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DAY 9 - VOLUME II
(10:14 a.m.)
(Live reporter switch with Debbie Gale.)
(The following proceedings is taken in the presence of the jury.)

CHRISTOPHER TARNOVSKY, PLAINTIFFS' WITNESS (Continued.)

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued.)

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Do you have a copy of that in front of you?

A Yes, I do.

Q And on the last page, are those your initials at the bottom?

A Yes, they are.

Q Does this look like the confidential agreement when you started working for the defendants?

A It does.

MR. HAGAN: Your Honor, at this time we would
offer Exhibit 4-A into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. KLEIN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Received.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 4-A is received in evidence.)

MR. HAGAN: Can we blow up the paragraph
immediately under the word "Definitions."
BY MR. HAGAN:
Q Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, you understood when you signed this
confidentiality agreement that it imposed certain
restrictions on you; is that right?
A Yes.
Q Restrictions that you weren't to disclose, confidential
or proprietary information outside of the defendants,
correct?
A Yes.
Q But this confidentiality agreement only applied to
confidential information about the defendants' security
system; isn't that right?
A Yes, this is common.
Q It did not obligate you not to disclose confidential
information about the defendants' competitors' technology,
correct?
A As far as I read, yes, correct.
Q In fact, there is nowhere in this document that
prohibits you from disclosing information about the
defendants' competitors or their technology, correct?
A That would not be normal for an NDA, but you are
correct.
Q Now, despite the fact that the defendants knew you are
a world-renowned hacker and pirate at the time they hired
you, they didn't provide you with any training, did they, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A No.

Q And they didn't require you to work in any official NDS establishment; isn't that correct?

A Correct.

Q They allowed you to work from the comfort of your own home; is that right?

A Yes.

Q Where there was no supervision, no monitoring equipment whatsoever, correct?

A Correct.

Q Now, when you first started working for the defendants, you weren't being paid by them, were you, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A I was always paid by them. That's an incorrect statement.

Q Mr. Tarnovsky, weren't you, in fact, paid by a company called HarperCollins?

A Excuse me. Yes, however, NDS was paying me indirectly.
Q Through the company HarperCollins --

A Yes.

Q -- correct?

A Yes.

Q HarperCollins, as you understand it, is a News

Corporation affiliate?

A Yes.

Q HarperCollins is the publishing arm for Rupert Murdoch's media empire, as you understand it?

A Yes.

Q HarperCollins is the vehicle by which Mr. Murdoch's empire backed politicians and political agendas?

MR. KLEIN: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, who made the decision for your payment, your compensation to come from Rupert Murdoch's company, HarperCollins, as opposed to the company NDS that you were performing work for?

A I don't know.

Q Who would know the answer to that question?
A I -- I believe Mr. Norris could -- could explain that.

Q Now, one of -- going back to your initial role for the defendants, in 1997, one of your responsibilities was to spread what Reuven Hasak calls misinformation; is that correct?

A I was never told that.

Q You were never instructed to provide misinformation to the pirate community through these websites; is that your testimony?

A That is my testimony.

Q Well, let's talk about one of the first projects that you worked on when you started with the defendants. You were directed by NDS to build pirate or develop pirate software for reprogramming DirecTV access cards; is that correct?

A That was very long. Could you repeat that, please? Q Sure.

I want to focus on one of the first projects that you worked on for the defendants. That project involved you developing pirate software for reprogramming DirecTV access cards; is that correct?

A Yes, it is.

Q And that software included something called a dongle; is that right?

A That is correct.

Q And a dongle is a function of the software that allowed you to control the number of pirated cards that could be reprogrammed, correct?

A That is one aspect of it, yes.
Q Were you aware at the time that you were engaging in these efforts that the government and DirecTV believed this conduct to be risky and too difficult to control?

A I did not know that.

Q Did you inform anyone at DirecTV that you were building this software?

A DirecTV was fully aware of this. I did not personally inform them, however.

Q My question was, did you inform anyone at DirecTV that you were developing this pirate software?

A I did not.

Q Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, you were instructed to develop this software by the defendants; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And you understood at that time that the defendants provided the security system used by DirecTV here in the United States?

A Yes.

Q So you were instructed to build a software or develop a software that could circumvent that technology; is that right?

A Yes.

Q You provided that technology to Mr. Ron Ereiser's pirate syndicate up in Canada; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And you were instructed to provide that software to him by representatives of the defendants, correct?

A Yes.

Q That software worked. In other words, it allowed Mr. Ereiser's syndicate to reprogram DirecTV cards; is that right?

A Yes.

Q Shortly after that, you attended a meeting in Canada with Mr. Ereiser and other individuals; is that right?

A Yes.

Q And --

A Excuse me. Before that, I attended a meeting; that is incorrect.

THE COURT: Does the jury know the approximate year or time, Counsel?

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Do you recall the approximate month, Mr. Tarnovsky?

THE COURT: And year.

THE WITNESS: I believe it was October of -- of 1997 I visited this group that you are referring to in Canada. BY MR. HAGAN:

Q And as you understood it, all the individuals present at that meeting were involved in one way or another in hacking security systems or satellite piracy, correct?

A Yes.

Q In fact, that was the reason that you went to meet with those individuals, correct?

A Yes.

Q Now, at this meeting -- well, let me back up for a moment.

The defendants were fully aware that you attended this meeting, right?

A Yes.

Q In fact, they instructed you to go to this meeting, correct?

A Yes.

Q And they paid for your expenses incurred during this meeting, correct?

A Yes.

Q And you gave them a report of what you talked about and what you did when you got back to the United States, correct?

A I should have, yes.

Q Now, during this meeting, you were provided with $\$ 20,000$ in cash by Mr. Ereiser's pirate syndicate; is that right?

A Yes.

Q But you didn't bring that cash back across the border into the United States, did you?

A No.

Q Later, that cash would be sent to you, correct?

A Yes.

Q And you understood at the time that you received this money that $\$ 10,000$ of it was repayment to you for your past assistance in piracy?

A Yes.

Q And the other $\$ 10,000$ was to secure your assistance for future involvement in piracy, correct?

A Yes.

Q Now, eventually, you received this money through the mail; is that right, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A That is correct.

Q And you received it through a mail account that you had set up in Manassas, Virginia; is that right?

A Yes, it is.

Q At the time that you received the money, though, you were living in California?

A Yes.

Q And working for the defendants in California?

A Yes.
Q You had those shipments routed to you from the mail account in San Marcos -- I'm sorry, in Manassas, Virginia, correct?

A Yes.

Q Now, NDS knew about this mail account, is that right, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A Yes.

Q In fact, they instructed you to set up this mail account?

A Yes.

Q And they paid for the monthly fees and costs associated with that mail account, correct?

A Yes.

Q In fact, you submitted invoices each month for that mail account?

A Yes.

Q Do you recall approximately the month when you received the first shipment of cash from Canada?

A No, I just recall it took a long time, over a year period.

Q And two of those shipments which were sent to your mail account in Manassas, Virginia, two of those payments involved cash being concealed inside of electronic equipment; is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q One of them was a Sony PlayStation; is that right?

A Yes.

Q And the other one was a Sony camera?

A No.

Q What was the other?

A It was -- it was some type of camera, but it wasn't
from Sony. It was some Japanese or Chinese camera.

