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SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA, WEDNESDAY, APRIL 23, 2008

Day 9, Volume III
(1:16 p.m.)
(Outside the presence of the jury.)
THE COURT: We're on the record.

Instead of the documents you may or may not have found over lunch, I'm not going to take that at this time. I want to keep the testimony rolling along. We can take this after the jury's excused, and my thought is no matter what, we're not done with Tarnovsky today.

Mr. Tarnovsky, we'll conclude your examination if you want to, but we can resolve this this evening out of the presence of the jury involving 39, and then you can lay your foundation this evening.

So the argument's not being taken or precluded from you. I just want to know where this document comes from and how do I authenticate it.

All right. Kristee's getting the jury, Counsel.

MR. HAGAN: Your Honor, can I hand Mr. Tarnovsky the NDS documents that we located at lunch as an exhibit?

THE COURT: Let me see the NDS documents.

Does it relate to Exhibit 39?

MR. HAGAN: Yes, sir.

MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, my understanding is this
document was created -- I don't think there's any evidence
that the witness has seen this document.

THE COURT: If it came from NDS's database and has this information, yes, you can show it to the witness. I thought this was solely produced from EchoStar. That's what I asked before lunch.

This comes from NDS's discovery?

MR. KLEIN: May I be heard?

THE COURT: You certainly may.

MR. KLEIN: It's my understanding the documents
came from ICG --

THE COURT: That's correct.

MR. KLEIN: -- and that there's nobody who can testify when it was created, who created it, or anything like that.

THE COURT: Well, we'll lay the foundation. You can talk about that in front of the jury. It may not come in until this evening until we have a lot more time to discuss this. You can show it to him.
(In the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: All right. The jury's present. All counsel are still present. The parties are present.

Mr. Tarnovsky is on the witness stand.

Counsel, thank you for your courtesy.

If you would please be seated.

This is the continued direct examination by

Mr. Hagan on behalf of EchoStar and NagraStar.

MR. HAGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

CHRISTOPHER GEORGE TARNOVSKY, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN, RESUMED THE STAND DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)

BY MR. HAGAN:
Q. Mr. Tarnovsky, before we broke for lunch, we were talking about the NiPpEr2000 publication and the e-mail address associated with that of ChrisVon@s4.interpass.com.

I want to show you what we're marking as Exhibit 2032. And I'll represent to you that this was a document produced by the defendants in this case --

MR. KLEIN: Your Honor --

MR. HAGAN: -- bearing Bates stamp NDS 153179
through NDS 153186.
(Exhibit No. 2032 marked for identification.)

MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, I object to counsel's representation.

THE COURT: Well, ladies and gentlemen, I'm not certain that one party producing this gives this exhibit number 39 that we're having a serious discussion about outside your presence authenticity or not, but each side had disclosed information to the other.

The problem is, some of the information disclosed may not really belong to the particular party who had it in
their position. It may not come from EchoStar or from NDS. It may come from other unrelated or related parties. That will eventually be for you to determine and the weight you're to give to that.

Counsel, your objection is overruled.

MR. HAGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. KLEIN: Just to save time, may I have a continuing objection with respect to this document?

THE COURT: Certainly.
Was this disclosed by NDS?

MR. KLEIN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

BY MR. HAGAN:
Q. Now, Mr. Tarnovsky --

THE COURT: Now, that doesn't mean this is NDS's document, by the way. I want you to understand that.

Different parties have different information from different sources. Each party's been disclosing information to the other party.

Once again, let me caution you. This document, just because it's produced by EchoStar or by NDS, doesn't give it allegiance or nexus to that particular client. We just don't know yet.

Counsel.

BY MR. HAGAN:
Q. Mr. Tarnovsky, do you have a copy of Exhibit 2032 in front of you?
A. I do.
Q. And you see the title page of this document says, "ChrisVon@s4.interpass.com." Do you see that?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: Just a moment. Let me caution you again. This can be simply a subheading, a subtitle, attached by somebody at some time. We don't know who put that on this document. Just because it may be coming from NDS, they may not know it may be in their possession. It could come from EchoStar. We'll find out.

BY MR. HAGAN:
Q. Mr. Tarnovsky, if you'll turn to Page 3 of Exhibit 2032. At the top, it has that same ChrisVon e-mail address, and then under "forum summary," it identifies the user name, NiPpEr2000. Do you see that?
A. Could you please give me the proper NDS page number?
Q. Certainly. It is NDS 153181.
A. Thank you. Could you repeat the question, Counsel.
Q. Do you see the portion of this page, Page 3 of Exhibit 2032, under "Forum Summary," where it identifies the user name NiPpEr2000?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the user name NiPpEr2000 was not associated with the e-mail address ChrisVon@s4.interpass.com?
A. I have no knowledge of this at all.
Q. Did these documents, Exhibit 2032, come from your files, Mr. Tarnovsky?
A. No.
Q. Did Exhibit 2032 come from your computer,

Mr. Tarnovsky?
A. No.
Q. Did Exhibit 2032 come from any forensic image of your computer?
A. No.

MR. HAGAN: Your Honor, I would offer 2032 into evidence, and $I$ understand there's a continuing objection.

THE COURT: We'll take that up out of the presence of the jury. Thank you, counsel.

BY MR. HAGAN:
Q. Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, we looked earlier this morning at Exhibit 25, which was an IRC posting under the alias "Von." And I understand that you claim that particular Von was not you. But that document, under the alias Von, said, "I'm the only one who can hack Nagra."

Have you ever stated to anyone that you could hack

Nagra?
A. I have never stated that to anyone that I can hack Nagra system, period.
Q. Are you certain about that?
A. I'm absolutely positive.
Q. Are you as positive about that as you are the fact that you are not NipperClause or NiPpEr2000?
A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Tarnovsky, let's fast-forward to December 21st of 2000. You understand that there was a post on Mr. Menard's website or a file available on that website called NiPpErClAuZ00, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you're also aware that the defendants and Mr. Mordinson engaged in efforts to reverse engineer EchoStar's security system in 1998, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you're aware that Mr. Mordinson developed a recipe or a hack methodology for use on EchoStar's security system, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you're aware that Mr. Mordinson put that information, those instructions, into a written document called the Headend Project Report?
A. I'm not actually sure that he put that -- what you're saying into that report.
Q. Have you ever seen that Project Headend Report, Mr. Tarnovsky?
A. I've very briefly seen this report, and only a few select pages, and it was very fastly scanned over.
Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 98, which has already been introduced into evidence.

And if you would, Mr. Tarnovsky, take a moment to flip through the pages of Exhibit 98 and let me know if this is some of the material that you've seen before?
A. I've seen some of these pages, yes.
Q. Okay. And you saw that information at one of the technical interchanges that you had with David Mordinson, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And at that time, Mr. Mordinson explained to you that he had discovered a hole in EchoStar's Smart Card, correct?
A. I don't know that he ever said that -- like no, I don't believe that's correct.
Q. In fact, Mr. Tarnovsky, didn't you testify at your deposition that Mr. Mordinson told you that the hole he discovered in EchoStar's Smart Card could not be patched?
A. That's different than the last question you just asked me. Yes, he did say that.
Q. Okay. So you knew at that time that Mr. Mordinson had discovered a hole in EchoStar's Smart Card, correct?
A. Based on the discussion that we had had that afternoon, I knew that he did, yes.
Q. And you understood that by using that hole, Mr. Mordinson and the defendants had developed a method to dump the contents of EchoStar's Smart Card, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Now, when Mr. Mordinson showed you this report, did you touch it?
A. I don't recall exactly how it happened. I don't believe I ever touched the report, but I did see some of it.
Q. Well, you testified in your deposition that

Mr. Mordinson simply held up the report and flipped through the pages of it. Do you recall that testimony?
A. You're helping me to remember it. As I just said, I didn't believe I touched it, so it coincides with what $I$ just testified about.
Q. And after you and Mr. Mordinson looked through the pages of that report, you went into your garage and you shredded it, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You understood at the time that you shredded it that that report contained information describing a method of how to dump the code from EchoStar's Smart Card, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Now, we talked this morning about your sending battery
card codes to a gentleman named Jan Saggiori. Do you recall that testimony, Mr. Tarnovsky?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever sent portions of EchoStar's code to

Jan Saggiori?
A. Not of -- no.
Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 2002 .

MR. HAGAN: Your Honor, this has already been
received into evidence. We would ask to publish it.
THE COURT: You may display it.
(Document displayed.)
MR. HAGAN: And if you could, Clint, blow up the
top portion that has the sender and recipient information,
to and from.

BY MR. HAGAN:
Q. Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, if you'll look at the first page of Exhibit 2002, the sender information says
"Von@Metro2000.net"; is that correct, sir?
A. Yes, I see this.
Q. And that's one of the e-mail addresses that you
admitted to us earlier today that you created and that you used, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And if you'll look at the "to" information a little bit further down, it's got Jan Saggiori, the e-mail address of

Jan Saggiori@CompuServe.com. Do see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And you had used that e-mail address to send

Mr. Saggiori information in the past, correct?
A. Yes. We've exchanged correspondence through this e-mail.
Q. Now, the text of this e-mail says, "Good news from up north here. Keep for you, please. Extremely top secret."

