UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, JUDGE PRESIDING	
ECHOSTAR SATELLITE CORPORATION,)	
et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
vs.) No. SACV 03-950 DOC	
) Day 8, Volume I	Ι
NDS GROUP PLC, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS Jury Trial Santa Ana, California Tuesday, April 22, 2008

Debbie Gale, CSR 9472, RPR Federal Official Court Reporter United States District Court 411 West 4th Street, Room 1-053 Santa Ana, California 92701 (714) 558-8141

EchoStar 2008-04-22 D8V2

```
1
    APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2
    FOR PLAINTIFF ECHOSTAR SATELLITE CORPORATION, ET AL.:
3
               T. WADE WELCH & ASSOCIATES
 4
               BY: CHAD M. HAGAN
                    CHRISTINE D. WILLETTS
5
                    WADE WELCH
                    Attorneys at Law
6
               2401 Fountainview
               Suite 700
7
               Houston, Texas 77057
               (713) 952-4334
8
9
    FOR DEFENDANT NDS GROUP PLC, ET AL.:
10
               O'MELVENY & MYERS
               BY: DARIN W. SNYDER
11
                    DAVID R. EBERHART
                    Attorneys at Law
12
               275 Embarcadero Center West
               Suite 2600
13
               San Francisco, California 94111
               (415) 984-8700
14
               -and-
15
               HOGAN & HARTSON
16
               BY: RICHARD L. STONE
                    KENNETH D. KLEIN
17
                    Attorneys at Law
               1999 Avenue of the Stars
18
               Suite 1400
               Los Angeles, California 90067
19
               (310) 785-4600
20
    ALSO PRESENT:
21
               David Moskowitz
22
               Dov Rubin
23
24
25
```

Page 3

						1 age
1			I N	DEX		
2						
3	WITNESS	ES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
4	RUBIN,	Dov				
	By Mr.	Snyder				4
5				24		
	By Mr.	Welch			19	
6						
7	ERISER,	Ronald Lor	me			
8	By Mr.	Noll	26			
9						
10						
11			EXHI	BITS		
12	EXHIBIT	NO.	I	DENTIFI	CATION I	N EVIDENCE
13	366	January	25, 2002 NDS	i		9
		overview	for a			
14			pay-TV syste	:m		
15	1065	Form 20-				21
16	2011	Form 10-	-Q			21
17						
18						
19 20						
20						
21						
23						
24						
25						
-						

	Page
1	SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, APRIL 22, 2008
2	Day 8, Volume II
3	(10:44 a.m.)
4	THE COURT: We're back in session. All counsel
5	are present. The parties are present.
6	Dr. Rubin is on the witness stand. Mr. Snyder is
7	continuing his cross-examination on behalf of NDS.
8	MR. SNYDER: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	DOV RUBIN, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN
10	RESUMED THE STAND
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
12	BY MR. SNYDER:
13	Q. Dr. Rubin, could you please look at Exhibit 1270, which
14	was previously admitted into evidence. It says, "NDS and
15	Nagra conditional access system."
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Can you remind the jury, please, Dr. Rubin, what this
18	document is?
19	(Document displayed.)
20	THE WITNESS: This was a marketing competitive
21	intelligence document comparing the NDS to Nagra conditional
22	access system.
23	BY MR. SNYDER:
24	Q. Okay. And is this an internal NDS document?
25	A. Yes, it is, as you can see from the designation saying

	Page
1	"confidential."
2	Q. And is it designated confidential on every page?
3	A. Yes, it is.
4	Q. Dr. Rubin, does this document contain confidential NDS
5	information?
6	A. Yes, it does.
7	Q. Can you give the jury an example of some of the
8	confidential information that this document contains?
9	A. Just to pick one, for example, on page 1270-011.
10	MR. SNYDER: If you could show that, please,
11	Charlie.
12	THE COURT: You may.
13	(Document displayed.)
14	THE WITNESS: Paragraph 4.5, the last paragraph.
15	BY MR. SNYDER:
16	Q. And what about that paragraph contains confidential NDS
17	information just as an example of the kind of information
18	that's in this document?
19	A. Okay. This describes how NDS would perform a blackout
20	operation. And a blackout operation means the ability to
21	determine who should view a particular program. For
22	example, football games tend to be blocked out in the home
23	region, and yet a satellite broadcasts to the entire
24	United States.
25	It's a very complex operation. It's something that the

	raye
1	Nagra system did not perform particularly well. And this
2	outlines exactly how it's done and how we do it as
3	efficiently as we do.
4	Q. What was the purpose of this document, Exhibit 1270?
5	A. The document was intended for sales and marketing
6	people, particularly the salespeople around the world who
7	might be selling our products to customers who were hearing
8	things about our system from our competitors. In this case
9	it would be Nagra.
10	Q. If you could, please, Dr. Rubin, turn to the next page
11	of this exhibit, which is marked 1270-12, and specifically
12	the section 5.1 and the last paragraph of that section.
13	Do you have that in front of you?
14	A. Yes, I do.
15	Q. Okay. If you could look at the last sentence. This is
16	one that plaintiff's counsel asked you about. It says, "Our
17	estimate is that this commercial loss to EchoStar probably
18	accounted for over a hundred thousand nonpaying
19	subscribers." Do you see that?
20	A. Yes, I do.
21	Q. Was that estimate ever used in any of NDS's
22	advertising?
23	A. No, it was not.
24	Q. Was that estimate ever used in any presentations with
25	customers or potential customers?

