier e-mail, which you wrote in French, correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And looking at the second paragraph of that e-mail, and
6	specifically, at the last two sentences of that e-mail, you
7	write that "Mr. Kuykendall is not to have access to any of
8	Nagra's documents. He is supposed to give us the point of
9	view of a hacker," correct?
10	A Yes, that's what is written. That's what I've written.
11	Q So your intention in setting up the security lab in
12	Denver was to have your employee, or the NagraStar employee,
13	Peter Kuykendall, give you the point of view of a hacker,
14	correct?
15	A No. The objective what I meant in the sentence I
16	wrote was that he should not have any internal information
17	from Nagra, and that he would learn from what is published
18	on the internet, and and that he should see the point of
19	view of the hacker at that level. The objective was to see
20	how they would use the information on the internet to
21	program the devices without having any other information.
22	Q So you thought it was valuable to NagraStar and
23	EchoStar to have someone inside the company who had the
24	point of view of a hacker, correct?
25	A It was important to have somebody who didn't have any

	raye .
1	internal information in order to see how he was going to
2	work with what was published by the hackers.
3	Q And what you wrote is "He will give us the point of
4	view of the hackers," correct?
5	A My exact description was "the point of view of what he
6	can learn from a hacker."
7	Q Sir, does the word "learn" appear anywhere in that last
8	sentence?
9	A That is what I meant. That is what I wanted to say.
10	Q But you didn't write that in this sentence, did you?
11	A That is what you need to read into "the point of view
12	of a hacker."
13	MR. EBERHART: I I would like the translator to
14	literally translate the last sentence of the second
15	paragraph, please.
16	THE INTERPRETER: "Peter is going to provide us
17	with all the devices and the programmers, in parentheses,
18	(and the schematics), closed parentheses
19	MR. EBERHART: That's the wrong sentence, sir.
20	I'd like the last sentence of the prior paragraph, please.
21	THE INTERPRETER: "He is going to give us the
22	point of view of the hackers only."
23	BY MR. EBERHART:
24	Q The word "learn" does not appear in that sentence, does
25	it, sir?

	Page .
1	A No, but I explained the objective.
2	Q Let's take a look at the first sentence you wrote in
3	that e-mail. And you're indicating there that you are going
4	to put Peter Kuykendall on the employee list for ExpressVu,
5	correct?
6	
	A Yes, that's what is written.
7	Q So Mr. Kuykendall was going to be carried on the books
8	of ExpressVu as their employee, correct?
9	A No, that's not what I said.
10	Q Okay. What does that first sentence say, sir, the last
11	part that says "You can put Peter on the list for
12	ExpressVu"?
13	A That was that meant that he could receive the
14	monitoring reports for ExpressVu.
15	Q Isn't that contrary, sir, to your statement below that
16	he is not to have access to any Nagra documents?
17	A No, not at all, because the information contained in
18	the monitoring reports is what comes from the internet.
19	Q So he was not allowed to have any documents from Nagra,
20	but he was allowed to have the monitoring reports; that's
21	your testimony?
22	A The they they do not give out any information
23	from people in the development field. The monitoring
24	reports are things that are are information that are
25	internal, but not internal to the company. They are from

	Page	_
1	the internet.	
2	Q Are you aware of an individual who went by the name	
3	"Voyager"?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q And Voyager sorry, sir. Were you still finishing	
6	your answer?	
7	A Yes, I know the nickname.	
8	Q And Voyager is an individual whose real name is	
9	William Janson, correct?	
10	A That's what I heard.	
11	Q And Mr. Janson was a pretty dangerous pirate, correct?	
12	A I I do not I am not aware of that statement.	
13	MR. EBERHART: Michael, please show the witness	
14	Exhibit 829.	
15	BY MR. EBERHART:	
16	Q Sir, Exhibit 829 is an e-mail from Joel Conus dated	
17	March 21 I'm sorry, March 26th, 2001, to you and others,	
18	correct?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q And you received this as part of your work for	
21	NagraVision, NagraCard?	
22	A Yes.	
23	MR. EBERHART: Defendants offer Exhibit 829,	
24	your Honor.	
25	THE COURT: Any objection?	

		Page
1		MR. NOLL: No objection.
2		THE COURT: Received.
3		(Defendants' Exhibit No. 829 is received into
4		evidence.)
5	BY M	R. EBERHART:
6	Q	And in Exhibit 829, Mr. Conus writes to you, "Voyager
7	seem	s to be quite well-informed apart from that. I wish we
8	knew	who he is. He seems pretty dangerous," correct?
9	A	That's what is written.
10	Q	And so Mr. Conus was saying that Voyager, who we now
11	know	is William Janson, was a pretty dangerous pirate,
12	corr	ect?
13	A	That that was his opinion at that time.
14	Q	Did you have a different opinion about Voyager?
15	A	Yes, I have a different opinion. I think he's a good
16	pers	on.
17	Q	So Mr. Conus thought that Voyager was a pretty
18	dang	erous pirate, but you think he's a good person?
19	A	Yes, at that time, that's what he thought.
20	Q	But you think, contrary to Mr. Conus, that Voyager is a
21	good	person?
22	A	I said now, for me, it's a good person.
23	Q	And the Kudelski Group hired Voyager, correct?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	And Voyager is named William Janson, correct; we

Fage
covered that?
A That was a nickname he was using.
Q And Mr. Janson was involved in satellite piracy,
correct?
A That is what I've been told. I can't say that it was
Voyager.
Q And he's still working for the Kudelski Group today,
correct?
A Yes.
Q One last thing, sir. In Exhibit 829, Mr. Conus writes,
"What about starting to do some stalking on that guy,"
referring to Voyager. "Can you get his IP from a forum?
Maybe GS2 can help."
Did I read that correctly?
A Yes.
Q And GS2 is Charles Perlman, correct?
A I don't know.
Q You don't know.
But what Mr. Conus is writing is that GS2 could help
you get an IP address for Voyager from one of the pirate
forums, correct?
A That's what is written.
Q And you have no reason to believe that GS2 is anyone
other than Charles Perlman, do you?
A I don't I don't recall having seen GS2. I don't

	Page
1	remember.
2	Q Are you aware that NagraStar still employs
3	Charles Perlman today?
4	A No.
5	MR. EBERHART: Pass the witness.
6	
	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
7	MR. NOLL: Thank you, your Honor.
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. NOLL:
10	Q Good morning, Mr. Kudelski.
11	A Hello.
12	Q David Noll on behalf of the plaintiffs, EchoStar,
13	NagraStar. I just have a few questions for you this
14	morning, sir.
15	Now, you recall counsel for NDS showed you
16	Exhibit 511-A, which we know is the xbr21 post; do you
17	recall that, sir?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Now, I understood your testimony to be that this was a
20	hack recipe; is that right?
21	A Yes, it's a hacker's recipe.
22	Q Okay. Now, do ECMs you testified about them
23	earlier. Do ECMs attack hack recipes, sir?
24	A No. ECMs attack cards. They attack pirate devices,
25	but not the recipes.

	raye
1	Q And it's true that the patches that we talked about, or
2	that you talked about in your testimony, are what actually
3	attacked the pirate recipe, such as what we are seeing in
4	the xbr21 post; is that correct?
5	A The patch protects against recipes.
6	Q And I believe your testimony today and on Friday is
7	that your work at NagraVision and NagraCard is on the ECMs
8	and not the patches; is that correct, sir?
9	A Yes, I work only on ECMs. The patches are made by the
10	development team.
11	Q So if we wanted to know what the patches to the Smart
12	Card code did, we would have to ask somebody else from
13	NagraVision or NagraCard, such as Christophe Nicolas; is
14	that correct, sir?
15	A Yes, it is Christophe Nicolas who is had
16	Christophe Nicolas is Olivier Brique's supervisor, and they
17	deal with development.
18	Q And you were the team leader of the countermeasure
19	efforts that NagraVision and NagraCard does for EchoStar; is
20	that correct?
21	A Yeah, I that was my function, my position at the
22	time, and my supervisor was also Christophe Nicolas.
23	Q And is it your testimony, sir, that NagraVision and
24	NagraCard had to do many ECMs after the December 2000
25	postings?