Now, Mr. Saggiori testified that the PGP-encrypted file that you sent him contained code from EchoStar's Smart Card. If you'll turn to the fourth -- or let's see -- fifth page, it says Exhibit $D$ at the bottom. And the title of that page was 16cf54_full.txt? Do see that?
A. I see this. THE COURT: Just a moment, Counsel. What page again? MR. HAGAN: Page 5, Your Honor. It says "Exhibit D" at the bottom. It's 2002-004. THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.

BY MR. HAGAN:
Q. See the title of that page, Mr. Tarnovsky, is 16cf54_full.txt. Do see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And if you look back at the fourth page of Exhibit 2002, the file name right above the PGP says the
same: 16cf54.asc. Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Now, you understand, Mr. Tarnovsky, that the microprocessor used in EchoStar's Smart Cards, the ROM 3 cards, was an ST Thomson 16cf54 chip, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. This e-mail was sent March 28th, 1999. Do you see that?
A. I see this.
Q. Is it your testimony here for the ladies and gentlemen of this jury that you did not send portions of EchoStar's code to Mr. Saggiori in March of 1999?
A. This is my testimony: That this is not -- this e-mail
is not truly sent from me, yes.
Q. And it is just, according to you, a coincidence that the sender e-mail address is an e-mail address that you created and you used?
A. It's not coincidence. This is a text file that you printed out that your client, that's paid by you guys, your client is being paid to produce this. This 16cf54.asc is a piece of the encrypted mail --

THE COURT: Just a moment. We need to keep a record of what you're saying.

THE WITNESS: Sorry, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Just start again.

THE WITNESS: At the time this e-mail would have been sent, I was under Windows, as you can see in the header, as you've showed me. It says QualComm Windows-based Eudora Pro, Version 4.2. I would not be using a DOS-based version of PGP2.6.3, International Version A, which is illegal for use in the United States at this time frame. I would have been using windows PGP, which is a plug-in for Eudora, which would have encrypted the entire --

Yes, Your Honor, a Windows-based version of this program.

THE COURT: Counsel, reask the question.
Slow down. I've got to have a record.
THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.
BY MR. HAGAN:
Q. Mr. Tarnovsky, if $I$ understand your testimony correctly, you believe that you did not send this e-mail, Exhibit 2002, to Jan Saggiori on March 28th, 1999, correct?
A. This exact e-mail as you're showing me in this exhibit is not the same e-mail that I sent to Mr. Saggiori, correct.
Q. Are you as certain about that as you are about your earlier testimony that you have never stated to anyone that you have hacked Nagra?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Mr. Tarnovsky, I asked you several times in your
previous deposition when Mr. Mordinson showed you portions of this Headend Report. Do you recall that, sir?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And you had some inconsistent answers. At one point, you said more likely than not, it was in 1999. At several other points, you said, "No, I think it was in 2001 after the posting." And at one point you said it was during the P3 era. Are those the three options that you gave me at your deposition?
A. That sounds correct. The P3 era is post-2001, for the record.
Q. It's your testimony under oath that NDS did not develop and launch the P3 card until after 2001?
A. That's not what I'm stating. I'm stating that the P3 was widely hacked in 2001, and this was the reason Mr. Mordinson had come over.
Q. Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, if Mr. Mordinson had shared the Headend Report with you in 1998 after he had created it and during the time when you had these technical interchanges in Europe, you would agree with me, sir, that you could have dumped the code from EchoStar's card and sent the code to Mr. Saggiori in '99 that we have in Exhibit 2002, correct, sir?
A. No. That's incorrect, because for several grounds, one being that the code that you showed me on Page 4 is an area
of a Thomson chip that Mr. Saggiori nor myself could ever have extracted. It's protected by a firewall, and this is not able to be recovered nor does EchoStar know the true contents of this area.

However, I know that the posting was post-2001 because Mr. Mordinson showed me the report because you claimed you closed the hole, and he claimed that you could not close the hole.
Q. The NipperClause00 posting of the hack methodology for the hole in EchoStar's security system was published on Mr. Menard's website on December 21, 2000, correct, Mr. Tarnovsky?
A. I don't know.
Q. Why don't we take a look at Exhibit 113. This is an e-mail, Mr. Tarnovsky, produced by the defendants in this case, and it was an e-mail sent by you to your supervisor and other employees of NDS; is that correct, sir?
A. I believe this is true, yes.
Q. You don't believe that your counsel or the defendants produced an e-mail that was doctored in any way, do you, Mr. Tarnovsky?
A. I have no reason to believe so, no.
Q. Good. Now, you sent this e-mail on December 22 nd of 2000, correct?
A. From what I read on the header, yes.
Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the date on the e-mail produced by the defendants is inaccurate?
A. I can't verify that. But $I$ have no reason not to trust it.
Q. What is the title of this e-mail that you sent to NDS employees on December 22nd, 2000?
A. The subject is "Cat's out of the bag."
Q. And in the body of this e-mail, you tell them that there is a public file you saw on InterestingDevices.com as well as dr7.com, and it's the syntax to dump any ROM 3 NagraVision card. Do you see that, sir?
A. I do.
Q. Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, were you bragging on December 22nd of 2000 after posting that file on Mr. Menard's website the day before?
A. No.
Q. You just happened to see that file on the Internet within hours of it being published. Is that your testimony, sir?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, I understand that your earlier testimony is that you've never hacked Nagra, you've never told anyone that you could hack Nagra, but you have received EchoStar's programming without an authorized subscription; isn't that correct, sir?
A. No. I've always paid.
Q. Why don't we take a look at Exhibit 41 -- I'm sorry. I gave you the wrong -- getting ahead of myself. Okay. It's Exhibit 51, Mr. Tarnovsky. Do you have a copy of that in front of you?
A. I do.
Q. Now, Exhibit 51 is an exchange of e-mails between various NDS employees including yourself; is that right?
A. I see myself on part of the thread, yes.
Q. And you know the other names on those e-mails. Those are other NDS employees, correct?
A. Yes.

MR. HAGAN: Clint, can we get Exhibit 51 up?
Thank you. And let's blow up the bottom half of it or the middle third would work.
(Document displayed.)

BY MR. HAGAN:
Q. Mr. Tarnovsky, I want to focus you on the middle portion of this e-mail exchange.

It was sent by John Norris. That was your supervisor at the time, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it's dated November 13th of 2000 , correct?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Roughly a month before the Nipper post was made
available on Mr. Menard's website for all the world to see, right?
A. According to you, yes.
Q. Now, in this e-mail, Mr. Norris says, "When I was at Mike's yesterday, he took an EchoStar hack file from the internet --"

THE COURT: Read slower, please. MR. HAGAN: Sorry, Your Honor.

BY MR. HAGAN:
Q. Mr. Norris writes November 13, 2000, "When I was at Mike's yesterday, he took an EchoStar hack file from the Internet, put it in a P1 put the P1 into an EchoStar receiver, and got all programming."

Now, you understand that the reference to "Mike" in this e-mail is to you, Christopher Tarnovsky, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. That Mike reference was to Michael George, which was your code name inside of NDS, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, you were copied on this e-mail; isn't that right, Mr. Tarnovsky?
A. I saw myself on the e-mail, yes.
Q. So as of November 13th, 2000, you had demonstrated a hack for EchoStar's security system to your supervisor Mr. Norris, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you used that hack to receive all programming according to Mr. Norris; is that right?
A. It's not, but you're gonna say it is, so I guess I have to say "yes."
Q. Well, don't take my word for it. Let's take

Mr. Norris' word for it. In his e-mail on November 13 of 2000, he writes that you put the P 1 into an EStar receiver and got all programming.
A. Yes, a DirecTV period 1 access card, which was NDS's technology. At that point in time, the DirecTV period 1 card had been removed from the field, and they were in excess with the pirates in Canada, pirates in America. We were quite disturbed to see that on the Internet, someone could download a file on the public WWW Internet sites that would download into this DirecTV period 1 access card, convert the chip to run on an EchoStar's set-top box.

This was very disturbing to NDS, and if we could have had it our way, we would have destroyed these cards on their last day of life when we finished swapping out to the period 2. But we can't take that risk, so these cards are out there.