		Page
1	λ	No.
2	Α.	
	Q.	Was that estimate ever used outside of NDS?
3	Α.	No.
4	Q.	Does that estimate include pirates outside of the
5	Unit	ed States?
6	A.	Yes, it does.
7	Q.	And does that estimate include all versions that were
8	in c	peration at the time of this document all versions of
9	Nagr	aStar's system that were in operation at the time of
10	this	document?
11	A.	Yes, it does.
12	Q.	What is the date on this document?
13	A.	May 13th, 2001.
14	Q.	Okay. Now, would it be harmful to NDS if the contents
15	of t	his document were to get into the hands of NDS's
16	comp	petitors?
17	A.	Yes, it would.
18	Q.	Why?
19	A.	Quite simply, it would take any one of our competitive
20	adva	ntages, any one of our arguments that we would attempt
21	to m	ake to the customer, and enable our competitor even
22	if t	hey didn't have or support these features to just
23	misr	represent their system and claim that they do.
24	Q.	Would it harm NDS if this information were to get into
25	the	hands of the general public or people other than NDS's

1 competitors?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Why?

4 There's information here talking about the particular Α. 5 microchip that we use in the Smart Card, talking about the 6 different algorithms and authentication schemes, basically 7 technical details that are not publicly known. And again, 8 they could use that information to further their activities. 9 Okay. So would it be potentially damaging to NDS if it Q. 10 were in the hands of satellite pirates? 11 Absolutely. Α. 12 Could you look, please, Dr. Rubin, at Exhibit 366. Q.

13 Can you describe for the jury generally what

14 Exhibit 366 is?

A. 366 is an internal document that we wrote describing at a high level and at a detailed level NDS's digital pay

17 television system.

18 Q. And is this a document that was prepared in the regular 19 course of NDS's business?

20 A. Yes, it was.

21 Q. Okay. And for what purpose was it prepared?

A. This was prepared for a potential customer and was
 given to a potential customer but after signing a

24 confidentiality agreement.

25

MR. SNYDER: Your Honor, I move Exhibit 366.

1	Pay	e
1	MR. WELCH: No, objection, Your Honor.	
2	THE COURT: Received.	
3	(Exhibit No. 366 received in evidence.)	
4	(Document displayed.)	
5	BY MR. SNYDER:	
6	Q. When was this document prepared, Dr. Rubin?	
7	A. January 25th, 2002.	
8	Q. And you indicated a moment ago that it was prepared for	
9	a customer?	
10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. Who was that customer?	
12	A. The customer's Rico.	
13	Q. And where is Rico located?	
14	A. Well, they're located around the world. I think this	
15	particular bid was for the UK, for a customer in the UK.	
16	Q. And does this document contain confidential NDS	
17	information?	
18	A. Absolutely, yes.	
19	Q. Okay. Can you give the jury an example of the kinds of	
20	confidential information, NDS information that it contains?	
21	A. Well, for example can I give you the page just to	
22	look?	
23	Q. Please.	
24	A. Page 366-012.	
25	(Document displayed.)	

THE WITNESS: Okay.

2 BY MR. SNYDER:

1

3 Q. Please go ahead.

4 Paragraph 3.1, the first paragraph, for example, talks Α. 5 about the system configuration. So we give here a detailed 6 system configuration where it accentuates a very important 7 point that we tend to be overlooking and focusing just on 8 the card piracy issue. But probably the most important 9 factor for a broadcaster is the ability to send those 10 comments and get responses in the home within 2 or 11 3 seconds. And that requires a Headend architecture and 12 powerful servers, which means large computers, to deliver 13 that information fast and efficiently. 14 So we list the names, we talk about two HP, 15 Hewlett-Packard, servers. We have the system diagrams of 16 how we achieve that kind of performance. And that's 17 performance which NDS gets praised -- I should be more 18 precise. Our customers get praised year after year for high 19 quality of performance.

Q. Would it be harmful to NDS if the contents of this document were in the hands of a competitor?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Why?