1 Yes, we had to do many ECMs. А 2 And is the reason that NagraVision and NagraCard had to 0 3 do all these ECMs was because the pirates were continuing to 4 attack the EchoStar Smart Card with the information they 5 learned from the December postings? 6 MR. EBERHART: Objection. Calls for speculation. 7 THE COURT: Overruled. 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, and the reason is that when you 9 publish an ECM, the ECM is valid only at the time it is 10 published, and only then. And after that, you always have 11 devices that find -- that circumvent the device. 12 THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry, "that circumvent the 13 ECM." 14 BY MR. NOLL: 15 Let's talk just for a moment about the Denver lab. Q 16 Do you recall you just gave some testimony about a lab 17 in Denver for pirate devices? 18 Α Yes. 19 Now, counsel asked you if you were -- what you were 0 20 doing with these pirate devices, and I believe your 21 testimony was that this lab was a triage; do you recall 22 that? 23 Α Yes, and that was the objective that I was -- I saw in 24 it for my team. 25 Do you know if NagraStar was creating piracy devices 0

1 against the DirecTV system in this lab in Denver? 2 I've never heard that would create any type of pirate А 3 devices. 4 So according to your testimony, EchoStar, NagraStar, 0 5 NagraVision were just collecting piracy devices that were on 6 the open market at this triage lab in Denver; is that 7 correct? 8 Yes, that was the objective. Α 9 And the reason was to analyze these piracy devices and 0 10 to understand how they worked; is that correct? 11 It was to see if they worked, and to receive them and А 12 prepare countermeasures, and the objective was to make them 13 work. 14 0 Now, on Friday, you testified that Alan Guggenheim --15 you confirmed to Alan Guggenheim in an e-mail that the 16 NagraVision research and development department told you 17 that the ROM 3 patch that was originally issued was 18 categorically effective; do you recall that? 19 Yes, that was my opinion at that time, but I saw later Α 20 on that that was false. 21 And so your opinion changed; is that right? Q 22 Yes, my opinion changed. I -- we did not think that a Α 23 card could get attacked again, but our opinion changed over 24 time. 25 And was the hole in the card opened over time? Ο

	-	Page
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And how do you know that?
3	A	Because I looked at the monitoring reports, and I saw
4	that	the card was reopened.
5	Q	You were showed a series of monitoring reports by
6	defe	ndants' counsel that said the card the hole was
7	clos	ed; do you recall that?
8	A	Yes, I saw the reports.
9	Q	And so I understand you correctly, your testimony is
10	ther	e's certain reports that indicate otherwise, that the
11	hole	is open; is that correct?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Now, another question you were asked by by defense
14	coun	sel was why no reports were produced after 2003; do you
15	reca	ll that?
16	A	Could you please ask the question again?
17	Q	Sure. I believe counsel showed you a report that
18	rela	ted to monitoring of Bell ExpressVu around the 2003 time
19	fram	e; do you recall that?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And then counsel asked, why are there no EchoStar
22	repo	rts around this 2003 time frame; do you recall that?
23	A	Yes, I remember.
24	Q	Well, were you aware, sir, that the parties, the
25	plai	ntiff and defendant in this case, agreed not to produce

1 any documents after June 2003? 2 I didn't remember the date. Α 3 MR. NOLL: Okay. 4 I am going to pass the witness. No further 5 questions. 6 THE COURT: Redirect. 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. EBERHART: 9 Two questions, Mr. Kudelski. Q 10 Are you aware that the last monitoring report that was 11 produced to us for EchoStar is dated January of 2003? 12 А No, I didn't know. I had no knowledge of that. 13 I'd like you to take a look at Exhibit 1260. Q 14 Exhibit 1260 is the EchoStar ECM history that we 15 reviewed on Friday and today. Isn't it a fact, sir, that 16 that document reflects ECMs were performed prior to the 17 December 2000 postings? 18 А That is what is written. 19 And it's also a fact that this ECM history omits ECMs Q 20 Number 1, 2 and 3 that would have occurred prior to 21 November 2000, correct? 22 Yes, at first glance. There is no description here. А 23 Q Now, you testified on Friday that --24 Α Excuse me. 25 Go ahead. Q

	Fage
1	A Could you repeat your
2	Q Isn't it a fact, sir, that Exhibit 1260, which is the
3	EchoStar ECM history, omits discussion of ECM 1, ECM 2 and
4	ECM 3?
5	A Could you please repeat the question before, before
6	that one.
7	Q Isn't it true, sir, that you conducted ECMs prior to
8	the postings in December 2000?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And isn't it true, sir, that Exhibit 1260 omits a
11	description of ECM 1, ECM 2 and ECM 3?
12	A They are not documented.
13	Q And those ECMs would have occurred prior to the date of
14	ECM Number 4, which is November of 2000, correct?
15	A Yes, that seems to be reasonable.
16	Q Now, you testified today that your opinion about
17	whether the February 2001 patch was categorically effective
18	had changed, correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And did your opinion change since last Friday when you
21	gave your testimony about that categorically effective
22	patch?
23	A As far as I'm concerned, it was efficient at the date.
24	Q Mr. Kudelski, you testified that sorry, you are
25	still continuing? If you have something to add to your

1	answer, please continue.
2	A That is what the development team had told me. And as
3	far as I'm concerned, yes, I I considered that at that
4	particular date or point in time, it was efficient.
5	Q Now, Mr. Kudelski, your counsel asked you about
6	Mr. Conus's monitoring reports, correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And you testified that you thought there were other
9	reports from Mr. Conus that showed the ROM 3 hole as open,
10	correct?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Did your counsel show this jury any report after the
13	February 2001 patch that showed the hole as open?
14	A As far as I'm concerned, it was a given. As as far
15	as I'm concerned, they must have it.
16	Q Are you aware of any reports in 2002 or 2003 that show
17	the ROM 3 hole as open?
18	A I can't be specific about the dates, but I know that
19	between 2001, 2002 and 2003, there were reports where the
20	card was reopened.
21	MR. EBERHART: May I approach, your Honor?
22	THE COURT: You may.
23	BY MR. EBERHART:
24	Q Mr. Kudelski, I've shown you I've handed you an
25	additional report from Mr. Conus in August of 2001, correct?

	Page 4
1	A Yes.
2	Q And that additional report also shows that the hole is
3	closed as of August 2001, correct?
4	A Yes. Yes, it says it's closed on certain cards.
5	Q And it says it's closed on the ROM 3 card, correct?
6	A It says it was closed on certain 003 cards, and that
7	other cards had a blocker.
8	Q And that's the same text that we saw in a number of
9	Mr. Conus's reports that we discussed this morning and last
10	Friday, correct?
11	A In essence, that's what we saw on certain dates.
12	Different reports say different things.
13	Q But at this time, this jury hasn't been shown any
14	reports that show something different after February 2001,
15	correct?
16	A I haven't seen it here.
17	Q In August of 2001, that document shows that the ROM 3
18	hole was closed, correct?
19	A Yes, that is what is written.
20	Q I
21	A It says it is closed, and that other cards have a
22	blocker.
23	Q Okay. And I've shown you additional reports this
24	morning in December of 2001 that show "The hole was closed.
25	Some cards have blocker software," correct?