It doesn't look good if this really does work. So this was an laboratory experiment in an NDS-built lab for work purposes, not for recreational television viewing as you're
trying to display this as.
Q. This was in a laboratory environment in an NDS lab?
A. Equipped lab.
Q. That's what you just testified to?
A. That is.
Q. This was at your house, wasn't it, Mr. Tarnovsky?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Okay. So your house was an NDS-equipped lab?
A. It had an NDS equipped lab, yes.
Q. And in your house you had NDS-issued computers, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you had other NDS-issued equipment, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But there was no software applications on those NDS computers that monitored or tracked your Internet activity, was there, Mr. Tarnovsky?
A. I don't know. There may have been.
Q. Okay. To your knowledge, there was none, correct?
A. If there was, I was never told there was.
Q. In fact, you testified previously in your deposition that no one at NDS ever asked you if they could put any software applications on your NDS-issued computers to monitor your Internet activity, correct?
A. This is correct.
Q. And those NDS-issued computers with no software applications on there for monitoring, they weren't even hooked into the NDS servers at their official business establishment; is that right, sir?
A. No, that is an incorrect statement.
Q. In your deposition, did you testify that those NDS-issued computers at your house, in your laboratory, were not hooked up to the NDS servers?
A. No, I believe you're out of context, Counsel. I would have testified something to the effect of $I$ have NDS-issued computers that are on the NDS networks through the VPN, and then I would have had computers that $I$ built up that are in the lab that $I$ built. So there's a mixture of things going on here.
Q. Let's move forward for a minute. After you sent the e-mail on December 22nd of 2000 entitled "Cat's out of the bag," the EchoStar secret is out, did there come a point in time where NDS asked you to quantify the number of pirate cards that were out in the field?
A. I'm not sure. I don't -- I don't know.
Q. Now, backing up for just a moment, Mr. Tarnovsky, if I understand your earlier testimony, pirates, satellite pirates, could reprogram not just the ROM 3 EchoStar cards, but also the P 1 cards in order to steal EchoStar programming. Was that your testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. How many were out there at that time?
A. I don't know.
Q. Of the P1 cards, you don't know.

Well, let's go back to the ROM 3 cards, then, for a moment.

Did there come a time when representatives of the defendants asked you if you could quantify or estimate the number of pirated ROM 3 EchoStar Smart Cards in the field?
A. I believe that -- I believe I know what you're talking about, yes.
Q. Why don't we take a look at Exhibit 41. Do you have that in front of you, Mr. Tarnovsky?
A. Yes.
Q. This is an e-mail exchange between yourself, your supervisor, Mr. Norris, and other NDS representatives.

Your e-mail is the second one down on the first page dated February 7, 2001, 3:10 a.m. Do you see that?
A. I see this.
Q. And in this e-mail, you are estimating that there are, in all capital letters, "at least a hundred thousand E3M cards in the field"; is that correct?
A. I see I'm saying I'm guessing that there must be at least. I'm not an expert on this. So I -- it could have been less. I don't -- I don't know.

THE COURT: Well, just a moment. You were guessing? I don't see that word. You want to read that to us? Either you or counsel.

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. HAGAN: The paragraph says as follows,

Your Honor:
"I'm guessing they're at least a hundred
thousand."

THE COURT: Thank you. I didn't see that in the bottom portion.

BY MR. HAGAN:
Q. Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, you know what an E3M card is, don't you, sir?
A. Yes, I do, sir.
Q. That's an EchoStar Three-Musketeer card, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you understood that you could load this hack recipe onto an EchoStar Smart Card and create what was known as an E3M card, where the individual pirate could steal EchoStar's programming, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You understood that these E3M cards were being distributed through numerous websites, including some of the gentlemen that you knew up in Canada, correct?
A. I know of the websites. I do not know of the personnel
that were doing this.
Q. Well, you know that Mr. Frost had a website where he was advertising these E3M cards, didn't you, Mr. Tarnovsky?
A. I did not know that.
Q. Now, let's back up for just a minute.

Do you know why the defendants went to you, Chris Tarnovsky, code name Michael George, when they wanted to find out how many pirated EchoStar Smart Cards were in the field?
A. Yes.
Q. And why is that?
A. Because NDS would compartmentalize individuals. So we had an individual in Europe. We had myself in North America, South America area. I was monitoring the websites and such of pirate activity in North and South America for NDS as well as. Of course, I'm seeing everything coming out on Echo as well as any other system in North and South America that's being talked about. So it's in their interest to ask me, obviously, because I'm the only one really that NDS had in North and South America scanning these areas to give them a guess.

Avigail Gutman lives in Israel, which is in a whole different world, and she has no idea what's going on in Europe versus Asia versus North and South America. So it's a very logical reason for her to ask myself this question.
Q. You were the go-to guy for $N D S$ on estimating the number of pirated EchoStar Smart Cards in the field as of February 2001 --

MR. KLEIN: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. BY MR. HAGAN:
Q. -- Correct?

THE COURT: Well, "go-to guy" -- sustain. Just restate the question.

BY MR. HAGAN:
Q. You were, according to your testimony, the most reliable resource for NDS to go to in February 2001 to estimate the number of pirated ROM 3 Smart Cards in the field, correct?
A. I didn't say what you just said, so I disagree.
Q. Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, isn't the reason that NDS asked you about the number of pirated ROM 3 Smart Cards because they knew you were using the Donegal software to distribute and control the number of those ROM 3 Smart Cards that were being distributed by Mr. Menard and others?

MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, I would object on the question. Assumes a fact not in evidence, and so did the one before that. If you want, I can elaborate.

MR. HAGAN: I'll rephrase the question, Your Honor.

BY MR. HAGAN:
Q. Mr. Tarnovsky, we'll move along here, but $I$ want to make sure that we're on the same page.

According to your testimony, when NDS wanted to know how many Smart Cards -- EchoStar E3M pirate Smart Cards -were in the field in February of 2001, they went to you for that number, correct?

MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, again I would object on it. Assumes a fact not in evidence.

THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer that question.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
Per this e-mail at this particular time, they asked me this question, and I gave them a guess the best I could. I'm not an expert on this. I'm just monitoring the Internet.

BY MR. HAGAN:
Q. Now, do you think that -- withdrawn.

Did you typically provide information to your superiors within NDS that you did not believe to be reliable?
A. No. It's not a question of being reliable. It's putting together a logical conclusion, trying to form a conclusion based on what I'm seeing, making it as reliable -- I guess, yes, I suppose so.
Q. Do you recall my question?
A. No.
Q. Let me reask it.

Did you typically provide information to your superiors within NDS that you believed to be unreliable?
A. No.
Q. So at the time that you provided them with the hundred thousand E3M pirated card estimate, you believed that to be reliable based on your observations of the pirate websites, correct?
A. There's no question of reliable or unreliable. It's a simple trying to help someone gather an idea, the reason $I$ clearly state I am guessing.
Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 1270.

Now, while we're getting that out there, Mr. Tarnovsky, to your knowledge did NDS rely on the information that you provided about a hundred thousand pirated EchoStar Smart Cards in the field?
A. I don't know. The person that -- I don't believe so. The person that asked the question was not a marketing person, for example. So I don't believe so.
Q. Do you have Exhibit 1270 in front of you?
A. I do.
Q. This is a marketing competitive intelligence document from NDS entitled "NDS and Nagra Conditional Access System:

A Technical and Business Analysis."

And Mr. Tarnovsky, if you'll look at Page 4 of
Exhibit 1270. It's got a Bates stamp ESC0135955. There's a bullet point at the top that says "Scope." Do you see that? (Document displayed.)
A. I see this.

BY MR. HAGAN:
Q. Now, if you look down to the bottom of that page, it says, "Important note: NDS marketing competitive intelligence believes this information to be accurate as of the date of publication." Do you see that, sir?
A. I see this.
Q. And if you look down to the bottom, the date of publication on this document is May 13, 2001, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, please turn to Page 9 of Exhibit 1270.
A. Do you have the page, sir?
Q. Page 9. The page numbers are at the top. It says,
"Page 9 of 10."
A. Thank you.
Q. Now, in this section in bold, middle of the way down the field, it says, "NDS field contacts confirmed that Nagra did not start sending an ECM until after the game was over. Anyone with a pirated Nagra card saw the game for free. Our estimate is that this commercial loss to EchoStar probably accounted for over a hundred thousand nonpaying
subscribers."

Do you see that, Mr. Tarnovsky?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Now, Dov -- you know who Dov Rubin is, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. If Dr. Rubin testified that NDS relied on your hundred thousand pirate estimate, do you have any reason to dispute that?
A. I do. This document's produced --

MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, I would object. Misstates the testimony.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: You have me making speculations, but this document has been produced on May 13 of 2001. Piracy is escalating daily on a hack system. So if I'm telling them on February 7th of 2001 -- what's this, four months earlier -- I think a hundred thousand, it would have been possibly more by then. So I would guess that NDS would have said, "Well, maybe we think Mike tends to exaggerate a little bit; he's guessing, but maybe now it is a hundred thousand."

I don't know how they've come to this. And you're asking me to insinuate that this document is being supported by my previous e-mail, but $I$ don't have any correlation to it, except that hundred-thousand-dollar -- or hundred
thousand -- number.