A. Again, giving the competitor the ability to make false
 claims about the system, saying that they support that

	rage
1	architecture, is certainly damaging. They could just take
2	this document and try to emulate the things that we've done.
3	And it goes beyond that. There are many other examples
4	in here of new products that we were looking to sell at the
5	time or are looking to sell at this time relating to some of
6	the newer technologies. We can go through this page by page
7	of the examples.
8	Q. I'm pretty confident that nobody wants us to do that
9	right now. So we're not going to go through it page by
10	page.
11	A. Okay.
12	Q. Would it be harmful to NDS if that document were in the
13	hands of even people who were not NDS competitors but were
14	not within the control of NDS?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Now, I believe you mentioned that the document was
17	given to a potential customer, Rico?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And under what circumstances was Rico allowed to see
20	this information?
21	A. They had to sign a nonconfidentiality agreement,
22	meaning that they have to keep the contents secret.
23	Q. A nonconfidentiality agreement or a nondisclosure
24	agreement?
25	A. A nondisclosure. I beg your pardon, nondisclosure.

	raye -
1	Q. Dr. Rubin, I want to take you back a bit. You were
2	asked some questions on Thursday about a potential merger in
3	1997 between EchoStar and News Corp. Do you remember that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. Did you participate in any of the discussions
6	related to that potential merger?
7	A. Yes, I did.
8	Q. What was your role?
9	A. My role as the project manager for that merger between
10	ASkyB and EchoStar was, first of all, to make sure that we
11	could perform an engineering feasibility to see if our
12	conditional access system would run on the EchoStar system
13	alongside the NagraStar conditional access system.
14	Q. And was that engineering feasibility study completed?
15	A. Yes, it was.
16	Q. Was it successful?
17	A. It was successful. We completed that task within about
18	three weeks.
19	Q. And after that engineering feasibility was completed,
20	after that study was completed, then what happened?
21	A. There were discussions between the two major parties of
22	that merger that would have been EchoStar and News
23	Corporation to discuss the continuation of making that
24	merger.
25	Q. Okay. And did you attend a meeting the subject of

	raye
1	which was which conditional access system, Nagra or NDS,
2	would be used?
3	A. Yes, I did.
4	Q. And why did you attend that meeting?
5	A. I was asked by the News Corporation representative
6	his name is Greg Clark, C-L-A-R-K prior to that meeting
7	to give him a list of weaknesses of the Nagra system.
8	Mr. Clark gave that memo to EchoStar, and they asked
9	that I come to present those weaknesses to them personally,
10	firsthand.
11	Q. Did you believe at the time of that meeting that the
12	NDS conditional access system was superior to the Nagra
13	system?
14	A. Yes, I did.
15	Q. Now, at the time of that meeting, the Nagra system in
16	the United States was not pirated, correct?
17	A. I can't say that for sure.
18	Q. But at the time of that meeting, the NDS system was
19	pirated in the United States; isn't that right?
20	A. Yes, it was.
21	Q. Why did you believe that the NDS system was still
22	superior to the Nagra system?
23	A. In the years before that, in the two or three years
24	before that, we had pirated difficulties pirating
25	difficulties at our customer, BSkyB, in the United Kingdom.

	Tage
1	And about a year or six months before that, before this
2	meeting, we produced a card, a Smart Card, which overcame
3	all of these problems. In fact, that card is still and that
4	system is still secure to this day.
5	So although we were hacked in '97 at DirecTV, we had
6	the confidence to know that we were on the right path; and
7	with a little bit more time and little bit more cooperation,
8	we would have a fully secure system to offer not only
9	DirecTV but, in this case, EchoStar.
10	Q. Okay. And where was that system that you're referring
11	to in use?
12	A. That system is in use today at Sky Television in
13	England.
14	Q. Okay. And it remains unpirated to this day?
15	A. Yes, it does.
16	Q. Now, Dr. Rubin, during that meeting did Mr. Ergen
17	attend that meeting?
18	A. Yes, he did.
19	Q. And during that meeting did Mr. Ergen express a
20	preference for the Nagra technology?
21	A. Yes, he did.
22	Q. Did he say why he preferred that technology?
23	A. Well, one was he felt his system was less expensive,
24	and the second reason was he said that our DirecTV system
25	was hacked.

	raye -
1	Q. And did Mr. Ergen do anything to demonstrate that the
2	NDS system was pirated?
3	A. He did.
4	Q. What did Mr. Ergen do?
5	A. He instructed Mr. Dugan Mike Dugan, D-U-G-A-N to
6	take a pirate card, put it into a DirecTV set-top box that
7	was in the meeting room, in the EchoStar meeting room, and
8	place it inside the set-top box; whereupon he said, "Look,
9	it lights up like a Christmas tree."
10	Q. So Mr. Ergen and the other executives at EchoStar
11	actually started receiving unauthorized DirecTV
12	transmissions right there in the meeting?
13	A. That's correct.
14	Q. What did you do in response?
15	A. I kept silent.
16	Q. Now, Dr. Rubin, after that meeting, did you later learn
17	that the EchoStar system was pirated?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. At any time did you ever give any instruction to anyone
20	at NDS for them to have any involvement in EchoStar piracy?
21	A. Absolutely not.
22	Q. At any time did anyone in NDS management ever give an
23	instruction for someone at NDS to be involved in EchoStar
24	piracy?
25	A. No.