1	A Yes, you showed us other reports that had this
2	sentence.
3	Q And I showed you additional reports in 2002 and 2003
4	that show that "The hole was closed," the ROM 3 hole was
5	closed. Some cards had blocker software," correct?
6	A Yes, you showed us that.
7	Q And at the time of the 2002 withdrawn.
8	At the time the decision was made to conduct a card
9	swap of the EchoStar system, the ROM 3 hole was still
10	closed, wasn't it?
11	A I do not know at which on which date the card swap
12	occurred.
13	Q And you don't know the
14	A I do not know at what time it was scheduled.
15	Q You don't know when the decision was made to conduct a
16	card swap of the EchoStar DNASP-II system?
17	A No, I do not know when the decision was made to make a
18	swap. I was not involved in the swap aspect.
19	MR. EBERHART: Defendants offer the additional
20	August 2001 report shown to Mr. Kudelski.
21	THE COURT: What exhibit number?
22	MR. EBERHART: We need to assign a new exhibit
23	number to it, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Do so.
25	MR. EBERHART: We will do so.

l

	Page s
1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MR. EBERHART: Michael, what's next in order?
3	MR. O'DONNELL: 2501.
4	MR. EBERHART: 2501, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: 2501.
6	Any objection to its receipt?
7	MR. NOLL: No objection.
8	THE COURT: Received.
9	(Defendants' Exhibit No. 2501 is received
10	into evidence.)
11	MR. EBERHART: Nothing further.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Recross.
13	We'll take a break right after recross.
14	RECROSS EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. NOLL:
16	Q Mr. Kudelski, Mr. Eberhart asked you if you were ever
17	shown any report that indicated that the ROM 3 hole was open
18	in the 2002 time frame; do you recall that?
19	A Yes, he asked that.
20	Q And your testimony is that you have seen reports that
21	indicate that the ROM 3 hole was open in the 2002 time
22	frame; is that correct, sir?
23	MR. EBERHART: Objection. Misstates prior
24	testimony.
25	THE WITNESS: Yes, I saw reports saying that it

1 was open in the time frame 2001, 2003. 2 BY MR. NOLL: 3 And in the same time frame, you are aware of reports 4 that indicate that the hole can be reopened as well, 5 correct? 6 Α Yes. 7 MR. NOLL: Okay. No further questions. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Kudelski, we are going to place 9 you on a 72-hour call, as we are with all of those persons 10 testifying outside of the continental United States. If 11 you're needed back, we'll be courteous. We'll notify you, 12 but we'll expect you to be back in court within 72 hours. I 13 doubt that you will testify, but just in case, we don't want 14 to have to find you. I am going to ask you to remain 15 available no later than the second week in May. 16 Let's just arbitrarily pick a date. I'm holding 17 everybody else until May 15th. 18 Counsel, I'm going to hold Mr. Kudelski and the 19 remainder of the witnesses, at the maximum, until May 15th, 20 I think that's a safe date. now. 21 All right. May 15th. We think the case will 22 conclude earlier, but May 15th, and we'll notify you. 23 Thank you very much. You may step down. 24 Ladies and gentlemen, why don't you take a recess. 25 You're admonished not to discuss this matter

1	amongst yourselves, nor form or express any opinion				
2	concerning the case.				
3	Counsel, have a nice recess.				
4	(Recess.)				
5	-000-				
6	CERTIFICATE				
7					
8	I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753,				
9	Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing is a true and				
10	correct transcript of the stenographically reported				
11	proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the				
12	transcript page format is in conformance with the				
13	regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.				
14					
15	Date: April 30, 2008				
16					
17					
18					
	JANE C.S. RULE, U.S. COURT REPORTER				
19	CSR NO. 9316				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

[				raye 1
· · · · · ·	52.15	blooked 24.17	40.4 6 7 21 25	acmb at 22,19
A	53:15	<b>blocked</b> 24:17	49:4,6,7,21,25	combat 22:18
above-entitled	arbitrarily 52:16	<b>blocker</b> 7:4,8,16	50:5	comes 37:18
53:11	art 26:10	7:17 8:17 11:8	carried 37:7	commend 22:20
Absolutely 5:12	asked 30:12,21	11:13,17,25	CARTER 1:3	company 22:16
19:24	31:1,2,4 43:19	17:4 18:2 19:4,6	case 45:25 52:13	35:23 37:25
abundantly 33:9	45:13,21 48:5	23:1,1,25 24:1	52:21 53:2	complete 9:17
accepted 14:10,20	51:16,19	24:15,17 49:7	categorically	26:23 27:4 28:3
15:7	aspect 50:18	49:22,25 50:5	44:18 47:17,21	29:6
access 15:2,7 35:7	assign 50:22	blockers 7:13	Center 2:13	compromise
37:16	ASSOCIATES	8:23 11:9 24:7	CENTRAL 1:2	25:22
acquire 32:12	2:3	<b>body</b> 20:12 22:14	certain 8:22 20:18	concerned 9:15
action 19:21 20:6	attack 13:8,24	Bongard 16:16	45:10 49:4,6,11	11:22 19:16
20:8	41:23,24,24	<b>books</b> 37:7	CERTIFICATE	26:6 34:15,16
add 10:6 47:25	43:4	<b>bottom</b> 24:12	53:6	47:23 48:3,14
additional 10:6,9	attacked 12:10,11	<b>boxes</b> 33:6 34:10	certify 12:14 53:8	48:15
16:8 48:25 49:2	13:13,17,22	34:13	<b>CHAD</b> 2:4	concerning 53:2
49:23 50:3,19	29:13 42:3	break 51:13	<b>change</b> 47:20	conclude 52:22
-	44:23	briefly 5:6	changed 44:21,22	conduct 27:2 50:8
<b>address</b> 18:11 40:20	attacks 24:11	Brique's 42:16	44:23 47:18	50:15
40:20 admonished	Attorneys 2:3,6	broadcast 14:7,8	changes 17:8	conducted 47:7
	2:10,13,18,20	14:13,14,18	charge 25:10,11	Conference 53:13
52:25	audible 33:2	15:5	Charles 40:16,24	confirm 12:4
advances 22:20	audience 30:12	<b>buffer</b> 11:19	41:3	32:16 34:5
affirm 34:5	August 10:18		<b>Charlie</b> 6:2 12:25	confirmed 44:15
<b>ago</b> 28:4	11:15 17:7	С	24:21 25:18	conformance
agreed 45:25	48:25 49:3,17	California 1:2,17	31:14	53:12
ahead 46:25	50:20	1:23 2:15,21 4:1	<b>check</b> 30:4,21	congratulated
<b>al</b> 1:6,9 2:2,9	available 52:15	call 52:9	Cheseaux 33:11	21:1,7
Alan 17:12 21:15	aware 12:15,18	called 18:11		congratulates
22:4 31:19,21	-	Calls 43:6	33:14,22	23:24
44:14,15	25:14,25 26:2	card 8:20 11:7,9	CHRISTINE 2:5	
allowed 13:8	38:2,12 41:2	14:6,23 17:3,23	<b>Christophe</b> 42:13	<b>Congratulations</b>
37:19,20	45:24 46:10	18:2 25:13,15	42:15,16,22	22:8
<b>amount</b> 22:16	48:16 52:3	25:21 26:1 28:2	<b>circumvent</b> 43:11	congratulatory
<b>Ana</b> 1:17,23 4:1	<b>a.m</b> 4:3	42:12 43:4	43:12	23:20
Analysis 33:21	<u> </u>	42:12 43:4 44:23,25 45:4,6	claim 12:19 13:8	considered 48:3
analyze 44:9	back 4:7 52:11,12	44.23,23 43.4,6 48:20 49:5 50:8	clarify 21:5	constitutes 6:23
<b>answer</b> 38:6 48:1			clear 33:9	contained 27:5
anticipated 32:2	<b>basically</b> 30:6	50:11,16	closed 8:16,20,22	37:17
apart 39:7	Battery 2:14,20	cards 5:9,19,20	11:7,12,16,19	contains 28:7
appear 36:7,24	begins 25:3	7:3,12,13,13,15	12:1,7 15:20	contend 13:21
APPEARANCES	<b>behalf</b> 41:12	7:15,16 8:16,16	16:3,6 17:4 18:2	contents 26:13
2:1	belief 12:1	8:22,23 9:13,25	19:3,6 24:14	27:1
applicable 14:6	<b>believe</b> 9:18 10:5	10:1 11:8,12,16	28:1 36:18 45:7	continental 52:10
application 11:22	34:9,12 40:23	11:17,22,23	49:3,4,5,6,18,21	continue 33:8
13:15,17,20	42:6 43:20	12:9,11 13:8,23	49:24 50:4,5,10	48:1
applied 14:22,25	45:17	14:3,4,10,15,19	<b>closer</b> 32:15	continued 4:13,15
appreciate 22:19	<b>believed</b> 8:19 10:7	15:3,7 17:4 18:2	<b>code</b> 7:4,8,17	continuing 43:3
approach 48:21	<b>Bell</b> 17:18,21,23	19:3,3,5,6 24:1	42:12 53:9	47:25
April 1:18 4:1	45:18	24:7,14,17	collected 26:5,8	contrary 37:15
	<b>best</b> 34:4,6	34:10,14 41:24	collecting 44:5	39:20
	I	l		I

