Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, JUDGE PRESIDING - - - - - - -ECHOSTAR SATELLITE) CORPORATION, et al.,) Plaintiffs,)) No. SACV 03-0950-DOC vs.) NDS GROUP PLC, et al.,)) Day 11, Volume I Defendants.)

> REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS Jury Trial Santa Ana, California Friday, April 25, 2008

Jane C.S. Rule, CSR 9316 Federal Official Court Reporter United States District Court 411 West 4th Street, Room 1-053 Santa Ana, California 92701 (714) 558-7755 08-04-25 EchoStarD11V1

	raye
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	
3	FOR PLAINTIFFS ECHOSTAR SATELLITE CORPORATION, et al.:
4	T. WADE WELCH & ASSOCIATES
	Attorneys at Law
5	BY: CHAD M. HAGAN
	T. WADE WELCH
6	DAVID M. NOLL
_	CHRISTINE D. WILLETTS
7	Attorneys at Law
	2401 Fountainview
8	Suite 700
	Houston, Texas 77057
9	(713) 952-4334
10	
11	FOR DEFENDANTS NDS GROUP PLC, et al.:
12	O'MELVENY & MYERS, LLP
13	Attorneys at Law
13	BY: DARIN W. SNYDER
14	NATHANIEL L. DILGER
14	DAVID R. EBERHART
15	MICHAEL O'DONNELL
ŢĴ	Attorneys at Law
16	Embarcadero Center West
ΤŪ	275 Battery Street
17	Suite 2600
± /	San Francisco, California 94111-3305
18	(415) 984-8700
19	- AND -
20	HOGAN & HARTSON, LLP
-	Attorneys at Law
21	BY: RICHARD L. STONE
	KENNETH D. KLEIN
22	Attorneys at Law
	275 Battery Street
23	Suite 2600
	San Francisco, California 94111-3305
24	(415) 984-8700
25	

	т			
	1	NDEX		
	EXA	MINATION		
Witness Name	Direct	Cross	Redirect	Recross
GEE, JERRY J.				
By Mr. Hagan		11		71
By Mr. Stone			44	
	GEE, JERRY J. By Mr. Hagan	Witness Name Direct GEE, JERRY J. By Mr. Hagan	GEE, JERRY J. By Mr. Hagan 11	Witness Name Direct Cross Redirect GEE, JERRY J. By Mr. Hagan 11

1 SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA, FRIDAY, APRIL 25, 2008 2 DAY 11 - VOLUME I 3 (8:00 a.m.) 4 (The following proceedings is taken outside 5 the presence of the jury.) 6 THE COURT: We are on the record. All counsel are 7 present. 8 Concerning the admissibility of statements of 9 Stanley Frost, Lawrence Harmon and Dean Love, those are 10 final rulings by the Court. 11 Concerning Scullion, there is the change in the 12 reasoning, but not a change in the ruling. 13 The Court notes two other things that have been 14 added to the Tentative Opinion the Court set forth last 15 evening. 16 First, the risk is tremendous that the statements 17 will be used for the truth of the matter asserted, because 18 they directly identify Tarnovsky and provide some purported 19 evidence for this claim as it relates to Nipper. It's 20 unlikely that the risk of prejudice can be reduced to any 21 material degree by giving a limiting instruction. Moreover, 22 the handwritten notes that Mr. Gee allegedly took during his 23 interviews with Scullion, which would corroborate Scullion's 24 testimony, have not been forthcoming. 25 In comparison with testimony previously admitted

1 concerning Maldonado statements identifying Jim Waters, 2 Scullion's testimony is different from pertinent -- for some 3 pertinent reasons. First, Maldonado's testimony occurred 4 before Scullion's, therefore, the rehabilitative value of 5 the Scullion testimony is limited. Additionally, 6 Maldonado's statements are incorporated in Gee's report, a 7 party admission. Finally, Maldonado will appear to be 8 cross-examined.

9 Now, when we started this colloquy, the great fear 10 on EchoStar's part was that somehow Mr. Gee would appear to 11 be an incompetent investigator; that's not true. You can 12 amply show with the breadth of material I'm allowing you to 13 put in in my rulings concerning Frost, Harmon, Scullion, and 14 those -- those portions of Scullion and Dean Love, that he 15 spoke to all of these people, that he has this targeting, if 16 you will, of money being sent through the magazines and 17 receivers, that he is part of Menard's web, if you will, 18 that he has a Stinger. All of that is rehabilitative in 19 terms of his competency, and there is absolutely 20 overwhelming or ample evidence as to why Mr. Gee would 21 target him and target him at an earlier date.

Your in -- your internal document, Exhibit
Number 645; is that correct? No, I'm sorry, 374 is dated
March of 2001. That document, though, allows NDS to state
that sometime during the 2001 to 2004 period, which Mr. Gee

1 said he spoke to Scullion and obtained this information 2 about Nipper, is a document that comes early on in that time 3 frame, and without the notes, there is no reason for this 4 Court or the jury not to believe that he didn't get this 5 information from Scullion in 2004; he's not definite. And 6 if he gets this information in 2004, then NDS has the right 7 to show that the target early on was a complete shoving to 8 the side of Maldonado. And so that's why I asked for the 9 notes, and if those aren't forthcoming -- which you still 10 don't have.

MR. HAGAN: We just have the typed up notes which came from Scullion.

13 THE COURT: I know what you've got. You've got 14 some typed up notes. I asked for the original, you know. 15 He said he took notes. So therefore, the ruling on -- on 16 Scullion remains as it was last weekend. There are some 17 additional reasons that the Court focused on after all of 18 you left last night about 11:00, and that was the fact that, 19 truly, EchoStar is not biased by this. Truly, they have 20 ample evidence of what's coming in to give Mr. Gee every 21 reason to have focused on Tarnovsky, and therefore, I think 22 the prejudicial effect outweighs the probative value as 23 well, as well as the evidentiary ruling that the Court's 24 made concerning hearsay.

25

All right. Now, I've made that ruling. There is

	Tage
1	only one thing that needs to remain before he takes the
2	stand, and that is a hearing out of the presence of the jury
3	to find out what efforts were made to find Mr
4	
5	
6	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
	MR. STONE: Harmon.
7	THE COURT: Oh, Mr. Harmon. You understand you're
8	not limited, and you may have other evidence. Maybe he knew
9	about some e-mail, for instance, that you haven't covered,
10	yet. Maybe he knew about the Dawson e-mails; I don't know.
11	Maybe he knew about the CGI reports. I don't think so,
12	obviously, but I don't know. But you are not precluded from
13	focusing on why he focused on Tarnovsky at an early time.
14	It's just in relation to not having any notes
15	about Scullion, he could have done the very thing NDS
16	accuses him of, and that is, have the information in your
17	own internal documents for EchoStar, disregarded it and
18	talked to Scullion in 2004. So you could put him back on
19	the stand now if you'd like to as soon as we hear briefly
20	about what efforts were made. And even if the efforts
21	aren't sufficient for the content concerning Harmon, you can
22	still ask him if he talked to Harmon; understood?
23	MR. HAGAN: Yes, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Now, if you want to sort that out
25	later on after the next witness, so be it.

	raye
1	Who do you want to proceed with next?
2	MR. STONE: Mr. Peluso.
3	MR. KLEIN: And then Mr. McGuire.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Peluso and Mr. McGuire.
5	MR. HAGAN: We we can finish with Mr. Gee,
6	and and I won't go into the statements that Lawrence
7	Harmon made to him.
8	THE COURT: That's your choice. I'm giving you
9	that opportunity, depending upon the efforts made, to
10	find so so you are really not hurt. I hate to use
11	that word, it's a nonlegal word. You're really not wounded.
12	You can rehabilitate him in terms of his efforts and all of
13	the indicia that it was Tarnovsky right to begin with.
14	MR. HAGAN: If I could have five minutes just to
15	brief Mr. Gee?
16	THE COURT: Sure. Are you going to be short with
17	him, so we can take
18	MR. HAGAN: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Okay. I prefer to finish him up in a
20	segment, quite frankly, so that it appears that there hasn't
21	been an interruption.
22	(Recess.)
23	THE COURT: All right. So we're on the record for
24	a moment. The Court's handed down a a series of rulings
25	last evening and early this morning in written form to

counsel. Many of the statements, initially, with Harmon, 1 2 Frost, Scullion, and --3 MR. WELCH: Dean Love. 4 THE COURT: -- and Love are coming in. Α 5 significant number of those statements are coming in for the 6 truth of the matter asserted. There are a minority of 7 statements coming in which are not being offered for the 8 truth of the matter asserted. 9 All counsel and the Court agree that instead of 10 admonishing the jury during Mr. Gee's testimony, that we 11 should see what the final testimony is of Mr. Gee in front 12 of this jury, and the admonition should be given a short 13 time after or later today so that that admonition is given 14 at one time, because the testimony has sometimes 15 significantly changed on the witness stand. 16 Is that acceptable to EchoStar? 17 MR. WELCH: Yes, sir. 18 THE COURT: Is that acceptable to NDS? 19 MR. SNYDER: Yes, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. That also will allow Mr. Gee to 21 take the stand with continuity rather than interrupting his 22 testimony. 23 Okay. Mr. Hagan, have you had enough time? 24 MR. HAGAN: Yes, your Honor, the exhibits --25 THE COURT: While you were discussing this with

1 your witness, remaining counsel for EchoStar and NDS have 2 agreed as follows, that Mr. Gee be allowed, of course, to go 3 into the areas that the Court has indicated. And that any 4 admonition concerning what's accepted for the truth and 5 what's accepted for non-hearsay purposes, we delay that 6 until the end of his testimony, because otherwise, if there 7 is another statement, for instance, that may be testified 8 to, the Court's not going back and forth with that 9 admonition; is that acceptable to you? 10 MR. HAGAN: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, Kristee, would you 12 get the jury, please. 13 MR. STONE: Your Honor, were we going to have a 14 hearing outside the presence of Mr. Harmon? 15 THE COURT: They are not offering Mr. Harmon. 16 MR. STONE: Oh, I'm sorry. 17 THE COURT: They're -- they're going to say that 18 they spoke to him, but they're not going to offer the 19 contents. 20 MR. STONE: Okay. I didn't hear that. 21 (The following proceedings is taken in the 22 presence of the jury.) 23 THE COURT: All right. The jury is, once again, 24 present. All counsel are still present. The parties are 25 present. Mr. Gee is, of course, on the witness stand.

Page 11

	Page 1
1	This is the continued examination,
2	cross-examination by Mr. Hagan on behalf of EchoStar,
3	NagraStar.
4	MR. HAGAN: Thank you, your Honor.
5	JERRY J. GEE, DEFENDANTS' WITNESS, RESUMED
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued.)
7	BY MR. HAGAN:
8	Q Good morning, Mr. Gee.
9	A Good morning.
10	Q Before we broke yesterday afternoon, we were talking a
11	little bit about your investigation into defendants and
12	Chris Tarnovsky and Al Menard, and I want to go back to that
13	for just just a few more minutes.
14	In addition to the individuals that you testified about
15	with Mr. Stone and the ones that we covered earlier, there
16	were also, as I understand it, other gentlemen that you
17	interviewed in connection with this investigation; is that
18	correct?
19	A Yes, that is correct.
20	Q And one of those individuals is Jan Saggiori, who
21	testified previously in this case. Did you obtain any
22	evidence or information from Mr. Saggiori that connected
23	Chris Tarnovsky and Al Menard to the distribution of pirated
24	EchoStar access cards?
25	A Yes, I did. I received an e-mail with a file that

	Page 1
1	
1	Mr. Tarnovsky had sent to him that was a file of EchoStar
2	code versus the Canal+ code that Mr. Tarnovsky had thought
3	that he had sent Mr. Saggiori.
4	Q Now, Mr. Saggiori is is now a consultant for
5	NagraStar; is that correct?
6	A That is correct.
7	Q And he provides anti-piracy work for NagraStar?
8	A Yes, he does.
9	Q And the jury has has already seen Exhibit 2002,
10	which is a copy of that e-mail, but we may come back to it a
11	little bit later today.
12	I want to move, now, to a gentleman named Anthony, or
13	Tony, Dionisi. Did there come a point in your investigation
14	where you debriefed Mr. Dionisi?
15	A Yes, I had an opportunity to meet with Mr. Dionisi.
16	Q And did Mr. Dionisi provide you with any evidence or
17	statements that connected the defendants and Chris Tarnovsky
18	and Al Menard to a distribution network of pirated EchoStar
19	access cards?
20	A Yes, Mr. Dionisi had told me that he had had a
21	had a DISH Network Smart Card programmed by Al Menard, and
22	also, he shared with me that he had a conversation with
23	Mr. Tarnovsky just about the programmer that he had
24	designed, and that from that description, that Mr. Tarnovsky
25	had told Mr. Dionisi that it matched up with the the

	raye i
1	programmer that Mr. Menard had used to program the DISH
2	Network Smart Cards with them.
3	Q And did Mr. Dionisi explain to you if he ever tested
4	those reprogrammed EchoStar access cards that he received
5	from Mr. Menard?
6	A Yes, he said that they were able to receive the
7	programming that was not paid for.
8	Q Are you familiar through your investigation with the
9	term "E3M" pirate cards?
10	A Yes, I am.
11	Q Can you explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury
12	what an E3M pirated EchoStar access card is?
13	A Yeah, a Smart Card, as it's subscribed, is has set
14	content that's allowed to be seen. An E3M card is the code
15	that has been added to that Smart Card to allow for the
16	programming that's not has not been paid for, or if you
17	haven't paid for it before, it unlocks it to view to
18	allow viewing of all programming.
19	THE COURT: Excuse me just a moment.
20	(Discussion held off the record.)
21	THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
22	BY MR. HAGAN:
23	Q Mr. Gee, going back to the the pirated E3M cards, if
24	I understand your testimony correctly, those are cards that
25	were reprogrammed to steal EchoStar's services; is that

	Page
1	correct?
2	A Yes, that is correct.
3	Q And did there come a point in time in your
4	investigation where you were able to determine that those
5	E3M cards were being distributed by a particular group or
6	distribution network?
7	A Yes, that E3M card was being distributed by Mr. Menard
8	and his distribution group through the dr7 and multiple
9	websites associated with the dr7 website.
10	Q And Mr. Gee, did you ever obtain any information or
11	evidence in your investigation that was that you were
12	able to identify the coder or the individual who was
13	responsible for writing the code, the E3M code that
14	Mr. Menard used to reprogram those EchoStar access cards?
15	A Yes, I was.
16	Q Who was that individual?
17	A Chris Tarnovsky.
18	Q Now, did there come a point where you debriefed a
19	gentleman by the name of Stanley Frost?
20	A Yes, I did.
21	Q And did Mr. Frost provide you with any evidence that
22	Christopher Tarnovsky was the coder for Mr. Menard in those
23	E3M cards?
24	A Yes, he had.
25	Q Can you explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury

	iage
1	what information Mr. Frost provided you in your
2	investigation?
3	A Mr. Frost told me that people behind the dr7 website
4	and the E3M hack was Menard and Tarnovsky. He also told me
5	that he was part of that group, and he was he had he
6	lived in New York, but he had his operation that was run by
7	a person by the name of Dan Cavanaugh in Nova Scotia, and
8	that's where he did the distribution portion for his
9	partnership in that that group.
10	Q Now, did Mr. Frost, in addition to admitting to you
11	that he was one of the distributors for Mr. Tarnovsky and
12	Mr. Menard's E3M cards, did there come a point in time where
13	he provided you with a copy of a videotape that showed Chris
14	Tarnovsky and Al Menard together in Canada?
15	A Yes, he had. We had questioned the information that he
16	had with us, so he said that he had a video that he attended
17	to in Toronto where Mr. Menard and Chris Tarnovsky and Stan
18	Frost and several other people, including Mr. Dionisi who
19	has testified earlier, got together for a meeting. He was
20	to show that they he did have a relationship with them.
21	Q Now, turning briefly back to Mr. Dionisi, when you
22	debriefed Mr. Dionisi and he told you that Chris Tarnovsky
23	had admitted to building the Stinger, this reprogramming
24	device, did he describe that device for you?
25	A Yes, he did.

	rage 1
1	
1	Q And did he also tell you that when he was personally
2	present when Mr. Menard reprogrammed some of these E3M
3	cards, that he was able to inspect Mr. Menard's
4	reprogramming device?
5	A Yes, he did.
6	Q And did Mr. Dionisi give you any information as to the
7	relatedness of the device that Chris Tarnovsky admitted to
8	building, the Stinger, and the device that Mr. Menard used
9	in his presence to create these pirated EchoStar access
10	cards?
11	A Yes, he felt that the description that Mr. Tarnovsky
12	provided to him matched with the same description of what he
13	had actually seen.
14	Q Now, you also debriefed an individual named Ron
15	Ereiser, who is also now a consultant in NagraStar's
16	anti-piracy efforts; is that correct?
17	A That's correct.
18	Q And during that portion of your investigation, did
19	Mr. Ereiser provide you with any evidence or information or
20	documents linking the defendants and Chris Tarnovsky and Al
21	Menard to the distribution of pirated EchoStar access cards?
22	A Yes, he did. He provided me he had a previous
23	relationship with Mr. Tarnovsky and knew him under multiple
24	aliases that he used, Von and Von Rat, and he provided me
25	e-mail communication between Tarnovsky and Mr. Dave Dawson

1 of Discount Satellite, who was also part of the Menard 2 distribution group. 3 Now, the e-mails that Mr. Ereiser provided you, the Q 4 jury has had an opportunity to look at those early in the 5 trial, so we won't go through them again, but can you 6 describe generally what type of evidence was contained in 7 those e-mails. 8 MR. STONE: This is double hearsay. Objection. 9 THE COURT: Concerning the -- just a moment. 10 Overruled. 11 THE WITNESS: I would need to see those again to 12 speak to him. It's been awhile since I've looked at them, 13 I'm sorry. 14 BY MR. HAGAN: 15 And -- and we may come back to them, but did you Q 16 understand that the alias used for Mr. Tarnovsky in those 17 e-mails was Von? 18 Yes. А 19 And was that consistent with other information that you 0 20 received through your investigation into Mr. Tarnovsky and 21 the aliases that he used? 22 Α Yes. 23 Q Now, did there come a point in your investigation where 24 you debriefed a gentleman by the name of Dean Love? 25 Yes. Α

1 Did Mr. Love provide you with any evidence or Q 2 information linking the defendants and Chris Tarnovsky to 3 the Al Menard distribution of pirated EchoStar access cards? 4 Yes, he also shared with me that he had received Α 5 programmed Smart Cards from Mr. Menard, and he also told me 6 that he knew that Chris Tarnovsky was associated with 7 Al Menard. 8 And what does it mean in -- in your line of work for Ο 9 someone to be the coder, the quote, "coder," behind a 10 distribution network? 11 Within an organization, you have the dealer, or the Α 12 front person, that takes the risk if you are going to get 13 sued. They're the person that might have the storefront, 14 might have the website, but behind every dealer, there is a technology. It could be something that's obtained freely 15 16 off the internet and they are taking that, but in order for 17 it to become freely available, somebody has to do the 18 programming in order to allow a theft of the programming, and that's what the coder would do. The coder is the behind 19 20 the scenes person. 21 And if I understood your earlier testimony, your Q 22 investigation concluded that Mr. Tarnovsky was the, quote, 23 "coder" behind dr7 and Al Menard and the E3M pirated 24 EchoStar access cards, correct? 25 That is correct. Δ

	iage _
1	Q Now, Mr. Gee, did there also come a point in your
2	investigation where you debriefed a gentleman by the name of
3	Larry Rissler?
4	A I had spoken with Larry Rissler, yes.
5	Q And did Mr. Rissler provide NagraStar and EchoStar with
6	evidence and information linking the defendants and Chris
7	Tarnovsky to this distribution network?
8	A Mr. Rissler had provided to EchoStar the IDG report
9	ICG report that they believed Nipper was Chris Tarnovsky.
10	Q And let's back up for just a moment. Can you explain
11	to the the jury who Mr. Rissler is?
12	A Mr. Rissler used to be head of anti-piracy for DirecTV.
13	Q And at the time that you debriefed and spoke with
14	Mr. Rissler, was he holding that role as the head of the
15	DirecTV anti-piracy division?
16	A Yes, he was.
17	Q And did you find Mr. Rissler to be reliable and the
18	information that he provided you to be reliable?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Let's take a look at what has been previously
21	introduced as Exhibit 27.
22	Mr. Gee, I've handed you a copy of what has been
23	previously introduced in this trial as Exhibit 27. Can you
24	identify this for the jury.
25	A It is an ICG report on Christopher Tarnovsky.

	rage 2
1	Q And ICG, or Internet Crimes Group, Inc., as you
2	understood it, was the third-party company that was hired by
3	DirecTV when they were investigating Chris Tarnovsky and the
4	defendants, correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And is this one of the reports generated by Internet
7	Crimes Group, Inc., that Mr. Rissler provided to EchoStar
8	and NagraStar?
9	A I'm not sure if it was this report or if it was a
10	similar one to it.
11	Q And there are a couple of others that we looked at, and
12	we may get back to you, but are you are you certain that
13	the company that generated these reports was ICG, or
14	Internet Crimes Group, Inc.?
15	A Yes, I am.
16	Q Now, Mr. Gee, did there also come a point in your
17	investigation where you debriefed a gentleman by the name of
18	Graham James?
19	A Yes, I did.
20	Q And without going into any specific statements that
21	Mr. James told you, did he provide you with information
22	relating to the defendants in distributing pirated access
23	cards?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And as you understood it, was Mr. James an employee or

	Page 2
1	an informant consultant of the defendants up until 2007,
2	last year?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And do you recall approximately how long the defendants
5	employed Mr. James?
6	A Since the '90s.
7	Q Did there also come a point in your investigation where
8	you debriefed a gentleman by the name of Lawrence Harmon?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And without getting into any of the specific statements
11	that Mr. Harmon provided you, did he give you information
12	that assisted you in your investigation into the defendants
13	and Christopher Tarnovsky and Al Menard with distributed
14	distributing pirated EchoStar access cards?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Now, going back to some of the gentlemen that you spoke
17	about yesterday with Mr. Stone, there was, as I understood
18	it, a number of other piracy organizations, both in the
19	United States and in Canada, that were distributing
20	circumvention devices for EchoStar's system; is that
21	correct?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And you don't contend that the defendants were
24	responsible for all of those different circumvention
25	devices; is that right, Mr. Gee?