BY MR. HAGAN:
Q. So if I understand your testimony correctly, Mr. Tarnovsky, the e-mail that you sent out estimating a hundred thousand -- that was in February of '01, correct?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. And the document we looked at, 1270, was four months later, in May of '01, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And according to your testimony, you believe that because piracy increases and spreads, that that number would have naturally increased between February and May of 2001, correct?
A. I believe so. In addition to this, a hundred thousand -- it's clearly saying "nonpaying." It doesn't mean they're using a hundred thousand hacked EchoStar original access cards. They may have been using after-market recycled DirecTV pirate technology such as battery cards.
Q. Let's talk again about the December 21st posting, the hack methodology under NipperClause. At your deposition, you testified that that was a significant event in EchoStar piracy, correct?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Okay. And can you explain to the ladies and gentlemen
of the jury why that particular posting, December 2000 , was a significant event in EchoStar piracy?
A. Previous to the day that this snippet of code had been posted on the Internet, people relied on dealers around Canada to sell them an original access card that had been enabled to receive all the programming that they offer. Once this packet was placed on the Internet, it opened up the possibility of anyone in their home who had a computer and interface to insert -- to connect the access card to the PC -- for them to make their own access card to watch television for free.
Q. Now, when you say that based on your experience and your observations of the pirate websites, the number from a hundred thousand in February to whatever it is in May would have increased, what number would that have increased to, do you think?
A. I'm not an expert, so I'm speculating, Your Honor.

However, understand I -- you're talking about
legitimate cards that you're losing or something, and I'm talking about people making their own hybrid technology as well as using your original access cards.

I have no clue, but it does not calculate that you would have been at, you know, 500,000 stolen original access cards are now being used as pirate devices. It may be 100,000 original access cards and 100,000 after-market
devices that have been running. I don't know. I don't know the answer to that.
Q. And you understood that the significance of that NipperClause posting, that hack recipe, disclosing that to all of the pirate public, that was significant because that meant people could break away from the dealers, and they could create their own pirate cards, correct?
A. What that meant was EchoStar's gonna start selling a lot of receivers, yes, as well, 'cause to get the card you need to buy the receiver.
Q. And if we look back at Exhibit 41, your e-mail that you sent February 2001, a month and a half after the Nipper post, you state in that e-mail, "The ROM was where their hole was located of which they can never shut down. They can only swap out." You were talking about a card swap, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it was your position as of the date you wrote this e-mail that as a result of this Nipper posting, disclosing that hole to the pirate community, EchoStar was forced to do a card swap, correct?
A. That was originally my -- my thoughts.
Q. I know your thoughts are probably different today, Mr. Tarnovsky. I'm talking about February of 2001 when you sent this e-mail.
A. On this particular day, that was what $I$ thought, yes.
Q. Now, you understand the allegations central to this lawsuit, correct, Mr. Tarnovsky?
A. (No audible response.)
Q. You understand what the lawsuit's about, right, sir?
A. To be quite honest with you, there's been so many amended complaints $I$ don't know what's stayed in the lawsuit and what's been dismissed, so $I$ honestly don't know what your claims are today.
Q. Well, let's talk about what you knew in your deposition.

In your deposition, you understood that the claims in this lawsuit were -- was that NDS reverse-engineered and hacked EchoStar's security system and that you participated in distributing pirated access cards with Mr. Menard and others and then posted that hack methodology on Mr. Menard's website. You understood that last year, correct?
A. I understood that you were accusing me of making the post, yes.
Q. And we went through a little bit earlier this morning the distribution network, and I understand that you believe it's a setup. But as you understood the allegations, it was you and Al Menard, correct?
A. I -- I believe that's correct.
Q. And NDS knew of your relationship with Mr. Menard when
you started working for them; isn't that right, sir?
A. Yes.
Q. And they knew that even in 1998 Mr . Menard was using Biggun Version 1, which is a pirate card that you had developed, correct?
A. So -- I remember something briefly about -- like this.
Q. But Mr. Menard wasn't an employee of NDS at that time; was he?
A. No.
Q. Mr. Menard was running the pirate website dr7.com up in Canada, correct?
A. I believe that's correct.
Q. And then in 2002, EchoStar moved to intervene in the "Canal" lawsuit, and at that time Mr. Menard was not an employee of $N D S$, was he?
A. I don't know when he became an employee.
Q. Would it surprise you to know, Mr. Tarnovsky, that

Mr. Menard was not hired by NDS as a consultant until after

EchoStar moved to intervene in that Canal+ lawsuit?
A. I don't understand the question.
Q. Let me ask it a little different way. Allegations come out from the federal government's investigation, the DirecTV lawsuit, the Canal+ lawsuit, the EchoStar lawsuit, that you and Mr. Menard were operating a distribution network of reprogrammed cards and posting information on the Internet
on dr7.

And instead of running from Mr. Menard, your boss, defendants, went out and hired him. And they paid him nearly $\$ 400,000$ to surf the Internet. Now, do you believe that Mr. Menard had some type of unique Internet-surfing skills, or do you believe that he was hired as a result of these lawsuits to make sure he was on the right team?
A. I believe the first statement that you said.

Mr. Menard's employment began well after your own client approached him and tried to hire him.
Q. So you believe that he was paid nearly $\$ 400,000$ because he had unique Internet-surfing skills?
A. Yes. And to elaborate, it was over a few years this amount of money that you're talking about, not a year as you perceive -- as you make it seem.
Q. Now, you -- last year, EchoStar subpoenaed you to take your deposition, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you understood at that time that you were gonna take the oath that you took today and swear to tell the truth and answer all of EchoStar's questioning, correct? A. Yes.
Q. And that deposition, the first one, was conducted on April 3rd, 2007, correct?
A. It sounds correct.
Q. Three days before that, the defendants terminated your employment relationship, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. On that same day, they also terminated their
consultancy relationship with Al Menard, correct?
A. I believe -- I don't have firsthand knowledge that it's correct, but I believe it is correct.
Q. Well, let's be fair Mr. Tarnovsky. You testified in your previous deposition that you called Mr. Menard on the phone after you were terminated, and he told you that his employment agreement or consultancy relationship was also terminated; isn't that correct?
A. I don't recall what $I$ stated, but it sounds correct. I'm not disagreeing with you. I'm just not sure when $I$ learned things.
Q. So the defendants stood by you during the federal investigation. They stood by you during the DirecTV lawsuit. They stood by you and Mr. Menard during the Canal+ lawsuit, and they stayed by you and Mr. Menard during the entire pendency, from 2002 until last year, in the EchoStar lawsuit.

And 72 hours before you had to take the stand and testify, they severed ties, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And I asked you about that in your deposition, and you
said you couldn't really recall much about the meeting where they terminated you, even though it was three days prior. Do you remember that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And I asked you who terminated your employment
relationship. Do you remember what you told me?
A. I don't remember my exact words, but more or less.
Q. Let me ask you again. Who terminated your employment relationship 72 hours before your deposition?
A. Mr. Darin Snyder and Mr. Richard Stone.
Q. The attorneys, the trial attorneys for the defendants?
A. Yes.
Q. Terminated you 72 hours before you took the stand?
A. Yes.
Q. And you recall the reason that they gave you for that termination, correct?
A. I've since learned, yes.
Q. Now, you testified that what they told you was that based on the evidence that was produced in the case, "things couldn't be explained"; is that correct?
A. It sounds -- I won't disagree.
Q. And later you learned that the reason that things couldn't be explained from the trial attorneys' perspective is that those cash shipments intercepted in Texas were linked by fingerprint analysis to an associate of

Mr. Menard, correct?
A. Yes.

MR. HAGAN: Pass the witness at this time,

Your Honor.

THE COURT: This would be cross-examination.

Mr. Klein.

MR. KLEIN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Counsel -- Mr. Klein, Mr. Hagan, and Mr. Snyder, at some point do you want me to explain to the jury -- strike that.

We'll talk about that at the recess.

Let's move on.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. KLEIN:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Tarnovsky.
A. Good afternoon, sir.
Q. I'd like to start and let the jury know a little about yourself. How old are you?
A. I'm 37 years old.
Q. Are you married?
A. I am married with two children.
Q. How old are they?
A. That's a good question. Eleven -- 1996, '92 -- 11 and

15 and a half.
Q. Did you graduate from high school?
A. Yes.
Q. What year?
A. 1989.
Q. Did you ever attend college?
A. I attended a year of University of Maryland.
Q. When was that?
A. During 1990ish to 1996, periodically.
Q. So back when you graduated high school, you didn't attend college then?
A. No, sir.
Q. When you graduated from high school, what did you do next?
A. I enlisted in the military in the 11th grade.
Q. And then when you actually got your high school diploma, did you go into the Army?
A. Yes.
Q. Why did you enlist in the Army?
A. I was very much into computers and electronics since probably age 8, maybe even earlier than that, 1978, 1977, and I had been into electronics for several years growing up with my father. And I have always been very hyperactive and unable to focus on things that I didn't like, and I wasn't a big fan of my studies unless it was computer-oriented of some kind or electronics.

And so I had the opportunity to join the Army and be --
do basically computer repair. And I liked the idea, so it was a good move forward, get me out from growing up with my parents and getting off on my own.
Q. I want you to do your best to try to keep it slow enough so the reporter can get what you're saying. Okay?