Page	2
------	---

				Page 2
<b>Conus</b> 6:5,8,12,17	48:6,10,25 49:3	<b>daily</b> 33:11	22:15	29:19,22,24
6:22 7:11,24	49:5,10,15,18	dangerous 38:11	demand 25:13,25	30:3,8,9,15,16
9:18,22 10:2,14	49:25 50:5	39:8,11,18	demanding 19:21	30:20,24 31:3,8
10:17 16:12	51:22 52:5	<b>DARIN</b> 2:11	25:21	31:25 32:4,25
23:9,19,24	53:10	date 7:10 12:4	<b>Denver</b> 29:19,20	34:3,7,10,13
27:10,25 28:7	<b>correctly</b> 6:25 7:5	14:22,25 15:5	30:15,19 31:7	44:1
28:14 38:16	22:22 24:2 28:5	17:5 18:4,6,16	31:22 32:13,18	disagree 9:16
39:6,10,17,20	40:14 45:9	19:5 25:9 33:10	33:25 34:6,9,12	discuss 52:25
40:10,19 48:9	counsel 2:1 4:6,7	46:2 47:13,23	34:25 35:12	discussed 19:19
48:25	4:8,19 5:3 41:15	48:4 50:11	43:15,17 44:1,6	49:9
<b>Conus's</b> 7:7 9:16	43:19 45:6,14	52:16,20 53:15	deny 15:14	discussing 5:8,16
10:5 15:11,25	45:17,21 48:5	dated 5:17 6:4	department 44:16	31:21
23:19 48:6 49:9	48:12 52:18	7:24 10:15,18	describes 6:8	discussion 47:3
<b>COO</b> 19:20	53:3	16:13,15 17:2	description 36:5	<b>DISH</b> 22:18
CORPORATI	<b>counter</b> 25:15	18:12 21:14	46:22 47:11	<b>District</b> 1:1,2,22
1:6 2:2	countermeasure	22:6 23:9,20	design 22:17	<b>DNASP</b> 16:5
correct 5:20 6:6	24:9 42:18	25:20 27:10	33:23	<b>DNASP-II</b> 50:16
6:15,17,19 7:9	countermeasures	38:16 46:11	developers 13:14	<b>DNASP-003</b> 5:19
7:14,18,25 8:3	8:5 22:10 25:11	dates 48:18 49:11	13:16,20 14:2	5:20 7:12 8:16
8:17,21,25 9:13	25:14 44:12	David 1:3 2:5,12	development	8:20 9:13 11:7
9:23 10:15,18	couple 10:9	41:12	37:23 42:10,17	11:12 17:3 19:3
10:21 11:8,13	course 8:2 27:13	day 1:10 4:2 5:17	44:16 48:2	24:14
11:17,20 12:3	court 1:1,22,22	15:15 19:10,16	<b>device</b> 29:25 30:7	document 19:2
12:13 13:9	4:6,12,23 8:8,10	23:20,23 24:9	30:9,22 31:9	24:25 25:3,4
14:11,19 15:3	10:25 11:2	days 4:21	32:1,4,25 33:5	33:16 46:16
15:20 16:6,13	16:21,23 18:20	deal 42:17	43:11	49:17
16:17 17:4,7,11	18:22 21:23,25	December 7:25	devices 23:1,25	documented
17:14,18,21,24	23:13,15 27:18	8:19 9:4,13 12:3	29:19,21,22,23	47:12
18:3,6,13,16	27:20 38:25	12:12,16 13:4	30:2,5,13,16,20	documents 4:19
19:4,11 20:6,9	39:2 41:6 43:7	31:17,18 34:21	30:23 31:4 32:8	4:21 5:3,5 35:8
20:17 21:2,16	46:6 48:22	34:21 42:24	32:13 33:11,15	37:16,19 46:1
22:6,9,12 23:2,9	50:21,24 51:1,5	43:5 46:17 47:8	33:22,25 34:1,7	<b>doing</b> 25:14 30:6
23:21 24:8,15	51:8,12 52:8,12	49:24	34:17,19 35:21	30:10 34:16
25:10,23 26:9	53:18	decision 50:8,15	36:17 41:24	43:20
27:11,14 28:8	courteous 52:11	50:17	43:11,17,20,25	Dominique 16:16
28:11,16 29:7	covered 40:1	dedication 22:20	44:3,5,9	<b>doubt</b> 52:13
29:11,19,22	create 44:2	defendant 45:25	devoted 26:13,24	drive 22:18
30:3,9,17,20,24	creating 43:25	defendants 1:10	different 19:18	<b>DTV</b> 28:2,8 34:8
31:3,19,22 32:1	Cross 3:6	2:9 3:14,15,16	20:13 29:21	<b>Dugan</b> 19:21 20:5
32:5,9,18,22	Cross-examinat	3:17,18,19,20	39:14,15 49:12	21:1,7 22:5,24
33:1,12,16,19	41:6,8	3:21,22 4:11 8:6	49:12,14	23:23
33:23 34:1,7,19	<b>CSR</b> 1:21 53:19	8:11 10:23 11:3	DILGER 2:11	<b>Dugan's</b> 20:12,21
34:25 35:3,9,14	current 6:9,13,18	16:19,24 18:18	direct 3:6 4:13,15	22:5,11 23:20
35:24 36:4 37:5	6:24	18:23 21:21	directed 31:8	dummies 33:16
37:8 38:9,11,18	customer 17:10	22:1 23:11,16	34:25	dump 26:23,25
39:8,12,23,25	17:17	27:16,21 38:23	directing 32:12	27:1,4 28:4 29:2
40:4,8,16,21	<b>C.S</b> 1:21 53:18	39:3 45:6 50:19	<b>DirecTV</b> 26:5,7,9	29:6
42:4,8,14,20	D	51:9	26:14,24 27:5	E
44:7,10 45:11		defense 45:13	28:2,11,13,15	
46:21 47:14,18	<b>D</b> 2:5,19 3:1	deliverables	28:17,20 29:10	<b>E</b> 3:1
	1	1	1	1