1	Q. Did you ever hear from anyone that someone in NDS
2	management had instructed NDS employees to become involved
З	in EchoStar piracy?
4	A. Never.
5	Q. Have you ever heard from anyone at NDS that someone at
6	
7	NDS had suggested that an NDS employee become involved in
	EchoStar piracy?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Now, after your meeting with regarding ASkyB,
10	several years later you met with Mr. Ergen in March of 2000?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. I believe you already testified about that, so I don't
13	want to go over that again.
14	Did you ever have another meeting with the people at
15	EchoStar?
16	A. Yes, I did.
17	Q. When was that meeting?
18	A. Sometime in September 2006.
19	Q. And who did you meet with?
20	A. I met at that time with Mark Jackson, who's the, I
21	think, senior vice president or president of EchoStar
22	Technologies.
23	Q. And what prompted that meeting?
24	A. I had contacted I sent Mr. Jackson an e-mail
25	requesting a meeting because I thought that we had products

	raye 1
1	that were not related to conditional access but
2	future-looking products; for example, set-top boxes that
3	would receive both satellite and Internet television,
4	portable television on cell phones or portable media
5	players, products that I saw EchoStar were lacking. And I
6	thought that they would be interested in using those
7	products or purchasing those products.
8	Q. Did you, in fact, attend a meeting with Mr. Jackson in
9	September 2006?
10	A. Yes, I did.
11	Q. Did Mr. Jackson say anything about EchoStar's piracy
12	issues?
13	A. Well, before we even got to the subject matter, Mark
14	said to me that the piracy was killing them, the free-to-air
15	boxes and the card-sharing piracy solutions were killing
16	them, and do we have a solution.
17	Q. What did you say to him?
18	A. I said, "I really didn't come prepared to talk about
19	the subject." But we did. We did. We discussed it.
20	Q. Did you discuss with Mr. Jackson NDS and EchoStar doing
21	business together?
22	A. We did.
23	Q. What did Mr. Jackson say to you?
24	A. Mr. Jackson said that after especially after we had
25	just successfully completed a demonstration in their sister

1	
1	company, Bell ExpressVu in Canada, where we successfully
2	installed our conditional access system to work opposite the
3	Nagra system under the live signal in Canada first of
4	all, Mr. Jackson said that he had attended that
5	demonstration and thought that it went well. And so he felt
6	confident that we might be able to apply the same solution
7	for EchoStar.
8	Q. Did Mr. Jackson indicate that he was willing to do
9	business with NDS and have NDS become EchoStar's conditional
10	access provider?
11	A. Yes. But he put a few conditions.
12	Q. What were those conditions?
13	A. Number one was that we would be willing to locate the
14	operational personnel on the EchoStar premises. And the
15	second one was that the price had to be right.
16	Q. Did you tell Mr. Jackson that you were willing to
17	locate NDS personnel on the EchoStar premises?
18	A. Yes, I did.
19	Q. And did you understand from Mr. Jackson's other comment
20	that EchoStar was willing to have NDS provide EchoStar's
21	conditional access system; it was only a matter of price?
22	A. Yes.
23	MR. SNYDER: No, more questions.
24	THE COURT: Redirect.
25	MR. WELCH: Your Honor, just brief redirect.

	Page 1
1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. WELCH:
3	Q. Mr. Rubin, the what you identified as the internal
4	NDS documents, which would be Exhibit 366, 391, and 1270,
5	you don't have any evidence whatsoever that plaintiffs ever
6	posted any of that information on the Internet, do you?
7	A. I don't.
8	Q. You don't have any information that they disseminated
9	that information out to any pirates, do you?
10	A. I don't have such information.
11	Q. And, in fact, if you look at the documents, they're
12	dated in 2001.
13	THE COURT: Which document?
14	MR. WELCH: 366, 391 and 1270.
15	THE COURT: 366, 391 and 1270?
16	MR. WELCH: 1270.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	BY MR. WELCH:
19	Q. Those are in the early 2000 era, correct?
20	A. No. This one's 2002.
21	Q. Okay. We have 2001, 2002. You are aware, aren't you,
22	that EchoStar and NagraStar didn't even get these documents
23	until 2005 in connection with the litigation, correct?
24	A. I'm not aware.
25	Q. Okay. Now, I want to focus on your March 2000 meeting

	Iage
1	with Mr. Ergen.
2	You said he was concerned about some piracy of the
З	EchoStar system, correct?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. Did you share with Mr. Ergen the Headend Report,
6	Exhibit 98?
7	A. I never saw the Headend Report.
8	Q. Did you share with Mr. Ergen that Mr. Mordinson and
9	Mr. Shkedy went on this planes, trains, and automobile ride
10	in '98?
11	A. I was not aware that they did.
12	THE COURT: You may not know what planes, trains,
13	and automobile
14	I want you to be more definite in your question.
15	BY MR. WELCH:
16	Q. Did you inform Mr. Ergen of all the activities that
17	Mr. Shkedy and Mr. Mordinson engaged in that we've heard
18	about here from them on the stand?
19	A. I did not know of them or what they did at the time of
20	my meeting.
21	Q. So it would be fair to say Mr. Ergen probably didn't
22	know, either?
23	A. That would be fair statement.
24	MR. WELCH: Your Honor, as a housekeeping matter,
25	I'd like to offer Exhibit 1065.