Now, during what years did you serve in the

United States Army?
A. September 5th of 1989 until July 4 th of 1996.
Q. Now, when you first went into the Army, had you ever been involved in satellite TV piracy?
A. No, never.
Q. As of the time you enlisted in the army, had you ever been arrested?
A. No, sir.
Q. When you joined the Army, what was your area of specialization?
A. Cryptographic computer repair, cryptographic links. Basically cryptographic machines of some kind. Very high tech Air Force-type computers that the Army would use.
Q. While you were in the Army, did you have a security clearance?
A. Yes.
Q. And what type of clearance did you have?
A. I had a top secret SCI, Special Compartmented Information.
Q. Now, when you originally enlisted in the Army, how many years was that enlistment for?
A. For four.
Q. Four years?
A. Yes.
Q. And did there come a time when you re-enlisted?
A. Yes.
Q. And when was that?
A. I was in Fort Dietrich, Maryland, and I re-enlisted to go back to Europe because my wife only poke French, and we wanted to return to Europe to make it easier for her.
Q. Did you get married while you were in the Army?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, when you were in the Army, did there come a time when you served in Germany?
A. Yes.
Q. When was that?
A. After I re-enlisted, they told me I could go back to Europe. And when I got back to Europe, when I return to Europe to find out where $I$ would $g o$, they put me into the Third Infantry Division out of Vilseck, Germany, V-I-L-S-E-C-K.
Q. When was that, approximately?
A. That would have been in late 1993.
Q. Now, when you were stationed in Germany, what jobs were
you performing?
A. I got to Germany, and I didn't do anything that I had done before. I was working on very, very old radios. And of some -- some few cryptographic pieces of link equipment that were not even related at all to what $I$ had been trained for previously.
Q. And did there come a time when you got assigned another job?
A. Yes. Because they didn't need me doing this. I was just a statistic on the roster. They needed my number -- my job position number filled. I became the battalion commander's driver.
Q. What was the rank of the battalion commander?
A. 0-5.
Q. What was his rank?
A. $0-5$, Colonel.
Q. Colonel?
A. Colonel, yes.
Q. When you say you became his driver, what was your job?
A. I became basically his best friend. I drove him everywhere he wanted to go. I learned how to evasively drive. I was his driver. I would make sure the vehicle was ready for him at all times. It was very nice.
Q. Now, did there come a time when you were in Germany when you purchased a satellite system?
A. Yes.
Q. And approximately when did that occur?
A. Late 1994.
Q. How did it come about that you purchased a satellite system in Germany?
A. My colonel was leaving -- Colonel Thornsvard was leaving to go to his next assignment, and he had a system in his house that he was using, and it was of no more use to him, and he offered to sell it to me.
Q. And did you purchase the colonel's satellite system?
A. Yes.
Q. How much did you pay for it?
A. I'm not sure, but $I$ think it was either $\$ 125$ or $\$ 175$.
Q. What is a pic card, P-I-C?
A. The pic card was an after-market device used to, as Mr. Hagan put it, steal services from BSkyB digital out of the UK, the United Kingdom, that the -- everyone would use that didn't live in the UK. And it used a microchip pic microprocessor to do the mathematical algorithms.
Q. Now, when you came in possession of the satellite system, did you also come into possession of a pic card?
A. Yes.
Q. And how did that come about?
A. (No audible response.)
Q. Who gave you the pic card?
A. The colonel gave me a box, and in the box was, $I$ believe, two receivers and two of these cards. And he told me the cards didn't work and they were garbage, but he wasn't very -- he wasn't technical. So -- I don't throw anything away. So I kept them.
Q. And did you attempt to fix the two pic cards that were in the box that the colonel gave you?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you have to do to fix 'em?
A. I looked on the Internet. I started searching, and I found articles in the Stars and Stripes that were advertising codes and Smart Card -- after-market Smart Card technology to get BSkyB in Europe. And I did a little more fiddling and found some local Internet -- well, they weren't Internet websites, but it was the Internet. It wasn't the WWW Internet; it was TELNET -- FTP'ing, if anybody knows what that is.

And so I found some files that worked on these types of files that were up to date, and I made a program to program the cards. And it fixed them.
Q. Now, before you went on the Internet and did the things you just said to fix those pic cards, had you ever gone on the Internet before for anything having to do with satellite piracy?
A. No.
Q. What is Stars and Stripes?
A. It's sponsored by the U.S. military, and it's a magazine that's provided to all the soldiers worldwide, basically.
Q. And what did you find in the Stars and Stripes that helped in dealing with the two pic cards that didn't work?
A. I Found local numbers to people around post, other enlisted or officers that were selling the technology, moonlighting at night. So make a phone call to them, and one of them told me to hook up with a person in another town near me, and I called that person, and that person gave me some free information. And just a little bit of research, and before $I$ knew it, $I$ was able to be find these Internet sites.
Q. Now, once you repaired the pic cards, did you watch satellite TV programs for free?
A. Yes.
Q. Eventually, did you move to another type of card rather than the pic card?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that?
A. I moved to a card they called the battery card, or BPSC, the battery-powered Smart Card.
Q. Why did you switch to the battery card?
A. The battery card was a more powerful type of processor.

It had a keypad on the back side of it, and the keypad would allow me to either call local dealers around posts, and their answering machines would tell me the current codes to plug in. Or $I$ could look in the Stars and Stripes, and if I remember correctly, every Thursday the classified ads -- the ads of the classified section would update, and the latest codes for this card would be in there as well.

So the battery-powered Smart Card was -- it also offered more channels.
Q. Now, at this time, did you have an understanding as to whether it was legal for you to use these pic cards or these battery cards in Germany that were providing you free access to satellite television programs?
A. Yes.
Q. What was your understanding?
A. My understanding was that it was legal because the signal was transmitted into England, into the UK, and it was only able to be purchased in the United Kingdom. You were not able to buy a subscription in Germany, for example, but yet the signal would be beamed into the territory.

The Stars and Stripes was advertising this. The people that would sell you the card were military members. And their -- the only reason -- the only reason $I$ ever heard of anybody having any type of trouble was because they were selling these cards without paying taxes on the sales.
Q. Now, were satellite-related products being advertised in the Stars and Stripes?
A. Oh, yes. Very much.
Q. Were pic cards advertised?
A. Everything related to hacking BSkyB, the analog system, the period 9, period 7, into the period 10 was advertised in the Stars and Stripes across Europe.
Q. Now, were the programs that you were receiving for free via satellite when you were in Germany -- were those programs being beamed to England?
A. They were beamed to England, but the problem with satellite signals back then was the beam would kind of overshoot its target, and it would spread into Germany as well as Belgium, France, Spain, so pretty much all of Europe could get England's signal.
Q. But they were intended for England?
A. That's correct.
Q. Why would you want to pick up television programs shown in England?
A. As a service member in Europe, you have either local programming in German or French or Dutch, wherever you might be. The one channel they call the AFN, Armed Forces

Network. AFN is okay, but it's one channel, and there's not a lot of variety to it.

So here we had the opportunity to have American shows,
several channels of American shows, MTV, CNN, all these great channels that I missed from being back home in my home in Europe. It was spectacular, to -- I don't know a better word.
Q. And based on your observations, your conversations with other American soldiers in Germany, was it uncommon for American soldiers at the time you were there to be watching American shows beamed to England without paying as you were doing?
A. It was very common. In my townhouse complex of four units, I remember that three of us had satellite dishes on the sides of the townhouse.
Q. Now, we've heard the term "electronic countermeasure." When you were serving in Germany and watching TV being beamed to England for free, were there times when ECMs, electronic countermeasures, would prevent your battery card from working?
A. That happened a lot. I think probably once a week that would happen.
Q. And when it would happen, where would you go to get the codes to make those cards work again?
A. I would look on the Internet and find a new file to download once I found these sites. Or I could call the answering machine of the local dealers, and typically the answering machine would say, "We'll have the code shortly,"
or they'd give you the latest code to plug into your battery-powered Smart Card.
Q. Did the Stars and Stripes provide those cards?
A. Yes, but the problem is the classifieds were only updated once a week. So if they hit you on Monday, you had to wait until Thursday to fix your card.
Q. If you waited until Thursday, you could get it in the classifieds in the Stars and Stripes?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, we heard testimony from you about the tv.crypt mailing list. Is that a group that you joined at some point?
A. I was invited by Dr. Marcus Kuhn from Cambridge University.
Q. And what was the tv.crypt mailing list?
A. As I previously testified, the tv.crypt mailing list was basically a mass e-mail that would come out from a bunch of people that were all PhDs, scholars going for their masters or their doctorates or they were just in college. Jan Saggiori was just a college student at the time. And if you showed some type of intellectual interest in Smart Card technology, you would be possibly invited or accepted to join the list.
Q. Were you invited to join?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, when you were in Europe, did you begin communicating through the Internet, the tv.crypt list, with others who were interested in decrypting satellite signals?
A. Yes.
Q. What else did you do, if anything, while you were in Germany to further your knowledge with respect to obtaining satellite programs for free?