				I
earlier 30:19 35:3	46:16,19 47:7	21:22 22:1 23:6	14:17,19,22	getting 32:17
41:23 52:22	47:13	23:8,12,16,21	15:1,6,13 47:17	give 4:24 6:18
<b>Eberhart</b> 2:12 3:7	effect 33:18	24:13,20,24	48:13 49:14	7:22 35:8,13
4:14,16 5:2,15	effective 44:18	25:17,20 27:7,9	Federal 1:22	36:3,21 37:22
5:22,24 6:3 7:23	47:17,21	27:16,21 31:13	FEY 2:24	given 48:14
8:6,13 9:6,11	efficient 24:10	35:2 38:14,16	<b>field</b> 37:23	gives 6:8 13:10
10:13,23 11:5	47:23 48:4	38:23 39:3,6	<b>fight</b> 20:13 22:21	32:21
12:23 13:3	effort 22:16	40:10 41:16	fighting 20:22	glance 46:22
16:11,19 17:1	efforts 22:18	46:13,14 47:2	21:2,8	<b>Go</b> 46:25
18:8,10,18 19:1	42:19	47:10 50:21,22	figure 28:19,25	goes 6:22 28:7
20:4 21:11,13	elements 20:15	51:9	<b>find</b> 43:11 52:14	32:20 33:10
21:21 22:3 23:5	Embarcadero	Exhibits 3:11	finished 9:7	<b>going</b> 4:20 5:4
23:7,11,18	2:13	10:11,24 16:9	finishing 38:5	6:24 33:8 36:1
24:19,23 25:16	employee 34:24	16:20	first 8:15 25:7,12	36:16,21 37:3,7
25:19 26:22	35:12,12 37:4,8	existing 29:21	28:21 30:4	46:4 52:8,14,18
27:6,8,16,23	employs 41:2	expect 32:17	31:17 37:2,10	good 4:17,18 22:9
31:12,15 33:7	engineering 34:10	52:12	46:22	30:11 39:15,18
36:13,19,23	34:13,18	explained 37:1	<b>five</b> 33:18	39:21,22 41:10
38:13,15,23	entitled 20:5	explanation 20:11	<b>fix</b> 14:15	greatly 22:19
39:5 41:5 43:6	22:11	explicitly 31:10	fixes 33:19	Group 1:9 2:9
46:8 48:21,23	<b>essence</b> 49:11	exposed 12:2,19	<b>following</b> 4:4 6:22	39:23 40:7
50:19,22,25	et 1:6,9 2:2,9	express 53:1	6:25 14:8,18	<b>GS2</b> 40:13,16,19
51:2,4,11,16,23	everybody 52:17	ExpressVu 16:17	22:25	40:23,25
EchoStar 1:6 2:2	evidence 3:13 6:1	17:18,21,23	<b>follows</b> 27:25	Guggenheim
6:6 10:20 12:18	8:12 11:4 12:24	18:16,17 19:11	foregoing 53:9	17:12 21:15
13:8 18:5 19:8	16:25 18:24	37:4,8,12,14	<b>form</b> 53:1	22:4,8 31:19
19:13 20:14,17	22:2 23:17	45:18	format 53:12	32:11,16 44:14
20:22 21:8	24:21 25:18	<b>e-mail</b> 16:12	<b>forum</b> 40:12	44:15
24:25 25:8,21	27:22 31:14	18:11 19:20	forums 7:1 40:21	Guggenheim's
26:1 29:3,7,11	39:4 51:10	20:5,12,21 21:4	forwarding 22:5	31:21
30:7,23 31:2	<b>exact</b> 36:5	21:14 22:4,5,5	Fountainview 2:6	<b>guy</b> 40:11
34:2 35:23	exactly 34:8	22:11 23:20,21	<b>four</b> 33:14	<b>Guys</b> 20:5
41:12 42:19	examination 3:4	23:23 31:16,21	frame 45:19,22	
43:4 44:4 45:21	4:14,15 46:7	34:21 35:3,5,6	51:18,22 52:1,3	$\frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H}}$
46:11,14 47:3	51:14	37:3 38:16	Francisco 2:15,21	habit 29:16
50:9,16	example 17:20	44:15	French 2:24 35:3	hack 41:20,23
EchoStarD12V1	exception 19:17	F	<b>Friday</b> 5:8,17	hacked 29:4,7
1:25	Excuse 46:24		15:11 19:19	hacker 29:13 35:9
EchoStar's 19:20	exhibit 3:13 5:13	<b>fact</b> 26:4,12 31:7	20:3 25:1 42:6	35:13,19,24
25:13	5:16,23 7:11,20	46:15,19 47:2	44:14 46:15,23	36:6,12
ECM 22:25 23:24	7:22,24 8:7,11	facts 20:20	47:20 49:10	hackers 7:2 12:10
24:7,25 25:3,7	8:15,25 10:14	<b>false</b> 15:25 44:20	function 32:20	28:19,20 36:2,4
25:12 43:9,9,13	10:17 11:3,11	<b>familiar</b> 13:4	42:21	36:22
46:14,19 47:3,3	12:8 13:5,21	<b>far</b> 9:14 11:21	further 6:4 18:15	hacker's 41:21
47:3,4,11,11,11	14:4,9,15,21	19:15,15 26:6	29:18 46:4	hackhu.com 28:1
47:14	15:2,6,19 16:12	34:15,16 47:23	51:11 52:7	29:3,7
ECMs 13:13 25:4	16:15,24 17:2	48:3,14,14	G	hacking 7:1 28:2
25:10 33:18,23	17:20 18:1,9,11	farther 12:4		28:8,10,13,15
41:22,23,24	18:18,23 19:19	February 5:10,17	general 27:2	28:17 29:10
42:7,9,24 43:1,3	19:25 21:12,14	13:18,23 14:5,9	gentlemen 52:24	hacks 28:21 29:11
		l	1	1

				Page 4
HAGAN 2:4	identification	26:18	4:11,17 5:25	<b>listed</b> 11:16
halfway 6:10	3:13 33:21	<b>involved</b> 40:3	8:14 10:10,14	literally 36:14
hand 10:11 24:19	imagine 20:19	50:18	11:6 15:12,18	little 32:15
handed 48:24	22:10	<b>IP</b> 40:12,20	17:2 21:14 22:4	LLP 2:10,18
happened 29:16	immediately	<b>IRD</b> 24:1	23:8,19 25:20	longer 14:6,10
30:14	22:25	issued 5:9 14:5	27:9 31:16	15:2
happening 7:1	implemented	24:6 44:17	39:23 40:7	look 10:9 15:17
hard 22:19	28:22 31:6	24.0 44.17	41:10 46:9	16:8 24:12,24
hardware 33:15	important 28:20	J	47:24 48:5,24	32:15 35:2 37:2
HARTSON 2:18	28:24 35:25	Jane 1:21 53:18	50:20 51:16	46:13
header 22:13	included 29:22	<b>Janson</b> 38:9,11	52:8,18	looked 5:6 9:1
heard 38:10 44:2	includes 32:24	39:11,25 40:3	Kudelski's 4:13	15:11,19 17:7
held 53:11	including 33:15	<b>January</b> 16:13,15	Kuykendall 31:24	24:13 45:3
Hello 41:11	incomplete 9:18	17:2 18:6 19:22	32:12 34:22,24	looking 7:11 8:14
help 40:13,19	9:22 10:3,5	21:6 46:11	35:7,13 37:4,7	14:16 17:20
Henri 3:7 4:9,11	indicate 15:13	JEAN-MARIE		35:5
4:13	45:10 51:21	2:24	L	lot 15:11
hired 39:23	52:4	job 32:21	L 2:11,19	
history 24:25	indicated 51:17	<b>Joel</b> 6:5 10:14,17	lab 29:18,20	M
46:14,19 47:3	indicating 37:3	23:8 27:10	30:19 31:7,22	<b>M</b> 2:4,5
HOGAN 2:18	individual 38:2,8	38:16	31:25 32:7,13	maintain 31:25
hold 7:20 52:18	information 6:23	Judge 1:3 4:22	32:17,25 33:18	32:24
holding 26:17	10:6 23:3 26:5,8	Judicial 53:13	33:25 34:7,9,12	March 6:4 7:7
52:16	26:15,17,20,21	<b>July</b> 25:20 27:10	34:25 35:11	38:17,17
hole 8:16,20,22	27:3,5 28:8,10	<b>June</b> 18:12 19:8,9	43:15,16,21	market 44:6
11:7,12,16,19	28:12,14,17	19:13 46:1	44:1,6	<b>matter</b> 34:17
11:20 12:1,2,7	29:10 35:16,20	<b>jury</b> 1:16 4:5 12:6	Ladies 52:24	52:25 53:11
15:13,20 16:6	35:21 36:1	16:1 48:12	language 28:20	maximum 52:19
17:4 18:2 19:3	37:17,22,24	49:13	28:22 29:1	mean 14:23 15:10
24:14 44:25	43:4	K	latest 28:2	15:24 17:8
45:6,11 48:9,13	inside 35:23		launched 23:1	20:16 24:10
48:17 49:2,18	install 11:24	Keep 22:20 33:10	Law 2:3,6,10,13	means 20:10
49:24 50:4,4,9	instructs 31:24	<b>KENNETH</b> 2:19	2:18,20	24:16 28:2
51:17,21 52:4	intended 17:13	kill 24:1 kind 26:25	leader 8:4 42:18	meant 34:8 35:15
Honor 8:6,9	intention 35:11	<b>KLEIN</b> 2:19	learn 28:19 29:1	36:9 37:13
10:23 16:19,22	interested 28:3	knew 39:8	35:17 36:6,7,24	mention 9:2
18:19 21:21	32:6	<b>know</b> 12:7 13:12	learned 43:5	method 12:11,18
23:11 27:17	interesting 30:13	14:14 19:13	<b>legitimate</b> 34:10	13:7 14:3,9,20
38:24 41:7	internal 11:16	20:10,21 25:6	34:13	15:1,6 Michael 2:12 5:12
48:21 50:23	35:16 36:1	28:20 29:24	letter 25:20 let's 7:21 10:9	Michael 2:12 5:13 5:22 7:22 10:11
51:4	37:25,25	33:5 38:7 39:11	15:17 16:8	12:23 16:9 18:8
HONORABLE	<b>internet</b> 17:16	40:17,18 41:16	19:18 24:12	19:25 21:11
1:3	26:6,11 35:18	42:11 43:25	32:15 35:2 37:2	23:5 25:16 27:6
hook 30:8	35:20 37:18	45:2 46:12	43:15 52:16	31:12 38:13
hours 52:12	38:1	48:18 50:11,13	<b>level</b> 35:19	51:12 58:15
Houston 2:7	<b>interpreter</b> 2:24	50:14,15,17	limited 7:3	microphone 4:22
HU 28:3	4:22,25 9:5,7	knowledge 46:12	list 4:19 5:3 11:12	4:24
huge 22:16	33:4 36:16,21 43:12	Kristee 4:24	18:1,5 25:4 37:4	<b>Mike</b> 19:20 24:19
I	43.12 Interruption	Kudelski 3:7 4:9	37:11	minute 7:20 33:4