	raye 2
1	THE COURT: I don't know what exhibit that is.
2	It's not in front of me, Counsel. Is that the Form 20-H?
3	MR. WELCH: Yes, sir.
4	THE COURT: All right. It's received.
5	(Exhibit No. 1065 received in evidence.)
6	THE COURT: And 2011 is the 10-Q?
7	MR. WELCH: 2011 is a 10-Q.
8	THE COURT: Has that been received?
9	MR. WELCH: I believe it has, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: It was received. If it hasn't been,
11	it's received.
12	(Exhibit No. 2011 received in evidence.)
13	BY MR. WELCH:
14	Q. Now, Mr. Rubin
15	THE COURT: I'm also Mr. Snyder, on 366, if you
16	didn't offer, it's received also.
17	MR. SNYDER: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Received.
19	(Exhibit No. 366 previously received in evidence.)
20	BY MR. WELCH:
21	Q. We talked about the initial public offering of NDS
22	which occurred in November 1999, correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. And would you agree with me that if NDS and DirecTV had
25	not entered into the August '99 contract, that would have

1	been detrimental to News Corporation as well as NDS?
2	A. I don't understand why.
3	Q. Okay. Without the contract, the August '99 contract,
4	would that have been detrimental to NDS's initial public
5	offering in November of '99?
6	A. No, I don't think so.
7	Q. Even though that was a large source of revenues for
8	NDS?
9	A. That was a source of revenue, but I don't think it
10	would have been detrimental. We had many other customers as
11	well at the time.
12	Q. Is it your testimony that that was just coincidental,
13	the August '99 extension and then the November '99 IPO?
14	A. Yes. That is my statement.
15	Q. Okay. Let's talk about something else you said was a
16	coincidence.
17	Did I hear your testimony right that you're saying it's
18	just a coincidence that DirecTV became secure when
19	News Corporation purchased the controlling interest in
20	DirecTV? That's just a coincidence also?
21	A. No, that's not a coincidence. That took persuasion on
22	our part.
23	Q. Now, I want to talk to you a little bit about piracy
24	and swapping out conditional access systems or changing your
25	technology.

	raye 2
1	Now, NDS informs its customers that they may have to
2	swap out their technology or improve their technology over
3	time, correct?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. And if that is required having to swap it out
6	or change technology if that's required because of
7	somebody's pirate activities, that does not mean the pirate
8	gets to go free, correct?
9	A. It's a complex question. I'd like to take it again.
10	Q. Okay. Now, NDS has filed lawsuits against hackers and
11	pirates in the past, correct?
12	A. Yes, we have.
13	Q. So when you have to change your technology, even though
14	you've put it kind of in calculated it in your head that
15	you're going to have to change out the technology, it
16	doesn't mean that there's nobody responsible, correct?
17	THE COURT: Do you understand that question?
18	THE WITNESS: I'm having a hard time.
19	THE COURT: I'm having a hard time too, Counsel.
20	MR. WELCH: I'm sorry. It's convoluted.
21	BY MR. WELCH:
22	Q. When NDS has been pirated or hacked, it proceeds and
23	goes after pirates and hackers, correct?
24	A. We would go after pirates and hackers even if we
25	weren't hacked.

	rage 2
1	Q. And you have filed lawsuits against pirates and
2	hackers, correct?
3	A. Yes, we have.
4	Q. And you alleged that these pirates and hackers that
5	compromise your conditional access system cause you hundreds
6	of millions of dollars in damage, correct?
7	A. I haven't seen the specific claims, but I will grant
8	you that.
9	MR. WELCH: Your Honor, we have no further
10	questions for Dr. Rubin.
11	THE COURT: Recross.
12	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. SNYDER:
14	Q. Dr. Rubin, when was the first time that you saw the
15	Headend Report?
16	A. At my deposition in August of this year of 2007.
17	Q. And when was the first time that you learned about the
18	reverse engineering done by Mr. Shkedy and Mr. Mordinson?
19	A. The same time.
20	Q. And do you understand why you were not told about that
21	project before?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Why?
24	A. That information is highly sensitive, is highly secret.
25	We don't want that information, even if it's about our

1 competitor, to be getting out. We want to maintain on a 2 need-to-know basis, and as few people should know about it 3 as possible. 4 MR. SNYDER: No further questions. 5 Thank you, Dr. Rubin. 6 THE COURT: Dr. Rubin, if you would remain. 7 Thank you very much, sir, you may step down. 8 (Witness steps down subject to recall.) 9 THE COURT: And Counsel, would you call your next 10 witness, please. 11 MR. NOLL: David Noll for plaintiffs EchoStar and 12 NagraStar. 13 Plaintiffs call Ronald Ereiser. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. 15 If you would step forward and be kind enough to 16 raise your right hand, sir. 17 RONALD LORNE EREISER, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 20 Would you please be seated in the witness box to 21 my left. 22 THE WITNESS: Certainly. 23 THE COURT: After you're comfortably seated, would 24 you face the jury and state your full name to them and spell 25 your last name for us.