Q And the cash was concealed inside these electronic devices; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Now, you notified the defendants that you were receiving this cash; is that right?

A Yes.

Q You notified John Norris, your supervisor?

A Yes.

Q And you told him how you were receiving that cash; is that correct?

A Yes, I believe so.

Q And Mr. Norris did not tell you to report that cash or those shipments to any government agency, did he?

A No. I -- I don't -- I actually don't know what he said back then.

Q If Mr. Norris had instructed you to report that cash to government officials, would you have done so?

A I don't know that that's necessary. However, I am required to pay taxes on these monies.

Q My question is, Mr. Tarnovsky, if Mr. Norris had asked you to report those shipments to any government agency or official, would you have done so?

MR. KLEIN: Objection. Calls for speculation. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: Yes, I would have.

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Okay. But you didn't report those shipments to any government agency, did you, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A I wasn't instructed to do so, so no.

Q You didn't report them to the RCMP in Canada, correct?

A Correct.

Q You didn't report them to the United States Customs officials, correct?

A Correct.
Q You didn't report them to the Assistant United States Attorneys Office, did you, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A No.

Q Now, when you received this cash, you didn't give it over to Mr. Norris or anyone else at the defendants, did you?

A No.

Q And the reason is you were instructed that you could keep that money; is that correct?

A Partially.

Q And in fact, as of last year, when we deposed you a second time, you still had that money; isn't that right, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A Yes.

Q They even allowed you to keep that $\$ 20,000$ after you were terminated from their employment last year; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Did you think there was anything wrong with receiving
cash shipments concealed inside electronic equipment through a dummy mail account in another state?

A I thought it was strange.
Q Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, I want to talk to you a little bit about the defendants' efforts to reverse engineer and hack EchoStar security system.

You're aware of an engineer employed by the defendants by the name of David Mordinson; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And the first time you met Mr. Mordinson was shortly after he started working for the defendants; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And that was in 1998?

A I'm not sure of the year; probably.

Q If Mr. Mordinson testified that he started working for the defendants in 1998, does that sound consistent with your recollection?

A I believe that you are correct, yes.

Q And where did that meeting take place, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A In Jerusalem.

Q At the defendants' offices?

A Excuse me, yes, at their office in Jerusalem.

Q And that wasn't the only time that you met with Mr. Mordinson; is that right?

A No -- yes, that's correct.
Q In fact, as you stated in your deposition, you had at least 5 to 10 different meetings with David Mordinson; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And you described those meetings, or you characterized those meetings as a technical interchange; is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q Can you explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what you mean by technical interchange?

A Technical interchange is basically once every few months I would go to Israel, travel to Israel, meet with the engineers over there, and we would share ideas that I've come up with, ideas that they've come up with, and we would kind of comingle our ideas from multiple technical persons, personas. I don't have anybody in California to speak to, really, except my father, so it was good when I moved over there to comingle with all of the -- the heads. There's some Ph.Ds, master's degrees, engineers over there. Adi Shamir from RSA, I would meet with him on occasion, and so the technical exchange was basically that, just sharing information.

Q So you started these technical interchanges where you and David Mordinson shared information with each other in 1998, correct?

A That's not correct. And if I'd like to clarify, David Mordinson was not the head of the technical interchange. He was part of a group of engineers that we would be in a room with having a meeting.

Q You began these meetings in 1998, correct?

A Negative.

Q Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, during these technical interchanges, you would provide certain information to the Haifa team, and they would provide certain information to you, correct?

A Yes.

THE COURT: Well, that's not clear so far, yet, Counsel. His testimony was he traveled to Jerusalem. It's not clear that he was in Haifa.

MR. HAGAN: Let me back up for a moment, then. BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Other than David Mordinson, who were the other NDS engineers that participated in these technical interchanges?

A Yeko Vlevi (phonetic), Perry Smith, Yossi Tsuri --
THE COURT: Just a moment. Smith, the next
gentleman Tsuri?

THE WITNESS: Yossi Tsuri.

THE COURT: Spell that name for me, please.

THE WITNESS: Y-o-s-s-i T-s-u-r-i, I think, something like this, your Honor.

Adi Shamir from RSA has been in these meetings, Donny Ratner, $R-a-t-n-e-r, ~ D a v i d ~ W a t c h v o g e l ~(p h o n e t i c), ~$ Nathan Alzaz (phonetic). I can go on and on, but it's been a year since I've worked for the company. BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Was Zvi Shkedy one of the NDS engineers that participated in these technical interchanges?

A Not until post 2001.

Q Had you heard the term "Black Hat Team" as it related to the NDS engineers in the Haifa facility?

A Only verbally.
Q Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, I want to talk to you a little bit about the creation and distribution of pirated EchoStar access cards, but before we get too far into this, you're -you deny any involvement in the creation or distribution of EchoStar access cards, pirated cards; is that right, sir? A Yes.

Q As part of your work for the defendants, you developed and created a device called the Stinger; is that right?

A That is correct.

Q And that device, according to your words, could communicate to any CAM in the world; is that right?

A This is correct.

Q And CAM stands for conditional access module; is that right?

A Yes.

Q And that's just a fancy way of saying Smart Card?

A That is correct.
Q So the Stinger that you developed could communicate to any Smart Card in the world, correct?

A Yes.

Q That included EchoStar Smart Cards, as well as DirecTV Smart Cards, correct?

A Yes.

Q You developed this device as part of your work for the defendants, correct?

A Yes.

Q And you were paid for your efforts to develop that device, correct?

A Yes.

Q And you shared that device with other personnel within the defendants' organization, correct?

A Yes.

Q Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, that device was unique in the sense that it was a stand-alone device. In other words, you didn't have to hook it up to a PC or a computer; is that right?

A That's incorrect. I'm sorry, you have your facts wrong.

Q It's your testimony that that device was not a

```
stand-alone device?
```

A That is correct.
MR. HAGAN: Christine, can we show Mr. Tarnovsky a
copy of Exhibit 772.
BY MR. HAGAN:
Q Mr. Tarnovsky, this is an e-mail exchange between you,
John Norris and other NDS employees dated August 19th of
2000. Have you had an opportunity to look at this document?
A I'm reading it.
MR. HAGAN: Your Honor, we would offer Exhibit 772
into evidence.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. KLEIN: No objection.
THE COURT: Received.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 772 is received into
evidence.)
MR. HAGAN: Can we blow that up.
BY MR. HAGAN:
Q And let's focus in on the last three lines of the first
paragraph, top e-mail.
Mr. Tarnovsky, in this e-mail that you sent in August
of 2000, you described the Stinger, and let me quote your
words, as "a stand-alone without a PC"; is that correct?
A This was an introduction e-mail about that. I -- yes,
it's correct, but it's being taken out of context.

Q Now, let's -- before we go off of this exhibit, the e-mail line, the "from" line, says "Michael George," but that's just a code name that you used in your work for the defendants, correct?

A Yes.

Q And you used a number of other code names or aliases for your work on behalf of the defendants; is that correct? A Yes.

Q And we'll get into those later in the day, but the Michael George alias, that was just used internally within the defendants' representatives, correct?

A Yes.

Q Now, you -- if I understood your deposition testimony correctly, you deny providing that Stinger device or any form of that device to an individual named Allen Menard --

A Yes, I deny that.
Q -- is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 989.

This has already been introduced into evidence, your Honor.