				Paye
Misstates 51:23	50:22	obtained 26:13	paragraph 35:5	39:11,18 40:20
moment 43:15	needed 22:17 29:9	29:23 30:16	36:15,20	41:24 42:3
monitoring 9:24	52:11	occurred 46:20	parentheses	43:17,20 44:2
9:25 14:16	Network 22:19	47:13 50:12	36:17,18	pirates 6:14 13:8
17:16 18:12	never 44:2	offer 8:6 10:23	part 20:24 21:3	43:3
27:2,9 37:14,18	new 33:15 50:22	18:18 21:21	21:18 31:10,17	place 29:20 52:8
37:20,23 45:3,5	nice 53:3	23:11 27:16	31:18 32:20	plaintiff 45:25
45:18 46:10	nickname 38:7	38:23 50:19	34:3 37:11	plaintiffs 1:7 2:2
48:6	40:2	<b>Official</b> 1:22	34.5 57.11	41:12
<b>months</b> 21:6 28:4	40.2 Nicolas 42:13,15	Official 1.22 Oh 4:23		PLC 1:9 2:9
	-		partial 27:4	
<b>morning</b> 4:17,18	42:16,22	<b>Okay</b> 8:19 12:6	particular 48:4	please 5:11,14,22
5:6,7 24:14	Noll 2:5 3:8 8:9	24:4,6 37:10	parties 45:24	6:20 7:22 9:5,5
41:10,14 49:9	11:1 16:22	41:22 46:3	parts 31:16 34:2	12:24 13:2 18:8
49:24	18:21 21:24	49:23 51:1,12	pass 41:5 46:4	20:1 21:12 23:5
<b>move</b> 16:19	23:14 27:19	52:7	patch 11:23,24	24:20 25:17
Moving 26:3	39:1 41:7,9,12	<b>Olivier</b> 42:16	12:10 14:7,7,8	27:6 31:12
<b>MYERS</b> 2:10	43:14 46:3 51:7	omits 8:24 46:19	14:12,14,18,25	34:11 36:15,20
<u> </u>	51:15 52:2,7	47:3,10	15:5,9 42:5	38:13 45:16
$\frac{1}{N 3:1}$	non-updated 7:3	ones 26:16 28:21	44:17 47:17,22	47:5 48:1
	normal 8:2	oOo 53:5	48:13	point 6:9,23 35:8
Nagra 5:9 8:19	normally 9:9 19:9	open 15:13 44:6	patches 42:1,8,9	35:13,18,24
9:19,23 12:18	Nos 3:15,16 11:3	45:11 48:9,13	42:11	36:3,5,11,22
13:13 25:22	16:24	48:17 51:17,21	people 37:23	48:4
35:17 37:16,19	note 22:14	52:1	performed 25:7	popular 31:8 32:1
NagraCard 8:3	<b>notify</b> 52:11,22	opened 44:25	46:16	32:4,7,7,8,13,25
21:19,20 26:4	November 21:15	operable 30:22	period 26:1	<b>portion</b> 12:9 35:2
27:14 28:18	22:6 23:9 24:6	operate 30:22	<b>Perlman</b> 40:16,24	position 42:21
38:21 42:7,13	25:4 46:21	<b>opinion</b> 9:20,21	41:3	possibility 31:11
42:19,24 43:2	47:14	9:22 39:13,14	person 39:16,18	possible 20:11
NagraStar 13:7	<b>number</b> 7:2 23:24	39:15 44:19,21	39:21,22	23:3
17:11,12,14	25:3 32:24 33:3	44:22,23 47:16	personally 30:10	post 13:4,10 21:9
25:21 34:23,24	33:10,14,18,21	47:20 53:1	persons 52:9	41:16 42:4
35:12,22 41:2	46:20 47:14	order 28:19,23,25	<b>Peter</b> 31:24 34:22	posting 12:3,12
41:13 43:25	49:8 50:21,23	29:1,10 36:1	34:24 35:13	12:15,19,21
44:4	numbered 32:21	51:2	36:16 37:4,11	postings 42:25
NagraVision 8:3		organized 32:17	<b>pick</b> 52:16	43:5 46:17 47:8
21:19,20 26:4,7		organizing 31:21	<b>piece</b> 23:4	potential 33:22
27:14 28:18	<b>O</b> 1:3	originally 44:17	<b>piracy</b> 6:5,13 9:19	<b>POV</b> 6:9,18,21
38:21 42:7,13	objection 8:8,9	outside 52:10	9:23 10:21	7:19
42:19,23 43:2	10:25 11:1	overflow 11:20	19:21 20:8,13	prepare 19:9
44:5,16	16:21,22 18:20	Overruled 43:7	20:16,22 21:2,8	44:12
Nagra's 11:15	18:21 21:23,24	<b>O'DONNELL</b>	22:18 25:7 26:5	prepared 9:18
35:8	23:13,14 27:18	2:12 51:3	26:9,14,24	preparing 19:7
name 3:6 38:2,8	27:19 38:25	<b>O'MELVENY</b>	29:19 30:7,9,15	presence 4:5
named 39:25	39:1 43:6 51:6,7	2:10	30:20 31:9 32:4	present 2:23 4:7
NATHANIEL	51:23		32:8,13 34:1,7	president 19:20
2:11	objective 34:20	P	40:3 43:25 44:5	PRESIDING 1:3
<b>NDS</b> 1:9 2:9 41:15	35:15,19 37:1	page 6:10 7:11	44:9	pretty 28:8,17
necessary 29:13	43:23 44:8,12	8:15 24:12,24	pirate 33:22	38:11 39:8,11
need 4:9,22 36:11	<b>obtain</b> 26:23 31:8	27:24 53:12	34:19 38:11	39:17
	I	I	l	I