	Page 20
1	THE WITNESS: Ronald Lorne Ereiser, E-R-E-I-S-E-R.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Ereiser, move that seat closer to
3	the microphone or move that microphone closer to you.
4	THE WITNESS: Okay. Got it.
5	Lorne is the middle name, L-O-R-N-E.
6	THE COURT: Direct examination by Mr. Noll.
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. NOLL:
9	Q. Good morning, Mr. Ereiser.
10	A. Good morning.
11	Q. Doing all right today?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. Before we get going, Mr. Ereiser, I want to let
14	the jury know there's essentially three main topics I want
15	to talk to you about this morning.
16	One of them is some CD's that you delivered to
17	J. J. Gee and Alan Guggenheim. Do you remember doing that?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. The second thing I want to talk to you about is a
20	person named Christopher Tarnovsky. Do you know a person
21	named Christopher Tarnovsky, sir?
22	A. Yes, I do.
23	Q. The third thing I want to talk to you about today is
24	some e-mails that you were given by a man named Dave Dawson.
25	Do you recall those e-mails, sir?

	Page	2
1	A. Yes, I do.	
2	Q. Before we get into that, I want to get a little bit of	
3	background from you.	
4	Where are you from, Mr. Ereiser?	
5	A. I am from Victoria, BC, Canada.	
6		
	Q. Can you tell the jury a little bit about yourself so	
7	they can come to understand a little bit more about you.	
8	A. Presently I'm 49 years old, three children, married,	
9	pretend that I know how to play guitar, enjoy yard work,	
10	that kind of stuff, living in Victoria. Fixing up our house)
11	presently, so learning how to do a little bit of carpentry,	
12	things like that.	
13	Q. I understand you're a Canadian citizen; is that	
14	correct?	
15	A. Yes, I am a Canadian citizen.	
16	Q. Have you had a citizenship anywhere else, Mr. Ereiser,	
17	aside from Canada?	
18	A. No, I have not.	
19	Q. And you presently live in Victoria; is that correct?	
20	A. Yes.	
21	Q. Have you lived in any other parts of Canada?	
22	A. Yes. I used to live in Saskatchewan.	
23	Q. When did you move to Victoria?	
24	A. I think it was in 2002 that we moved out.	
25	Q. I want to take a moment, sir, to talk to you about a	

1 topic called satellite piracy. 2 Do you know what satellite piracy is, Mr. Ereiser? 3 Yes, I do. Α. 4 And in your words, what is satellite piracy? Q. 5 Satellite piracy is doing -- using devices or Α. 6 reprogramming the original cards that the companies that 7 supply pay TV use and selling it to customers to where they 8 get it free, get free programming. 9 Have you ever had involvement in cyberpiracy, Q. 10 Mr. Ereiser? 11 Yes, I did. Α. 12 And did you sell Smart Cards or pirated devices at any Ο. 13 time? 14 Yes, in 1996 is when we started. At that time, the Α. 15 laws in Canada were as such that it was not illegal to sell 16 these types of devices. It was illegal to subscribe, 17 actually, because there was no agent or company that you 18 could pay for the services coming across the border. We 19 couldn't pay anybody for the programming. 20 So it was actually legal to do this in Canada in 1996, 21 '97, up to, I think 2001, where some provinces were still 22 legal and some were not. 23 But, yes, I did it in Saskatchewan. 24 Q. And did you sell pirated access cards to the DirecTV 25 system?

1	A. Yes, I did.
2	Q. Have you ever heard of a term called a "battery card"?
3	A. Yes, I have.
4	Q. And what is a battery card?
5	A. Battery card was a PCB card or printed circuit board
6	card with processers on it that would pretty much emulate
7	exactly what the original Smart Card the plastic original
8	OEM Smart Card that you got with your system originally
9	it would do the same thing. You could reprogram it and/or
10	program it, we'll say, and it would allow free programming.
11	Q. And we're going to talk about this a little bit later,
12	but at some point in time, was Mr. Tarnovsky involved with
13	working with you on the battery card?
14	A. Yes, he was.
15	Q. And before we get there, do you know who provides a
16	conditional access system for DirecTV?
17	A. Yes, I do. I believe it is NDS.
18	Q. Did you ever have any disputes with NDS concerning your
19	selling pirated Smart Cards?
20	A. Yes. It was in '96. It first started in I believe
21	the battery card that came out was in late '95 or early '96,
22	and I think in it was in June '96 I was raided by the
23	RCMP in Canada on they had a search warrant looking for
24	battery cards.
25	And we went to court over that and, actually, when the

l

1	Court was all done, the headlines read that the RCMP were
2	duped by DirecTV and NDS because it was found out that
3	that's when they decided that there was no legitimate agent
4	to pay for the programming, and no company to pay, so there
5	was nothing that we were doing wrong. So basically what
6	they said, what came out in the court case, is that NDS and
7	DirecTV had kind of tricked the RCMP into thinking we were
8	doing something wrong when actually we were doing nothing
9	wrong.
10	And it was about a week after that we were also I
11	was also served with a civil suit from NDS.
12	Q. Let's focus a minute on those lawsuits.
13	Has NDS sued you more than once?
14	A. Yes, they have, twice.
15	Q. Have you ever been indicted for satellite piracy down
16	in the United States to your knowledge?
17	A. I'm not I'm not positive. I can't say positively.
18	Q. Did NDS ever get a judgment against you for satellite
19	piracy?
20	A. Yes, they did. They did have a default judgment in
21	'96, '97, '98 somewhere in there that was not
22	recognized in Canada.
23	Q. Now, when you said the words "nothing wrong" a moment
24	ago, I want to be abundantly clear about that.
25	A. Sure.