THE COURT: You may put it up on the board. MR. HAGAN: I'm sorry, Clint, 988 first.

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Mr. Tarnovsky, you recognize the name or the nick
"dr7"; is that right, sir?
A Yes, I do.
Q That was a nickname used by your buddy, Allen Menard,
up in Canada; is that correct?
A Yes.
Q That was also the name of his pirate website that you
frequently visited, correct?
A Yes, it is.
Q Okay. And in this e-mail exchange between Mr. Menard
and Mr. Dawson, he says, "I called Von on the phone and
mentioned the problem. He told me that he is sending
another box, and we should have it this week... he's happy.
Thinks I killed this one from overuse, ha-ha."
Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, you deny providing Mr. Menard with
any type of reprogramming box; is that correct?
A That is my testimony.
Q But you don't deny using the alias "Von" or the code
name "Von"; is that right?
A No, I -- yeah, you are correct. I do not deny that.
Q In fact, you used that code name with Mr. Menard, among
other individuals, correct?
A On the internet, yes.
Q And you used that code name "Von" to post information
on Mr. Menard's website, www.dr7.com?
A I believe so, yes.

Q Now, let's take a look at Exhibit 989. And while Christine is getting that out, let's just note the date for a moment of this e-mail, which is October 19th of 1999.

Now, Exhibit 989, your Honor, this has also been introduced into evidence.

THE COURT: You may put it up on the board. BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Is another e-mail exchange between dr7 and Dave Dawson, and this is dated three days later, October 21st of 1999. And in this e-mail, Mr. Menard says "Vonnie is on the job, and we should be fine soon."

Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, you deny sending a new reprogrammer to Mr. Menard in or around August of 1999; is that correct?

A Yes, I deny that.

Q Now, let's take a look at Exhibit 990.

This, too, your Honor, has already been introduced into evidence.

THE COURT: Just one moment, Counsel.

Counsel, just a minute.
BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Do you have a copy of that in front of you,
Mr. Tarnovsky?
A I do.

Q And this is another e-mail with the "from" line "dr7";
is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And it's dated March of 2000, March 1st of 2000 ; is
that right?
A Yes.

Q And in this e-mail, Mr. Menard is saying, "I got to get coin together. I got to ship to Von this week"; do you see that?

A I see that.

Q Now, you deny Mr. Menard ever shipping you money related to reprogrammed EchoStar access cards --

A Yes, I do.

Q -- is that correct?
A Yes.

Q Now, let's take a look at Exhibit 991.

This has also been introduced previously, your Honor. BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Mr. Tarnovsky, do you have a copy of Exhibit 991 in front of you?

A Yes, I do.

Q And this is another e-mail with the "from" line of "dr7," "members at www.dr7.com"; do you see that, sir?

A Yes.

Q And this one is dated July 27th of 2000 , correct?

A Yes, it is.

Q And in this e-mail, Mr. Menard says again, "I gotta pay

Von for the cards"; do you see that?

A Yes.

Q But your recollection is Mr. Menard never sent you any money for reprogrammed EchoStar access cards; is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, Tony Dionisi testified yesterday, and you know Tony Dionisi; is that right?

A Yes, I know him.

Q You've met him before in Canada, correct?

A Once, yes.
Q And we'll talk a little bit about that meeting later, but Mr. Dionisi testified that he was personally present when Al Menard reprogrammed over 50 EchoStar access cards with the little black box. Do you have any reason to dispute that testimony, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A I have no say in this testimony whatsoever regarding two individuals that $I$ wasn't present around.

Q You weren't present when Mr. Menard used that reprogramming device to -- to create pirated EchoStar access cards, correct?

A Yeah, that's correct.

Q Now, Mr. Dionisi also testified that shortly after that meeting with Menard, he had a conversation with you, and during that conversation, you bragged to him about building
a reprogrammer, a stand-alone reprogrammer that could pirate Echostar access cards. Do you deny that conversation took place?

A Yes, I do.

Q Mr. Dionisi also testified that you termed or coined that reprogramming box the Stinger. You admitted earlier that that was the name of a device that you built, correct? A Yes.

Q A stand-alone device.

Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, you understood that in late 2000, early 2001, you became the subject of a government investigation; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And you understood at that time that the investigation dealt with, among other issues, monies that were intercepted through a mail account in San Marcos, Texas, correct?

A Yes.

Q Now, you set up that mail account in San Marcos, Texas in July of 2000; is that right?

A I'm not sure when I set it up, sir.

Q But you did set up a mail account in San Marcos, Texas, right?

A Yes.

Q And that mail account was similar to the one that you set up in Manassas, Virginia, correct?

A Yes.

Q Similar to the one that you received the cash payments concealed inside the electronic equipment from Mr. Ereiser's group in Canada, correct?

A Yes.

Q You weren't living in San Marcos, Texas at the time that you set this account up, though, were you,

Mr. Tarnovsky?

A No.

Q You were living here in California?

A Yes.

Q Now, within a week of you setting up that mail account, you received a package from Al Menard in Canada that was labeled "birthday gift"; do you recall that?

A Yes.

Q And that was on August 2nd of 2000; does that date ring a bell?

A No.

Q When's your birthday, Mr. Tarnovsky?
A April 20th.
Q So Mr. Menard was either a little early or a little late; you would agree with that?

A This was common, yes.

Q Now, Mr. Menard sent that package, but he didn't put your name on the "to" line, did he, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A I don't know.

Q You don't recall? Did you shred those records?

A I shred everything, yes.
Q Well, Lieutenant Leuters from the Hays County Narcotics Task Force testified earlier in this trial, and he showed us some shipping records that were obtained through the government's investigation at Hays County. And those shipping records, the one that said "birthday gift" was addressed to CT, not Christopher Tarnovsky?

THE COURT: I'm sorry, you dropped your voice; to who?

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q To CT, not Christopher Tarnovsky. Does that sound like something Mr. Menard typically did when he sent you packages?

A I don't know.

Q How did Mr. Menard find out about this mail account that you had in San Marcos, Texas?

A I let him know.

Q And you had him ship you packages through that mail account; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Now, when he sent you this birthday gift six or seven months after your birthday, it included a number of different electronic devices, correct?

A Four months later, it contained a PlayStation 2 that had just come out.

Q It also included a Sony controller, correct?
A I don't believe so.

Q It also included a Sony camera, correct?

A No, no, that's not correct. Whatever was in the package related to the PlayStation 2.

Q Well, give me just a moment, Mr. Tarnovsky. We'll -we'll find the shipping record.

MR. HAGAN: Christine, if you could hand Mr. Tarnovsky a copy of what has been marked Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 53.

And your Honor, I would request permission to publish this document. It was part of the documents admitted through Lieutenant Leuters.

THE COURT: You may.

MR. HAGAN: Lieutenant Cumberland, I apologize. BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, you've got in front of you a copy of Exhibit 53, which is the shipping records associated with that birthday gift that Al Menard sent you from Canada; do you have that, sir?

A Yes.

Q And if you'll look on the front page, Mr. Menard uses for your company name, "CT"; do you see that?

A Yes.

Q And for his name, he includes "X Factor Design." Was that one of Mr. Menard's companies up there in Canada?

A To the best of my knowledge, yes.

Q Now, if you'll look at the next page, there is a

Declarations of Contents letter; do you see that,

Mr. Tarnovsky?
A Yes, I do.