Page	6
------	---

[				I age 0
previous 18:6	19:3 22:14	referring 40:12	24:13 28:25	19:5 21:3 24:13
prior 8:25 36:20	28:14	reflect 9:12	37:14,18,20,24	28:16 32:6
46:16,20 47:7		reflected 12:7	45:3,5,8,10,14	37:11 49:4,5,6
47:13 51:23	R	13:21	45:22 48:6,9,16	49:21
probably 34:3,6	<b>R</b> 2:12	reflects 5:19	48:19 49:9,12	scheduled 50:14
proceed 4:8	read 6:16 7:5	46:16	49:14,23 50:1,3	schematics 33:15
proceedings 1:15	22:22 24:2 28:5	regarding 26:7,9	51:20,25 52:3	36:18
4:4 26:18 53:11	28:24 36:11	regular 33:14	reprimand 10:2	screen 6:2
produce 45:25	40:14	regulations 53:13	research 44:16	second 6:20 24:24
produced 19:14	reading 6:25	related 26:5 45:18	<b>response</b> 25:12,22	31:18 35:5
45:14 46:11	29:16 33:8	relates 16:16	33:2	36:14 52:15
program 35:21	real 38:8	relevant 10:7	RESUMED 4:11	Section 53:8
programmers	realize 22:16	relied 17:13	return 4:9	secure 5:20 7:15
36:17	Really 15:17	remain 52:14	reverse 34:9,12	secured 5:9 7:12
programming	reason 9:8 34:18	remainder 52:19	34:18	security 8:4 20:15
30:17	40:23 43:2,8	remember 5:10	review 4:21 5:5	20:16,16 22:11
progress 21:2	44:9	5:21 19:19 20:2	reviewed 24:25	22:18 25:22
22:12	reasonable 47:15	31:10 41:1	46:15	29:18 31:7,22
protect 11:10,25	recall 10:4,8 25:9	45:23 46:2	RICHARD 2:19	31:25 32:13,17
protected 13:24	40:25 41:15,17	reopened 15:16	<b>right</b> 4:6 41:20	32:25 34:9,12
14:2	43:16,21 44:18	45:4 48:20 52:4	44:21 51:13	34:25 35:11
protects 42:5	45:7,15,19,22	repeat 5:1 13:1	52:21	see 6:10,11 9:2,8
provide 6:22	51:18	15:4 34:11 47:1	<b>rights</b> 7:13 8:24	9:24,25 12:20
36:16	<b>receipt</b> 51:6	47:5	9:12 11:10,25	20:15 23:3
provided 17:17	<b>receive</b> 14:23,24 37:13 44:11	repeating 6:14	<b>ROM</b> 5:9 13:8,22	26:15 30:2,4,5,8
17:21	received 5:6 8:2	rephrase 14:1	14:3,4,14,19	30:21 34:17
providing 6:13	8:10,11 11:2,4	<b>report</b> 5:8,11,16	15:2,7,20 16:5	35:18,19 36:1
10:2	11:23,24 12:10	5:25 6:8 9:1,12	17:23 18:1 24:7	44:11
publish 43:9 published 7:4,8	14:7 15:9 16:23	10:14,17 16:12 16:15 19:11,23	44:17 48:9,17 49:5,17 50:4,9	<b>seeing</b> 42:3 <b>seen</b> 12:17 13:6
7:18 35:17 36:2	16:25 18:22,23	20:3 27:9,10	51:17,21	40:25 49:16
43:10	21:18,25 22:1	33:11,18 45:17	<b>Room</b> 1:23	40.23 49.10 51:20
<b>pull</b> 29:9	23:15,16 27:13	46:10 48:12,25	<b>Rule</b> 1:21 53:18	select 31:4
<b>pulled</b> 29:2,6	27:20,21 38:20	49:2 50:20	<b>Kult</b> 1.21 35.10	sell 29:25
pursuant 53:8	39:2,3 51:8,9	51:17	S	send 33:11,14
put 6:2 11:9,25	recess 52:24 53:3	reported 53:10	SACV 1:8	sent 7:25 17:10,12
12:25 24:22	53:4	Reporter 1:22	safe 52:20	sentence 35:15
29:20 37:4,11	recipe 11:22 13:7	53:18	San 2:15,21	36:8,10,14,19
putting 32:6	13:10,11,13,15	<b>REPORTER'S</b>	Santa 1:17,23 4:1	36:20,24 37:2
F	13:17,21 14:3,6	1:15	satellite 1:6 2:2	37:10 50:2
Q	14:9,21 15:1,6	reports 9:16,18	40:3	sentences 20:19
question 5:1	41:20,21 42:3	9:23 10:3,5,9	saw 8:25 26:6,21	35:6
11:11 13:1,19	recipes 41:23,25	11:6,16 12:2	43:23 44:19	series 45:5
14:1 15:4 30:18	42:5	14:16 15:11,12	45:3,8 49:8,11	session 4:7
34:11 45:13,16	recross 3:6 51:12	15:14,15,15,17	51:25	set 29:18 31:24
47:5	51:13,14	15:21,23,25	saying 6:14 9:17	32:24 33:25
questions 41:13	<b>Redirect</b> 3:6 46:6	16:2,3,5,7,8	28:25 39:10	34:25
46:5,9 52:7	46:7	17:6,10,13,15	51:25	setting 34:7 35:11
<b>quite</b> 29:24 39:7	reference 8:24	17:17,21 19:7	says 6:17,19,21,21	set-top 33:6 34:10
<b>quote</b> 11:7 17:3	referenced 12:2	19:10,13,16	7:17 8:22 17:3,5	34:13
	I	I	I	1

r				Page
<b>show</b> 4:20 5:4,11	<b>soft</b> 8:23	42:22	41:22 44:14	44:16 48:2
5:13,22 12:23	software 8:17	supposed 35:8	46:23 47:16,24	topic 19:18 26:3
16:9 18:8 19:23	11:8,13,17 17:4	supposed 55:8 sure 11:21 45:17	48:8	transcript 1:15
19:25 21:9,11	18:2 19:4,6 23:1	swap 25:13,21	testify 52:13	53:10,12
23:5 25:16 27:6	24:1,15,18	26:1 50:9,11,16	testifying 52:10	translate 36:14
31:12 38:13	33:15 49:25	50:18,18	testimony 16:1	translator 36:13
48:12,16 49:14	50:5	swaps 25:15	20:23 25:25	triage 29:21 30:12
49:24 50:4	somebody 30:11	swaps 25:15 system 6:6 16:17	29:3,9 34:6	31:4 34:17,17
showed 15:14	34:23 35:25	18:16 20:14,17	37:21 41:19	34:19 43:21
17:15 26:10	42:12	20:22 25:8,22	42:2,6,23 43:16	44:6
41:15 45:5,17	sorry 9:6 15:22	26:9,17,21	43:21 44:4 45:9	<b>Trial</b> 1:16
48:9,13 50:1,3,6	23:6,19 38:5,17	28:11 29:4 30:3	47:21 51:20,24	true 15:9,10,24
showing 26:19	43:12 47:24	30:8,8,22,24,24	testing 22:17	20:12 21:7 34:2
shown 12:12 13:5	specific 48:18	31:3,3 44:1 50:9	Texas 2:7	42:1 47:7,10
14:3,9,15,21	specifically 31:8	50:16	text 49:8	53:9
15:1,6,21,23	35:6	systems 26:7,15	thank 4:6,12,23	<b>Tuesday</b> 1:18 4:1
16:2,7 48:24	speculation 43:6	26:16,20 29:17	41:7 52:23	two 17:9 26:15
49:13,23 50:20	stalking 40:11	·	thanks 22:9	31:16 32:24
51:17	stalling 7:2	T	thing 17:3,6 30:11	35:6 46:9
shows 8:15 19:2	stand 4:10	<b>T</b> 2:3,4	40:10	two-thirds 8:14
49:2,17	starting 40:11	take 4:20 5:4 10:9	things 16:4 20:18	type 44:2
signal 22:18	state 11:6 26:10	15:17 23:1 27:2	26:6 31:25	
similar 17:17	statement 37:15	32:15 37:2	37:24 49:12	U
sir 5:16 11:11	38:12	46:13 51:13	think 9:15,20	understand 13:19
12:15 14:1	States 1:1,22	52:24	14:16 33:8	13:25 20:18
15:22 16:8	52:10 53:9,13	taken 4:4	39:15,18,20	28:23 29:11,12
18:11 19:18	status 5:19 6:5	talk 19:18 43:15	44:22 52:20,21	29:14 30:18
20:12 21:5	8:15 9:19,23	talked 42:1,2	thought 30:10	44:10 45:9
24:14 26:3,12	10:20 11:7,12	talking 20:2,13,21	35:22 39:17,19	understood 41:19
27:24 32:15	17:15,16 18:1,5	20:25 28:24	48:8	undertaken 25:12
33:8 34:19 36:7	18:15 19:2	33:6	<b>three</b> 33:3,10	United 1:1,22
36:19,25 37:10	33:11	talks 5:18 18:17	three-quarters	52:10 53:9,13
37:15 38:5,16	stenographically	target 32:3	27:24	<b>update</b> 5:9,18 6:5
40:10 41:14,17	53:10	tasks 32:21	time 4:20 5:4 9:24	7:14,24 8:24
41:23 42:8,14	step 52:23	team 8:4 19:7	9:25 14:12 15:9	13:18,22 14:4
42:23 45:24	steps 20:13	21:1,7 22:12,24	25:15 28:22	14:10,20 15:8
46:15 47:2,7,10	<b>STONE 2</b> :19	23:24 24:6 26:8	29:2,6 32:5	18:15 23:8
51:22	Street 1:23 2:14	26:12,23 29:2,6	34:21 39:13,19	updates 10:20
site 26:23 27:1,3,4	2:20	30:15,19 42:10	42:22 43:9	33:14
27:4 28:1,4 29:3	submitted 9:19,22	42:18 43:24	44:19,24,25	use 35:20
29:7,10	subscription	48:2	45:18,22 48:4	useful 28:12
sites 26:19	30:16	teamwork 22:17	49:13 50:7,8,14	<b>U.S</b> 53:18
sitting 12:6 13:12	success 21:7	tell 13:16,20 14:2	51:18,21 52:1,3	
situation 6:9,13	successful 22:25	22:14	Title 53:9	$\frac{\mathbf{V}}{\mathbf{V}}$
6:19,24	23:25 24:7	terminology	today 4:20 5:4	valid 43:9
six 32:21 33:21	Suite 2:7,14,21	29:14,15	12:6,9 13:12	<b>valuable</b> 28:8,10
Smart 34:13	summarizing	terms 29:14	15:12 40:7 41:3	28:18 35:22
42:11 43:4	9:23	test 30:2 31:2	42:6 46:15	view 6:9,23 7:7
<b>SNYDER</b> 2:11	supervising 6:12	tested 30:15,20,23	47:16	35:9,13,19,24
4:9	supervisor 42:16	testified 30:19	told 13:14 40:5	36:4,5,11,22
	I	l	I	I