	raye
1	
	Q. When you say "nothing wrong," is what you're saying
2	that it wasn't illegal to do what you were doing in Canada
3	at that time? Is that what you mean?
4	A. No, it was not.
5	Q. Okay. Now but you did understand that you were
6	selling access cards for people to get pay television for
7	free; is that right?
8	A. That's correct.
9	Q. And is it is that something that you, as you sit
10	here today in front of this jury that you would say
11	that's probably something that's wrong, that you shouldn't
12	have done?
13	A. Yeah, I could probably say that.
14	Q. You don't have any problem with saying that; is that
15	right?
16	A. No, I don't have any problem with saying that.
17	Q. Now focusing back on this 1996 time frame, did NDS ever
18	try to recruit you?
19	A. It was shortly after the civil suit was served on us.
20	I don't know how much longer, you know, maybe in the fall of
21	'96. I had a meeting with John Norris and a fellow by the
22	name of Roni Segoly out of Israel. They met me in
23	Saskatoon. And the gist of the meeting was John Norris
24	basically told me Norman Dick was our head engineer for
25	the battery card. He did all the coding, all the

1 programming. He was the brains behind the battery card. We 2 were basically the sellers. 3 He told me that if I informed on Norman that I could 4 continue to do what I do, and they would pay me \$10,000 a 5 month. 6 Did Mr. Norris also indicate that NDS would drop its Q. 7 lawsuit against you? 8 No. He didn't -- he didn't say that. Α. 9 Okay. Just so I understand you, sir -- about --Q. 10 But I think -- sorry -- but I think he did mention Α. 11 something to the effect that, you know, as they have done in 12 other lawsuits, they won't necessarily drop it, but they 13 won't pursue it, either. 14 THE COURT: Words like "I think," "could have 15 been," "could," "would," "might" don't mean anything. 16 They're called hedge words. 17 Did he say that or not? 18 THE WITNESS: I can't say positively, no. 19 BY MR. SNYDER: 20 So did you choose to go to work for NDS? Q. 21 No, I did not. Α. 22 Q. And why not, sir? 23 Α. Well, it struck me as kind of odd that he -- that I'd 24 be sued for doing something that they think is wrong, yet 25 they're going to allow me to keep doing it and pay me to do

	rage
1	it pay me while I'm doing it.
2	Q. And did you indicate that thought to Mr. Norris?
3	A. No, I did not indicate that thought. I just said,
4	"I'll get back to you" kind of thing.
5	Q. And I want to understand a little bit more about when
6	this recruitment was. Was this after the first lawsuit
7	against you?
8	A. Yes, it was shortly after. Like I said, I think the
9	lawsuit was delivered maybe sometime in June of '96, and I
10	think I remember driving into the city. The weather then
11	was probably fall of '96.
12	Q. Were there any other recruitment efforts by NDS to try
13	to recruit you that you can recall?
14	A. No. Not really.
15	Q. Okay. One more background point I want to talk about
16	before we get into the three main topics.
17	How are you presently employed, Mr. Ereiser?
18	A. I'm employed by NagraStar.
19	Q. You have a consultancy relationship with NagraStar?
20	A. Yes, I do.
21	Q. And do you work for a company directly?
22	A. Yes. I'm employed by NagraStar. I have a lab at my
23	house with another employee there, where what we do is, we
24	reverse engineer pirate devices, search forums, find as much
25	information as we can about people that are pirating

		rage
1	Echo	oStar systems.
2	Q.	You ever heard of a company called GES?
3	Α.	Yes. It's my company.
4	Q.	And what does that stand for?
5	A.	Global Encryption Services.
6	Q.	Now, is the relationship that you have with NagraStar
7	thro	ough your company, Global Encryption Services?
8	A.	Yes, it is.
9	Q.	So you're not directly employed by NagraStar, correct?
10	Α.	That's correct.
11	Q.	You have a consultancy agreement, correct?
12	Α.	That's correct.
13	Q.	Now, I believe you testified that you consult with
14	Nagı	raStar. And would that exclude EchoStar?
15	A.	Yes, it's directly with NagraStar.
16	Q.	Have you ever had a consultancy relationship with
17	Echo	oStar?
18	A.	No, I have not.
19	Q.	When did Global Encryption System start consulting with
20	Nagı	raStar? What date?
21	Α.	I believe it was March.
22	Q.	2008? 2007?
23	A.	2007.
24	Q.	Is it fair to state GES has been consulting with
25	Nagı	raStar for a little over a year?