	Page 2
1	A That is correct.
2	Q We're focused on this case on the E3M cards; is that
3	correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And did you come across the term "West Group"?
6	THE COURT: I'm sorry, West
7	MR. HAGAN: Pardon me. West Group, W-e-s-t.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	BY MR. HAGAN:
10	Q And what is the West Group based on your investigation?
11	A The West Group is the Menard distribution. That would
12	include the dr7, Discount Satellite, High-tech Satellite,
13	Koinvizion, and Stan Frost operation.
14	Q And Mr. Frost's operation, if I understand your earlier
15	testimony, that was run through New York where Mr. Frost
16	lived?
17	A He was in New York, but it was out of Nova Scotia.
18	THE COURT: Just a moment. It sounds like it's
19	the same group. Is this a different group?
20	THE WITNESS: It is the same group, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: So the West Group includes Frost?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	BY MR. HAGAN:
25	Q Now, Mr. Gee, are you familiar with the term "gray

market" as it relates to satellite television or conditional 1 2 access technology? 3 Yes, I am. Α 4 And can you explain to the -- the ladies and gentlemen Q 5 of the jury what the gray market is? 6 Α The gray market is -- was very prevalent during -- back 7 in that time period where DirecTV and DISH Network were --8 wanted -- Canadian citizens wanted to pay for television, 9 but they weren't able to actually do it, because neither 10 DirecTV or EchoStar could sell in Canada. 11 So I mentioned earlier some retailers. There was the 12 black market retailer, who would have a code or -- and 13 provided pirated cards, but there is also retailers within 14 Canada that would set up these paid subscriptions to the 15 content providers in the United States. They would set up 16 these accounts in border towns from Washington all the way 17 across to Maine, conveniently having a paid invoice, an 18 invoice going to a location in one of these towns. They --19 most of these accounts were set up and paid on credit cards, 20 and then the individual who was setting up these accounts 21 for the Canadian citizens would take these, maybe it would 22 be 500, 1,000 at a time, and then distribute them all across 23 Canada. It could go to -- you could set up in Washington, 24 have it go through Blaine, Washington, have the person pick 25 it up, truck it up to Calgary, Ontario -- Calgary, Alberta,

1	and then that person in Calgary, Alberta selling to somebody
2	in Newfoundland. So it starts in Washington, the
3	distributors in Calgary, but the person who bought it could
4	possibly be up as far away on the other side of the country
5	for Canada.
6	Q Now, Mr. Gee, we heard the name yesterday, Dawn Branton
7	(phonetic). Did your investigation conclude that Ms.
8	Branton was involved in any way in gray market piracy?
9	A Yes, Ms. Branton was involved in the gray market.
10	Q And did your investigation conclude in any way that
11	Dawn Branton was associated with reprogramming EchoStar
12	Smart Cards or distributing reprogrammed EchoStar Smart
13	Cards?
14	A No.
15	Q Did your investigation conclude in any way, or did you
16	receive any information that led you to conclude that
17	Dawn Branton was in any way associated with Mr. Menard's
18	distribution network?
19	A No.
20	Q Did your investigation conclude in any way that
21	Ms. Branton was linked in any regard to the creation of the
22	E3M pirated EchoStar Smart Cards?
23	A No.
24	Q Now, Mr. Gee, as I understand it, Ms. Branton was just
25	distributing these gray market boxes and receivers and Smart

1 Cards; is that correct? 2 She was facilitating the paid accounts with DirecTV and А 3 DISH Network, and then she was distributing to those -- to 4 her customers. 5 And did your investigation conclude that there were a Q 6 significant number of these gray market accounts? 7 Yes. А 8 And is it fair to say that the cards that Dawn Branton Q 9 was facilitating distributing -- I understand these weren't 10 E3M cards -- but those cards went all over North America? 11 Yes. Ά 12 It could have gone anywhere from California to Florida, Q 13 to all parts of Canada? 14 А Yes. 15 Now, Mr. Gee, you looked yesterday at Exhibit 1510. Q 16 I'd like to turn back to that for just a moment. 17 Your Honor, this has already been admitted into 18 evidence, 1510. 19 It's the one that you admitted yesterday. 20 BY MR. HAGAN: 21 And Mr. Gee, we looked at this yesterday, and Mr. Stone Q 22 asked you some questions about Tonawanda, New York. And you 23 see that reference on Exhibit 1510 in the far right-hand 24 column? 25 Yes. А

	raye .
1	Q And that is an address that was associated with one
2	particular Smart Card; is that correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Immediately underneath that there is another address
5	that's also associated with that particular Smart Card.
6	What state is that address in?
7	A California.
8	Q And can you explain why there could be multiple
9	addresses associated with a particular EchoStar Smart Card?
10	A My understanding with it is that you have the product
11	shipped to one location, but you pay for it at another
12	location.
13	Q In addition to that, the the Smart Cards could be
14	refurbished and regenerated to other subscribers once they
15	came in from the field?
16	A Yes, there is the repairing of satellite boxes where
17	as myself, I might have a certain model of box. Maybe I
18	want to upgrade it to a new box. I'll send that my old
19	satellite receiver in. That old satellite receiver has been
20	associated with my address, but the box that I'm going to
21	get, the new one, is also assigned to my address.
22	The box that I've returned would go through a repair
23	process, cleaned up, making sure that it was functioning
24	properly, receive a new Smart Card, and then go out to a new
25	customer. And then when that person activated that account,

1 that set-top box, or that -- that receiver now would be 2 activated with the new address, the current account. 3 Mr. Gee, yesterday you -- you started to describe for 4 the jury something called "masking a card ID" or masking 5 information in postings on the internet related to an 6 EchoStar card, but Mr. Stone cut you off. I want to give 7 you an opportunity to do that. What did you mean by pirates 8 could mask a card ID or information in a post? 9 When you -- there was a period of time with А 10 subscriptions where you needed a subscription in order to 11 get some kind of rights onto a card so you can hack it. 12 Now, you -- that's -- that's not always necessary now, but 13 when a person is providing code on something that they've 14 done, they're going to change the -- that information, so --15 the Smart Card that I had fits 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 is -- now 16 might be 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, and they just change that 17 around, and that's easy to do in the changing the code. 18 And -- and that was done, and based on your 0 19 investigation on more than one occasion when individuals 20 would post portions of code on the internet? 21 Α We continue to see that today. 22 And why is that, based on your experience in Q 23 investigating these postings or these codes? 24 The -- a lot of times somebody will subscribe a card as Α 25 I mentioned here before, and that Smart Card number can be

1 traced to an address. That person doesn't want to have 2 that -- very simply to be able to be found by just by us 3 running the number and then it popping back to them. 4 It was an effort by people posting to try to conceal 0 5 their relationship to a particular card or to a particular 6 posting? 7 Yes. А 8 Have you also come across individuals using Ο 9 applications like proxies or anonymizers or spoofing 10 techniques when posting information on the internet? 11 Yes, I have. As we've seen through materials that I've Α 12 spoken about, people use aliases. The alias is one way of 13 not showing what their identity is, their real name. And 14 then, also, it's -- they don't want to know if you're in 15 Colorado or if I'm -- we'll use it as -- for my example. If 16 I'm investigating somebody and I'm in Colorado, everybody 17 knows that EchoStar and NagraStar is in Colorado. If I'm 18 going to be on an internet forum site, I might want to 19 sit -- I'm in Victoria British, Columbia, and that will 20 reflect that way, so I'll use a proxy. That way it doesn't 21 come back to me. 22 Now, Mr. Tarnovsky testified that he could use a proxy Q 23 to post something from California or somewhere else, and it

would look like, if you traced it, it was coming from the

east coast or Canada. Based on your investigation, is it

24 25

099e057f-d443-47d3-aca4-5d2f731e1454

	raye .
1	possible for someone to use a proxy or an anonymizer to do
2	that?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Now, Mr. Gee, we also spoke a little bit about the
5	the piracy syndicate called the Barrie Group (phonetic) or
6	DISH Plex yesterday; do you recall that testimony?
7	A Yes, I do.
8	Q And did there come a point in time where you conducted
9	an investigation into Barrie Group or DISH Plex pirate
10	syndicate?
11	A I was investigating the Barrie Group.
12	Q And did you as part of that investigation, did you
13	debrief a gentleman name Laurent Pilon?
14	A Yes, I did.
15	Q And after you investigated Mr. Pilon, were you able
16	to to recruit him to be a consultant or informant for
17	NagraStar?
18	A I was introduced to Mr. Pilon by Mr. Rissler of
19	DirecTV, and when I met with him, eventually we were able to
20	develop a relationship for him to be a consulting
21	confidential informant for me.
22	Q And as you understand it, Mr. Pilon was providing
23	evidence and information both to NagraStar, as well as to
24	Mr. Rissler and DirecTV?
25	A Yes.

	Tage
1	Q Now, Mr. Pilon, at one point, was a distributor for
2	this DISH Plex Group; is that correct?
3	A Mr. Pilon ran DISH Plex with Mr. Reyno (phonetic).
4	Q Now, did there come a point in your investigation where
5	you were able to conclude one way or the other whether the
6	devices being distributed by the DISH Plex Group were
7	related in any way to the devices being distributed by
8	Mr. Tarnovsky and Mr. Menard?
9	A No.
10	Q Are you familiar with the term the piracy term
11	"cloned Smart Card"?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And can you explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the
14	jury what a cloned Smart Card is.
15	A Yes. A cloned Smart Card is referred earlier where
16	you have where you have two forms of piracy, where you
17	might have a freeware piracy or a piracy where it's a group
18	that has a designated code and it's unique. A lot of times
19	within freeware piracy, they will take a clone of a hacked
20	card and use that over and over again and use it in the
21	freeware context, but also, certain dealers will do that
22	same thing where they're if I'm a subscriber I'm a
23	customer of a pirate dealer, I'm going to come to you. I'm
24	going to get that Smart Card that's going to be programmed,
25	and then I'm going to walk out the door. But the next

	raye
1	person who comes in the door is getting his Smart Card
2	programmed with the same cloned image of the hacked card of
3	that original source card. And then when I walk out as a
4	second customer, I don't know any different from it, but I'm
5	
	just I have a clone of what every other person that's
6	walking in that door gets.
7	Q So those cloned Smart Cards would have an identical
8	code as the original pirate card that was used to clone
9	them; is that correct?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Now, Mr. Gee, you looked yesterday, briefly, at
12	Exhibit 206. I would like to focus your attention back on
13	that document.
14	Do you recall looking at this exhibit with Mr. Stone
15	yesterday afternoon?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And what did you understand this language at the bottom
18	to be, "There will be no more boxes anymore. There will be
19	no more fighting amongst us. Learn from this and prosper.
20	This will be posted to all news groups around the world"?
21	THE COURT: Well, remind us of the heading,
22	Counsel, so that the jury has two ways of referring back to
23	various documents. In other words, the content, but the
24	heading.
25	MR. HAGAN: The the e-mail heading?

	Page .
1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	MR. HAGAN: Okay.
3	BY MR. HAGAN:
4	Q Mr. Gee, looking at the at the e-mail heading from
5	Exhibit 206, the one that starts "from dr7," what can you
6	read that into the record and explain who that is based on
7	your investigation.
8	A Yes. It's an e-mail from Renee that is received
9	from Renee Coltharp for evidence here, but the e-mail is
10	from Peter Kuykendall of NagraStar that was sent Friday,
11	January 9th, 2004, 11:13 a.m. It was to Renee Coltharp and
12	myself, Gee, JJ, and the subject was "dr7 info you
13	requested."
14	Q And if you look down, Ms Ms. Coltharp or I'm
15	sorry, Mr. Kuykendall is forwarding information. If you'll
16	look down about halfway, there is another entry that says
17	"from: dr7_al"; do you see that?
18	A Yes, I see "from: dr7_al@my-deja.com for
19	dr7_al@my-deja.com."
20	Q And did your investigation conclude that Mr. Menard was
21	using the internet alias "dr7"?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And did your investigation conclude that anyone other
24	than Mr. Menard was using the alias "dr7" to operate the dr7
25	website?

1 А No. 2 Now, the -- what is the subject of that e-mail? 0 3 THE COURT: Does that mean all of the e-mails are 4 from dr7? 5 MR. HAGAN: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 THE WITNESS: The subject is not from an e-mail, 8 but from a news group. The news group is 9 rac.video.satellite.dbs, but the subject is "The West E3M 10 code." 11 BY MR. HAGAN: 12 And remind us again, what was that West E3M code? Q 13 Α The West E3M code was the hacked cards that were 14 distributed through Al Menard in his distribution group. 15 THE COURT: We refer to that as the ROM 3; is that 16 correct, Counsel? 17 MR. HAGAN: It was used on the ROM 3 cards, and I 18 can clear that up with the witness. 19 BY MR. HAGAN: 20 Mr. Gee, did your investigation conclude that this West Q 21 E3M code, this pirate code, was used on any particular ROM 22 version of EchoStar cards? 23 Α The ROM 3 code? The ROM 3 Smart Card by NagraVision. 24 Now, Mr. Gee, looking back briefly at Exhibit 1510, do Q 25 you still have that in front of you?

1 A Yes, I do.

24

2	Q There is an entry on there that says or that indicates
3	that the card was deactivated in 1999. What does it mean
4	for a card, an EchoStar Smart Card, to be deactivated?
5	A I give the I'm a paying subscriber. I've been
6	receiving DISH Network programming for a couple years; I
7	move. Where I go to, I want to have cable. I disconnect my
8	account. I stop paying, and I no longer receive bills from
9	DISH Network. I end my relationship with DISH Network.
10	Q Mr. Gee, based on your experience in investigating
11	piracy, is there a pretty significant market for EchoStar
12	Smart Cards that are out in the field?
13	A Yeah, as a person that has deactivated the my
14	subscription with DISH Network, I might not send my set-top
15	box back. I might move, forget about it. I'm thinking
16	about other things. But then when I get to the location
17	where I'm going to, I might have that, and I realize I don't
18	want it anymore. So I have a garage sale, and I put it out,
19	then somebody comes and buys that. That person would take
20	that same Smart Card and receiver, take it home, call up
21	DISH Network and activate that account, and then have a
22	second account with it.
23	Another thing that somebody would do is they might take

25 garage sale, take it and want to have it programmed by

that receiver that I've been selling in my garage -- at my

1 somebody, take it to one of the dealers that I've provided 2 to you, where I have a dish, I have -- I need a receiver for 3 it, I need a Smart Card. This is a way for me to obtain 4 this. 5 Garage sale is an example. People sell these now 6 currently on the internet. At the time, you could find them 7 in a bargain finder newspaper. They were available all the 8 time. If you -- if you looked for it, you can find an 9 available DISH Network Smart Card or a receiver. 10 And for EchoStar subscribers that were not using the Ο 11 least --12 Α Excuse me. 13 Q Sure. 14 For EchoStar subscribers who were not using the leased 15 box and Smart Card program, in your experience, do those 16 individuals typically sell their box and receiver after they 17 deactivate? 18 Yes. А 19 So there are a number of these deactivated cards 0 20 floating around in the piracy community at any given time, 21 correct? 22 Δ Thousands. 23 Q And was -- based on your investigation, was Dawn 24 Branton or other individuals in the United States and Canada 25 involved in distributing these deactivated cards, selling

1 them to pirates wanting to reprogram them? 2 I am not aware of Dawn Branton doing that, but I am А 3 aware of people that Dawn Branton was associated with of 4 doing that. 5 And who are those individuals? 0 6 Α Primarily David Fuss. 7 THE COURT: I'm sorry, primarily David who? 8 THE WITNESS: David Fuss. 9 THE COURT: Spell the last name. 10 THE WITNESS: F-u-s-s. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 BY MR. HAGAN: 13 Q And have you conducted an investigation into Mr. Fuss? 14 Α Yes, I have. 15 What was the result of that investigation? Q 16 Two weeks ago, NagraStar, EchoStar and Bell ExpressVu Α 17 conducted an Anton Pillar (phonetic) on David Fuss, his 18 operation in Toronto, Canada. 19 Now --0 20 So we -- we have sued him civilly. Α 21 Mr. Gee, did you ever become aware that Christopher Q 22 Tarnovsky was soliciting people to get him hundreds of blank 23 or deactivated EchoStar Smart Cards? 24 А Yes. 25 And why is that? Ο

1	Page 3
1	MR. STONE: Objection. This calls for hearsay,
2	and lack of foundation, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Overruled.
4	THE WITNESS: When a coder is working on a Smart
5	Card or working on anything, they kill them. They make them
6	inoperable. They damage them. They do something so they
7	are not working properly, so the more that they have to work
8	with, the more success they are going to have, more success
9	they are going to have in getting into hacking the Smart
10	Card.
11	BY MR. HAGAN:
12	Q Because the device being used by Mr. Menard would only
13	work if he had Smart Cards to reprogram, correct?
14	A My understanding was that that device was used to
15	program Smart Cards.
16	Q Now, Mr. Gee, did did Mr. Saggiori provide you with
17	any information or evidence that Christopher Tarnovsky was
18	soliciting blank or deactivated EchoStar Smart Cards?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And how about Mr. Ereiser, he also provides you with
21	information and evidence linking Chris Tarnovsky to the
22	solicitation of these blank EchoStar Smart Cards or
23	deactivated EchoStar Smart Cards?
24	A I I do not recall that discussion with him.
25	Q If Mr. Ereiser testified that Christopher Tarnovsky had

	raye
1	e-mailed him asking for hundreds of these cards, you have no
2	reason to to doubt that testimony?
3	MR. STONE: Your Honor, that's an improper
4	question. It calls for speculation.
5	THE COURT: That's sustained.
6	You'll recall Mr. Ereiser's testimony on that.
7	BY MR. HAGAN:
8	Q Mr. Gee, did there come a point in your investigation
9	where you attempted to debrief and interview Allen Menard?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Did there come a point in your investigation where you
12	concluded that Mr. Menard, after this lawsuit was filed, was
13	hired by the defendants?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Did there come a point in your investigation where you
16	determined that after this lawsuit was filed, Mr. Menard was
17	paid over or roughly \$400,000 by the defendants?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Was Mr. Menard willing to provide you with evidence
20	about his distribution of EchoStar Smart Cards or Chris
21	Tarnovsky acting as his coder?
22	A No.
23	Q Was Mr. Menard willing to verify for you the shipments
24	that were intercepted by government officials in San Marcos,
25	Texas where the cash was concealed inside those devices?