Did you read articles?
A. Yes. I read a lot of articles.
Q. Did there come a time -- I -- you said you left the Army. Was that in 1996?
A. Yes. July 4th.
Q. Why did you leave?
A. The new colonel came into post, and he was more of a technical guy than Colonel Thornsvard had been. And when you drive your colonel or any officer, you become basically -- you and him or the person becomes very close, very tight. I would always make a joke that I was highest ranking enlisted member in the battalion because no one would touch me because of the colonel.

And the new colonel would always make comments that I was too smart and shouldn't be in the military and take President Clinton's advice and get out early on what they call an "early out" or a "window" and consider civilian life.

And I took him up on that offer, you know, as time went by, so...
Q. Did you receive an honorable discharge?
A. Yes.
Q. And when you were discharged, what was your rank?
A. E-5.
Q. And E-5 is a sergeant?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Now, how long was it after you left the Army in 1996 before you obtained employment?
A. I was employed the day I left. I basically interviewed over the telephone with a company out of Boston, Massachusetts while $I$ was still enlisted and kind of transitioned out of the military right into Boston, Massachusetts.
Q. What was the name of that company?
A. Ulvac Technologies, U-L-V-A-C.
Q. What was their business?
A. They believed that they were to the ultimate in vacuum. Thus the name. And they made basically anything to do with making a semiconductor. So they would make ion implanters, sputters -- they sputter metals and things onto the waivers when they're building a chip, mini chips. And I was an entry-level software engineer for them.
Q. Very briefly, what's a semiconductor?
A. Semiconductor is a circuit made out of either complimentary MOS or $P$-type MOS or $N$-type MOS, depending on the era. Today most of the semiconductors are made out of CMOS technology, and they can do any number of functions, whatever you'd like.
Q. TV cell phones, microwaves, and cards -- do they all have semiconductors?
A. Yes.
Q. And now, when you returned to the United States and you worked for this company as a software engineer, did you stop trying to obtain satellite $T V$ programs for free?
A. No, that -- no, I didn't.
Q. Why not?
A. As $I$ was leaving, there was a lot of talk on the tv.crypt list about DirecTV in North America having the same access card as Sky. 9 had. There were several articles written up about it. I had heard about the raid with Mr. Ereiser's group where the RCMP raided them. A lot of this was being publicized in Europe. So my interest spread, carried over with me from Europe.
Q. Okay. When you returned to the United States, what -to the extent that you were still trying to obtain satellite TV for free, was this from DirecTV?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Were you successful in hacking DirecTV when you
returned to the United States?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you the first one to hack DirecTV when you
returned to the United States?
A. No.
Q. It was already being hacked?
A. It was. In fact, when $I$ was coming over, Jan Saggiori was the one that sent me the first actual images of the DirecTV period 1 EEPROM. So I actually was already armed with some information on DirecTV's chips from Mr. Saggiori before even arriving in the United States.
Q. Now, did there come a time after you left the Army -by the way, what state were you working in at that time?
A. I was working in Massachusetts.
Q. Okay. So did there come a time when you left the Army, you took this job as a software engineer -- did there come a time you received a communication from Mr. Ron Ereiser?
A. Yes.
Q. When was that?
A. I believe August -- August of 1996. I believe that's correct.
Q. Okay. And how did that come about? How did it come about that you were now communicating with Mr. Ron Ereiser?
A. There was a person named Carl Gamble on the tv.crypt list who contacted me from the list and asked me -- he
basically mentioned something about some Canadians, some person from Canada e-mailed him somehow -- I'm not sure -somehow Carl Gamble put me in contact with Ron Ereiser and asked if Ron could call me.
Q. And did you know who Ron Ereiser was before this phone call?
A. I had never heard of him except the article on the raids, but $I$ didn't -- I don't recall seeing his name on the raids, but he would explain to me that he, you know, he would boast himself to me explaining who he was exactly when I would meet him.
Q. So at some point you received a phone call from Ron Ereiser?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, did there come a time after you received that phone call where Mr. Ereiser offered you money to do something for him?
A. Yes.
Q. What did he want you to do?
A. Mr. Ereiser was throwing the world at me, asking me to please fix the battery cards for DirecTV North America because they had been taken out with an electronic countermeasure, an ECM, as well as being simultaneously raided. And he explained that their current -- their previous engineer that had cracked the DirecTV conditional
access system, Norman Dick, had called it quits because of the lawsuit, the litigation filed by NDS and DirecTV, something to this effect.
Q. Okay. Try to keep this slow. Don't get too fast. We don't want to wear the reporter out.

What did Mr. Ereiser offer you to do this job?
A. The first time we spoke on the phone, he asked me if I could do it, and I said yes, I can. Then he said, what do I need.

And I told him that really I could use a receiver and a dual output LNB, which is the head that goes on the satellite dish.

Mr. Ereiser Saturday delivered to me via FedEx an LNB head for my satellite dish.
Q. Did he offer to pay you any money?
A. Later. He would then offer me $\$ 20,000$ to fix the system.
Q. And by "the system," to fix the battery cards?
A. Yes.
Q. And were you able to fix the battery cards?
A. Yes.
Q. And in addition to fixing the battery cards, did you do any additional work at that time to hack the DirecTV system after you figured out how to fix the battery cards?
A. Well, when $I$ figured out how to fix the card, when $I$
understood what they did, I then tried to improvise and make it more -- not so prone to falling down or to being attacked by this electronic countermeasure. And so I would study the system to learn it better than the engineers that designed it knew it.
Q. Now, when you say you studied it to know it better than the engineers that had created it, worked on it, at this time you still had a high school degree?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any understanding or explanation as to how somebody with a high school degree could accomplish what you were able to accomplish and discuss this with -- and be able to compete with engineers who went to college and graduate school?
A. Well, one of them is motivation. And the other, I believe, is having ADHD. That helps. So I'm very persistent and, of course, I know I'm self-taught on. Q. Now, during the time that you were doing this work for Mr. Ereiser, fixing the battery cards, working on the ECMs, were you still employed?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Now, when you left the Army, was there ever a time when your sole source of income was just from pirate-related activity?
A. No. I've always had a main -- a main job.
Q. What period of time did you actually do this work for Mr. Ereiser that you've been talking about?
A. I would -- rounding the months, I would say from September, the 1st of September until the day the card -card's life ended, I believe July 1st of 1997.
Q. So we're talking about September, 1996, through about July of 1997. Is that about it?
A. To the best of my knowledge, that would be correct. I don't know the exact date that the card was swapped out for the second generation access card.
Q. And did there come a time when you were offered a job by NDS?
A. Yes.
Q. When was that?
A. Sometime after February of that year.

THE COURT: Was that 1997?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. At some point in
time I would meet -- I would meet a gentleman in NDS,

Roni Segoly, and --

BY MR. KLEIN:
Q. How did you meet him?
A. I'm sorry. I met Mr. Segoly through -- previously on the tv.crypt list. I met Mr. Perry Smith, who was not the C.T.O. or the chief technical officer of NDS in Israel, but he was pretty much the one that went under that person for
developing the Smart Card chips. He had been a very long-time employee of NDS, and he also happened to be on the tv.crypt list. And the list knew he was from NDS, or NDC at the time.

When I had gotten the image of the EEPROM, or "E-square" we call it sometimes, I -- from Mr. Saggiori I actually e-mailed Perry Smith a copy of this.
Q. And this is a DirecTV NDS chip we're talking about?
A. Yes, so I'm mixing up my facts. So that means that
when I was in Boston, Massachusetts in a hotel, at a

Residence Inn, waiting to move into my house, I sent
Mr. Smith a dump of their technology, and he then introduced me via e-mail to Roni Segoly.
Q. Okay. Let's slow down.

You sent Mr. Smith, and Mr. Smith worked for NDS?
A. Yes.
Q. And you sent him, you said, a dump of their technology. Are you saying it was a dump of the NDS technology?
A. It was, yes, it was exactly what Jan Saggiori had
e-mailed me. I then basically sent a new e-mail to

Mr. Smith and said maybe this helps you guys for combating your piracy in the United States.
Q. Why did you do that?
A. I don't know really. I always kind of hoped maybe to work with NDS, but it seemed like something that would never
happen. I remember seeing on the television one time the head of security for Canal+ in France on some news show, and they showed his laboratory of Smart Card pirate devices all over the table, and I just thought that would be very, very cool to be that guy some day.
Q. Now, at the time that you were communicating with NDS, did Mr. Ereiser make you some kind of an offer with respect to working for him?
A. Mr. Ereiser made a lot of offers that he never fulfilled on, but one of them, yes, he did make a substantial offer to me.
Q. And what was the offer Mr. Ereiser made to you?
A. He threw out something -- the DirecTV period 2 chip was being mailed out to subscribing customers. Mr. Ereiser -- I had already met with him on two occasions, met with his other colleagues on an occasion. We all got along good.
Q. What time period are we talking about here?
A. April of 1997, I believe.
Q. Okay. Please continue.
A. Okay. So basically the card swap's coming, and they're basically saying, "Hey, why don't you move down to

Grand Cayman? We'll give you a million dollars, you hack the DirecTV period 2 chip, you support it from within the Grand Caymans. Everything will be great. You can live on an island. Blah, blah, blah. Does that answer your
question?
Q. Yes. Now, so you've got the living in the

Grand Caymans, the million dollars on one side -- how much did NDS offer you -- withdrawn.