Q And under section 21 entitled "Remarks," Mr. Menard writes in "birthday gift"; do you see that?

A Yes, I see it.

Q Now, let's focus right above that. Mr. Menard writes out the contents of the package that he shipped to you; do you see that, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A Yes, I do.

Q And it says "one Sony PlayStation, one Sony controller and three Sony CD players." I apologize, I think I may have said a camera.

Now, do you still have any of the shipping records associated with this package, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A I have -- I do not, no.

Q Okay. You would agree, Mr. Tarnovsky, that you could have purchased any of these devices at your local Best Buy?

A No, I could not have.

Q It -- they needed to be shipped to you from

Mr. Menard's business up in Canada; is that your testimony?

A My testimony is that the system was not available in North America, yet, and it had to come from Hong Kong. And this was not a CD player, they were CDs, games for the PlayStation 2.

Q And the best way to get that to you from Hong Kong was to send it to Canada, and then send it down to a mail account that you set up in Texas, and then reroute it over here to you in California; is that right?

A That is correct.
Q How much money was in the Sony PlayStation, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A There was no money in it.
Q Now, those packages that Mr. Menard -- or that one package that you admit Mr. Menard sent you, that wasn't inspected by government officials, was it?

A I believe everything is x-rayed when it goes through the system.

Q That particular package wasn't intercepted by the Hays County Narcotics Task Force and opened up pursuant to a search warrant in Texas, correct?

MR. KLEIN: Objection. Lack of foundation, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I don't believe so, no.

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q You received that package at your home in California?

A Yes.

Q Now, Mr. Menard sent that package from Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; is that correct?

A I suppose.
Q Now, Lieutenant Cumberland testified that his investigation revealed a number of other shipping records that were associated with your mail account in San Marcos, Texas. And his testimony was that you were receiving packages every day or every other day through that mail account. Do you have any reason to dispute that testimony?

A It sounds a little exaggerated.
Q You think that Lieutenant Cumberland came here from Hays County and wasn't completely honest with the jury?

MR. KLEIN: Objection. Misstates the testimony.

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Is that what you believe?

THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer that. THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't know. I'm not

Mr. -- this guy.

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Now, let's fast-forward.

August 2nd, 2000 is the date --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, Counsel, I am going to reverse that. I am going to sustain the objection. Strike the answer.

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q August 2000, August 2nd of 2000, that's the date that Mr. Menard shipped you the birthday gift. And let's fast-forward 28 days to August 30th. Another package, this package was intercepted by the Hays County Narcotics Task Force at your mail account in San Marcos, Texas. And the government's investigation revealed that there was $\$ 20,000$ of cash concealed inside the circuitry of a CD player. It's your testimony, Mr. Tarnovsky, that you had nothing to do with that cash shipment; is that correct?

A Yes, that is correct.

Q You had no knowledge of that cash shipment?
A That is correct.

Q And that cash shipment had nothing to do with pirated EchoStar access cards, correct?

A That is correct.
Q Would it surprise you that that cash shipment was also received from British Columbia, Canada?

A No. Mr. Ereiser lived there.

Q Now, let's talk about one day later, August 30th, August 31st of 2000. Another package was intercepted by government officials at your mail account in San Marcos,

Texas. They executed another search warrant, and they opened up that package, and they found a DVD player. And inside that DVD player was $\$ 20,100$ cash concealed inside the circuitry. It's your testimony that you had no knowledge of that shipment, correct?

A That is my testimony.
Q And you had no reason to believe that that money related in any way to your assistance in EchoStar piracy, correct?

A Yes.

Q Now, you became aware through this case that the RCMP in the Hays County Narcotics Task Force conducted a joint investigation into those shipments and into the packages that were intercepted by the government, correct?

A Yes.

Q You understood that the government officials lifted fingerprints off of one of those packages and sent it up to Canada for them to analyze, correct?

A It is my understanding.
Q And you understand that the RCMP linked those
fingerprints to a gentleman named Mervin Main, correct?
A Yes.

Q And you understand that Mr. Main was a business associate of your buddy, Al Menard, correct?

A I don't know the exact extent of the relationship.
If Mr. Menard testified that Mr. Main was one of his
business associates and he, in fact, helped with the website
and helped drive Mr. Menard around after he had surgery, do
you have any reason to dispute that testimony?
A No.
Q Now, that second package also came from Vancouver,
British Columbia, Canada, the same area from which
Mr. Menard sent you the birthday gift. Do you have any
reason to dispute that?
A No.
Q You know an individual named Stan Frost --
A Yes.
Q -- correct?
A Yes.
Q You and Mr. Frost became pirate buddies back in the
day; isn't that right?
A No, that's incorrect.
Q You understand that Mr. Frost was engaged in satellite
piracy?
A Yes, I did.
Q In fact, you attended a meeting with Mr. Frost in
mid-2000; is that right?
A Yes.
Q That meeting took place up in Canada?
A Yes.

Q And part of that meeting, at least, was at the SkyDome in Canada, correct?

A No.

Q At any point in time when you were there in mid-2000, did you visit the SkyDome?

A The entire meeting took place at the SkyDome.
Q Oh, thank you, Mr. Tarnovsky.
Now, Mr. Frost and yourself weren't the only ones present at this meeting; is that right?

A Correct.

Q Your buddy, Al Menard, was also there, wasn't he?
A Yes.

Q Do you recall who else was present?
A Another person from Edmonton, another person from Tennessee, Warren Melnick, Tony Dionisi was there, some other people that I didn't know were there.

Q All the people that were attending that meeting were involved in one way or another in satellite piracy; isn't that correct?

A Yes, that's correct.
Q In fact, at the time, Mr. Menard was operating his dr7 website out of Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, correct?

A I -- I don't know where he operated it from.
Q Well, you provided Mr. Menard with some technical
assistance for his website at some point in time, didn't

```
you, Mr. Tarnovsky?
```

A That was not my testimony.
Q In fact, Mr. Menard paid you for providing him with
some assistance related to his website, correct?
A That was not my testimony.
Q Now, during this meeting with your buddy, Al Menard,
and your other buddy, Stan Frost --
THE COURT: Just a moment. I am not sure what the
answer refers to.
You may be referring back to depositional
testimony. He's asking you today in court in front of the
jury.
So reask those questions, Counsel.
BY MR. HAGAN:
Q Mr. Tarnovsky, did you ever provide Mr. Menard with any
assistance that related in any way whatsoever to his
website?
A I don't know if -- if it helped his website or not.
Q Did Mr. Menard ever pay you any money providing him
with technical assistance that related in any way to his
website?
A I don't know when I helped him if it was for his
website or for his own personal use. I -- I don't know.
Q Was Mr. Menard one of these poor, poor Canadians that
you were helping in the '96, '97 time frame?

A I would -- sure, yes.

Q Now, I believe you testified at your deposition that despite the fact that all of these gentlemen were involved in satellite piracy, you didn't discuss any issues about hacking or piracy when you all got together at the SkyDome; is that correct?

A That -- could you repeat that?
Q Sure. Let me just ask it a different way.

Did you, Mr. Menard and Mr. Frost and Mr. Dionisi and Mr. Melnick and the other gentlemen that you admit were involved in one way or another in satellite piracy, did you discuss any issues related to hacking or piracy or reprogrammed EchoStar access cards?

A At no such time did EchoStar come up.