		Page s
1	A I	No.
2	Q	Now, finally, Mr. Gee, I want to look at Exhibit 1148,
3	which	you discussed yesterday. This is one of the Pinkerton
4	inves	tigation reports?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And this is the one involving Satan's Playhouse?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And if you'll look at the back page of of that
9	repor	t, Exhibit 1148.
10		Your Honor, this has already been admitted into
11	evide	nce.
12		THE COURT: Thank you.
13	BY MR	. HAGAN:
14	Q	Under the remarks section, can you read that into the
15	recor	d. It starts with "The P4 access cards."
16	A	Sorry, I think I've got the wrong page.
17		I'm sorry, you'd like me to read the remarks?
18	Q	Just that first that first those first two lines.
19	А	"The P4 access cards purchased from Satan's Playhouse
20	have 1	been couriered to your office and evidence labeled
21	accor	dingly for chain of custody purposes."
22	Q	So there wasn't anything secretive about acquiring this
23	evide	nce, was there, Mr. Gee?
24	A I	No.
25	Q Z	And that evidence is maintained in an evidence locker
	1	

1 at NagraStar; is that correct? 2 А Yes. 3 You've never attempted in any way to reverse engineer Q 4 those P4 cards or hack those P4 cards? 5 Δ No. 6 Now, Mr. Gee, did -- we talked yesterday about Q Giles Kaehlin and Canal+, and I want to go back to that for 7 8 just a moment. 9 First of all, who is Giles Kaehlin? 10 Giles Kaehlin was the head of investigations for Α 11 Canal+. 12 He did work similar to what you do? Q 13 Yes. Α 14 Q And did you understand that at some point Giles Kaehlin 15 and Canal+ investigated the defendants? 16 А Yes. 17 And investigated their relationship with Chris Q 18 Tarnovsky and Allen Menard? 19 А Yes. 20 And what was the reason that they were conducting that Q 21 investigation? In other words, what happened for them to 22 start that investigation? 23 MR. STONE: Objection. Hearsay, your Honor. 24 Irrelevant. 25 THE COURT: Overruled.

	raye 4
1	This is his understanding. It's not for the truth
2	of the matter asserted, but it's why he acted or his
3	activities regarding this investigation. You've already
4	heard about these allegations regarding Canal+, the lawsuit
5	with NDS.
6	THE WITNESS: My understanding was that NDS had
7	hacked Canal+'s technology and had released it out for
8	others to receive.
9	BY MR. HAGAN:
10	Q And that code was posted on Mr. Menard's website,
11	www.dr7.com; is that correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And Mr. Menard testified that he got that code from a,
14	quote, "anonymous donor." Did your investigation marshal
15	any evidence or information that you believe that anonymous
16	donor to be Chris Tarnovsky?
17	A With the relationship that Mr. Tarnovsky had with NDS
18	and the allegations that Canal+ had, I did believe that
19	Tarnovsky was the source of that to Mr. Menard.
20	Q Now, Mr. Gee, you are familiar with files having a date
21	and time stamp, correct?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And can can you tell the jury what that means for a
24	file to be associated with a particular date and time stamp.
25	A My understanding is that when you make something on

	rage 4
1	a a computer, it indicates when you made it off based
2	off of the computer time.
3	Q And we heard Mr. Mordinson testify that his
4	understanding that the file posted on Menard's website
5	MR. STONE: I object
6	(Interruption in the proceedings.)
7	MR. STONE: I object to the rehash of testimony.
8	THE COURT: Overruled. It's foundational.
9	Counsel?
10	BY MR. HAGAN:
11	Q We heard Mr. Mordinson, the defendants' engineer,
12	testify that the file Canal+ code posted on Menard's website
13	had the same date and time stamp as the file that the
14	defendants extracted from the Canal+ Smart Card in their
15	Haifa facility. Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of
16	the jury what that means for two files to have the same date
17	and time stamp?
18	A My understanding of that is that that's very rare. It
19	is almost impossible for that to happen.
20	Q Beyond coincidental?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Now, Mr. Gee, finally, I want to talk about the effects
23	of piracy. A large part of your job is investigating the
24	problem of piracy and the theft of EchoStar's programming.
25	Are you familiar, generally, with with what those effects

	rage
1	are to the company, both your company, NagraStar and
2	EchoStar?
3	A Yes, I am.
4	Q And can you just generally describe for the jury what
5	those effects are on the companies.
6	A Certainly. Anytime there's piracy, you you lose a
7	customer, you lose revenue, you lose profit. But what also
8	is lost is maybe a possibility of a contract with a
9	provider. Maybe HBO doesn't want to give you provide you
10	for at \$50 for HBO programming, they are going to charge
11	you \$60 for it. And what that so it could cost the
12	company either not carrying HBO for the customer or
13	requiring customers not to do that. So that's a way of
14	deflecting them from wanting to purchase their content from
15	DISH Network. The
16	Q And and do these devastating effects of piracy and
17	the theft of EchoStar's signal, do they have any negative
18	impact on the American consumers and EchoStar's legitimate
19	paying subscribers?
20	A Yes, it does, and that would be in regards to higher
21	bills, higher cost, the again, maybe some unavailability
22	of some content.
23	Q Now, Mr. Gee, I understand that through your
24	investigation and the and the years that you dedicated to
25	that investigation, there was a number of different people

	iaye -
1	that you spoke to and a number of different evidence that
2	you looked at, a number of different witnesses that you
3	
	debriefed and documents that you looked at. Based on the
4	totality of that evidence and those witness statements, were
5	you able to reach a conclusion in your mind as to who was
6	responsible for the distribution of these West Group dr7 E3M
7	cards?
8	A Yes, and that was Al Menard and Chris Tarnovsky.
9	Q And were you able to reach a conclusion as to who was
10	responsible for the publication of a hack recipe for
11	EchoStar system and the E3M code to create these pirate
12	cards on Mr. Menard's website?
13	A Through my investigation, I believe that is
14	Chris Tarnovsky.
15	Q Is there any doubt in your mind?
16	A No.
17	MR. HAGAN: Thank you, Mr. Gee.
18	Pass the witness.
19	THE COURT: Redirect by Mr. Stone on behalf of
20	NDS.
21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. STONE:
23	Q Mr. Gee, when you testified about the devastating
24	effects of piracy, were you aware in the year following
25	these postings EchoStar's revenues went up by \$1.25 billion?

	rage
1	
1	A I don't recall that, no.
2	Q Were you aware that was the single largest increase in
3	revenues, both on an absolute basis and percentage basis, in
4	the history of EchoStar?
5	A No, I'm not.
6	Q Are you aware not a single programming provider has
7	ever refused to contract with EchoStar because of any
8	alleged piracy?
9	A No.
10	Q Sir, you summarized a bunch of conversations that you
11	supposedly had with folks, and you'd agree that we pretty
12	much have to take your word on what was said at this point,
13	right?
14	A No, I've provided supplemental reporting on my notes
15	from that.
16	Q Your notes, your notes?
17	A My notes, yes.
18	Q Yeah.
19	Let's go back to Exhibit 1148 if we could, please, sir.
20	A I have it here.
21	Q Satan's Playhouse?
22	A Yes, I have it.
23	Q Now, go to the page number 5.
24	A I'm there.
25	Q You weren't trying to suggest to the jury that you were

	I age
1	buying unmodified P4 cards as part of evidence, were you,
2	sir?
3	A I was purchasing the P4 cards, and then they would be
4	brought in. Anything we purchased from a pirate I would
5	consider evidence to whether it's from somebody in Canada,
6	somebody in Asia.
7	Q Well, you weren't tasked with investigating P4 piracy,
8	were you, sir?
9	A No, I was not.
10	Q And didn't you testify yesterday you obtained these
11	cards just for curiosity?
12	A Yes, it was being advertised as a hack, and I was as
13	I stated, we wanted to see if that was true.
14	Q Have those P4 cards been used as evidence in any legal
15	proceeding?
16	A No.
17	Q And so you traveled thousands of miles or sent a
18	private investigator thousands of miles away to go to
19	Satan's Playhouse to buy P4 cards so you could keep them in
20	an evidence locker for four years?
21	A No. I sent an investigation company that was located
22	in Toronto to do that work, but yes, I did send somebody to
23	go there.
24	Q And they didn't buy any EchoStar piracy devices or
25	cards while they were up there; isn't that true?

	rage -
1	A Not on this particular task, but previously they had.
2	Q And did you bring the P4 cards with you here today?
3	A No.
4	Q And you had those since 2002, right?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Are you aware that NagraVision in Switzerland has hired
7	many of the same consultants and employees who worked on the
8	P4 card with NDS?
9	A Yes, I am.
10	Q And that's just a coincidence, right?
11	A I don't know if it's coincidence or their skill set.
12	Q Well, are they working on the same technology that's
13	incorporated in the P4 card, sir?
14	A I don't know what they're work the I don't know
15	the premise of the technology.
16	Q Well, you know that the P4 cards have an ASIC in them,
17	right, application specific integrated circuit?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Isn't that the new technology the folks in Switzerland
20	are currently developing?
21	A I am not fully aware of all the we are developing
22	new technology, but the details of that I do not know.
23	Q So I want to go back over this reliable evidence that
24	you claim you relied upon in making your conclusions here to
25	the jury.

	Tage
1	Now, for over four years, you claimed Chris Tarnovsky
2	was xbr21, right?
3	A Yes, I did.
4	Q And you thought that was so reliable that you swore to
5	that under penalty of perjury in June of 2000, right?
6	A Yes, I did.
7	Q And so you were saying under oath that was reliable
8	information, Tarnovsky is xbr21, right?
9	A As I stated before, Tarnovsky used multiple, multiple,
10	multiple aliases, and my belief, that xbr21 was one of
11	those.
12	Q Not just your belief, you stated it under oath that it
13	was a reliable fact, didn't you, sir?
14	A Yes, I did.
15	Q All right. And let's look at Exhibit 1296, please.
16	It's in evidence, and I'm looking at page 4.
17	At lines 25 through 26, the source of this reliable
18	information that Chris Tarnovsky was xbr21 was, in part,
19	Jan Saggiori, Graham James, Ron Ereiser and Anthony Dionisi,
20	correct?
21	A Yes.
22	Q These same people who gave you this supposedly reliable
23	evidence that Mr. Tarnovsky was involved with Al Menard in
24	some distribution network, right?
25	A Yes, but they did not provide me any information about

	Page 4
1	xbr21.
2	Q Who did?
3	A That was based on our assumption with him.
4	Q So you're saying you put under oath as a fact a mere
5	assumption?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And so your testimony here today could, likewise, be
8	assumption that you are taking under oath?
9	A No.
10	Q Well, when let's back up a second. When you
11	investigated Mr. Maldonado within months of these postings,
12	you asked him if he knew who Nipper was, right?
13	A Yes, I did.
14	Q And you didn't ask him if he knew Chris Tarnovsky or
15	Al Menard; isn't that right?
16	A I don't believe I did, no.
17	Q And you didn't ask him, is Chris Tarnovsky Nipper, did
18	you, sir?
19	A No, I did not.
20	Q And you weren't paying Mr. Maldonado anything at the
21	time, were you, sir?
22	A No.
23	Q And would you agree that if somebody went to prison as
24	a result of these postings and provided information, that
25	would be pretty credible information?

	raye
1	A Mr. Maldonado did not go to prison for the posting of
2	the Nipper post.
3	Q Do you know if anyone did?
4	A Well, Mr. Tarnovsky is not in prison.
5	Q Do you know if anyone went to prison for threatening
6	Nipper?
7	A No.
8	Q Now, you didn't really say when you talked to these
9	folks that you identified that supposedly gave you the
10	reliable evidence. When you spoke to Mr. Ereiser, he was
11	already a paid consultant, correct?
12	A Yes, he was.
13	Q And when you spoke to Mr. James, he was a paid
14	consultant of NagraStar, correct?
15	A Not when I first spoke to him, no.
16	Q When did he become a paid consultant, shortly
17	thereafter?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And when you spoke to Mr. Love, he wasn't a consultant
20	or informant, was he?
21	A I'm sorry, did you say Dean Love?
22	Q Dean Love.
23	A Yes.
24	Q And when you spoke to Mr. Harmon, you'd been paying his
25	attorneys' fees, correct?

		Page
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And Mr. Saggiori, when you spoke to him, you were
3		.ng him money, right?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And when did these conversations take place? Let's
6		e Mr. Love.
7	A	I believe Mr. Love was 2002 to 2004.
8	Q	So some of those conversations occurred after the
9	laws	suit was filed, right?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And what about Mr. Ereiser?
12	A	Conversations with Mr. Ereiser began in 2001.
13	Q	And what about with respect to Mr. James?
14	A	I believe Mr. James was 2006.
15	Q	And that was after the lawsuit filed?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And you began paying him money thereafter?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And Mr. Saggiori, he was already being paid at the time
20	you	spoke to him, right?
21	A	No, I don't believe so.
22	Q	When did you speak to Mr. Saggiori?
23	A	I believe 2002, 2003.
24	Q	Wasn't Mr. Saggiori being paid in 2003?
25	A	I don't recall. I don't know.

1	Q So these people get paid money. They then say
2	Tarnovsky is involved. You then rely upon that to say
3	Tarnovsky is involved in the lawsuit; do I have the circle
4	about right?
5	A No, you do not. Speak to them, they state that they
6	have information that Tarnovsky was responsible for the
7	code, and we developed a relationship after that.
8	Q Mr. Dionisi was being paid, correct?
9	A Yes, he was.
10	Q And he wouldn't provide those statements until you paid
11	him; isn't that right?
12	A He had spoken to us that is correct.
13	Q And how many of those people you talked to had grudges
14	against NDS; Mr. Ereiser for sure, right?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Dean Love for sure, because they just raided him,
17	right?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Jan Saggiori was no fan of Mr. Tarnovsky or NDS
20	anymore, right?
21	A No.
22	Q Mr. James had a grudge, didn't he?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Now, did you get any card that you claim was
25	reprogrammed by some device that you claim Mr. Tarnovsky was

	rage
1	responsible for; do you have that card?
2	A I do not.
3	Q You don't have any device either, do you?
4	A No.
5	Q So this vast distribution network, you don't have a
6	single Smart Card that you can show to this jury and say was
7	supposedly reprogrammed by some device that Mr. Tarnovsky
8	had involvement in, correct?
9	A Correct.
10	Q You don't even have a report of such a device; isn't
11	that right?
12	A No. Within my notes and the of meetings speaking
13	about the Stinger device
14	Q Do you have a Smart Card analysis report like you have
15	for other pirate organization that you have investigated?
16	A No.
17	Q And you don't have a single analysis report of any
18	device that you claim was manufactured or created by
19	Chris Tarnovsky; isn't that right?
20	A That is correct.
21	Q But you had one for the black box that came from the
22	Barrie Group that Mr. Maldonado was dealing with, correct?
23	A We were able to get a black box, yes.
24	Q And you heard from Switzerland that the black box was
25	connected to the postings, right?

	raye .
1	A (No audible response.)
2	Q We talked about that yesterday.
3	A It wasn't the report that I yes.
4	Q And so we could probably put an end to all this
5	speculation if we just had the black box. Do we still have
6	it?
7	A Does Mr. Tarnovsky have it, I don't know; I don't.
8	Q Mr. Tarnovsky never had it. You folks had it. Do you
9	still have it so we can put an end to all this speculation?
10	Let's just open up the black box, and let's look at the
11	code; do you have it?
12	A No.
13	Q Where is it?
14	A I do not know.
15	Q Well, wouldn't that be critical evidence, a black box
16	that the folks in Switzerland says are connected to the
17	postings that is the reason we're all here?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And it's just gone?
20	A I don't know the status of it.
21	Q But we have notes of conversations of paid consultants
22	with grudges against NDS, that's our evidence; is that your
23	testimony?
24	A No, that is not all my testimony.
25	Q The same folks who said that Mr. Tarnovsky was xbr21?

	iage
1	A As I stated, we believe that Tarnovsky was xbr21 due to
2	the multiple aliases that he was using.
3	Q Now, sir, you testified about a video and a meeting of
4	Mr. Tarnovsky with Mr. Menard in Toronto; do you recall
5	that?
6	A Yes, I do.
7	Q And Mr. Frost; do you recall that?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And did you know that that was part of an undercover
10	operation?
11	A No.
12	Q Do you know that after that meeting, that arrests were
13	made of certain of the attendees of the Toronto summit?
14	A No.
15	Q Did you know that Mr. Frost was arrested as a result
16	and convicted?
17	A I know that Mr. Frost was charged by, I believe, the
18	customs.
19	Q And it wasn't your company, it was NDS and DirecTV
20	who who was involved in that prosecution; isn't that
21	right?
22	A It was DirecTV.
23	Q And NDS
24	A And so was Mr. Rissler, who had directed me to
25	Mr. Frost.

	rage
1	Q And whose customer, again, was DirecTV?
2	A NDS.
3	Q And how much money has your company paid to Mr. Love;
4	do you know?
5	A I don't know what his legal fees have been, several
6	thousand.
7	Q Now, you made a couple statements about Mr. Menard's
8	website and it was just Al Menard. The truth is that two of
9	your consultants, Mr. Perlman and Mr. Scullion were running
10	that website in December of 2000; isn't that right?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And so when you said no one else was operating the dr7
13	website, that was just a mistake to the jury, correct?
14	A I stated previously that as there were as an
15	administrator, Mr. Perlman and Mr. Scullion were
16	administrators.
17	Q And you talked a little bit about Dawn Branton. Did
18	you ever raid Dawn Branton's?
19	A No, we did not.
20	Q Do you know why Ms. Branton was receiving so much mail
21	from your company through M & M freight forwarding?
22	A No.
23	Q And it's true, sir, you never conducted any
24	investigation to try to trace that December 24th posting
25	through Dawn Branton?

1	A No, I did not.
2	Q And I know you testified about people can fake things
3	and do things, but you didn't take the very basic step of
4	going to Ms. Branton and conducting an investigation as a
5	result of that posting; is that a fair statement?
6	A I did not do that.
7	Q And speaking of modifying things, are you aware that
8	Mr. Mordinson also testified that date and time stamps can
9	be modified quite easily?
10	A I was not aware of that.
11	Q And you don't have technical expertise in that field;
12	is that a fair statement?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q You don't purport to be an expert on that in front of
15	this jury, do you?
16	A No.
17	Q Now, you also testified that your investigative load
18	has increased to 500 active cases?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And I think you said in 2001, you started out with one,
21	and you had, I don't know, 40, 50 at the end of the year,
22	something like that?
23	A I believe that was sounds right.
24	Q Sound about right?
25	A (No audible response.)

	rage
1	Q Now, you've got 500?
2	
	A Yes.
3	Q And isn't what happened that there was an explosion in
4	what's called free-to-air piracy in the 2003 time frame?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And you understand we're not being blamed for
7	free-to-air piracy in this case; you understand that, right?
8	A I do understand that.
9	Q So that isn't one of the accusations flying around this
10	courtroom. Just so we are clear, nobody is claiming NDS has
11	anything to do with free-to-air piracy, right?
12	A Correct.
13	Q And nobody is claiming that NDS has anything to do with
14	the fact that the cards that were used in the card swap were
15	immediately hacked; you are aware of that, aren't you?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And what is free-to-air piracy?
18	A Free-to-air piracy is free-to-air, there is
19	programming that is programming that is provided via
20	satellite that you pay for, which is DISH Network, DirecTV.
21	There is also some content that is free, freely broadcast
22	off the satellite, same satellites, maybe not the ones for
23	DirecTV or DISH Network, but there's satellites out there
24	broadcasting programming that somebody doesn't have to pay
25	for.

	raye s
1	There is a business out there where you have you
2	sell a free-to-air receiver, and you can receive that
3	content as it is sent down freely.
4	Q And it's a huge problem, isn't it?
5	A The piracy of free-to-air is that they have developed a
6	hack to take a free-to-air receiver in order to instead
7	of receiving the free programming, they receive the
8	scrambled programming from DISH Network. So they are
9	stealing using those free-to-air boxes to steal from DISH
10	Network currently, now.
11	Q And it's a huge problem, isn't it?
12	A Yes.
13	Q It's the single biggest piracy problem EchoStar has
14	ever faced, isn't it?
15	A I wouldn't word it that way, no. It's a it's a
16	problem now with free-to-air. We are doing litigation on
17	the people who are behind it. We are conducting
18	countermeasures to stop it. But as the biggest ever, I
19	could not say that, no.
20	Q And to be clear, it began before the card swap, right?
21	A Yes, it did.
22	Q Did you see any memos or e-mails that explain the
23	reason for the card swap in 2004, four years after
24	December 2000?
25	A I don't recall seeing any.