Did NDS at some point offer you some money?
A. Yes, they did.
Q. How much did they offer you to work for them?
A. They basically offered me $\$ 65,000$ a year, $\$ 14,000$ moving expenses, and a $\$ 10,000$ loan that could be forgiven over two years.
Q. And they wanted you -- the moving expenses were for you to move from where you were to California?
A. Yes.
Q. So you had the Cayman Islands and a million-dollar offer on one hand. You had the NDS offer of 65,000, moving expenses, a loan that would be forgiven on the other hand. You picked NDS?
A. Yes.
Q. Why?
A. Well, I've always been worried, concerned about providing for my family and my kids. Even getting out, it was very scary. That's why I -- simultaneously I lined up to kind of exit through the transition right in to work, never losing a paycheck, so to speak.

So family is always a concern. The Cayman Islands is
great for vacation. It smells a little bit. But it's very expensive. And I think it would have been -- I didn't see a long future. I saw room for growth with NDS, though, and California had palm trees. It's very elegant, and it was very nice. It was very nice. I don't know how else to explain it.

So basically with Mr. Ereiser, I had asked him, oh, I need an oscilloscope one time. Oh, you got to buy that with your own money. Wait a second. You're telling me you're going to pay me all this money, but yet you won't front me a \$2,000-dollar oscilloscope?

There were too many broken promises. Family issues, worry that NDS would track down -- even though it's not illegal in the Grand Caymans for me to do it, I'm still a U.S. citizen. And there's still the worry of maybe Mr. Norris or whoever -- 'cause I had heard about him by then, of course -- would have customs bugging me every time I come through the border to come up to see my family.

So I wanted to -- I just wanted to have stable life, make, you know, decent -- you know, provide for my family.

I knew there was room for growth, and it was very exciting what was being offered from NDS -- much more than Grand Cayman would have been.
Q. And at the time you thought working in the Grand Caymans -- you weren't breaking the law to do that?
A. I didn't think it would be breaking the law, but today I believe that as a U.S. citizen I might have been able to have been -- you know, it might have been breaking the law because I'm a citizen doing it, even though it's out of the country. I don't know. It was just seemed too sketchy. It didn't seem like there was security for myself and my family.
Q. Now, when you began working for NDS, was that approximately July of 1997?
A. July 1st.
Q. Did any of your acquaintances in the hacker community know you were now working for NDS?
A. No one was to know. And I was polygraphed on this question.
Q. How many years did you work for NDS?
A. Just shy of 10 years.
Q. And what were your job duties when you went to work for NDS?
A. My original job duties, to the best of my knowledge as I remember, is to mingle in these chat rooms in the beginning and surf the Internet websites and returning information that seems relevant and pertinent as well as analyzing the DirecTV period 2 access card software to find bugs or holes in the technology before the hackers found them. And to sum that up, I found more holes in that card
than $I$ think they ever expected, and my role would shift very quickly to become more technical than spy.
Q. You did also have this undercover role?
A. That was part of the spy role.
Q. And what was that role?
A. I don't understand the question.
Q. What did you do in that undercover role? What in general were you supposed to do as an undercover agent?
A. I kept the fictitious mailbox -- I'm sorry -- the fictitious aliases. Of course, you never mingled Chris Tarnovsky or biggun or Von, or any sense of Chris and Von were never put together in anything at all, and Von was never used for anything outside of piracy. But my Von e-mail was actually used, so $I$ shouldn't -- it's not really accurate. But Chris and Von were never together.

And I needed to basically not let the pirates have any idea that $I$ was living in California. The pirates knew who I was. They knew I lived in New Hampshire.

And so my father lived in Virginia, and so thus the setup of the mailbox in Virginia, the local telephone number that would be forwarded to California, as well as a cell phone number in Virginia.
Q. Let's slow down a little bit.

Why -- what was your understanding as to why the outside world couldn't know that you had actually moved to

California? Why was that?
A. Because the only reason why $I$ would move to California would be because I was working for NDS. The -- from a pirate's mind -- a pirate's mind is very small as to where people are located in places. So if I tell them, "Oh, I'm going to move from New Hampshire to California," the first thing they're going to ask is, "Who are you going to work for?" I don't have a good answer. The easiest thing for me to say and makes more sense is I'm moving to Virginia, my dad's down there, there's a semiconductor fab company down there. Leaving Ulvac, going to go to work for Dominion Semiconductor, for example.

And that kind of sets the story, where California would have been in a much bigger and larger-scale problem to control.

I think the only way California could have ever worked would have been if $I$ really did go to work for, say, the Wonder Wear Corporation out of Irvine and actually called one of the pirates --
Q. You're speeding up again. Slow down.
A. Okay. I'm a little nervous.

So, I mean, if I worked for a real company and I called them on an unblocked -- non-caller-blocked line in Irvine, that probably could have worked out. Then they'd see Wonder Wear Corporation. But didn't know how I was
going to do that. So just seemed most sensible, your dad is in Virginia. Set yourself up to be in Virginia.
Q. Okay. So if $I$ understand it, when you began working for NDS, you have physically moved yourself and your family to California. Right so far?
A. Yes.
Q. But your pirate persona, as far as the pirate world knew, you were living in Virginia?
A. Yes.
Q. And you had -- and in order to accomplish that, you had a mailbox in Virginia?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And when mail came to the box in Virginia, what would happen to it?
A. The mail owner was instructed to overnight the -whatever comes in to me in California at my home address. Q. Okay. And this arrangement where you lived in California and had a mailbox in Virginia and the mail was forwarded to California, was that arrangement all known to NDS?
A. It was -- it was -- I don't know to the extent it was known to NDS.
Q. Was it known to John Norris?
A. John understood that I had a mailbox and phone number in Virginia, yes.
Q. And John Norris didn't have a problem with that?
A. No.
Q. Now, it was mentioned during your direct examination by counsel that at some point you were on the payroll of a company called Harper Collins?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have an understanding as to why you were on the Harper Collins payroll if you were working for NDS?
A. Yes.
Q. What's your understanding?
A. From day one of my employment with NDS, I was always payrolled through another corporation. It began with Kesme Corporation, $K-E-S-M-E$, out of Virginia. Then something happened. I don't know what happened. We switched to Paychex. And then at some point in time it went to Harper Collins.
Q. And why was that done?
A. In case a company such as this ICG pulled a credit report on me through an illicit means, obviously, they couldn't see that my employment was coming from NDS.
Q. And why didn't NDS and you want somebody to be able to track down the fact that you were working for NDS?
A. 'Cause Canadian -- the Canadians might kill me, who knows. My family, you never know. This is a big, big business in Canada.
Q. Sorry. Are you saying that the reason you were paid through other companies was for your own safety?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you have -- during the years that you worked for NDS in this undercover capacity, did you have concerns for your own safety and the safety of your family?
A. On one occasion, one or two occasions, yes.
Q. We'll get into that more a little later.