Page	8
------	---

				rage o
<b>views</b> 6:13	12:24 13:1 16:9	41:16 42:4	22.6.21	<b>27</b> 3:17
		41:10 42:4	23:6,21	
<b>VIP</b> 7:12,16 8:24	18:8 19:25 20:2	Y	<b>1691</b> 3:21 23:6,8	<b>275</b> 2:14,20
9:12,24 10:1	21:11 23:6	yeah 30:12 42:21	23:12,16	<b>28</b> 53:9
11:9,25	24:19 25:16	ycan 50.12 42.21	<b>17th</b> 31:17,18	<b>28th</b> 23:9 24:6
vocabulary 29:13	26:19 27:6	0	34:21	<b>29</b> 1:18 4:1 17:2
<b>Volume</b> 1:10 4:2	31:12 33:5	<b>003</b> 49:6	<b>18</b> 3:19	<b>29th</b> 16:13 18:6
Voyager 38:3,5,8	38:13 41:5 43:8	<b>03-0950-DOC</b> 1:8	<b>1999</b> 25:21 26:1	21:15 22:6
39:6,10,14,17	46:4 51:25	<b>08-04-29</b> 1:25	2	3
39:20,23,25	witnesses 52:19		$\frac{2}{225:446:2047:3}$	$\frac{3}{35:913:8,2214:3}$
40:6,12,20	word 36:7,24	1	47:11	14:4,14,19 15:2
vs 1:8	work 8:2 14:10,12	1 25:4 46:20 47:3	<b>2nd</b> 6:4 7:7 25:20	15:7,20 16:5
vulnerability	15:2 21:18 22:9	47:11	<b>2000</b> 12:3,12,16	17:23 18:1 24:7
14:15	22:19 27:13	<b>1-053</b> 1:23	13:5 25:4 42:24	25:5 44:17
vulnerable 14:20	29:25 30:1,7	<b>10</b> 21:6		
W	32:2,3 33:16	11 3:16	46:17,21 47:8 47:14	46:20 47:4,11
$\overline{\mathbf{W} 2:11}$	36:2 38:20 42:7	<b>11th</b> 7:25 8:19	<b>2001</b> 5:10,18 6:4	48:9,17 49:5,17
	42:9 44:13	9:13	2	50:4,9 51:17,21
WADE 2:3,4	worked 29:24	<b>1155</b> 5:16	7:7,25 8:19 9:13	<b>30</b> 53:15
want 11:10 20:6	30:3,4,9 34:23	<b>1185</b> 5:13	13:18,23 14:5,9	<b>30th</b> 10:15 11:15
52:13	44:10,11	<b>1186</b> 5:23 7:11	14:19 15:1,6,13	16:15
wanted 20:8 36:9	working 33:12	8:25	19:22 21:6,15	<b>39</b> 3:14
42:11	40:7	<b>12</b> 1:10 4:2	22:6 23:9 24:6	4
wasn't 30:10	worth 22:15	<b>1260</b> 24:20,24	27:10 38:17	<b>4</b> 3:7 25:3 47:14
50:10	write 28:7,14	46:13,14 47:2	47:17 48:13,19	<b>4</b> 5.7 25.5 47.14 <b>4th</b> 1:23
way 8:14 27:24	32:20 33:10	47:10	48:25 49:3,14	
weaknesses 33:22	35:7 36:10	<b>15th</b> 52:17,19,21	49:17,24 50:20	41 3:8
websites 6:14	writes 7:12 22:8	52:22	52:1	<b>411</b> 1:23
26:13	22:14 23:24	<b>1596</b> 3:15 16:10	<b>2002</b> 10:15,18	<b>415</b> 2:15,22
week 52:15	27:25 32:16	16:15,20,24	11:15,15 16:5	<b>46</b> 3:7
weekend 5:4	39:6 40:10	17:20 18:1	17:7,7 31:17,18	5
WELCH 2:3,4	writing 22:24	<b>16</b> 3:15 18:12	34:22 48:16,19	<b>51</b> 3:8,22
well-informed	40:19	<b>16</b> 5.13 18.12 <b>1670</b> 3:15 16:9,12	50:3,7 51:18,21	<b>51</b> 5.8,22 <b>511-A</b> 12:24 13:5
39:7	written 7:6 8:18	-	<b>2003</b> 16:13,15	
went 24:1 29:16	9:14 11:14,18	16:20,24 17:2	17:3 18:6,13	13:21 14:4,9,15
29:18 38:2	18:7,14 22:7,13	<b>1671</b> 3:16 10:12	19:8,13 45:14	14:21 15:2,6
weren't 25:25	22:23 24:5,16	10:17,24 11:3	45:18,22 46:1	41:16
West 1:23 2:13	25:24 27:12	11:11 12:8	46:11 48:16,19	<b>558-7755</b> 1:24
we'll 51:13 52:11	28:9 31:17,19	15:19	50:3 52:1	6
52:11,12,22	32:14,19 35:1	<b>1672</b> 3:17 27:7,9	<b>2008</b> 1:18 4:1	<b>683</b> 31:13 35:2
<b>We're</b> 4:6	35:10,10 37:6	27:16,21	53:15	005 51.15 55.2
we've 15:11 24:13	39:9 40:22	<b>1674</b> 3:18 7:22,24	<b>21</b> 3:20 38:17	7
widely 7:4,8,18	46:18 49:19	8:7,11,15	<b>22nd</b> 5:17	<b>700</b> 2:7
WILLETTS 2:5	wrong 36:19	<b>1676</b> 3:19 18:9,11	<b>23</b> 3:21	<b>713</b> 2:8
William 38:9	wrote 35:3,16	18:18,23	<b>23rd</b> 13:4	<b>714</b> 1:24
39:11,25	36:3 37:2	<b>1687</b> 3:16 10:11	<b>2401</b> 2:6	<b>72</b> 52:12
<b>wish</b> 39:7		10:14,24 11:3	<b>2501</b> 3:22 51:3,4,5	<b>72-hour</b> 52:9
withdrawn 50:7	X	11:11 12:8	51:9	<b>753</b> 53:8
witness 3:6 4:11	<b>X</b> 3:1	15:19	<b>26th</b> 10:18 11:15	<b>77057</b> 2:7
5:1,13,22 7:22	<b>xbr21</b> 12:3,12,15	<b>1690</b> 3:20 21:12	27:10 38:17	
9:7,8 10:11	12:21 13:4	21:14,22 22:1	<b>2600</b> 2:14,21	8

## SACV 03-950-DOC

Page	9
------	---

		Tage J
<b>8</b> 3:18 <b>8:15</b> 4:3 <b>812</b> 19:19,25 <b>828</b> 25:17,20 <b>829</b> 3:14 38:14,16 38:23 39:3,6 40:10		
9 9 23:24 92701 1:23 9316 1:21 53:19 94111-3305 2:15 2:21 952-4334 2:8 984-8700 2:15,22		