1	Q And hasn't Mr. Norris assisted in investigating and
2	prosecuting folks engaged in free-to-air piracy of the
3	EchoStar system?
4	A Not that I'm aware of.
5	Q Didn't you congratulate him on his efforts against
6	Dr. Randy Long in North Carolina?
7	A No, I did not.
8	Q Are you aware of Mr. Norris's efforts to stop Dreambox
9	distribution by Mr. Long in North Carolina?
10	A I am aware of the Long investigation that was conducted
11	by the FBI in North Carolina. I have know details on the
12	work that NDS had done with that and have not spoken to
13	Mr. Norris about that.
14	Q Well, did you speak to the prosectors and find out what
15	assistance Mr. Norris had provided in that prosecution?
16	A I have not spoken to the prosector, but I've spoken to
17	the FBI agent. This Dr. Long was from I know from
18	what he was doing against us, but I believe he was also
19	doing work against NDS on another system that they have, but
20	I don't know the details of that.
21	Q Well, isn't it true your company did not initiate the
22	investigation of Dr. Randy Long?
23	A We did not.
24	Q And wasn't Mr. Long a major distributor of the Dreambox
25	free-to-air devices in the United States?

	Tage C
1	A I could not speak to the volume that he was
2	distributing the Dreambox.
3	Q Did you talk to Special Agent Doug Rentz, R-e-n-t-z, of
4	the FBI about Mr. Norris's assistance in that matter?
5	A Yes, I have.
6	Q And what did Special Agent Doug Rentz tell you about
7	Mr. Norris's assistance in the prosecution of Mr. Long?
8	A He didn't provide the details on the on it, but he
9	stated that Mr. Norris was the person who had who was
10	driving the investigation against Mr. Long.
11	Q And you never thanked Mr. Norris for those efforts?
12	A No, I have not.
13	Q Do you know a gentleman named Mr. Mullen, Marty Mullen?
14	A Yes, I do.
15	Q He was another consultant to your company, correct?
16	A He wasn't a consultant. We were speaking to him to
17	provide his testimony in this litigation.
18	Q And are you aware that he was arrested in Florida in
19	2004?
20	A Yes, he was arrested in Florida for piracy against
21	DirecTV.
22	Q And hadn't he been sued by your sister company, Bell
23	ExpressVu, in Canada for 100 million for piracy?
24	A I don't recall the figure that he was sued for, but he
25	was sued in a he was at Bell ExpressVu was contacted

	rage c
1	by NDS to see if they would join in in the litigation with
2	them, because Mr. Mullen had programmed some Bell ExpressVu
3	receivers.
4	
5	Q And do you recall the name of the prosector who pursued Mr. Mullen?
6	
7	A In the United States or Canada under the Bell
	ExpressVu?
8	Q United States.
9	A Assistant U.S. Attorney Peluso.
10	Q And during the course of that prosecution, did you ever
11	try to discourage Mr. Peluso from pursuing Mr. Mullen for
12	piracy?
13	A I wouldn't use the word "discourage." I spoke with
14	Mr. Peluso to share with him that Mr. Mullen was providing
15	us assistance in testimony in our investigation that was
16	being run out of the AUSA's office on the west coast in
17	San Diego, and that although Mr. Mullen was involved with
18	DirecTV piracy, that the information that Mr. Mullen was
19	providing to us was stating that he felt that the hacks that
20	he was providing to his customers were coming from NDS.
21	Q Sir, did
22	A And I was expressing that to Mr. Peluso for him in
23	consideration for Mr. Mullen.
24	Q And then didn't Mr. Mullen plead guilty?
25	A Mr. Mullen did plead guilty to piracy against the

1	DirecTV system.
2	Q And did your company continue to pay Mr. Mullen's
3	attorneys' fee after he pled guilty?
4	A We paid Mr. Mullen's attorney fees associated with any
5	testimony that he was providing for us in this litigation.
6	Q Well, didn't you pay for his attorney, Darlene Barr
7	(phonetic), to attend his deposition?
8	A That would be in regards to this trial.
9	Q And you attended the sentencing proceeding for
10	Mr. Mullen, correct?
11	A Yes, I did.
12	Q And you weren't there for the prosecution, were you?
13	A No, I was not.
14	Q And you were there several days in Florida,
15	Mr. Mullen's sentencing?
16	A Yes, I was.
17	Q And in fact, you were going to be a witness on
18	Mr. Mullen's behalf, correct?
19	A It was considered with it, but I was not on his
20	behalf of his support for us and what he was telling us, but
21	not against his activities that he had done against DirecTV.
22	Q Now, let's go back to the point in time when you spoke
23	to to Mr. Maldonado; do you recall that?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And it's your testimony Mr. Maldonado did not offer any

	raye c
1	further cooperation in tracking down Nipper after your
2	interview with him on March 22nd, 2001?
3	A I do not recall that he had provided that to us.
4	Q And you didn't follow up with Mr. Maldonado and seek
5	further information from him, correct?
6	A No.
7	Q And you didn't follow up with his business partner,
8	Mr. St. James; isn't that correct?
9	A That is correct; I did not.
10	Q And you didn't track down Jim Waters in Barrie, Ontario
11	through the address and cell phone number and internet
12	nicknames you had; isn't that correct?
13	A That is correct.
14	Q And if I recall correctly, the ECM and patch for
15	dealing with the postings that occurred in December 2000
16	came down in February of 2001; does that sound about right?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Did you ever receive any information about the success
19	of that patch and electronic countermeasure?
20	A It was successful in knocking out the pirates for a
21	little while, yes.
22	Q Well, for more than a little while, wasn't it?
23	A It depends on how you put "little while," a range of
24	range of time. It was awhile. But they were successful for
25	a while, but the pirates were able to get back into it.

	raye
1	Q Well, let's look at Exhibit 1186, which is in evidence,
2	if we could, please.
3	This is an e-mail from Joel Conus bless you from
4	Joel Conus dated March 2nd, 2001 to various people,
5	including yourself, correct?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Why does your e-mail address say "jjgee@echostar.com"?
8	A When I first came into the company, there was a mess-up
9	on my I had both EchoStar and NagraStar accounts.
10	Q And Mr. Conus's job for NagraVision was to monitor the
11	internet for the status of piracy, amongst other things,
12	correct?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And you would receive reports from him in your capacity
15	as an investigator for NagraStar, correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And NagraStar was the company that would buy the Smart
18	Cards from NagraVision or NagraCard, correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q In other words, you were the customer of the folks in
21	Switzerland who were providing these reports?
22	A In that category, yes.
23	Q And you would expect that the people in Switzerland
24	would provide accurate reports to its customer in the United
25	States, right?

i	raye
1	A As accurate as the information on the internet that
2	they are monitoring is knowledgeable to it. Sometimes it's
3	accurate, sometimes it's not accurate.
4	Q So are you telling us stuff on the internet isn't
5	always accurate?
6	A Yes.
7	Q In fact, a lot of times it's not accurate; is that what
8	you are saying?
9	A Wikipedia is a good example of that.
10	Q But who would have the best knowledge about the status
11	of the security of the ROM 3 card?
12	A The people that are monitoring the internet for the
13	piracy chatter.
14	Q Okay. Looking at the middle of this page where it
15	begins "Not much is happening on the forums now." It's the
16	first big paragraph.
17	A Okay.
18	Q Mr. Conus wrote "Not much is happening on the forums
19	now." You understand that to mean the piracy forums,
20	correct?
21	A Yes, I do.
22	MR. STONE: Could be a little bigger, there. It's
23	a little hard to read.
24	There we go.
25	

1 BY MR. STONE: 2 It says "The hacking is stalling, it seems. The number 0 3 of hackers who still have non-updated cards is very 4 limited." 5 Do you see that? 6 Α I do see that. 7 Now, when it says not updated, that means cards that Q 8 haven't taken the patch or been killed by the electronic 9 countermeasure, right? 10 I believe that's what he was stating. Α 11 And then he goes on to say "Therefore, the blocker code Q 12 that has been published, cannot be widely used," right? 13 Α Yes. 14 And you understand Mr. Conus to mean that for all the 0 15 cards that had been hit with the patch code and the 16 electronic countermeasure, that thereafter, blocker code 17 would be useless for those cards, right? 18 Until they developed a new blocker to get around from Α 19 the ECM, because they'll monitor the ECM and the stream on 20 how it's responding. And then the coders that we discussed 21 previously would evaluate a workaround on it, and then try 22 and get it working as quickly as possible. 23 0 Well, actually, let's look down at the status as of 24 March 2nd, 2001 for DNASP-003. 25 Now, you understand that to refer to the ROM 3 version,

	Page
1	correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And what's the status?
4	A It states "secured VIP rights in some cards, cards in
5	blockers affected by the update."
6	Q All right. And those are the cards that he said were
7	very limited up above, right?
8	A I have a correction. If I can reread that, I missed a
9	word here. "Secured VIP rights in some cards. Cards in
10	blockers not affected by the update."
11	Q And those would be the very limited cards that he
12	referenced up above, correct?
13	A That is correct.
14	Q And what does it list for the status for ROM 2?
15	A It says "Compromised freeware available, many cards
16	dead."
17	Q And you understand in this lawsuit, once again, they
18	are not blaming NDS for the ROM 2 piracy, correct?
19	A That is my understanding.
20	Q And what does it show for the DNASP-010, which would be
21	the ROM 10 card as of March 2nd, 2001?
22	A "Not compromised."
23	Q And I'm not going to go through each of these reports
24	you got, but you would receive reports like this
25	Bless you. Do you need a drink?

	Page
1	A Thank you.
2	Thank you.
3	Q You would receive reports like this on a routine basis
4	all the way up until the end of 2003, correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And isn't it true, sir, there's not a single report
7	from Mr. Conus where he shows on any basis of time that the
8	ROM 3 card was compromised or that the hole was open after
9	March of 2001?
10	A I don't know.
11	Q Is that something that would have stuck out in your
12	mind if something that was secured all of a sudden became
13	compromised again?
14	A The I the cards did come open again, and as
15	continuing part of the investigation, you mentioned the
16	49-50 cases that I had in 2001. That was part of that.
17	I I don't recall seeing something or not seeing something
18	on it, but I do
19	Q Do you recall the were you receiving any report for
20	a period of more than a month listing the ROM 3 card as
21	compromised after March of 2001?
22	A I don't know.
23	Q If such a report existed, it would have come from
24	Mr. Conus?
25	A Not definitively. They would a group within

	rage
1	NagraVision sends a daily report every day to us, and it's
2	just given us little highlights on some of the discussion
3	chatter that's going on within the IRC channels in the
4	forums, so not specific for Mr. Conus, but would receive
5	something daily from somebody from Switzerland.
6	Q And you don't recall receiving any report that listed
7	the status of ROM 3 as compromised after March of 2001;
8	isn't that correct?
9	A I don't know.
10	Q Are there documents that would tell us the extent of
11	free-to-air piracy that began in 2003?
12	A Oh, what kind of documents?
13	Q Something like this, anything that would say
14	"free-to-air piracy exists, here's how much it's affecting
15	us," anything like that?
16	A Yes, there would be monitoring indicating that
17	free-to-air was being used in the use of piracy.
18	Q And would there be reports showing the extent of that
19	piracy?
20	A The extent of it, yes.
21	Q And how did the pirates hack the card swap cards; do
22	you know?
23	A Details of that, I don't know, but I we have the
24	technical or the we know who was behind the that hack,
25	and those people have been sued.

1	Q Now, one of your other reliable sources that you listed
2	
	was Mr. Harmon; do you recall that?
3	A Yes, I do.
4	Q Did it ever come to your attention that Mr. Harmon had
5	mental health problems?
6	A Yes, Mr. Harmon was committed to a mental health
7	facility in Alberta.
8	Q And did Mr. Harmon at one point tell you that he
9	thought Chris Tarnovsky was in the CIA?
10	A Yes, he did. I laughed, too.
11	Q Becoming part of a lawsuit, it's funny, I suppose.
12	A He he didn't say it was Tarnovsky, but he said
13	the he felt that the coder for Menard had connections to
14	the CIA.
15	MR. STONE: Thank you, sir.
16	No further questions.
17	THE COURT: Recross?
18	MR. HAGAN: Yes.
19	RECROSS EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. HAGAN:
21	Q Mr. Gee, we looked, again, at Exhibit 1148, Satan's
22	Playhouse. And the evidence bag of cards that you got, the
23	P4 cards, has nothing to do with this lawsuit, right?
24	A No.
25	Q Now, you haven't provided those cards to DirecTV, but

have you engaged in efforts, joint investigative efforts, with DirecTV in the past?

3 A Yes, we have.

4 Hasn't, in fact, NagraStar provided evidence and 0 5 information to DirecTV to help them prosecute pirates? 6 Yes, I've -- actually, from a confidential informant А 7 who had received code for a new high-definition set-top box 8 that had never -- hadn't even made it on the market, yet, 9 for DirecTV for the P4 system, was provided to a 10 confidential source of mine. And that code was given to 11 him, asking him to assist him in developing a hack for the 12 P4 card, and I had provided that -- all that communication, 13 all the support, all the software to DirecTV. DirecTV was 14 able to prosecute that person and put them in prison for the 15 efforts that they were trying to do through my confidential 16 source on hacking that P4 card.

Q In addition to providing evidence and assistance to DirecTV, hasn't NagraStar and -- and yourself personally also done joint investigations with DirecTV into piracy? A Yes, we have.

Q And your phone line is always open. In fact, you take calls routinely from individuals at DirecTV that provide them with the assistance and evidence, correct? A Yes, we have a good working relationship.
Q And you are aware, sir, at one time DirecTV was

	Idye
1	considering switching to NagraVision, NagraStar's
2	conditional access technology; is that correct?
3	A That is correct.
4	Q And you are aware that they decided not to do that
5	after EchoStar's security system was hacked, the posts were
6	made on the internet, correct?
7	A That's my understanding, yes.
8	Q And is your understanding that those those first
9	posts were made in November of 1998; is that correct?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And that was when DirecTV was considering leaving NDS;
12	is that correct?
13	MR. STONE: I object
14	(Interruption in the proceedings.)
15	MR. STONE: I object. There is no foundation. My
16	understanding is he didn't start until 2001.
17	THE COURT: No. Overruled. He didn't start until
18	2001, but obviously he's knowledgeable about the history
19	and once he became employed by EchoStar, NagraStar.
20	You may answer the question.
21	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, can you repeat the
22	question, please?
23	BY MR. HAGAN:
24	Q November of of 1998, that's when DirecTV was
25	considering NagraVision's security system, correct?

1 That is correct. Α 2 And based on your investigation and what you've learned 0 3 through the defendants' documents, November of 1998 is when 4 they created the written report on how to hack EchoStar's 5 security system, correct? 6 Α That is my understanding. 7 Do you believe that's just a coincidence? Q 8 No. Α 9 Now, Mr. Gee, you testified about these E3M cards and Q 10 then Mr. Frost and these other distributors up in Canada. 11 Did your investigation conclude that these cards were also 12 being sold and distributed in the United States? 13 А Yes. 14 0 And these E3M cards were being used --15 THE COURT: Slower. 16 BY MR. HAGAN: 17 -- to circumvent EchoStar's security system here in the Q 18 United States? 19 А Yes. 20 And these E3M cards from the West Group were used to Q 21 steal EchoStar's encrypted copyright program? 22 А Yes. 23 Q And that was here in the United States? 24 А Yes. 25 I also talked again about the -- the Dawn Branton Q

	raye /
1	issue. Now, that card, the card that we looked at in that
2	earlier exhibit
3	What's that number?
4	Give me just a second.
5	A Of Exhibit 1510?
6	Q That card was in circulation for over a year before the
7	Nipper posting; is that correct?
8	A It states that the account activates on 12/27/1996.
9	Q That card could have been anywhere by the time of the
10	December 2000 postings, correct?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Now, you also talked briefly about xbr21. There came a
13	point in time that NagraStar became aware that
14	Chris Tarnovsky did not use that alias; is that correct?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And isn't it true, sir, that NagraStar immediately
17	amended its discovery responses and took that xbr21 alias
18	off for Chris Tarnovsky?
19	A That is correct.
20	Q And the reason, sir, that that that alias was
21	initially linked to Mr. Tarnovsky was because it was simply
22	a repost of the NipperClause hack recipe; is that correct?
23	A It was a copy and paste.
24	Q In fact, Mr. Pizzo testified yesterday that to that
25	exact same thing, it was a copy and paste of the

	I age
1	NipperClause post. Is that consistent with your
2	investigation?
3	A After what we've learned, yes.
4	Q Now, you also testified that EchoStar's profits went
5	up in 2001 despite the piracy; do you recall that
6	testimony I'm sorry, the revenues went up in 2001
7	despite the piracy?
8	A I was informed that that had, but I wasn't aware of it.
9	Q Revenues aren't the same thing as profits, right,
10	Mr. Gee?
11	A Correct.
12	Q And you understood that EchoStar, in fact, in 2001, was
13	continuing to grow its subscriber base here in the United
14	States?
15	A That is correct.
16	Q EchoStar didn't just simply stop trying to get new
17	subscribers after the posting of the defendants' hack
18	methodology, correct?
19	A No, they did not.
20	Q Now, you also spoke briefly about free-to-air piracy.
21	Do you know an individual named Jung Kwak?
22	A I do know Jung I do know of an individual,
23	Jung Kwak.
24	Q Have you conducted an investigation into Jung Kwak?
25	A Yes, we have. We have sued Jung Kwak here in

1 California. 2 Did there come a point in time in your investigation 0 3 where you concluded there was an affiliation between Jung 4 Kwak and Chris Tarnovsky? 5 Yes, I was informed that Chris Tarnovsky and Al Menard Α 6 were at an Atlanta -- at an Atlanta satellite show with 7 Jung Kwak, and as my source told me about, his posse hanging 8 out together. And I've never received any -- any 9 information from NDS about any affiliation with Tarnovsky 10 with Jung Kwak, and we have sued Jung Kwak's operation of --11 But free-to-air piracy and Chris Tarnovsky and Jung Q 12 Kwak, that's not part of this case, correct? 13 А It is not a part of this case. 14 Now, you also talked briefly about an electronic 0 15 countermeasure in February of 2001; do you recall that? 16 А Yes. 17 And an electronic countermeasure is, as you understand Q 18 it, an effort by EchoStar and NagraStar to combat piracy, 19 correct? 20 Yes. А 21 It's a signal that's sent down from the satellite to Q 22 try to repair certain cards or to -- to disable certain 23 pirate devices? 24 Α Correct. 25 Now, you are familiar with the term "glitching"; is 0

	-	Page /
1	that	right?
2	A	Yes, I am.
3	Q	And can pirates use what's called "glitching" to get
4	arou	nd software patches or ECMs?
5	A	Yes, they can.
6	Q	And how about blockers?
7	A	I am familiar with blockers.
8	Q	Can pirates use blockers to get around patches and
9	ECMs	?
10	A	They use the blocker to stop the code from going to the
11	card	, to block it.
12	Q	In fact, in Exhibit 1185 that Mr. Stone showed you, it
13	says	just that?
14	A	I
15	Q	You looked at a a copy of it with Mr. Stone a moment
16	ago.	Does that does that talk about blockers?
17	A	Yes, that states "cards and blockers not affected by
18	the	update."
19	Q	Which would mean not repaired by the February ECM?
20	A	Correct.
21		THE COURT: What Exhibit, again, Counsel?
22		MR. HAGAN: 1185, your Honor.
23		THE COURT: Just a moment.
24		THE WITNESS: It's actually 1186.
25		THE COURT: Let's make sure of the Exhibit Number.

1 THE WITNESS: I'm looking at 1186. 2 MR. STONE: 1186, correct, Mr. Gee. 3 THE COURT: Now, just a moment. 4 What's the date of this? 5 THE WITNESS: The date is March 2nd, 2001, your 6 Honor. 7 THE COURT: Just a moment. 8 THE WITNESS: I'm --9 THE COURT: Who is it from, and who is it to? 10 THE WITNESS: I'm -- it was from Joel Conus to 11 many people, and I'm going off the date of the e-mail for 12 the -- my comments of March 2nd, 2001. 13 THE COURT: Who is it to? 14 THE WITNESS: It's to Christophe Nicolas, 15 Christophe Gaillard, Henri Kudelski, Alan Guggenheim, 16 Cedric Groux, Marco Sasselli and JJ Gee. 17 THE COURT: Now, show the jury and the Court the 18 comment, again, down on the bottom. 19 BY MR. HAGAN: 20 Mr. Gee, do you see an indication on this exhibit 0 talking about blocked cards not being affected by the ECM? 21 22 Yes, cards and blockers not affected by the update. Α 23 And what does that mean? Q 24 That if you had a blocker on your card, it's not going Α 25 to allow the patch to come to the card.