Q Did you discuss hacking or piracy of any conditional access system?

A On one occasion, yes.

Q Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, in addition to discussing issues related to piracy with these gentlemen, you also participated in some recreational drug use; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And you knew at the time that that was against the defendants' business practices and policies; is that right? A Yes.

Q But you didn't think there was anything wrong with it,
because it fit within keeping your persona as a pirate,
correct?
A That is correct.
Q And you notified John Norris, your supervisor, that you
had engaged in that conduct, and he didn't terminate you,
did he, Mr. Tarnovsky?
A No.
Q Because he understood that that was part of you keeping
your persona as a pirate, correct?
A Yes.
Q And after all, Mr. Tarnovsky, that was a big part of
your job, was to keep your persona as a satellite pirate and
continue to rub elbows with these other gentlemen up in
Canada who were involved in piracy, correct?
A Yes.
Q Now, we deposed Mr. Frost in this case, and the -- the
jury watched his video testimony, because Mr. Frost wouldn't
come here live. Mr. Frost took the same oath that you took
this morning. And when I asked Mr. Frost if you had ever
sent him or provided him in any way with reprogrammed
EchoStar access cards, he said, "I can't answer that
question. I've got to plead the Fifth."
You understand what pleading the Fifth is, don't you,
Mr. Tarnovsky?
MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, may $I$ have a continuing
objection on this line of questioning?
THE COURT: You may.
That's overruled.
THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question,
please?
BY MR. HAGAN:
Q You understand what pleading the Fifth is, don't you,
Mr. Tarnovsky?
A Could you explain it, please, so $I$ can understand it
fully?
Q You understand that when someone pleads the Fifth,
they're refusing to answer a question that could subject
them to criminal prosecution, correct?
A I understand now, yes.
Q Now, did you tell your buddy, Mr. Frost, to plead the
Fifth and refuse to answer these questions?
MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, I would object to the
phrasing of the question. It's inconsistent with the
testimony.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. HAGAN:
Q We also asked Mr. Frost under oath if he ever sent you
money through the San Marcos mail account that you set up
that related to pirated EchoStar access cards. Again,

Mr. Frost said, "I can't answer that. I plead the Fifth Amendment."

Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, did you ask Mr. Frost to refuse to answer that question?

A No.

Q Why don't we take a look at Exhibit 25.
Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, as part of your work for NDS, I believe you testified this morning that you monitored a number of pirate websites and chat forums, correct?

A That -- that is correct.

Q And can you explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what a chat forum is.

A A chat -- a chat -- Counsel, can you clarify, chat room or chat forum.

Q Well, let's start with chat room.
A A chat room is basically -- the chat rooms came first when the WWW started to come about, and it was basically you would install a -- a program on your computer, and you would log into a server, and then you could join a room. And the rooms would have individuals live, typing, just typing anything they want to talk about, but the room was typically the subject of the matter. And the -- so the chat rooms were basically live conversations with others that shared interests with you.

These -- then, with the World Wide Web becoming more
graphical, the chat forums came out, which were where a user, instead of being live, would actually post a -- a bulletin, if you wish, like a bulletin board, and -- and they could write messages. And then, you need to typically register an account to post, but you can read without being registered. And then you would see time zones based off of wherever the server is versus where you might really live.

Does that clarify?
Q Absolutely.
Now, you participated in these discussions, these live internet discussions on chat forums and chat rooms, correct?

A Yes.

Q In fact, that's where in the early stages you exchanged information about hacking and pirating conditional access technology, correct?

A Yes.

Q And a chat forum came about after the TV Crypt

Listserve that you participated in when you were in Europe, correct?

A Yes, yes.
Q And if $I$ understand it, the way these chat rooms work, you create an internet persona or some type of alias where you post under, correct?

A Yes.

Q And you had a number of different aliases, but the one

I want to focus on right now is Von. You don't deny using that alias, correct, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A Correct, I do not deny it.

Q Now, in 1999, you had already been working for the defendants almost two years, correct?

A Yes.

Q You were still being paid by Mr. Murdoch's company, HarperCollins Publishing, though, correct?

A I don't know.

Q You don't recall?

A Correct.

Q Let's take a look at -- first of all, do you have Exhibit 25 in front of you?

A Yes, I do.

Q Okay. And at the top of that, it says "Pound Dish Network"; do you see that?

A Yes.

Q That's one of the IRC rooms that you participated in, correct?

A No, it is not.

Q You deny participating in the chat room "Pound Dish Network," Mr. --

A Yes, I --

Q -- Tarnovsky?

A Yes, I do.

Q Well, let's turn to Page ESC-84104. It's on the bottom right-hand corner of the document. Let me know when you're there.

A I'm there.

Q Now, on this page, there is an entry by Von that says "All you butt munches bow down to me and send me your worldly possessions. I shall lead you to the promised land at $\$ 350$ per hit."

THE COURT: Let's put that exhibit up for a moment. MR. HAGAN: Sure. Clint, this is Exhibit 25. The page is 20, the Bates stamp is 84104 . THE COURT: This has already been received. MR. HAGAN: Now -MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, has it been received? THE COURT: I believe it has, Counsel. MR. KLEIN: I believe so, your Honor. MR. HAGAN: I don't -- I don't know if this one has. MR. KLEIN: I would object to it, your Honor. THE COURT: Overruled. It's received. MR. HAGAN: Thank you, Judge.

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Now, Mr. Tarnovsky --

THE COURT: Just a moment, Counsel. We've
discussed this a number of times. It wasn't in front of the jury?

MR. KLEIN: I don't believe so, your Honor.
THE COURT: It's received.

Well, let's ask preliminarily if he's the same
gentleman referring to --
BY MR. HAGAN:
Q Mr. Tarnovsky, you -- you deny being the Von that's posting here; is that correct, sir?

A That is correct.

Q The Von that's posting in September of 1999; is that right?

A That is correct.

Q Okay.
Clint, let's take that down.

THE COURT: I am going to receive the document for your consideration, but that doesn't mean that he is Von or isn't Von. We will hear testimony about who this Von is -BY MR. HAGAN:

Q And Mr. Tarnovsky -THE COURT: Excuse me, Counsel. MR. HAGAN: I'm sorry. THE COURT: -- so that will be for you to decide.

The Court will receive the document for your consideration. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 25 is received into evidence.)

MR. HAGAN: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Mr. Tarnovsky, let me back up for just a moment and read you a passage, a couple of entries from this IRC log by an individual using the alias "Von."

MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, could I have a continuing objection?

THE COURT: You may.
It's overruled.

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q It says "All you butt munches bow down to me and send me your worldly possessions. I shall lead you to the promised land at $\$ 350$ per head."

A few lines down, "Von: I'm the only person who knows how to hack Nagra's cards."

You deny writing that; is that right, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A Yes, I deny that.

Q You don't know who wrote that, though, do you, sir?

A $\quad$ No.

Q Someone just stole your Von persona?
A As long as I wasn't logged on, correct.