Now, while you were working for NDS, was it ever your job to analyze EchoStar's conditional access system?
A. No. I've never been tasked with that job duty.
Q. Now, was it your job to monitor the Internet with respect to satellite piracy?
A. My job was to monitor -- was -- my primary focus was DirecTV's piracy. Anything related to an NDS product. However, I would read anything related to any type of technology of a Smart Card or of some type of system, Canal+, EchoStar. I would follow threads on the Internet and such. But this is not something that $I$ would
necessarily -- I wouldn't report it to NDS unless it was something that $I$ felt was kind of significant, such as the posting in the cat's out of the bag thing.
Q. You said you would report something that was
significant or insignificant?
A. Significance of a competitor.
Q. But your actual job was to monitor postings relating to what?
A. To NDS technology.
Q. Now, while you were monitoring the Web and working for NDS, was information from time to time on the Web with respect to EchoStar's conditional access system?
A. I don't understand the question.
Q. Okay. While you were monitoring the Web as an employee of NDS, you were looking for information relating to DirecTV and NDS, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And did there come times when you saw information relating to EchoStar system?
A. Yes. It's commingled on these websites, so you have a mixture of everything in one -- in one basket.
Q. Now, when you were on NDS's payroll, did there come -were there times when you'd be on the Web in -- on what we've called pirate websites' bulletin boards?
A. Yes.
Q. And from time to time, would you, in your pirate persona, communicate with satellite pirates?
A. I needed to, or else I'd become a person that no longer received not-so-public information from individuals, so, yes.
Q. Okay. So when you communicated with satellite pirates
on these pirate bulletin boards, it was your task to try to appear to talk like a pirate?
A. At times, yes. Whatever it took basically to fit in with them to make them trust me. Everybody trusted me until 2001 when my cover was blown.
Q. And how was your cover blown?
A. Nagra leaked the lawsuit, as far as I understand, to pirates up in Canada that was sealed.
Q. Did there come a time when you worked for NDS that you had an assignment -- and I think actually you spoke about this a little bit before -- where you went to Canada to meet with Mr. Ereiser and some people from his organization?
A. Yes.
Q. And when you went to meet with Mr. Ereiser, briefly, what was the purpose of that?
A. Mr. Ereiser's group had successfully extracted the contents of the DirecTV period 2 access card, which was the second generation of the key -- like a lock, of the lock to secure the doors. They had successfully compromised this card, so they said. They were arranging a meeting, and they asked me to come up.
Q. And this was -- again, we're talking about NDS card, DirecTV?
A. DirecTV period 2, October, I believe, of 1997.
Q. Now, I think you've already testified that as a result
of that meeting, approximately $\$ 20,000$ was paid to you?
A. Yes.
Q. During the course of that meeting, did anything happen that caused you to fear for your life?
A. One of Mr. Ereiser's associates, David Truthwait (phonetic), aka "D," who is a Hell's Angels affiliate, owns a biker bar somewhere in mid-Canada, he questioned me alone on why -- it might have been with Mr. Ereiser in the room -on why I flew through Dallas, Texas, to get up to Calgary where they were.
Q. Did you, in fact, fly through Dallas, Texas?
A. I did, yes.
Q. Okay. And was the reason you flew through Dallas because you lived in Southern California?
A. Yes.
Q. And then the stop-off was Dallas, and then it went to Canada?
A. Yes.
Q. And was he suspicious as to why, if you lived in

Virginia, you would be going through Dallas?
A. Very.
Q. And were you a bit concerned at that time?
A. He threatened to break my legs or my knees or something, some part of my lower body, if he ever found out that I was a narc.
Q. You said a narc?
A. An informant.
Q. And did you manage to get out of that situation without your knees being broken?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you think he was capable of making good on those promises?
A. Absolutely. Other individuals in Canada that dealt in this piracy issue, the dealers, had their legs broken and beaten up. There's been some bad stuff going on up there.
Q. Did you accomplish your mission despite the threat?
A. I did.
Q. What was your mission?
A. My mission was to go up there, find out what they knew exactly, what type of a threat are they to NDS.
Q. What did you find out?
A. I found out that they did, in fact, crack the chip, they did extract the contents of the device, and I returned with a copy of the memory locations of the device.
Q. Now, there was also some testimony about you at some point in time providing a device or some software to Mr. Ereiser and the use of what was called a dongle. Do you remember that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. What's a dongle?
A. To clarify Mr. Hagan's putting them together earlier, a dongle is a security token that you stick on the back of a computer such as a laptop or PC. And what this token does is, it's a security layer that my software that ran on the PC couldn't be copied and just resold to other dealers, which is Mr. Ereiser's prior history: to take a piece of software and sell it again and again and again to get his money back. So the dongle basically limited -- kept NDS in control of how many access cards could he reproduce to appear legitimate as well as making sure that he couldn't just copy the software. So it was a protective device. Q. So you gave the software to Mr. Ereiser that he requested, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And this was part of an NDS operation?
A. With DirecTV's approval, yes.
Q. And if $I$ understand, by using the dongle, you could avoid Mr. Ereiser taking that software and reproducing it and giving it to other pirates?
A. That is correct.
Q. Was there also any kind of provision made with respect to an ECM with -- concerning that software you gave Mr. Ereiser?
A. When I designed the software to go into the access card technology, $I$ also designed the countermeasure that would
take out the software. All of this was gone over on one of these technology meetings in Jerusalem with the engineers that would have designed the chip -- this card's software. And then it was given the blessing from DirecTV, the go-ahead. The kill was tested, and at any given time we could knock out all of Mr. Ereiser's access cards, yet satisfy his trust in me that I produced.
Q. As far as you know, was the operation successful?
A. I don't know as to the extent of it, but I would say it was a success, yes.
Q. Now, we've heard testimony about something called

Operation Smart Card. Have you ever heard of that?
A. I've heard of it, but I'm not sure which that might be, which operation.
Q. Let me ask a question: Was there ever a time when you worked directly with any -- either customs agents or federal agents on any kind of an NDS operation, undercover operation?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that?
A. I worked with U.S. Customs out of Blaine, Washington, on an operation where they were selling counterfeit DirecTV access cards using a -- 99 percent the same image of Ron's technology.
Q. "Ron" meaning Ron Ereiser?
A. Correct, so the same ECM that I already designed would knock both Ron out and the customs operation out when this was finished, when it was determined to pull the plug on the operation. So I worked with two agents. Burton --
Q. You don't have to give their names. You worked for two customs agents?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was part of the operation?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, when you worked for NDS, did you have frequent contacts with Mr. Norris?
A. We would -- yeah. I don't know how, the extent of it. It would vary. It would depend on my technical workload, and it would also depend on if anything significant was around to talk about.
Q. Let's be clear. When you say it would depend on your technical workload, with respect to the technical work, did you report to Mr. Norris or somebody else?
A. I always reported to Mr. Norris. But Mr. Norris is not technical at all. So $I$ would also kind of directly report to Mr. Smith in Israel directly.
Q. Okay. Now, with respect to what we'll call the nontechnical or the monitoring of the Internet to the extent it was nontechnical, the undercover operations, with respect to that, did you report to Mr. Norris?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was -- how did you communicate with Mr. Norris? How frequently and what kind of communications?
A. Again, I don't know the frequency. It would depend
almost on if there was any -- if there was nothing significant going on, I may not speak to Mr. Norris all week long. Or maybe a week and a half could go by before I would speak to him sometimes.

But I would think typically maybe once a week at least we would speak, but I'm not certain.
Q. Did you have phone communications with him?
A. Phone or e-mails.
Q. Did you have to write a report, a weekly report?
A. At some point in time, I needed to produce a weekly report on Friday afternoons.
Q. And that was for Mr. Norris?
A. Mr. Norris and the upper echelon of NDS, yes.
Q. Now, you talked about this Headend Report. On any of those trips to Israel, did you ever see the Headend Report?
A. No. I've never seen the Headend Report until

Mr. Mordinson visited my residence sometime in 2001.
Q. And on any of those trips to Israel, did you ever discuss the Headend Report?
A. I never knew this report existed until Mr. Mordinson showed me the brief -- the brief pages of it.
Q. And when did that happen?
A. It was in my office in -- sometime in late 2001. We had taken a break from trying to combat -- how to combat emulation of the DirecTV . 3 access card. We had been batting heads about this all morning, and then somehow the topic came up of, "Oh, by the way, EchoStar closed the hole in their chip." And then David said, "No way. They can't do that."

And -- and something to this effect happened, and then he noticed that $I$ was the "BigGun" back in 1996 from a plaque on my wall, and $I$ think a combination of all of that provoked him to -- to aim his infrared at my printer and print some of the report out.

THE COURT: You said "David." David who?

THE WITNESS: Mordinson, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. KLEIN:
Q. Did that take place before or after the December 2000 Internet posting?
A. It had to have taken place after because we were discussing the fact that EchoStar had closed a hole that both of us agreed couldn't be closed because of where the hole was in the card's memory.
Q. Let's talk about closing that hole.

Counsel showed you an e-mail where you said they
wouldn't be able to close the hole; they'll have to do a swap-out. Do you recall that?
A. I do.
Q. When you wrote that, was that statement based on some analysis you had done?
A. Not really. I had -- as I stated earlier, I policed the Internet on these websites, so when that's -- this information is disseminated into the public domain, I downloaded it, and I looked at it, and I looked -- does it look authentic or not, the public disassemblies that persons were put on the Internet such as StuntGuy and some other individuals. And there was a whole group of individuals putting -- working on their system.

Under -- seeing how the hole was being used just gave me this -- looking at where it was in the power-up of the chip, it didn't seem like they could close it.
Q. Was it your job for NDS to determine if they could close it or not?
A. No. This was purely my own technical -- again, always trying to learn. My own technical nature.
Q. By the way, when you first found out about that December 2000 posting, where were you physically?
A. I was either in Belgium or $I$ was en route to go to Israel.
Q. And why were you in Belgium in December of 2000?
A. 'Cause my wife is from the French part of Belgium, and so we will go to Belgium pretty much every year in December or in summer sometimes. And we were in Belgium at her parents' house, but I would always be bored, so we would make a technical review meeting in Jerusalem like for a few days across this two or three weeks that she would drag me to Belgium.

THE COURT: Counsel, why don't you get to a place for a recess.

MR. KLEIN: This is an excellent place.

THE COURT: All right.

Please don't discuss this matter amongst
yourselves nor form or express any opinion.

Have a nice recess.
(Recess held at 3:06 p.m.)
(Further proceedings reported by Sharon Seffens in Volume IV.)
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