1	Q Now, Mr. Stone, I think, was trying to make the point
2	that that there were only a few of these blocked cards
3	out there. Let's take a look at Exhibit 41.
4	Your Honor, this is an e-mail from Chris Tarnovsky
5	that's already been admitted into evidence.
6	Mr. Gee, look at the bottom e-mail on the first page of
7	Exhibit 41. Mr. Tarnovsky is e-mailing various people
8	within NDS saying that "there are at least 100,000 original
9	cards, professional E3M or EchoStar 3M"; do you see that
10	language?
11	MR. STONE: I'm sorry, I apologize. I am going to
12	object to this questioning as irrelevant, because it's prior
13	to the date of the update.
14	THE COURT: Overruled.
15	MR. STONE: It's February 7th.
16	THE COURT: Thank you.
17	Overruled.
18	BY MR. HAGAN:
19	Q Do you see that language, Mr. Gee?
20	A Yes, I do.
21	Q And that's as of February 2001?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And the hundred thousand E3M cards that Mr. Tarnovsky
24	is referring to, those are the EchoStar 3M that you were
25	talking about earlier?

	Page of Page o
1	A Yes.
2	
3	Q And are those the same cards being distributed by the
	West Group?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And that was the group run by Al Menard and
6	Chris Tarnovsky, correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Now, let's take a look at Exhibit 1270.
9	Your Honor, this has already been received into
10	evidence.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	BY MR. HAGAN:
13	Q Now, Mr. Gee, if you would turn to page 9 of 10. At
14	the top, there will be a page number that says "9 of 10."
15	This document is called "Marketing Competitive
16	Intelligence." It's an NDS document, and it's dated May of
17	2001. Do you see where it says "Section 5.1"?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And it says "Our estimate is that this commercial loss
20	to EchoStar probably accounted for over 100,000 non-paying
21	subscribers"; do you see that language?
22	MR. STONE: I'm sorry, I don't, Counsel.
23	MR. HAGAN: Page 9 of 10, Exhibit 1270.
24	MR. STONE: Same objection. This is the end of
25	January of 2001.

1	THE COURT: Overruled.
2	THE WITNESS: I I do see that.
3	BY MR. HAGAN:
4	Q And Mr. Gee, do you see a date at the bottom?
5	A May 13th, 2001.
6	Q Thank you.
7	Now, Mr. Gee, if the February 2001 ECM had somehow
8	fixed this problem and fixed these hundred thousand E3M
9	cards being distributed by Mr. Menard and Tarnovsky, would
10	EchoStar have expended \$90 million or over \$90 million to
11	swap out the DNASP-II system?
12	A No.
13	Q That wouldn't make any sense, would it, Mr. Gee?
14	A No, it wouldn't.
15	Q Now, Mr. Tarnovsky also testified that with this hack
16	recipe, pirates could use P1 cards to steal EchoStar's
17	programming. Are you familiar with the P1 card?
18	MR. STONE: Objection, your Honor. That
19	mischaracterizes the testimony, actually.
20	THE COURT: Overruled.
21	THE WITNESS: I am familiar with the P1 card.
22	BY MR. HAGAN:
23	Q And Mr. Tarnovsky testified that, in fact, he
24	reprogrammed the P1 card and put it into an EchoStar
25	receiver, and it was able to steal all EchoStar programming?

1 MR. STONE: Objection, your Honor. That 2 mischaracterizes the testimony of where that hack came 3 from. 4 THE COURT: Overruled. 5 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I'm not understanding 6 your question. 7 BY MR. HAGAN: 8 Ο Mr. Tarnovsky testified that he reprogrammed the P1 9 card with an EchoStar hack from the internet, and he was 10 able to use it to steal all EchoStar programming. 11 А Okay. 12 Were P1 cards, based on your investigation, able to 0 13 steal EchoStar's programming? 14 Α No, I wasn't aware that that could be done. 15 But if Mr. Tarnovsky testified that he was able to do Q 16 that, you don't believe that he's incorrect, do you? 17 MR. STONE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 18 THE WITNESS: I --19 THE COURT: Sustained. 20 THE WITNESS: As a coder --21 THE COURT: Sustained. 22 You're not answering that question. 23 The jury will rely upon the testimony of all the 24 witnesses. 25 They'll sort that out.

1 BY MR. HAGAN: 2 Now, Mr. Gee, the date and time stamp of the file that 0 3 was posted on Mr. Menard's website, Mr. Mordinson testified 4 that that matched identically, his understanding anyways, to 5 the date and time stamp of the code extracted by the 6 defendants in Haifa. Now, is it possible to modify a date 7 and time stamp before posting something on the internet? 8 Yes. Α 9 And if you were going to modify a date and time stamp, 0 10 you would change it to whatever you wanted to, correct? 11 You would change it. Α 12 But the date and time stamp for that file posted on Q 13 Menard's website is the same as the Haifa file, so wouldn't 14 someone have had to know the date and time stamp that the 15 defendants extracted the code? 16 MR. STONE: Objection. Calls for speculation. 17 Argument. 18 THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel, this is part of the 19 argument. 20 MR. HAGAN: Okay, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: I think we've been over that, also, in 22 your cross-examination previously, so you're tracing the 23 same area. 24 MR. HAGAN: I'll move on, your Honor. 25

	Page 8
1	BY MR. HAGAN:
2	Q Now, Mr. Gee, Mr. Stone asked you some questions about
3	Marty Mullen; do you recall that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And you requested that the AUSA in Florida, Mr. Peluso,
6	not prosecute Mr. Mullen; is that correct?
7	A No, I never said not to prosecute him, but to consider
8	the information and the circumstances behind the what
9	Mr. Mullen is being charged with.
10	Q And in fact, Mr. Gee, didn't you inform Mr. Peluso that
11	Mr. Mullen was providing evidence to the U.S. Attorneys
12	Office in California?
13	A I told him that he was willing to, yes.
14	Q Now, at the time that you spoke with Mr. Saggiori, you
15	couldn't recall whether or not he was a consultant of of
16	NagraStar; is that correct?
17	A I cannot recall if he had a previous relationship with
18	NagraStar or not.
19	Q Based on your understanding, did Mr. Saggiori have a
20	consultancy relationship with a company called "Titus" at
21	any time?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And who is Titus?
24	A I believe Titus is associated with Canal+ somehow.
25	Q Not associated with NagraStar?

		Page 8
1	A	No.
2	Q	Now, some of the witnesses that you gathered
3	info	ormation from, they are consultants of NagraStar; is that
4	cori	rect?
5	A	Yes, they are.
6	Q	But NagraStar didn't pay any of those witnesses for any
7	info	ormation; is that right, sir?
8	A	That is correct.
9	Q	NagraStar provided legal counsel to a number of those
10	cour	nsel; is that correct?
11	A	That's correct.
12	Q	Legal counsel to represent them in this lawsuit,
13	cori	rect?
14	A	That is correct.
15	Q	For purposes of them providing sworn deposition
16	test	timony; is that right?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	Now, you also received evidence from Larry Rissler of
19	Dire	ecTV; is that correct?
20	A	Yes, I have.
21	Q	And that included the the reports linking
22	Chri	is Tarnovsky to Nipper, correct?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Were you paying Larry Rissler at any point in time?
25	A	No.

		iage
1	0	He was employed by DirecTV; is that correct?
2	Q	
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	During the time that DirecTV was investigating the
4	defe	ndants and suing the defendants, correct?
5	A	That is correct.
6	Q	Now, let's talk about those reports for a minute.
7		Some of those reports that you received and EchoStar
8	rece	ived from DirecTV included reports from Internet Crimes
9	Grou	p. Has NagraStar ever paid Internet Crimes Group to
10	cond	uct an investigation?
11	A	No.
12	Q	And at the time that Internet Crimes Group conducted
13	that	investigation and generated those reports, they were
14	bein	g employed by DirecTV; is that correct?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	How about the TDI reports, has NagraStar ever used or
17	paid	TDI to investigate this case in any way?
18	A	No.
19	Q	TDI, in fact, was an independent third-party company
20	used	by DirecTV; is that correct?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	During their investigation and lawsuit against the
23	defe	ndants, correct?
24	A	That is correct.
25	Q	Now, the the black box, I know you said that that

	rage o
1	we don't have the black box any longer. You have looked at
2	an analysis of that black box; is that correct, Mr. Gee?
3	A I don't believe I have.
4	Q You also received evidence from the Hays County
5	Narcotics Task Force linking Chris Tarnovsky to this
6	distribution network; is that right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Did you pay the Hays County Narcotics Task Force any
9	money to conduct an investigation?
10	A No.
11	Q Did you pay anyone within the Hays County Narcotics
12	Task Force any money whatsoever for those reports or their
13	investigation?
14	A No.
15	Q That was complete independent third-party investigative
16	work done by the government, correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q You also received fingerprint analysis from the RCMP
19	through the Hays County; is that correct?
20	A Yes, we did.
21	Q And that fingerprint analysis came off of the shipments
22	being sent from Menard to Tarnovsky through that mail
23	account in Texas; is that right?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And that fingerprint analysis by the Canadian

	I age
1	government linked those packages to an associate of
2	Al Menard, correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Did you pay any money to the Royal Canadian Mounted
5	Police to do any investigation into Tarnovsky or Menard?
6	A No.
7	Q Thank you, Mr. Gee.
8	THE COURT: All right. Now, Mr. Gee, we are going
9	to place you on call. We are doing that with all of the
10	witnesses within the continental United States. We will be
11	as courteous as possible, but I believe that 48 hours is
12	enough time to return to court. So therefore, I need to be
13	able to get ahold of you, and you have 48 hours within the
14	time of notification to be back to this court; is that
15	understood?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, sir.
18	You may step down.
19	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
20	THE COURT: All right. Why don't we take a
21	recess.
22	You're admonished not to discuss this matter
23	amongst yourselves, nor form or express any opinion
24	concerning the case.
25	We'll come and get you in about 20 minutes.

1	Okay, Counsel. Have a nice recess.
2	(Recess.)
3	-000-
4	CERTIFICATE
5	
6	I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753,
7	Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing is a true and
8	correct transcript of the stenographically reported
9	proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the
10	transcript page format is in conformance with the
11	regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.
12	
13	Date: April 26, 2008
14	
15	
16	
	JANE C.S. RULE, U.S. COURT REPORTER
17	CSR NO. 9316
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

-	- 1
Page	

				raye .
	26:20,21 27:2	allegedly 4:22	Asia 46:6	58:15 60:4,8,10
<u> </u>	28:1 64:11 65:7	Allen 38:9 40:18	ASIC 47:16	61:18 72:25
able 13:6 14:4,12	addresses 26:9	allow 9:20 13:15	asked 6:8,14	73:4 75:13 76:8
16:3 23:9 28:2	administrator	13:18 18:18	25:22 49:12	83:14
29:15,19 30:5	56:15	79:25	85:2	awhile 17:12
44:5,9 53:23				
64:25 72:14	administrators	allowed 10:2	asking 38:1 72:11 asserted 4:17 9:6	64:24
82:25 83:10,12	56:16	13:14		a.m 4:3 32:11
83:15 89:13	admissibility 4:8 admission 5:7	allowing 5:12 allows 5:24	9:8 41:2	B
above-entitled	admitted 4:25	amended 75:17	assigned 26:21 assist 72:11	back 7:18 10:8
90:9	15:23 16:7	America 25:10	assistance 60:15	11:12 12:10
absolute 45:3		America 23.10 American 43:18	61:4,7 62:15	13:23 15:21
absolutely 5:19	25:17,19 39:10 80:5		-	17:15 19:10
acceptable 9:16		ample 5:20 6:20 amply 5:12	72:17,23 Assistant 62:9	20:12 21:16
9:18 10:9	admitting 15:10 admonished	10		23:6 25:16 28:3
accepted 10:4,5	89:22	Ana 1:17,23 4:1 analysis 53:14,17	assisted 21:12 60:1	28:21 31:12,22
access 11:24		· ·		33:24 34:15
12:19 13:4,12	admonishing 9:10	88:2,18,21,25	associate 89:1	39:8 40:7 45:19
14:14 16:9,21	admonition 9:12	anonymizer 29:1	associated 14:9	47:23 49:10
18:3,24 20:22	9:13 10:4,9 advertised 46:12	anonymizers 28:9 anonymous 41:14	18:6 24:11,17	63:22 64:25
21:14 23:2		41:15	26:1,5,9,20 36:3	89:14
39:15,19 73:2	affiliation 77:3,9		41:24 63:4	bag 71:22
account 26:25	afternoon 11:10	answer 73:20	85:24,25	bargain 35:7
27:2 34:8,21,22	31:15	answering 83:22	ASSOCIATES	Barr 63:6
75:8 88:23	agent 60:17 61:3	Anthony 12:12	2:4	Barrie 29:5,9,11
accounted 81:20	61:6	48:19	assumption 49:3	53:22 64:10
accounts 23:16,19	ago 36:16 78:16	anti-piracy 12:7	49:5,8	base 76:13
23:20 25:2,6	agree 9:9 45:11	16:16 19:12,15	Atlanta 77:6,6	based 22:10 27:18
65:9	49:23	Anton 36:17	attempted 38:9	27:22 28:25
accurate 65:24	agreed 10:2	anymore 31:18	40:3	32:6 34:10
66:1,3,3,5,7	ahold 89:13	34:18 52:20	attend 63:7	35:23 42:1 44:3
accusations 58:9	al 1:6,9 2:3,11	Anytime 43:6	attended 15:16	49:3 74:2 83:12
accuses 7:16	11:12,23 12:18	anyways 84:4	63:9	85:19
acquiring 39:22	12:21 15:14	apologize 80:11	attendees 55:13	basic 57:3
acted 41:2	16:20 18:3,7,23	appear 5:7,10	attention 31:12	basis 45:3,3 69:3
acting 38:21	21:13 33:14	APPEARANCES	71:4	69:7
activate 34:21	44:8 48:23	2:1	attorney 62:9	Battery 2:16,22
activated 26:25	49:15 56:8 77:5	appears 8:20	63:4,6	Becoming 71:11
27:2	81:5 89:2	application 47:17	attorneys 2:4,7,12	began 51:12,17
activates 75:8	Alan 79:15	applications 28:9	2:15,20,22 50:25 63:3	59:20 70:11
active 57:18	Alberta 23:25	approximately		begins 66:15
activities 41:3	24:1 71:7	21:4 April 1:18 4:1	85:11	behalf 11:2 44:19
63:21	alias 17:16 28:12	April 1:18 4:1	audible 54:1	63:18,20
added 4:14 13:15	32:21,24 75:14	90:13	57:25	belief 48:10,12
addition 11:14	75:17,20	area 84:23	AUSA 85:5	believe 6:4 41:15
15:10 26:13	aliases 16:24	areas 10:3	AUSA's 62:16	41:18 44:13
72:17	17:21 28:12	argument 84:17	available 18:17	49:16 51:7,14
additional 6:17	48:10 55:2	84:19	35:7,9 68:15	51:21,23 55:1
Additionally 5:5	allegations 41:4	arrested 55:15	aware 36:2,3,21	55:17 57:23
address 26:1,4,6	41:18	61:18,20	44:24 45:2,6	60:18 67:10
	alleged 45:8	arrests 55:12	47:6,21 57:7,10	00.10 07.10
	1	1		1

				Page 2
74:7 83:16	36:3 56:17,20	Canadian 23:8,21	77:22 78:17	71:9 75:14,18
85:24 88:3	56:25 57:4	88:25 89:4	79:21,22 80:2,9	77:4,5,11 80:4
89:11	74:25	Canal 12:2 40:7	80:23 81:2 82:9	81:6 86:22 88:5
believed 19:9	Branton's 56:18	40:11,15 41:4,7	82:16 83:12	CHRISTINE 2:6
Bell 36:16 61:22	breadth 5:12	41:18 42:12,14	Carolina 60:6,9	Christophe 79:14
61:25 62:2,6	brief 8:15	85:24	60:11	79:15
best 66:10	briefly 7:19 15:21	capacity 65:14	carrying 43:12	Christopher
Beyond 42:20	31:11 33:24	card 12:21 13:12	CARTER 1:3	14:22 19:25
biased 6:19	75:12 76:20	13:13,14,15	case 11:21 22:2	21:13 36:21
big 66:16	77:14	14:7 26:2,5,9,24	58:7 77:12,13	37:17,25
bigger 66:22	bring 47:2	27:4,6,8,11,15	87:17 89:24	CIA 71:9,14
biggest 59:13,18	British 28:19	27:24,25 28:5	cases 57:18 69:16	circle 52:3
billion 44:25	broadcast 58:21	30:11,14,15,20	cash 38:25	circuit 47:17
bills 34:8 43:21	broadcasting	30:24 31:1,2,3,8	category 65:22	circulation 75:6
bit 11:11 12:11	58:24	33:23 34:3,4,4	Cavanaugh 15:7	circumstances
29:4 56:17	broke 11:10	34:20 35:3,9,15	Cedric 79:16	85:8
black 23:12 53:21	brought 46:4	37:5,10 42:14	cell 64:11	circumvent 74:17
53:23,24 54:5	building 15:23	47:8,13 52:24	Center 2:15	circumvention
54:10,15 87:25	16:8	53:1,6,14 58:14	CENTRAL 1:2	21:20,24
88:1,2	bunch 45:10	59:20,23 66:11	certain 20:12	citizens 23:8,21
Blaine 23:24	business 59:1	68:21 69:8,20	26:17 30:21	civilly 36:20
blamed 58:6	64:7	70:21 72:12,16	55:13 77:22,22	claim 4:19 47:24
blaming 68:18	buy 46:19,24	75:1,1,6,9 78:11	Certainly 43:6	52:24,25 53:18
blank 36:22 37:18	65:17	79:24,25 82:17	CERTIFICATE	claimed 48:1
37:22	buying 46:1	82:21,24 83:9	90:4	claiming 58:10,13
bless 65:3 68:25	buys 34:19	cards 11:24 12:19	certify 90:6	cleaned 26:23
block 78:11		13:2,4,9,23,24	CGI 7:11	clear 33:18 58:10
blocked 79:21	<u> </u>	14:5,14,23	CHAD 2:5	59:20
80:2	cable 34:7	15:12 16:3,10	chain 39:21	clone 30:19 31:5,8
blocker 67:11,16	Calgary 23:25,25	16:21 18:3,5,24	change 4:11,12	cloned 30:11,14
67:18 78:10	24:1,3	20:23 21:14	27:14,16 84:10	30:15 31:2,7
79:24	California 1:2,17	22:2 23:13,19	84:11	coast 28:25 62:16
blockers 68:5,10	1:23 2:17,23 4:1	24:12,13,22	changed 9:15	code 12:2,2 13:14
78:6,7,8,16,17	25:12 26:7	25:1,8,10,10	changing 27:17	14:13,13 23:12
79:22	28:23 77:1	26:13 31:7	channels 70:3	27:13,17,20
border 23:16	85:12	33:13,17,22	charge 43:10	30:18 31:8
bottom 31:17	call 34:20 89:9	34:12 35:19,25	charged 55:17	33:10,12,13,21
79:18 80:6 82:4	called 27:4 29:5	36:23 37:13,15	85:9	33:21,23 41:10
bought 24:3	58:4 78:3 81:15 85:20	37:18,22,23	chatter 66:13	41:13 42:12
box 26:17,18,20	85:20 calls 37:1 38:4	38:1,20 39:15	70:3	44:11 52:7
26:22 27:1	72:22 83:17	39:19 40:4,4	choice 8:8	54:11 67:11,15
34:15 35:15,16	84:16	44:7,12 46:1,3	Chris 11:12,23	67:16 72:7,10
53:21,23,24	Canada 15:14	46:11,14,19,25	12:17 14:17	78:10 84:5,15
54:5,10,15 72:7	21:19 23:10,14	47:2,16 58:14	15:13,17,22	90:7
87:25 88:1,2	23:23 24:5	65:18 67:3,7,15	16:7,20 18:2,6	coder 14:12,22
boxes 24:25 26:16	25:13 28:25	67:17 68:4,4,6,9	19:6,9 20:3	18:9,9,19,19,23 27:4 28:21
31:18 59:9 Branton 24:6 8 0	35:24 36:18	68:9,11,15 60:14 70:21	37:21 38:20	37:4 38:21
Branton 24:6,8,9	46:5 61:23 62:6	69:14 70:21 71:22 23 25	40:17 41:16	71:13 83:20
24:11,17,21,24 25:8 35:24 36:2	74:10	71:22,23,25 74:9,11,14,20	44:8,14 48:1,18 49:14,17 53:19	coders 67:20 codes 27:23
23.0 33.24 30.2	/ 1.10	/4.7,11,14,20	49.14,1/ 33.19	coues 27.23