Q Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, going back to your denial of any
involvement in EchoStar pirated cards or the cash shipments
that went through the mail account in San Marcos, Texas,
your belief is that someone was trying to set you up; is
that right?
A That's correct.
Q Your belief and your story to the jury is that someone
had it out for you so bad that they threw away $\$ 40,000$ just
on the hope that it would get intercepted by government
officials, correct?
A More or less, yes.
Q $\$ 40,000$ that was sent through a mail account that you
set up, that you told Mr. Menard about, and that you admit
Mr. Menard sent you electronic components through 28 days
earlier, correct?
A Yes.
Q Let's move on to another issue.
You are aware that certain codes and information were
posted on Mr. Menard's website in December of 2000 that
related to EchoStar security system, correct?
A Yes, I am.
Q But you deny any involvement in those publications;
isn't that right, Mr. Tarnovsky?
A Yes, that is correct.
Q And anybody or any evidence that indicates that you
were responsible as part of this elaborate scheme to set you
up, correct?

A I don't necessarily know that -- either way if -- if they're connected.

Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 12-A.

This has already been received into evidence, your Honor.

BY MR. HAGAN:
Q Mr. Tarnovsky, this is the December 24th, 2000 publication under the alias "NiPpEr2000"; do you see that? A Yes.

THE COURT: What year again, Counsel,
December 24th of 2000?

MR. HAGAN: December 24 th of 2000 , yes, sir, your

Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q And this is one of the postings that you deny any involvement in?

A Yes.

Q Correct?

A Yes.

Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 39.

Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, while we are getting that out, you understand because you had a significant amount of experience in setting up these internet personas, that you
typically did so by registering with an e-mail address, correct?

A Yes.

Q And in fact, you used various e-mail addresses to register for these internet personas as part of your work for the defendants, correct?

A Yes.

Q Some of those e-mail addresses were valid, some of them you just made up, correct?

A No, I -- they all needed to be valid.
Q Von@fumanche.net, that was a valid e-mail address, because in your deposition you testified that you made that one up. Was that a valid e-mail address?

A No.
Q Okay. Now, do you have Exhibit 25 in front of you, Mr. Tarnovsky? I'm sorry, Exhibit 39?

A Yes.

Q This is --

And your Honor, this has already been received into evidence --

MR. SNYDER: No, it's not.

MR. HAGAN: -- and if we could --

MR. KLEIN: I don't believe it has.

MR. HAGAN: This has not?

THE COURT: Well, I'm getting the Saturday
sessions confused with these sessions. My apology, Counsel,
but no wonder you're concerned. That's my responsibility,
so I apologize.
Counsel, we need further foundation.
MR. HAGAN: Certainly, your Honor.
BY MR. HAGAN:
Q Mr. Tarnovsky, one of the aliases that you used on a
frequent, recurring basis was Von, correct?
A Yes.
Q And you don't deny that your first name is Chris,
correct?
A Yes.
Q So if someone used the e-mail address
ChrisVon@s4interpass.com to register the NiPpEr2000 alias,
that's either a coincidence or part of this overelaborate
conspiracy to set you up; is that your testimony?
A Yes.
Q But you've used the alias "Von" to create internet
accounts in the past, haven't you, sir?
A Yes.
Q One of those is von@fumanche.net, correct?
A No.
Q You never created that account or used that account in
any way?
A No.

Q You never used that address for any purpose whatsoever; is that your testimony?

A No, my testimony is that after registering on whatever bulletin board you're talking about, I could then change the e-mail address. And so then, at that point, I could make up an e-mail address I wanted to, so then that e-mail address might have been used on that bulletin board.

Q Okay. So that's one of the e-mail addresses that you changed to make up?

A After being registered, yes.
Q All right. Von@fumanche.net, correct?
A Yes.

Q Another one that you used is von@metro2000.net, correct?

A Yes.

Q And another one was von@m20.net, correct?

A Yes.

Q And you also used the -- the alias "Von" in different iterations for different internet personas, right?

A I don't understand the question.

Q Well, in addition to using Von for posting information, you also went by the alias "Von Neumann," correct?

A Yes.

Q And you admit to using the alias "Von Rat," correct?

A Yes.

Q And you admit to using the alias "Von Rolla," correct? A Yes.

Q And you admit to being called, at least on one occasion, Vonnie, correct?

A I don't know. I -- I can't say correct or not.
Q You can't recall?

A I don't recall.

Q Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, let's focus on Von Neumann for just
a moment. That alias comes from the Von Neumann architecture used in microcontrollers or Smart Cards, correct?

A Yes.

Q And you understood at the time that you were using this alias that EchoStar's microprocessor, the ST Thompson 16CF54 chip used the Von Neumann architecture, correct?

A Could you repeat the question, please?

Q You understood at the time that you used these
different Von, Von Rat, Von Rolla, von@fumanche, Von

Neumann, at the time you used those, you understood that

EchoStar's Smart Cards used an ST Thompson chip, 16CF54, that had a Von Neumann architecture, correct?

A I disagree.

Q Just a coincidence?

A No, my Von account existed before you guys produced your Smart Card.

Q Now, you've also used the name Chris, your first name, when creating internet personas, correct?

A Never.

Q You deny using the -- the alias "Chris Geo"?

THE COURT: I'm sorry, Chris what?

MR. HAGAN: Geo, G-e-o.

THE WITNESS: No.

BY MR. HAGAN:
Q You don't deny it?

A $\quad$ No.

Q How about --

THE COURT: That's confusing. Did you use it or not?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, he's mixing up e-mails with internet personas.

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q So Chris Geo was an e-mail account that you created?

A Yes, that is correct.

Q And you used your first name and then Geo, correct?

A Part of my middle name, yes.

Q And Chris Berny was also one that you used, correct?

A I believe once, yes.
Q And Berny is your wife's maiden name, right?

A Yes.

Q You also use your first name Chris when creating other

```
e-mail accounts for internet activity, and let me just read
two of them for you.
```

Chris.Tarnovsky@freeworld.nacamar.de, you don't deny using that e-mail address, do you, Mr. Tarnovsky?
A As in the deposition, I briefly recall that from 1994,
'95 era.
THE COURT: Well, he's asking in front of the jury
now.
THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. I believe it's my
e-mail. I don't believe I've ever used it.
BY MR. HAGAN:
Q You created that e-mail account at some point in time?
A Apparently, yes.
Q You also created the e-mail account
Chris.Tarnovsky@f180.n2488.z2.fido.sub.org?
A I -- I don't know.
Q If you admitted to using that in your deposition, you
have no reason to believe that you were being less than
honest with me, do you, sir?
A No, no. You are going back to 1993, '94.
Q So, Mr. Tarnovsky, it's not beyond the realm of
possibility that you created the alias
"ChrisVon@s4interpass.com" using your first name and your
Von alias, your infamous Von alias, to register that
NiPpEr2000 address, is it, sir?

A That's impossible that -- that -- that I would do that. Q Well, in fact, sir, I asked you at your deposition if you were a hundred percent certain that you never used that e-mail address to create that account. You said, no, but
you're 99.9 percent certain; is that right?
A I don't understand your -- what you're saying.

MR. HAGAN: Christine, let's hand Mr. Tarnovsky page 286, line 7 through 25 of his deposition. BY MR. HAGAN:

Q And Mr. Tarnovsky, just look at -- actually, it's going to be page 287, the top.

A Thank you.

I see it.
Q I asked you if you were a hundred percent certain that you didn't create the NiPpEr2000 alias or internet persona using the internet, the e-mail address

ChrisVon@s4interpass.com.

MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, I object. That misstates the testimony.

THE WITNESS: It does.

May I see page 286?
BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Page 36?

A 286 .