				Page 3
coincidence 47:10	concealed 38:25	61:16 85:15	35:21 37:13	62:10
47:11 74:7	concerning 4:8,11	consultants 47:7	40:1 41:11,21	court 1:1,22,22
coincidental	5:1,13 6:24 7:21	54:21 56:9 86:3	48:20 50:11,14	4:6,10,13,14 6:4
42:20	10:4 17:9 89:24	consulting 29:20	50:25 52:8,12	6:13,17 7:5,7,24
colloquy 5:9	conclude 24:7,10	consumers 43:18	53:8,9,20,22	8:4,8,16,19,23
Colorado 28:15	24:15,16,20	contacted 61:25	56:13 57:13	9:4,9,18,20,25
28:16,17	25:5 30:5 32:20	contained 17:6	58:12 61:15	10:3,11,15,17
Coltharp 32:9,11	32:23 33:20	contend 21:23	63:10,18 64:5,8	10:23 13:19,21
32:14	74:11	content 7:21	64:9,12,13 65:5	17:9 22:6,18,21
Columbia 28:19	concluded 18:22	13:14 23:15	65:12,15,18	22:23 31:21
column 25:24	38:12 77:3	31:23 43:14,22	66:20 68:1,12	32:1 33:3,6,15
combat 77:18	conclusion 44:5,9	58:21 59:3	68:13,18 69:4	36:7,9,11 37:3
come 12:10,13	conclusion 47:24	contents 10:19	70:8 72:23 73:2	38:5 39:12
14:3,18 15:12	conditional 23:1	context 30:21	73:3,6,9,12,25	40:25 42:8
17:15,23 19:1	73:2	continental 89:10	74:1,5 75:7,10	44:19 71:17
20:16 21:7 22:5	conduct 87:10	continue 27:21	75:14,19,22	73:17 74:15
28:8,21 29:8	88:9	63:2	76:11,15,18	78:21,23,25
30:4,23 38:8,11	conducted 29:8	continued 11:1,6	77:12,19,24	79:3,7,9,13,17
38:15 69:14,23	36:13,17 56:23	continuing 69:15	78:20 79:2 81:6	79:17 80:14,16
71:4 77:2 79:25	60:10 76:24	76:13	84:10 85:6,16	81:11 82:1,20
89:25	87:12	continuity 9:21	86:4,8,10,11,13	83:4,19,21
comes 6:2 31:1	conducting 40:20	contract 43:8	86:14,19,22	84:18,21 89:8
34:19	57:4 59:17	45:7	87:1,4,5,14,20	89:12,14,17,20
coming 6:20 9:4,5	Conference 90:11	Conus 65:3,4	87:23,24 88:2	90:16
9:7 28:24 62:20	confidential	66:18 67:14	88:16,19 89:2	courteous 89:11
comment 79:18	29:21 72:6,10	69:7,24 70:4	90:8	courtroom 58:10
comments 79:12	72:15	79:10	correction 68:8	Court's 6:23 8:24
commercial 81:19	conformance	Conus's 65:10	correctly 13:24	10:8
committed 71:6	90:10	conveniently	64:14	covered 7:9 11:15
communication	congratulate 60:5	23:17	corroborate 4:23	create 16:9 44:11
16:25 72:12	connected 11:22	conversation	cost 43:11,21	created 53:18
community 35:20	12:17 53:25	12:22	counsel 2:1 4:6	74:4
companies 43:5	54:16	conversations	9:1,9 10:1,24	creation 24:21
company 20:2,13	connection 11:17	45:10 51:5,8,12	13:21 31:22	credible 49:25
43:1,1,12 46:21	connections 71:13	54:21	33:16 42:9	credit 23:19
55:19 56:3,21	consider 46:5	convicted 55:16	78:21 81:22	Crimes 20:1,7,14
60:21 61:15,22	85:7	cooperation 64:1	84:18 86:9,10	87:8,9,12
63:2 65:8,17	consideration	copy 12:10 15:13	86:12 90:1	critical 54:15
85:20 87:19	62:23	19:22 75:23,25	countermeasure	Cross 3:6
comparison 4:25	considered 63:19	78:15	64:19 67:9,16	cross-examinati
competency 5:19	considering 73:1	copyright 74:21	77:15,17	11:2,6 84:22
Competitive	73:11,25	CORPORATI	countermeasures	cross-examined
81:15	consistent 17:19	1:6 2:3	59:18	5:8
complete 6:7	76:1	correct 5:23	country 24:4	CSR 1:21 90:17
88:15	consultancy	11:18,19 12:5,6	County 88:4,8,11	curiosity 46:11
compromised	85:20	14:1,2 16:16,17	88:19	current 27:2
68:15,22 69:8	consultant 12:4	18:24,25 20:4	couple 20:11 34:6	currently 35:6
69:13,21 70:7	16:15 21:1	21:21 22:1,3	56:7	47:20 59:10
computer 42:1,2	29:16 50:11,14	25:1 26:2 30:2	couriered 39:20	custody 39:21
conceal 28:4	50:16,19 61:15	31:9 33:16	course 10:2,25	customer 26:25
	l	I	I	·

				raye ·
30:23 31:4 43:7	debrief 29:13	16:4,7,8 37:12	58:20,23 59:8,9	drink 68:25
43:12 56:1	38:9	37:14 52:25	disregarded 7:17	driving 61:10
65:20,24	debriefed 12:14	53:3,7,10,13,18	distribute 23:22	dr7 14:8,9 15:3
customers 25:4	14:18 15:22	devices 21:20,25	distributed 14:5,7	18:23 22:12
43:13 62:20	16:14 17:24	30:6,7 38:25	21:13 30:6,7	32:5,12,21,24
customs 55:18	19:2,13 20:17	46:24 60:25	33:14 74:12	32:24 33:4 44:6
customs 55.16 cut 27:6	21:8 44:3	77:23	81:2 82:9	56:12
C.S 1:21 90:16	December 56:10	Diego 62:17	distributing	dr7 al 32:17
	56:24 59:24	different 5:2	20:22 21:14,19	dr7_al@my-dej
D	64:15 75:10	21:24 22:19	24:12,25 25:3,9	32:18,19
D 2:6,21 3:1	decided 73:4	31:4 43:25 44:1	35:25 61:2	due 55:1
daily 70:1,5	dedicated 43:24	44:2	distribution	
damage 37:6	defendants 1:10	DILGER 2:13	11:23 12:18	E
Dan 15:7	2:11 11:5,11	Dionisi 12:13,14	14:6,8 15:8	E 3:1
DARIN 2:13	12:17 16:20	12:15,16,20,25	16:21 17:2 18:3	earlier 5:21 11:15
Darlene 63:6	18:2 19:6 20:4	13:3 15:18,21	18:10 19:7	15:19 18:21
date 5:21 41:20	20:22 21:1,4,12	15:22 16:6	22:11 24:18	22:14 23:11
41:24 42:13,16	21:23 38:13,17	48:19 52:8	33:14 38:20	30:15 75:2
57:8 79:4,5,11	40:15 42:11,14	Direct 3:6	44:6 48:24 53:5	80:25
80:13 82:4 84:2	74:3 76:17 84:6	directed 55:24	60:9 88:6	early 6:2,7 7:13
84:5,6,9,12,14	84:15 87:4,4,23	directly 4:18	distributor 30:1	8:25 17:4
90:13	definite 6:5	DirecTV 19:12,15	60:24	easily 57:9
dated 5:23 65:4	definitively 69:25	20:3 23:7,10	distributors	east 28:25
81:16	deflecting 43:14	25:2 29:19,24	15:11 24:3	easy 27:17
Dave 16:25	degree 4:21	55:19,22 56:1	74:10	EBERHART
David 1:3 2:6,14	delay 10:5	58:20,23 61:21	District 1:1,2,22	2:14
36:6,7,8,17	depending 8:9	62:18 63:1,21	division 19:15	EchoStar 1:6 2:3
Dawn 24:6,11,17	depends 64:23	71:25 72:2,5,9	DNASP-II 82:11	6:19 7:17 9:16
25:8 35:23 36:2	deposition 63:7	72:13,13,18,19	DNASP-003	10:1 11:2,24
36:3 56:17,18	86:15	72:22,25 73:11	67:24	12:1,18 13:4,12
56:25 74:25	describe 15:24	73:24 86:19	DNASP-010	14:14 16:9,21
Dawson 7:10	17:6 27:3 43:4	87:1,3,8,14,20	68:20	18:3,24 19:5,8
16:25	description 12:24	disable 77:22	document 5:22,24	20:7 21:14
day 1:10 4:2 70:1	16:11,12	disconnect 34:7	6:2 31:13 81:15	23:10 24:11,12
days 63:14	designated 30:18	Discount 17:1	81:16	24:22 26:9 27:6
deactivate 35:17	designed 12:24	22:12	documents 7:17	28:17 33:22
deactivated 34:3	despite 76:5,7	discourage 62:11	16:20 31:23	34:4,11 35:10
34:4,13 35:19	details 47:22	62:13	44:3 70:10,12	35:14 36:16,23
35:25 36:23	60:11,20 61:8	discovery 75:17	74:3	37:18,22,23
37:18,23	70:23	discuss 89:22	doing 36:2,4	38:20 43:2
dead 68:16	determine 14:4	discussed 39:3	59:16 60:18,19	44:11 45:4,7
dealer 18:11,14	determined 38:16	67:20	89:9	46:24 59:13
30:23	devastating 43:16	discussing 9:25	donor 41:14,16	60:3 65:9 73:19
dealers 30:21	44:23	discussion 13:20	door 30:25 31:1,6	76:12,16 77:18
35:1	develop 29:20	37:24 70:2	double 17:8	80:9,24 81:20
dealing 53:22	developed 52:7	dish 12:21 13:1	doubt 38:2 44:15	82:10,24,25
64:15	59:5 67:18	23:7 25:3 29:6,9	Doug 61:3,6	83:9,10 87:7
Dean 4:9 5:14 9:3	developing 47:20	30:2,3,6 34:6,9	Dr 60:6,17,22	EchoStarD11V1
17:24 50:21,22	47:21 72:11	34:9,14,21 35:2	Dreambox 60:8	1:25
52:16	device 15:24,24	35:9 43:15	60:24 61:2	EchoStar's 5:10
	l	I	I	I

	Page	5
--	------	---

				Page 5
13:25 21:20	38:19 39:11,20	84:5,15	felt 16:11 62:19	format 90:10
42:24 43:17,18	39:23,25,25	e-mail 7:9 11:25	71:13	forms 30:16
44:25 73:5 74:4	41:15 44:1,4	12:10 16:25	field 26:15 34:12	forth 4:14 10:8
74:17,21 76:4	46:1,5,14,20	31:25 32:4,8,9	57:11	forthcoming 4:24
82:16 83:13	40:1,3,14,20 47:23 48:16,23	33:2,7 65:3,7		6:9
ECM 64:14 67:19	50:10 54:15,22	79:11 80:4,6	fighting 31:19 figure 61:24	6.9 forum 28:18
67:19 78:19	65:1 71:22 72:4	e-mailed 38:1	file 11:25 12:1	forums 66:15,18
79:21 82:7	72:17,23 80:5	e-mailing 80:7	41:24 42:4,12	66:19 70:4
ECMs 78:4,9	81:10 85:11	e-mails 7:10 17:3	42:13 84:2,12	forwarding 32:15
effect 6:22	86:18 88:4	17:7,17 33:3	42.13 84.2,12 84:13	56:21
effects 42:22,25	evidentiary 6:23	59:22	filed 38:12,16	found 28:2
43:5,16 44:24	evidential y 0.23 exact 75:25	E3M 13:9,12,14	51:9,15	foundation 37:2
effort 28:4 77:18	exact 75.25 examination 3:4	13:23 14:5,7,13	files 41:20 42:16	73:15
efforts 7:3,20,20	11:1 44:21	14:23 15:4,12	final 4:10 9:11	foundational 42:8
8:9,12 16:16	71:19	16:2 18:23 22:2	finally 5:7 39:2	Fountainview 2:7
60:5,8 61:11		24:22 25:10	42:22	four 46:20 48:1
72:1,1,15	example 28:15 35:5 66:9	33:9,12,13,21	42.22 find 7:3,3 8:10	59:23
either 43:12 53:3	Excuse 13:19	44:6,11 74:9,14	19:17 35:6,8	59:25 frame 6:3 58:4
electronic 64:19	35:12	74:20 80:9,23	60:14	Francisco 2:17,23
67:8,16 77:14	exhibit 5:22 12:9	82:8	finder 35:7	frankly 8:20
77:17	19:21,23 25:15	02.0	fingerprint 88:18	free 58:21 59:7
Embarcadero	25:23 31:12,14	F	88:21,25	freely 18:15,17
2:15	32:5 33:24 39:2	faced 59:14	finish 8:5,19	58:21 59:3
employed 21:5	39:9 45:19	facilitating 25:2,9	first 4:16 5:3	freeware 30:17,19
73:19 87:1,14	48:15 65:1	facility 42:15 71:7	39:18,18,18	30:21 68:15
employee 20:25	71:21 75:2,5	fact 6:18 48:13	40:9 50:15 65:8	free-to-air 58:4,7
employees 47:7	78:12,21,25	49:4 58:14	66:16 73:8 80:6	58:11,17,18,18
encrypted 74:21	79:20 80:3,7	63:17 66:7 72:4	fits 27:15	59:2,5,6,9,16
engaged 60:2 72:1	81:8,23	72:21 75:24	five 8:14	60:2,25 70:11
engineer 40:3	exhibits 9:24	76:12 78:12	fixed 82:8,8	70:14,17 76:20
42:11	existed 69:23	82:23 85:10	floating 35:20	77:11
entry 32:16 34:2	exists 70:14	87:19	Florida 25:12	freight 56:21
Ereiser 16:15,19	expect 65:23	fair 25:8 57:5,12	61:18,20 63:14	Friday 1:18 4:1
17:3 37:20,25	expended 82:10	fake 57:2	85:5	32:10
48:19 50:10	experience 27:22	familiar 13:8	flying 58:9	front 9:11 18:12
51:11,12 52:14	34:10 35:15	22:25 30:10	focus 31:12	33:25 57:14
Ereiser's 38:6	expert 57:14	41:20 42:25	focused 6:17,21	Frost 4:9 5:13 9:2
estimate 81:19	expertise 57:11	77:25 78:7	7:13 22:2	14:19,21 15:1,3
et 1:6,9 2:3,11	explain 13:3,11	82:17,21	focusing 7:13	15:10,18 22:13
evaluate 67:21	14:25 19:10	fan 52:19	folks 45:11 47:19	22:15,21 55:7
evening 4:15 8:25	23:4 26:8 30:13	far 24:4 25:23	50:9 54:8,16,25	55:15,17,25
eventually 29:19	32:6 59:22	FBI 60:11,17 61:4	60:2 65:20	74:10
everybody 28:16	explosion 58:3	fear 5:9	follow 64:4,7	Frost's 22:14
evidence 4:19	express 89:23	February 64:16	following 4:4	fully 47:21
5:20 6:20 7:8	expressing 62:22	77:15 78:19	10:21 44:24	functioning 26:23
11:22 12:16	ExpressVu 36:16	80:15,21 82:7	follows 10:2	funny 71:11
14:11,21 16:19	61:23,25 62:2,7	Federal 1:22	Force 88:5,8,12	further 64:1,5
17:6 18:1 19:6	extent 70:10,18	fee 63:3	foregoing 90:7	71:16
25:18 29:23	70:20	fees 50:25 56:5	forget 34:15	Fuss 36:6,8,13,17
32:9 37:17,21	extracted 42:14	63:4	form 8:25 89:23	F-u-s-s 36:10
52.7 57.17,21		l		

	•	•	1	
G	giving 4:21 8:8	Guggenheim	Harmon 4:9 5:13	hundred 80:23
Gaillard 79:15	glitching 77:25	79:15	7:4,6,7,21,22	82:8
garage 34:18,24	78:3	guilty 62:24,25	8:7 9:1 10:14,15	hundreds 36:22
34:25 35:5	go 8:6 10:2 11:12	63:3	21:8,11 50:24	38:1
gathered 86:2	17:5 23:23,24		71:2,4,6,8	hurt 8:10
0	26:22,24 34:7	H	HARTSON 2:20	
Gee 3:7 4:22 5:10	40:7 45:19,23	hack 15:4 27:11	hate 8:10	I
5:20,25 6:20 8:5	46:18,23 47:23	40:4 44:10	Hays 88:4,8,11,19	ICG 19:9,25 20:1
8:15 9:11,20	50:1 63:22	46:12 59:6	HBO 43:9,10,12	20:13
10:2,25 11:5,8	66:24 68:23	70:21,24 72:11	head 19:12,14	ID 27:4,8
13:23 14:10	goes 67:11	74:4 75:22	40:10	identical 31:7
19:1,22 20:16	going 8:16 10:8	76:17 82:15	heading 31:21,24	identically 84:4
21:25 22:25	8 8	83:2,9	31:25 32:4	identified 50:9
24:6,24 25:15	10:13,17,18	hacked 30:19		identify 4:18
25:21 27:3 29:4	13:23 18:12	31:2 33:13 41:7	health 71:5,6	14:12 19:24
31:11 32:4,12	20:20 21:16	58:15 73:5	hear 7:19 10:20	identifying 5:1
33:20,24 34:10	23:18 26:20		heard 24:6 41:4	1 0
36:21 37:16	27:14 28:18	hackers 67:3	42:3,11 53:24	identity 28:13
38:8 39:2,23	30:23,24,24,25	hacking 37:9 67:2	hearing 7:2 10:14	IDG 19:8
40:6 41:20	34:17 37:8,9	72:16	hearsay 6:24 17:8	image 31:2
42:22 43:23	43:10 57:4	hacks 62:19	37:1 40:23	immediately 26:4
44:17,23 71:21	63:17 68:23	Hagan 2:5 3:7	held 13:20 90:9	58:15 75:16
74:9 76:10 79:2	70:3 78:10	6:11 7:23 8:5,14	help 72:5	impact 43:18
79:16,20 80:6	79:11,24 80:11	8:18 9:23,24	Henri 79:15	impossible 42:19
80:19 81:13	84:9 89:8	10:10 11:2,4,7	higher 43:20,21	improper 38:3
82:4,7,13 84:2	good 11:8,9 66:9	13:22 17:14	highlights 70:2	include 22:12
85:2,10 88:2	72:24	22:7,9,24 25:20	high-definition	included 86:21
89:7,8	government	31:25 32:2,3	72:7	87:8
Gee's 5:6 9:10	38:24 88:16	33:5,11,17,19	High-tech 22:12	includes 22:21
generally 17:6	89:1	36:12 37:11	hired 20:2 38:13	including 15:18
42:25 43:4	Graham 20:18	38:7 39:13 41:9	47:6	65:5
generated 20:6,13	48:19	42:10 44:17	history 45:4 73:18	incompetent 5:11
87:13	gray 22:25 23:5,6	71:18,20 73:23	hit 67:15	incorporated 5:6
gentleman 12:12	24:8,9,25 25:6	74:16 78:22	HOGAN 2:20	47:13
14:19 17:24	great 5:9	79:19 80:18	holding 19:14	incorrect 83:16
19:2 20:17 21:8	group 1:9 2:11	81:12,23 82:3	hole 69:8	increase 45:2
29:13 61:13	14:5,8 15:5,9	82:22 83:7 84:1	home 34:20	increased 57:18
	17:2 20:1,7,14	84:20,24 85:1	Honor 7:23 9:19	independent
gentlemen 11:16	22:5,7,10,11,19	Haifa 42:15 84:6	9:24 10:10,13	87:19 88:15
13:11 14:25	22:19,20,21	84:13	11:4 22:20	indicated 10:3
21:16 23:4	29:5,9,11 30:2,6	halfway 32:16	25:17 33:5 37:2	indicates 34:2
30:13 42:15	30:17 33:8,8,14	handed 8:24	38:3 39:10	42:1
getting 21:10 31:1	44:6 53:22	19:22	40:23 78:22	indicating 70:16
37:9	69:25 74:20	handwritten 4:22	79:6 80:4 81:9	indication 79:20
Giles 40:7,9,10,14	81:3,5 87:9,9,12	hanging 77:7	82:18 83:1	indicia 8:13
give 6:20 16:6		happen 42:19		individual 14:12
21:11 27:6 34:5	groups 31:20	happened 40:21	84:20,24	14:16 16:14
43:9 75:4	Groux 79:16	58:3	HONORABLE	23:20 76:21,22
given 9:12,13	grow 76:13	happening 66:15	1:3	individuals 11:14
35:20 70:2	grudge 52:22	66:18	hours 89:11,13	11:20 27:19
72:10	grudges 52:13		Houston 2:8	
	54:22	hard 66:23	huge 59:4,11	28:8 35:16,24
1	1	1	1	1

Page	7
------	---

				Page
36:5 72:22	investigate 87:17	issue 75:1	kind 27:11 70:12	2:20,22
info 32:12	0	Issue 75.1	KLEIN 2:21 8:3	Lawrence 4:9 8:6
inform 85:10	investigated	J		21:8
	29:15 40:15,17 49:11 53:15	J 3:7 11:5	knew 7:8,10,11	
informant 21:1		James 20:18,21	16:23 18:6	lawsuit 38:12,16
29:16,21 50:20	investigating 20:3	20:25 21:5	49:12,14	41:4 51:9,15
72:6	27:23 28:16	48:19 50:13	knocking 64:20	52:3 68:17
information 6:1,5	29:11 34:10	51:13,14 52:22	know 6:13,14	71:11,23 86:12
6:6 7:16 11:22	42:23 46:7 60:1	64:8	7:10,12 28:14	87:22
14:10 15:1,15	87:3	Jan 11:20 48:19	31:4 47:11,14	Learn 31:19
16:6,19 17:19	investigation	52:19	47:14,16,22	learned 74:2 76:3
18:2 19:6,18	11:11,17 12:13	Jane 1:21 90:16	50:3,5 51:25	leased 35:14
20:21 21:11	13:8 14:4,11		54:7,14,20 55:9	leaving 73:11
24:16 27:5,8,14	15:2 16:18	January 32:11	55:12,15,17	led 24:16
28:10 29:23	17:20,23 18:22	81:25	56:4,5,20 57:2	left 6:18
32:15 37:17,21	19:2 20:17 21:7	JERRY 3:7 11:5	57:21 60:11,17	legal 46:14 56:5
41:15 48:8,18	21:12 22:10	Jim 5:1 64:10	60:20 61:13	86:9,12
48:25 49:24,25	24:7,10,15,20	JJ 32:12 79:16	69:10,22 70:9	legitimate 43:18
52:6 62:18 64:5	25:5 27:19	jjgee@echostar	70:22,23,24	let's 19:10,20
64:18 66:1 72:5	28:25 29:9,12	65:7	76:21,22,22	45:19 48:15
77:9 85:8 86:3,7	30:4 32:7,20,23	job 42:23 65:10	84:14 87:25	49:10 51:5
informed 76:8	33:20 35:23	Joel 65:3,4 79:10	knowledge 66:10	54:10,10 63:22
77:5	36:13,15 38:8	join 62:1	knowledgeable	65:1 67:23
initially 9:1 75:21	38:11,15 39:4	joint 72:1,19	66:2 73:18	78:25 80:3 81:8
initiate 60:21	40:21,22 41:3	JUDGE 1:3	knows 28:17	87:6
inoperable 37:6	41:14 43:24,25	Judicial 90:11	Koinvizion 22:13	likewise 49:7
inside 38:25	44:13 46:21	June 48:5	Kristee 10:11	limited 5:5 7:8
inspect 16:3	56:24 57:4	Jung 76:21,22,23	Kudelski 79:15	67:4 68:7,11
instance 7:9 10:7	60:10,22 61:10	76:24,25 77:3,7	Kuykendall 32:10	limiting 4:21
instruction 4:21	62:15 69:15	77:10,10,11	32:15	line 18:8 72:21
integrated 47:17	74:2,11 76:2,24	jury 1:16 4:5 6:4	Kwak 76:21,23,24	lines 39:18 48:17
Intelligence 81:16	77:2 83:12	7:2 9:10,12	76:25 77:4,7,10	linked 24:21
intercepted 38:24	87:10,13,22	10:12,22,23	77:12	75:21 89:1
internal 5:22 7:17	88:9,13 89:5	12:9 13:11	Kwak's 77:10	linking 16:20 18:2
internet 18:16	investigations	14:25 17:4		19:6 37:21
20:1,6,14 27:5	40:10 72:19	19:11,24 23:5	L	86:21 88:5
27:20 28:10,18	investigative	27:4 30:14	L 2:13,21	list 68:14
32:21 35:6	57:17 72:1	31:22 41:23	labeled 39:20	listed 70:6 71:1
64:11 65:11	88:15	42:16 43:4	lack 37:2	listing 69:20
66:1,4,12 73:6	investigator 5:11	45:25 47:25	ladies 13:11 14:25	litigation 59:16
83:9 84:7 87:8,9	46:18 65:15	53:6 56:13	23:4 30:13	61:17 62:1 63:5
87:12	invoice 23:17,18	57:15 79:17	42:15	little 11:11 12:11
interrupting 9:21	involved 24:8,9	83:23	language 31:17	29:4 56:17
interruption 8:21	35:25 48:23		80:10,19 81:21	64:21,22,23
42:6 73:14	52:2,3 55:20	<u> </u>	large 42:23	66:22,23 70:2
interview 38:9	62:17	Kaehlin 40:7,9,10	largest 45:2	lived 15:6 22:16
64:2	involvement 53:8	40:14	Larry 19:3,4	LLP 2:12,20
interviewed 11:17	involving 39:6	keep 46:19	86:18,24	load 57:17
interviews 4:23	IRC 70:3	KENNETH 2:21	laughed 71:10	located 46:21
introduced 19:21	irrelevant 40:24	kill 37:5	Laurent 29:13	location 23:18
19:23 29:18	80:12	killed 67:8	Law 2:4,7,12,15	26:11,12 34:16
17.25 27.10	00.12		, , , , -	20.11,12 57.10

Page	8
------	---

r				Page 8
locker 39:25	65:4 67:24	15:12 16:3	Mounted 89:4	10:1 41:5,6,17
46:20	68:21 69:9,21	24:17 41:10	move 12:12 34:7	44:20 47:8
long 21:4 60:6,9	70:7 79:5,12	42:4,12 44:12	34:15 84:24	52:14,19 54:22
0	Marco 79:16	2		,
60:10,17,22,24		56:7 84:3,13	Mullen 61:13,13	55:19,23 56:2
61:7,10	Marcos 38:24	mental 71:5,6	62:2,5,11,14,17	58:10,13 60:12
longer 34:8 88:1	market 23:1,5,6	mentioned 23:11	62:18,23,24,25	60:19 62:1,20
look 17:4 19:20	23:12 24:8,9,25	27:25 69:15	63:10 85:3,6,9	68:18 73:11
28:24 32:14,16	25:6 34:11 72:8	mere 49:4	85:11	77:9 80:8 81:16
39:2,8 48:15	Marketing 81:15	mess-up 65:8	Mullen's 63:2,4	necessary 27:12
54:10 65:1	marshal 41:14	met 29:19	63:15,18	need 17:11 35:2,3
67:23 80:3,6	Marty 61:13 85:3	methodology	multiple 14:8	68:25 89:12
81:8	mask 27:8	76:18	16:23 26:8 48:9	needed 27:10
looked 17:12	masking 27:4,4	MICHAEL 2:14	48:9,10 55:2	needs 7:1
20:11 25:15,21	matched 12:25	middle 66:14	MYERS 2:12	negative 43:17
31:11 35:8 44:2	16:12 84:4	miles 46:17,18	N	neither 23:9
44:3 71:21 75:1	material 4:21	million 61:23		network 12:18,21
78:15 88:1	5:12	82:10,10	N 3:1	13:2 14:6 18:10
looking 31:14	materials 28:11	mind 44:5,15	NagraCard 65:18	19:7 23:7 24:18
32:4 33:24	matter 4:17 9:6,8	69:12	NagraStar 11:3	25:3 34:6,9,9,14
48:16 66:14	41:2 61:4 89:22	mine 72:10	12:5,7 19:5 20:8	34:21 35:9
79:1	90:9	minority 9:6	28:17 29:17,23	43:15 48:24
lose 43:6,7,7	McGuire 8:3,4	minute 87:6	32:10 36:16	53:5 58:20,23
loss 81:19	mean 18:8 27:7	minutes 8:14	40:1 43:1 50:14	59:8,10 88:6
lost 43:8	33:3 34:3 66:19	11:13 89:25	65:9,15,17 72:4	never 40:3 54:8
lot 27:24 30:18	67:14 78:19	mischaracterizes	72:18 73:19	56:23 61:11
66:7	79:23	82:19 83:2	75:13,16 77:18	72:8 77:8 85:7
Love 4:9 5:14 9:3	means 41:23	missed 68:8	85:16,18,25	new 15:6 22:15,17
9:4 17:24 18:1	42:16 67:7	mistake 56:13	86:3,6,9 87:9,16	25:22 26:18,21
50:19,21,22	meet 12:15	model 26:17	NagraStar's	26:24,24 27:2
51:6,7 52:16	meeting 15:19	modified 57:9	16:15 73:1	47:19,22 67:18
56:3	55:3,12	modify 84:6,9	NagraVision	72:7 76:16
	meetings 53:12	modifying 57:7	33:23 47:6	Newfoundland
$\frac{\mathbf{M}}{\mathbf{M}}$	memos 59:22	moment 8:24	65:10,18 70:1	24:2
M 2:5,6 56:21,21	Menard 11:12,23	13:19 17:9	73:1	news 31:20 33:8,8
magazines 5:16	12:18,21 13:1,5	19:10 22:18	NagraVision's	newspaper 35:7
mail 56:20 88:22	14:7,14,22 15:4	25:16 40:8	73:25	nice 90:1
Maine 23:17	15:14,17 16:2,8	78:15,23 79:3,7	name 3:6 14:19	nicknames 64:12
maintained 39:25	16:21 17:1 18:3	money 5:16 51:3	15:7 17:24 19:2	Nicolas 79:14
major 60:24	18:5,7,23 21:13	51:17 52:1 56:3	20:17 21:8 24:6	night 6:18
making 26:23	22:11 30:8	88:9,12 89:4	28:13 29:13	Nipper 4:19 6:2
47:24	32:20,24 33:14	monitor 65:10	36:9 62:4	19:9 49:12,17
Maldonado 5:1,7	37:12 38:9,12	67:19	named 12:12	50:2,6 64:1 75:7
6:8 49:11,20	38:16,19,23	monitoring 66:2	16:14 61:13	86:22
50:1 53:22	40:18 41:13,19	66:12 70:16	76:21	NipperClause
63:23,25 64:4	44:8 48:23	month 69:20	Narcotics 88:5,8	75:22 76:1
Maldonado's 5:3	49:15 55:4 56:8	months 49:11	88:11	NOLL 2:6
5:6	71:13 77:5 81:5	Mordinson 42:3	NATHANIEL	nonlegal 8:11
manufactured	82:9 88:22 89:2	42:11 57:8 84:3	2:13	non-hearsay 10:5
53:18	89:5	morning 8:25	NDS 1:9 2:11 5:24	non-paying 81:20
March 5:24 64:2	Menard's 5:17	11:8,9	6:6 7:15 9:18	non-updated 67:3
	I	I	I	I

				Page 9
Norris 60:1,13,15	Oh 7:7 10:16	66:14 80:6	50.17 65.4 22	16.0 21 19.2 22
61:9,11	70:12	81:13,14,23	59:17 65:4,23 66:12 70:25	16:9,21 18:3,23 20:22 21:14
Norris's 60:8 61:4	Okay 8:19 9:20	90:10	79:11 80:7	23:13 24:22
61:7	9:23 10:11,20	paid 13:7,16,17	percentage 45:3	pirates 27:7 36:1
North 25:10 60:6	32:2 33:6 36:11	23:14,17,19	period 5:25 23:7	64:20,25 70:21
60:9,11	66:14,17 83:11	25:2 38:17	27:9 69:20	72:5 78:3,8
notes 4:13,22 6:3	84:20 90:1	50:11,13,16	perjury 48:5	82:16
6:9,11,14,15	old 26:18,19	51:19,24 52:1,8	Perlman 56:9,15	Pizzo 75:24
7:14 45:14,16	once 10:23 26:14	52:10 54:21	person 15:7 18:12	place 51:5 89:9
45:16,17 53:12	68:17 73:19	56:3 63:4 87:9	18:13,20 23:24	Plaintiffs 1:7 2:3
54:21	ones 11:15 58:22	87:17	24:1,3 26:25	Playhouse 39:6
notification 89:14	Ontario 23:25	paragraph 66:16	27:13 28:1 31:1	39:19 45:21
Nova 15:7 22:17	64:10	Pardon 22:7	31:5 34:13,19	46:19 71:22
November 73:9	oOo 90:3	part 5:10,17 15:5	61:9 72:14	PLC 1:9 2:11
73:24 74:3	open 54:10 69:8	17:1 29:12	personally 16:1	plead 62:24,25
number 5:23 9:5	69:14 72:21	42:23 46:1	72:18	please 10:12
21:18 25:6	operate 32:24	48:18 55:9	pertinent 5:2,3	45:19 48:15
27:25 28:3	operating 56:12	69:15,16 71:11	Peter 32:10	65:2 73:22
35:19 43:25	operation 15:6	77:12,13 84:18	phone 64:11	pled 63:3
44:1,2 45:23	22:13,14 36:18	particular 14:5	72:21	Plex 29:6,9 30:2,3
64:11 67:2 75:3	55:10 77:10	26:2,5,9 28:5,5	phonetic 24:7	30:6
78:25 81:14	opinion 4:14	33:21 41:24	29:5 30:3 36:17	point 12:13 14:3
86:9	89:23	47:1	63:7	14:18 15:12
	opportunity 8:9	parties 10:24	pick 23:24	17:23 19:1
0	12:15 17:4 27:7	partner 64:7	Pillar 36:17	20:16 21:7 29:8
O 1:3	order 18:16,18	partnership 15:9	Pilon 29:13,15,18	30:1,4 38:8,11
oath 48:7,12 49:4	27:10 59:6	parts 25:13	29:22 30:1,3	38:15 40:14
49:8	organization	party 5:7	Pinkerton 39:3	45:12 63:22
object 42:5,7	18:11 53:15	Pass 44:18	piracy 21:18 24:8	71:8 75:13 77:2
73:13,15 80:12	organizations	paste 75:23,25	29:5 30:10,16	80:1 86:24
objection 17:8	21:18	patch 64:14,19	30:17,17,19	Police 89:5
37:1 40:23	original 6:14 31:3	67:8,15 79:25	34:11 35:20	popping 28:3
81:24 82:18	31:8 80:8	patches 78:4,8	42:23,24 43:6	portion 15:8
83:1,17 84:16	outside 4:4 10:14	pay 23:8 26:11	43:16 44:24	16:18
obtain 11:21	outweighs 6:22	58:20,24 63:2,6	45:8 46:7,24	portions 5:14
14:10 35:3	Overruled 17:10	86:6 88:8,11	58:4,7,11,17,18	27:20
obtained 6:1	37:3 40:25 42:8	89:4	59:5,13 60:2	posse 77:7
18:15 46:10	73:17 80:14,17	paying 34:5,8	61:20,23 62:12	possibility 43:8
obviously 7:12	82:1,20 83:4	43:19 49:20	62:18,25 65:11	possible 29:1
73:18	overwhelming	50:24 51:3,17	66:13,19 68:18	67:22 84:6
occasion 27:19	5:20	86:24	70:11,14,17,19	89:11
occurred 5:3 51:8	O'DONNELL	Peluso 8:2,4 62:9	72:19 76:5,7,20	possibly 24:4
64:15	2:14	62:11,14,22	77:11,18	post 27:8,20
offer 10:18 63:25	O'MELVENY	85:5,10	pirate 13:9 29:9	28:23 50:2 76:1
offered 9:7	2:12	penalty 48:5	30:23 31:8	posted 31:20
offering 10:15	P	people 5:15 15:3	33:21 44:11	41:10 42:4,12
office 39:20 62:16		15:18 28:4,12	46:4 53:15	84:3,12
85:12 Official 1:22	packages 89:1	35:5 36:3,22	77:23	posting 28:4,6,10
Official 1:22 officials 38:24	page 39:8,16 45:23 48:16	43:25 48:22	pirated 11:23	50:1 56:24 57:5
UIIICIAIS 30.24	43.23 40.10	52:1,13 57:2	12:18 13:12,23	75:7 76:17 84:7
	1	1	•	·

D	1 0	١
Page	ΤU	J

				Fage IC
postings 27:5,23	nrogrammod	62:20 63:5	ran 30:3	75:22 82:16
44:25 49:11,24	programmed 12:21 18:5	65:21 72:17	Randy 60:6,22	record 4:6 8:23
53:25 54:17	30:24 31:2	85:11 86:15	range 64:23,24	13:20 32:6
64:15 75:10	34:25 62:2	proxies 28:9	rare 42:18	39:15
posts 73:5,9	programmer	proxy 28:20,22	Rat 16:24	Recross 3:6 71:17
precluded 7:12	12:23 13:1	29 :1	RCMP 88:18	71:19
prefer 8:19	programming	publication 44:10	reach 44:5,9	recruit 29:16
prejudice 4:20	13:7,16,18	published 67:12	read 32:6 39:14	Redirect 3:6
prejudicial 6:22	18:18,18 34:6	purchase 43:14	39:17 66:23	44:19,21
premise 47:15	42:24 43:10	purchased 39:19	real 28:13	reduced 4:20
presence 4:5 7:2	45:6 58:19,19	46:4	realize 34:17	refer 33:15 67:25
10:14,22 16:9	58:24 59:7,8		really 8:10,11	reference 25:23
2		purchasing 46:3	50:8	referenced 68:12
present 4:7 10:24	82:17,25 83:10 83:13	purport 57:14		referred 30:12
10:24,25 16:2		purported 4:18	reason 6:3,21	
PRESIDING 1:3	properly 26:24	purposes 10:5	38:2 40:20	referring 31:22
pretty 34:11	37:7	39:21 86:15	54:17 59:23	80:24
45:11 49:25	prosector 60:16	pursuant 90:6	75:20	reflect 28:20
prevalent 23:6	62:4	pursued 62:4	reasoning 4:12	refurbished 26:14
previous 16:22	prosectors 60:14	pursuing 62:11	reasons 5:3 6:17	refused 45:7
85:17	prosecute 72:5,14	put 5:13 7:18	recall 21:4 29:6	regard 24:21
previously 4:25	85:6,7	34:18 49:4 54:4	31:14 37:24	regarding 41:3,4
11:21 19:20,23	prosecuting 60:2	54:9 64:23	38:6 45:1 51:25	regards 43:20
47:1 56:14	prosecution 55:20	72:14 82:24	55:4,7 59:25	63:8
67:21 84:22	60:15 61:7	P1 82:16,17,21,24	61:24 62:4	regenerated
primarily 36:6,7	62:10 63:12	83:8,12	63:23 64:3,14	26:14
prior 80:12	prosper 31:19	P4 39:15,19 40:4	69:17,19 70:6	regulations 90:11
prison 49:23 50:1	provide 4:18	40:4 46:1,3,7,14	71:2 76:5 77:15	rehabilitate 8:12
50:4,5 72:14	12:16 14:21	46:19 47:2,8,13	85:3,15,17	rehabilitative 5:4
private 46:18	16:19 18:1 19:5	47:16 71:23	receive 13:6 24:16	5:18
probably 54:4	20:21 37:16	72:9,12,16	26:24 34:8 41:8	rehash 42:7
81:20	38:19 43:9		59:2,7 64:18	related 27:5 30:7
probative 6:22	48:25 52:10	Q	65:14 68:24	relatedness 16:7
problem 42:24	61:8,17 65:24	question 38:4	69:3 70:4	relates 4:19 23:1
59:4,11,13,16	72:22	73:20,22 83:6	received 11:25	relating 20:22
82:8	provided 15:1,13	83:22	13:4 17:20 18:4	relation 7:14
problems 71:5	16:12,22,24	questioned 15:15	32:8 72:7 77:8	relationship
proceed 8:1	17:3 19:8,18	questioning 80:12	81:9 86:18 87:7	15:20 16:23
proceeding 46:15	20:7 21:11	questions 25:22	87:8 88:4,18	28:5 29:20 34:9
63:9	23:13 35:1	71:16 85:2	receiver 26:19,19	40:17 41:17
proceedings 1:15	45:14 49:24	quickly 67:22	27:1 34:20,24	52:7 72:24
4:4 10:21 42:6	58:19 60:15	quite 8:20 57:9	35:2,9,16 59:2,6	85:17,20
73:14 90:9	64:3 71:25 72:4	quote 18:9,22	82:25	released 41:7
process 26:23	72:9,12 86:9	41:14	receivers 5:17	reliable 19:17,18
product 26:10	provider 43:9	R	24:25 62:3	47:23 48:4,7,13
professional 80:9	45:6		receiving 34:6	48:17,22 50:10
profit 43:7	providers 23:15	R 2:14	56:20 59:7	71:1
profits 76:4,9	provides 12:7	rac.video.satelli	69:19 70:6	relied 47:24
program 13:1	37:20	33:9	recess 8:22 89:21	rely 52:2 83:23
35:15 37:15	providing 27:13	raid 56:18	90:1,2	remain 7:1
74:21	29:22 62:14,19	raided 52:16	recipe 44:10	remaining 10:1
	I	l		