Q 286, you should have 286 and 287 in front of you.

And let's start at page 286, line 7, and you let me know if this is what you testified to.
"Question: What about ChrisVon@s4interpass.com?"
"Answer: I don't believe that's correct, no."
"Question: You know for certain, or you don't recall if it's possible?"
"Answer: I don't believe I ever used that. I don't know what interpass is."
"Question: But you said earlier that like, for example, the von@fumanche.net, that was just something that you made up to put on an IRC chat?"
"Answer: Correct."
"Question: Same thing with VonRolla@fumanche.net?"
"Answer: Yes."
"Question: So it's possible that you've also used the same thing, ChrisVon@s4interpass.com?"
"Answer: Not for IRC. I would never put "Chris." "I don't believe that's me."
"Question: But you don't know for sure, correct?"
"Answer: I'm pretty positive that's not me. You can show me something if you want me to clarify."
"Question: Are you 100 percent sure?"
"Answer: I'm 99.9 percent positive that's not me."

Was that your testimony, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A That is my testimony.

Q Let's look at Exhibit 27.

Your Honor, at this time, I would offer Exhibit 39 into evidence.

MR. KLEIN: I would object, your Honor.

THE COURT: You need more foundation, Counsel, about where this came from, once again, for my record.

MR. HAGAN: I -- I believe Mr. Tarnovsky is going to be persistent in denying he had any involvement in that, so we'll have to prove it up through another witness. We'll move along.

MR. KLEIN: I would object to counsel's statement.
THE COURT: We will wait. I'll take this under submission, Counsel, and hear you outside the presence of the jury.

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, you understood that during the time you were working for NDS, DirecTV filed a lawsuit against them, correct?

A Can you repeat that, please. I was reading the exhibit.

Q You understood at the time that you were working for the defendants, that DirecTV filed a lawsuit against them, correct?

A Yes.

Q And you understood that DirecTV went out and hired a
third-party company, one called TDI and one called Internet Crimes Group, Incorporated, or ICG, correct?

A I've only learned about this -- this now.
Q Well, Exhibit 27 -- I'm sorry, exhibit -- yeah, Exhibit 27, do you have that in front of you, sir?

A Yes.

Q This is a document that has already been admitted into evidence in this case, and on the front page, I want you to focus on that. It says "To date, the following internet aliases and e-mail addresses have been identified for Chris Tarnovsky"; do you see that, sir?

A I do.

MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, may $I$ have a continuing
objection to this line of questioning?

THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, if you'll look at the first column under "Aliases," there's one that says "Christogeo." You don't deny using that alias, correct?

A (No audible response.)

Q I know there's some on here --

A Correct, correct.

Q Now, the next one down is Arthur Von Neumann. You don't deny using that one?

A Correct.

Q The next one down is BG. You don't deny using BG as an abbreviated form of Big Gun, correct?

A Correct, however, this form is totally wrong on a lot of things, so I don't know how to properly address your questions, because --

THE COURT: Well, he's just going down the form, Mr. Tarnovsky. Please answer the question.

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
BY MR. HAGAN:

Q The next one down is Big Gun written out. You don't deny using that alias, correct?

A Correct.

Q The next one down is Chris Geo. You testified a moment ago that you've used that alias, correct?

A I believe so.

Q The next one down is Mike George.

THE COURT: Counsel, excuse me.

I've counseled all witnesses words like "I believe so," "might have been," "to the best of my recollection" are hedge words as far as I am concerned. So if you know the answer, if you used it, "yes," or if you don't, "no," or if you don't know.

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
I don't believe I have told you that $I$ ever used Chris Geo. I used Christogeo.

BY MR. HAGAN :

Q Okay. The one immediately underneath that says "Mike George," and that's the internal NDS code name that you used, or Michael George, correct?

A Internal, yes.
Q Now, the -- the last one is Shrimp, and you admit to using the alias "Shrimp" to post on IRCs, correct?

A I don't remember if it was IRC or chat forums.

Q But you admit to using that internet persona, right?
A Yes.

Q So internet -- well, let's go over to -- to "Associated E-mail Addresses."

Geo@tfb.com, at your deposition, you admitted to using that e-mail address at least on one occasion, correct?

A Yes.

Q And the next one down,

Chris.Tarnovsky@freeworld.nacamar.de, that's another one you admit to using, correct?

A I believe so, yes.
Q And the next one down, von@metro2000.net, did you use that one?

A Yes.

Q The next one, von@m20.net, did you use that one?

A Yes.

Q The next one down, berny, your wife's maiden name,
@8ung.at, I asked you about this one at your deposition, and you said you couldn't recall. I am going to ask you again today, did you ever use that e-mail address?

A No.

Q Did you go back and check any records between your deposition and today?

A No.

Q Now, the next one down, ChrisVon@s4interpass.com, you deny using that one, right?

A Yes.

Q So according to your testimony, third-party company hired by DirecTV in the investigation into you and NDS's conduct, Internet Crimes Group, Inc., they got it right on Christogeo, Arthur Von Neumann, Big Gun, BG, Chris Berny, Mike George, Shrimp, the Geo e-mail address, the Chris.Tarnovsky e-mail address, the two Von e-mail addresses, one at metro2000 and one at m20.et, they got all that right, correct?

MR. KLEIN: Objection, your Honor. That's just argument.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q But the ChrisVon@s4interpass, that's not you?

A Correct.

Q Now, if you'll turn to -- actually, let's look at Exhibit 17.

Your Honor, this has also been introduced into evidence previously. BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Do you have that document in front of you, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A Yes, I do.

Q Okay. Let's look at page 2. It's got a Bates stamp on the bottom of ICG-03507.

Are you there?
A No, I'm not. Which -- where, Counsel?

MR. HAGAN: Christine, can you show him.
BY MR. HAGAN:

Q It's actually at the bottom of page 1 , section 3 ,
"Finances," and it spills over to the next page.

Now, this is part of the investigation into Christopher Tarnovsky. Section 3, "Finances," it says "The following accounts have been identified for Chris Tarnovsky," and then it lists several accounts.

You agree, sir, that you had an account at one point or another at the Pentagon Federal Credit Union; is that right? A Yes, that's correct.

Q And you agree that you had an account at one time or another at the Union Bank of California Investment Services,
a money market account, correct?
A Yes.

Q You admit that you had an account with E*TRADE FINANCIAL, also a money market account, correct?

A I believe so.

Q And you had a security --

THE COURT: Just a moment.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, your Honor.
I don't know how they categorize it, but I had an E*TRADE account, yes.

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q So the investigation into your activities, at least with respect to section 3, "Finances," they got all that right?

A I don't know if it's correct, but the accounts are correct, yes.

Q Let's look at section 4, "Site survey." It has an address for you at 2339 Carioca Place as of June 28th, 2002. Is that your address, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A Yes, it is.

Q And was the purchase price for that address $\$ 592,500$ ?
A Yes, it was.

Q The home, does it have four bedrooms, three-and-a-half baths, a library and a four-car tandem garage?

A Yes.

Q And the neighborhood is referred to as Somerset Glen in East Vista; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q So their investigation into your activities, at least with respect to section 4, they got that right?

A I believe they did, yes.

Q Now, if you'll turn to the next page, section 5, "Internet identities." It says "Chris Tarnovsky has now been linked to the following internet identities. Searches of internet discussion forums are under way to determine if any of these identities are linked to piracy activities." MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, may $I$ have a continuing objection to this document?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. KLEIN: Thank you.

THE COURT: It's overruled.

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Now, section A, geo@tfb.com, that's one of the e-mail
addresses that you admit to using, right, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A Yes.

Q And section D, Chris.Tarnovsky@freeworld.nacamar.de, that's another one you admit to using?

THE COURT: Just a moment, Counsel. You said
section D?

MR. HAGAN: Section 5-D, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: It's not that I admit to using it, it's that I don't -- I -- I believe it was something that was created back in '94, '93 registering somewhere, and they gave me an e-mail address --

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q That -- that one wasn't part of this elaborate plan to set you up, though, right?

A No.

Q It also has NiPpEr2000 and ChrisVon@s4interpass.com. It's your testimony to the ladies and gentlemen of this jury that they got it wrong in that section, correct?

A Could you repeat that, Counsel?

Q Section 5, under $B$ and C, there's entries for NiPpEr2000 and ChrisVon@s4interpass.com; do you see those? A Yes, I do.

Q Your testimony is, for the ladies and gentlemen of this jury, that their investigative work simply got it wrong on those two, right?

A Yes, these are Google searches.

Q Now, Mr. Tarnovsky, let's -- let's look at a couple of other sections in the document to see if there were other parts to the document where their investigation got it right.

Page 4 of 6, entitled "Recent travel." This says that
you, Christopher George Tarnovsky, traveled to Dayton, Ohio on the 15th of May, 2002, to the 19th of May, 2002 for a ham radio convention. Did you attend that convention?

A I believe I did that year.
Q And did you stay at the Penthouse Suite for the

Residence Inn in Dayton North when you attended that
convention?

A No.

Q Did you use a Mailboxes, Etc. at 2909 Dayton Yellow Springs Road to ship packages to yourself in California --

A No.

Q -- while you were on that trip?
A No.

Q So they got it wrong in this section?

A Yes, they did.
Q This is part of the elaborate theory to set you up?

A (No audible response.)

Q Let's go to section 7.

MR. KLEIN: I am going to object.
THE COURT: Sustained.

Strike the last comment.

BY MR. HAGAN:

Q Let's go to section 7, Mr. Tarnovsky, "Connection to Texas."

Do you know an individual named Lois Bingham?

A Yes.

Q Who is that?

A This is my mother.
Q And did you sell Ms. Bingham a 1996 Pontiac in August
of 2000?

A Yes.

Q Okay. So they got it right in that section of their report, correct?

A I suppose.
Q Now --

A I don't understand your question, got what right exactly, my mother -- me giving a vehicle to my mother? Q Well, you're not contesting the information in that section of the report, are you, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A (No audible response.)

Q In other words, you don't think that that's part of the -- the sections that are not related to you in your internet activities, correct?

A I believe that this information on page 506 about my mother and my family members is correct.

Q Let's -- let's move on. Let's focus on the posting of December 21st, 2000, posting entitled "NiPperClAuZ 00." You deny any involvement in that posting, is that right, Mr. Tarnovsky?

A I deny that, yes.

THE COURT: And Counsel, why don't we go to lunch at this time. It's going to be an extended lunch hour --

MR. HAGAN: Certainly, your Honor.

THE COURT: We'll come back. This is a logical break point.

You are going to have more than you need today. You're not going to reconvene until 1:00, and I may need a little additional time, also.

So you are admonished not to discuss this matter amongst yourselves, nor form or express any opinion.

In fact, make it 1:15 just so you're not standing around, okay?

Thank you very much.
(The following proceedings is taken outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: All right. The jury is no longer
present, all counsel are present. We're outside the
presence of the jury.

Thank you for your courtesy, Counsel.

Concerning Exhibit 39, Counsel, I want you to voice your objection again so that you don't have to repeatedly do that in front of the jury.

MR. KLEIN: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have a seat so I can hear you. Pull the microphone closer --

MR. KLEIN: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: -- or go to the lectern, one of the two.

MR. KLEIN: I'll go to the lectern.

Our objections are twofold, your Honor. It's an unauthenticated document. The witness has testified that he never used the name NiPpEr2000. My understanding is that counsel has no witness who can come in here and even tell us where this document came from. It just dropped out of the air years after the event.

THE COURT: Whose discovery did it come through? Was it obtained through discovery that EchoStar requested of NDS?

MR. KLEIN: I'm not aware where it came from, your Honor. I don't believe it came from NDS.

THE COURT: Counsel, where did this document come from?

MR. HAGAN: This document came from EchoStar's Signal Integrity investigative files, your Honor.

THE COURT: Who created this document?

MR. HAGAN: The problem is we don't have a witness that knows. We believe that it was a dump from the website, but for purposes of Rule 901, authentication, it is a very low threshold. All we must do is produce some evidence that shores this document up, and we've done that. We've got two
of the documents in evidence already, the ICG report and the TDI report. Both of those documents identify the registration for the NiPpEr2000 alias.

THE COURT: Excuse me.

Mr. Tarnovsky, if you'd be kind enough, sir, to step down and wait in the hallway.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Please continue, Counsel.

MR. HAGAN: Both of those reports, investigative reports by third parties retained by DirecTV, not associated in any way with this litigation, link the registration of the NiPpEr2000 persona to the e-mail address

ChrisVon@s4interpass.com. They go a step further, and they link both the NiPpEr2000 persona and the Chris Von e-mail address directly to Mr. Tarnovsky.

In addition to those two documents, which have already been received into evidence, we have the testimony of Mr. Ereiser, who says "Chris Tarnovsky admitted to me that he was responsible for the publications by Nipper in December of 2000."

That is more than sufficient to overcome the threshold for Rule 901.

THE COURT: Counsel?

MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, if this threshold is 1, this is a 0. It is a minus 10. He has a document that --
that he does not know where it came from. He said he thinks it came from some dump, but he has no idea where it came from.

THE COURT: Just a moment. It's -- it's not your ability to argue this that's being foreclosed. You can construct the same argument in front of the jury. It's the authenticity of the document itself, and it comes from your own files. If this was from NDS's files, I would receive this. But it comes from your files, and there is a duty upon you to at least authenticate it. So is it relevant? Yes. Can you authenticate it at this time? No. Are you precluded from arguing this in the same way you just argued it to the Court, tying together, Nipper and Chris Tarnovsky? Absolutely, you are not precluded.

It's the document itself that comes floating into evidence. With no authentication, we have no idea where it came from; that's the concern. It's not foreclosing this argument in any way. The jury may be very persuaded by the combination of documents coming in. It's the document itself that just, as counsel says, floats through the internet. I'm just kidding you, but --

MR. HAGAN: One thing that $I$ left out of -- of our position, your Honor, is that the defendants produced documents from their own database that show the link between ChrisVon@s4interpass.com to the NiPpEr2000 internet persona
and --

THE COURT: What documents?

MR. HAGAN: -- and we will find those at lunch. I
don't have the Bates number.
THE COURT: Well, find them at lunch. All right,
Counsel. That's why I probably don't have them at this
time, because you're looking for them at lunch. All right.

Well, Counsel, let's come back to this and see
what counsel presents to us at 1:00, okay?
Counsel, we'll see you at 1:00.

MR. HAGAN: Thank you, your Honor.
(Recess.)
-OOO-
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