[Fage 11
remains 6:16	21:24 44:6,10	routine 69:3	second 31:4 34:22	aborthy 50.16
remarks 39:14,17	52:6 53:1	routinely 72:22	49:10 75:4	<pre>shortly 50:16 shoving 6:7</pre>
remind 31:21	result 36:15 49:24	Royal 89:4	secretive 39:22	show 5:12 6:7
33:12	55:15 57:5	Rule 1:21 90:16	section 39:14	15:20 53:6
Renee 32:8,9,11	RESUMED 11:5	ruling 4:12 6:15	81:17 90:6	68:20 77:6
Rentz 61:3,6	retailer 23:12	6:23,25	secured 68:4,9	79:17
repair 26:22	retailers 23:11,13	rulings 4:10 5:13	69:12	showed 15:13
77:22	return 89:12	8:24	security 66:11	78:12
repaired 78:19	returned 26:22	run 15:6 22:15	73:5,25 74:5,17	showing 28:13
repairing 26:16	revenue 43:7	62:16 81:5	see 9:11 17:11	70:18
repeat 73:21	revenues 44:25	running 28:3 56:9	25:23 27:21	shows 69:7
report 5:6 19:8,9	45:3 76:6,9	R-e-n-t-z 61:3	32:17,18 46:13	side 6:8 24:4
19:25 20:9 39:9	reverse 40:3	K-C-II-U-Z 01.3	59:22 62:1 67:5	signal 43:17 77:21
53:10,14,17	Reyno 30:3	S	67:6 79:20 80:9	significant 9:5
54:3 69:6,19,23	RICHARD 2:21	s 41:7	80:19 81:17,21	25:6 34:11
70:1,6 74:4	right 6:6,25 8:13	SACV 1:8	82:2,4	significantly 9:15
-	8:23 10:23	Saggiori 11:20,22	-	similar 20:10
reported 90:8 Reporter 1:22	8.25 10.25 21:25 45:13	12:3,4 37:16	seeing 59:25 69:17,17	40:12
90:16	47:4,10,17 48:2	48:19 51:2,19	seek 64:4	simply 28:2 75:21
REPORTER'S	48:5,8,15,24	51:22,24 52:19	seen 12:9 13:14	76:16
1:15	49:12,15 51:3,9	85:14,19	16:13 28:11	single 45:2,6 53:6
reporting 45:14	51:20 52:4,11	sale 34:18,25 35:5	segment 8:20	53:17 59:13
reports 7:11 20:6	52:14,17,20	San 2:17,23 38:24	sell 23:10 35:5,16	69:6
20:13 39:4	53:11,19,25	62:17	59:2	sir 9:17 22:22
65:14,21,24	55:21 56:10	Santa 1:17,23 4:1	selling 24:1 34:24	45:10,19 46:2,8
68:23,24 69:3	57:23,24 58:7	Sasselli 79:16	35:25	47:13 48:13
70:18 86:21	58:11 59:20	Satan's 39:6,19	send 26:18 34:14	49:18,21 55:3
	64:16 65:25	45:21 46:19	46:22	49.18,21 33.3 56:23 62:21
87:6,7,8,13,16 88:12	67:9,12,17 68:6	71:21	sends 70:1	69:6 71:15
repost 75:22	68:7 71:23 76:9	satellite 1:6 2:3	sense 82:13	72:25 75:16,20
represent 86:12	78:1 86:7,16	17:1 22:12,12	sent 5:16 12:1,3	86:7 89:17
reprogram 14:14	88:6,23 89:8,17	23:1 26:16,19	32:10 46:17,21	sister 61:22
36:1 37:13	89:20	26:19 58:20,22	59:3 77:21	sit 28:19
reprogrammed	rights 27:11 68:4	77:6,21	88:22	site 28:18
13:4,25 16:2	68:9	satellites 58:22,23	sentencing 63:9	skill 47:11
24:12 52:25	right-hand 25:23	saying 48:7 49:4	63:15	Slower 74:15
53:7 82:24 83:8	risk 4:16,20 18:12	66:8 80:8	series 8:24	Smart 12:21 13:2
reprogramming	Rissler 19:3,4,5,8	says 32:16 34:2	services 13:25	13:13,15 18:5
15:23 16:4	19:11,12,14,17	54:16 67:2,7	set 4:14 13:13	24:12,12,22,25
24:11	20:7 29:18,24	68:15 78:13	23:14,15,19,23	26:2,5,9,13,24
requested 32:13	55:24 86:18,24	81:14,17,19	47:11	20.2,3,9,13,24 27:15,25 30:11
85:5	role 19:14	scenes 18:20	setting 23:20	30:14,15,24
requiring 43:13	ROM 33:15,17,21	Scotia 15:7 22:17	set-top 27:1 34:14	31:1,7 33:23
reread 68:8	33:23,23 66:11	scrambled 59:8	72:7	34:4,12,20 35:3
respect 51:13	67:25 68:14,18	Scullion 4:11,23	share 62:14	35:9,15 36:23
responding 67:20	68:21 69:8,20	5:5,13,14 6:1,5	shared 12:22 18:4	37:4,9,13,15,18
response 54:1	70:7	6:12,16 7:15,18	shipments 38:23	37:22,23 38:20
57:25	Ron 16:14 48:19	9:2 56:9,15	88:21	42:14 53:6,14
responses 75:17	Room 1:23	Scullion's 4:23	shipped 26:11	65:17
responsible 14:13	roughly 38:17	5:2,4	short 8:16 9:12	SNYDER 2:13

Page	12
------	----

				. Page 12
9:19	52:12 60:12,16	step 57:3 89:18	sufficient 7:21	talked 7:18,22
software 72:13	60:16	Stinger 5:18	suggest 45:25	40:6 50:8 52:13
78:4	spoofing 28:9	15:23 16:8	suing 87:4	54:2 56:17
sold 74:12	St 64:8	53:13	Suite 2:8,16,23	74:25 75:12
solicitation 37:22	stalling 67:2	Stone 2:21 3:8 7:4	summarized	77:14
soliciting 36:22	stamp 41:21,24	7:6 8:2 10:13,16	45:10	talking 11:10
37:18	42:13,17 84:2,5	10:20 11:15	summit 55:13	79:21 80:25
somebody 18:17	84:7,9,12,14	17:8 21:17	supplemental	target 5:21,21 6:7
24:1 27:24	stamps 57:8	25:21 27:6	45:14	targeting 5:15
28:16 34:19,23	Stan 15:17 22:13	31:14 37:1 38:3	support 63:20	Tarnovsky 4:18
35:1 46:5,6,22	stand 7:2,19 9:15	40:23 42:5,7	72:13	6:21 7:13 8:13
49:23 58:24	9:21 10:25	44:19,22 66:22	suppose 71:11	11:12,23 12:1,2
70:5	Stanley 4:9 14:19	67:1 71:15	supposedly 45:11	12:17,23,24
soon 7:19	start 40:22 73:16	73:13,15 78:12	48:22 50:9 53:7	14:17,22 15:4
sorry 5:23 7:5	73:17	78:15 79:2 80:1	sure 8:16 20:9	15:11,14,17,22
10:16 17:13	started 5:9 27:3	80:11,15 81:22	26:23 35:13	16:7,11,20,23
22:6 32:15 36:7	57:20	81:24 82:18	52:14,16 78:25	16:25 17:16,20
39:16,17 50:21	starts 24:2 32:5	83:1,17 84:16	sustained 38:5	18:2,6,22 19:7,9
73:21 76:6	39:15	85:2	83:19,21	19:25 20:3
80:11 81:22	state 5:24 26:6	stop 34:8 59:18	swap 58:14 59:20	21:13 28:22
83:5	52:5	60:8 76:16	59:23 70:21	30:8 36:22
sort 7:24 83:25	stated 46:13 48:9	78:10	82:11	37:17,21,25
sound 57:24	48:12 55:1	storefront 18:13	switching 73:1	38:21 40:18
64:16	56:14 61:9	stream 67:19	Switzerland 47:6	41:16,17,19
sounds 22:18	statement 10:7	Street 1:23 2:16	47:19 53:24	44:8,14 48:1,8,9
57:23	57:5,12	2:22	54:16 65:21,23	48:18,23 49:14
source 31:3 41:19	statements 4:8,16	stuck 69:11	70:5	49:17 50:4 52:2
48:17 72:10,16	5:1,6 8:6 9:1,5,7	stuff 66:4	swore 48:4	52:3,6,19,25
77:7	12:17 20:20	subject 32:12	sworn 86:15	53:7,19 54:7,8
sources 71:1	21:10 44:4	33:2,7,9	syndicate 29:5,10	54:25 55:1,4
speak 17:12 51:22	52:10 56:7	subscribe 27:24	system 21:20	71:9,12 75:14
52:5 60:14 61:1	states 1:1,22	subscribed 13:13	44:11 60:3,19	75:18,21 77:4,5
speaking 53:12	21:19 23:15	subscriber 30:22	63:1 72:9 73:5	77:9,11 80:4,7
57:7 61:16	35:24 60:25	34:5 76:13	73:25 74:5,17	80:23 81:6 82:9
Special 61:3,6	62:6,8 65:25	subscribers 26:14	82:11	82:15,23 83:8
specific 20:20	68:4 74:12,18	35:10,14 43:19	T	83:15 86:22
21:10 47:17	74:23 75:8	76:17 81:21		88:5,22 89:5
70:4	76:14 78:17	subscription	T 2:4,5	task 47:1 88:5,8
speculation 38:4	89:10 90:7,11	27:10 34:14	take 8:17 9:21 19:20 23:21	88:12
54:5,9 83:17	stating 62:19	subscriptions		tasked 46:7
84:16	67:10	23:14 27:10	30:19 34:19,20	TDI 87:16,17,19
Spell 36:9	status 54:20 65:11	success 37:8,8	34:23,25 35:1 45:12 51:5,6	technical 57:11
spoke 5:15 6:1	66:10 67:23	64:18	43:12 31:3,6 57:3 59:6 72:21	70:24
10:18 19:13	68:3,14 70:7	successful 64:20	80:3 81:8 89:20	techniques 28:10
21:16 29:4 44:1	steal 13:25 59:9	64:24	taken 4:4 10:21	technology 18:15
50:10,13,15,19	74:21 82:16,25	sudden 69:12	67:8	23:2 41:7 47:12
50:24 51:2,20	83:10,13	sued 18:13 36:20	takes 7:1 18:12	47:15,19,22
62:13 63:22	stealing 59:9	61:22,24,25	talk 42:22 61:3	73:2
76:20 85:14	stenographically	70:25 76:25	78:16 87:6	television 23:1,8
spoken 19:4 28:12	90:8	77:10	/0.10 0/.0	tell 16:1 41:23
L	•	•	•	

				Fage 13
42:15 61:6	thought 12:2 48:4	19:23 63:8	United 1:1,22	want 7:24 8:1
70:10 71:8	71:9	truck 23:25	21:19 23:15	11:12 12:12
telling 63:20 66:4	thousand 56:6	true 5:11 46:13	35:24 60:25	26:18 27:6 28:1
Tentative 4:14	80:23 82:8	46:25 56:23	62:6,8 65:24	28:14,18 34:7
term 13:9 22:5,25	thousands 35:22	60:21 69:6	74:12,18,23	34:18,25 39:2
30:10,10 77:25	46:17,18	75:16 90:7	76:13 89:10	40:7 42:22 43:9
terms 5:19 8:12	threatening 50:5	truly 6:19,19	90:7,11	47:23
tested 13:3	time 6:2 7:13 9:13	truth 4:17 9:6,8	unlocks 13:17	wanted 23:8,8
testified 10:7	9:14,23 14:3	10:4 41:1 56:8	unmodified 46:1	46:13 84:10
11:14,21 15:19	15:12 19:13	try 28:4 56:24	update 68:5,10	wanting 36:1
28:22 37:25	23:7,22 27:9	62:11 67:21	78:18 79:22	43:14
41:13 44:23	29:8 35:6,8,20	77:22	80:13	Washington
55:3 57:2,8,17	41:21,24 42:2	trying 45:25	updated 67:7	23:16,23,24
74:9 75:24 76:4	42:13,17 49:21	72:15 76:16	upgrade 26:18	24:2
82:15,23 83:8	51:19 57:8 58:4	80:1	use 8:10 28:12,15	wasn't 39:22
83:15 84:3	63:22 64:24	turn 25:16 81:13	28:20,22 29:1	50:19 51:24
testify 42:3,12	69:7 72:25 75:9	turning 15:21	30:20,20 62:13	54:3 55:19
46:10	75:13 77:2 84:2	two 4:13 30:16	70:17 75:14	60:24 61:16
testimony 4:24,25	84:5,7,9,12,14	31:22 36:16	78:3,8,10 82:16	64:22 76:8
5:2,3,5 9:10,11	85:14,21 86:24	39:18 42:16	83:10	83:14
9:14,22 10:6	87:3,12 89:12	56:8	useless 67:17	Waters 5:1 64:10
13:24 18:21	89:14	type 17:6	U.S 62:9 85:11	way 23:16 24:8,10
22:15 29:6 38:2	times 27:24 30:18	typed 6:11,14	90:16	24:15,17,20
38:6 42:7 49:7	66:7	typically 35:16	70.10	28:12,20,20
54:23,24 61:17	Title 90:7	typically 55.10	V	30:5,7 35:3 40:3
62:15 63:5,25	Titus 85:20,23,24	U	value 5:4 6:22	43:13 59:15
76:6 82:19 83:2	today 9:13 12:11	unavailability	various 31:23	69:4 87:17
83:23 86:16	27:21 47:2 49:7	43:21	65:4 80:7	ways 31:22
Texas 2:8 38:25	told 12:20,25 15:3	undercover 55:9	vast 53:5	web 5:17
88:23	15:4,22 18:5	underneath 26:4	verify 38:23	website 14:9 15:3
Thank 11:4 13:21	20:21 77:7	understand 7:7	version 33:22	18:14 32:25
22:23 39:12	85:13	11:16 13:24	67:25	41:10 42:4,12
44:17 69:1,2	Tonawanda 25:22	17:16 22:14	versus 12:2	44:12 56:8,10
71:15 80:16	Tony 12:13	24:24 25:9	Victoria 28:19	56:13 84:3,13
81:11 82:6 89:7	top 81:14	29:22 31:17	video 15:16 55:3	websites 14:9
89:17,19	Toronto 15:17	40:14 43:23	videotape 15:13	weekend 6:16
thanked 61:11	36:18 46:22	58:6,7,8 66:19	view 13:17	weeks 36:16
theft 18:18 42:24	55:4,13	67:14,25 68:17	viewing 13:18	WELCH 2:4,5
43:17	totality 44:4	77:17	VIP 68:4,9	9:3,17
thing 7:1,15 30:22	towns 23:16,18	understanding	volume 1:10 4:2	went 25:10 44:25
34:23 75:25	trace 56:24	26:10 37:14	61:1	49:23 50:5 76:4
76:9	traced 28:1,24	41:1,6,25 42:4	Von 16:24,24	76:6
things 4:13 34:16	tracing 84:22	42:18 68:19	17:17	weren't 23:9 25:9
57:2,3,7 65:11	track 64:10	73:7,8,16 74:6	vs 1:8	45:25 46:7
think 6:21 7:11	tracking 64:1	83:5 84:4 85:19		49:20 63:12
39:16 57:20	transcript 1:15	understood 7:22	W	west 1:23 2:15
80:1 84:21	90:8,10	18:21 20:2,25	W 2:13	22:5,6,7,10,11
thinking 34:15	traveled 46:17	21:17 76:12	WADE 2:4,5	22:21 33:9,12
third-party 20:2	tremendous 4:16	89:15	walk 30:25 31:3	33:13,20 44:6
87:19 88:15	trial 1:16 17:5	unique 30:18	walking 31:6	62:16 74:20
		-		

r				Page 14
81:3	wrote 66:18	11:00 6:18	26 48:17 90:13	9 81:13,14,23
we'll 28:15 89:25	www.dr7.com	11:13 32:11	2600 2:16,23	9 81.15,14,25 9th 32:11
we're 8:23 22:2	41:11	11.13 32:11 1148 39:2,9 45:19	27 19:21,23	90s 21:6
54:17 58:6	W-e-s-t 22:7	71:21	271 9.21,23 275 2:16,22	908 21:0 92701 1:23
	vv-e-s-t 22.7		,	
we've 28:11 76:3	X	1185 78:12,22	28 90:7	9316 1:21 90:17
84:21	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ 3:1	1186 65:1 78:24	3	94111-3305 2:17
whatsoever 88:12	xbr21 48:2,8,10	79:1,2	3 27:15,16 33:15	2:23
widely 67:12	48:18 49:1	12/27/1996 75:8	33:17,23,23	952-4334 2:9
Wikipedia 66:9	54:25 55:1	1270 81:8,23	66:11 67:25	984-8700 2:17,24
WILLETTS 2:6		1296 48:15		
willing 38:19,23	75:12,17	13th 82:5	69:8,20 70:7	
85:13	Y	1510 25:15,18,23	3M 80:9,24	
witness 3:6 7:25	Yeah 13:13 34:13	33:24 75:5	374 5:23	
9:15 10:1,25	45:18 84:18	1998 73:9,24 74:3	4	
11:5 17:11 22:8	year 21:2 44:24	1999 34:3	4 27:15,16 48:16	
22:20,22 33:7	57:21 75:6	2	4 27:15,16 48:16 4th 1:23	
33:18 36:8,10			40 57:21	
37:4 41:6 44:4	years 34:6 43:24 46:20 48:1	2 27:15,16 68:14	40 37:21 41 80:3,7	
44:18 63:17		68:18	,	
73:21 78:24	59:23	2nd 65:4 67:24	411 1:23	
79:1,5,8,10,14	yesterday 11:10	68:21 79:5,12	415 2:17,24	
82:2,21 83:5,18	21:17 24:6	20 89:25	44 3:8	
83:20 89:16,19	25:15,19,21	2000 48:5 56:10	48 89:11,13	
witnesses 44:2	27:3 29:6 31:11	59:24 64:15	49-50 69:16	
83:24 86:2,6	31:15 39:3 40:6	75:10	5	
89:10	46:10 54:2	2001 5:24,25	5 27:15,16 45:23	
word 8:11,11	75:24	51:12 57:20	5.1 81:17	
45:12 59:15	York 15:6 22:15	64:2,16 65:4	50 57:21	
62:13 68:9	22:17 25:22	67:24 68:21	500 23:22 57:18	
words 31:23	\$	69:9,16,21 70:7	58:1	
40:21 65:20	\$1.25 44:25	73:16,18 76:5,6	558-7755 1:24	
work 12:7 18:8	\$400,000 38:17	76:12 77:15	550-77551.24	
37:7,13 40:12	\$50 43:10	79:5,12 80:21	6	
46:22 47:14	\$60 43:11	81:17,25 82:5,7	6 27:15,16	
60:12,19 88:16	\$90 82:10,10	2002 12:9 47:4	645 5:23	
workaround	\$90 82.10,10	51:7,23		
67:21	0	2003 51:23,24	7	
worked 47:7	03-0950-DOC 1:8	58:4 69:4 70:11	7 27:15,16	
working 37:4,5,7	08-04-25 1:25	2004 5:25 6:5,6	7th 80:15	
47:12 67:22		7:18 32:11 51:7	700 2:8	
72:24	1	59:23 61:19	71 3:7	
world 31:20	127:15,16	2006 51:14	713 2:9	
wouldn't 52:10	1,000 23:22	2007 21:1	714 1:24	
54:15 59:15	1-053 1:23	2008 1:18 4:1	753 90:6	
62:13 82:13,14	10 68:21 81:13,14	90:13	77057 2:8	
84:13	81:23	206 31:12 32:5		
wounded 8:11	100 61:23	22nd 64:2	8	
writing 14:13	100,000 80:8	24th 56:24	8:00 4:3	
written 8:25 74:4	81:20	2401 2:7		
wrong 39:16	11 1:10 3:7 4:2	25 1:18 4:1 48:17	9	
	I	I		