1 A. Yeah, that's right.

2	Q. Now, we talked a little bit about your lab in your
3	house. What specifically does GES do for NagraStar?
4	A. Well, I'm not as much of a technical person as the
5	fellow that works with me. But with the instrument we have
6	there, you know, he's able to reverse-engineer printed
7	circuit-board cards that are made, JTAG, FTA receivers that
8	are out there, to get the information out, to send it to
9	NagraStar so that he can possibly ECM it or shut it down.
10	And we do a lot of forum searching, see who's saying
11	what on forums, who the players are on these forums, 'cause
12	they all like to talk, they all like to have their five
13	minutes of fame on satellite forums.
14	Q. As I understand it, you're doing piracy investigations
15	on behalf of NagraStar?
16	A. That's correct.
17	Q. And is it fair to state that the devices that you're
18	reverse-engineering and looking at on NagraStar's behalf are
19	pirated devices that are found on the Internet or can be
20	purchased through the Internet?
21	A. Yes. Absolutely.
22	Q. Has NagraStar ever commissioned you to analyze any
23	competitor's conditional access system?
24	A. Not at all. In fact, they don't even let us use their
25	own plastic cards.

2dab62b0-ad5e-4e87-9a4e-34adc74642a6

	rage
1	Q. Has NagraStar specifically instructed you that you're
2	not to reverse-engineer or touch competitors' conditional
3	access systems?
4	A. Yes, they have.
5	Q. Who at NagraStar has given you that instruction?
6	A. I believe it came from J.J. Gee and Pascal Lenoir.
7	THE COURT: You used the word "believe." Did it
8	or not?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, it did.
10	BY MR. SNYDER:
11	Q. Who is J.J. Gee?
12	A. He's the main security officer with NagraStar.
13	Q. Who is Pascal Lenoir?
14	A. CEO for NagraStar.
15	Q. That's him right there, correct?
16	A. That is Pascal Lenoir.
17	Q. Okay. Let's talk a little bit about this lab you have
18	in Victoria. Can you tell us what kind of equipment is in
19	this lab in Victoria?
20	A. We have an oscilloscope, a probing station, Axiotron
21	microscope, bonder, laser cutter. That's about it.
22	Q. Now, who paid for the items you've just identified that
23	are in your lab in Victoria?
24	A. NagraStar has.
25	Q. Does NagraStar own that equipment?

	Page :
1	A. Yes, they do.
2	Q. And how much did this equipment
3	A. Sorry. I'll add a logic analyzer in there too.
4	Q. Thank you, sir.
5	How much did this equipment cost?
6	A. Roughly what we did is we we searched very hard for
7	used equipment on certain websites and whatnot. DoveBid was
8	one of them, where you can run across some extremely good
9	deals. And I think it's roughly in the 30-to-40 range.
10	\$35,000 range right now.
11	Q. U.S. dollars?
12	A. U.S. dollars.
13	Q. And would your testimony be the same, Mr. Ereiser, that
14	NagraStar has, in fact, instructed you not to use this
15	equipment other than for antipiracy efforts that NagraStar's
16	acted in?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. Now, you said there's another individual that works
19	with you in this lab. Who is that individual?
20	A. His name is Chris Gerlinski.
21	Q. Is he an employee of GES?
22	A. Yes, he is.
23	Q. Now, I want to talk to you about the first main topic
24	that I want to address with you here today, and that is the
25	delivery of the CD's to Mr. Guggenheim and Mr. Gee.

	rage s
1	Now, who is Alan Guggenheim, sir?
2	A. He was the CEO for NagraStar, as far as I know.
3	Q. How long have you known Mr. Guggenheim?
4	A. Roughly since 1999, '98 maybe.
5	Q. Do you know whether Mr. Guggenheim was ever involved in
6	investigating satellite signal piracy?
7	A. Yes, I do know that he was.
8	Q. Did you ever have an understanding that Mr. Guggenheim
9	was actively investigating the defendants, NDS, in this
10	case?
11	A. Yes, I knew that.
12	Q. When do you recall having that understanding?
13	A. I can't say exactly, but it would have been when the
14	first hack came out on the EchoStar card, which was, I
15	think, early 2000 or something like that.
16	Q. Now, do you recall delivering certain CD's
17	A. So excuse me. I'll add that's when I first did meet
18	Alan Guggenheim, is shortly after that time. So it would
19	have been in 2000, '98 or '99.
20	Q. Is it fair to state that's about the same time you met
21	Mr. Gee?
22	A. No. I think he started later, possibly a year later,
23	roughly, or something. I can't be certain on the exact time
24	there, but it was later. He wasn't around at the beginning.
25	Q. Now, as to the CD's, did you deliver some CD's to

Page 39

	Page .
1	Mr. Gee and Mr. Guggenheim?
2	A. Yes, I did.
3	Q. And where were you when you gave these CD's to
4	Mr. Guggenheim and Mr. Gee?
5	A. I was in Vancouver.
6	Q. That's Canada?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. British Columbia province?
9	A. Yes, sorry.
10	Q. And where specifically were you? Do you recall?
11	A. At the airport.
12	Q. And Mr. Gee and Mr. Guggenheim flew to Vancouver to
13	meet you?
14	A. Yes, they did. They flew to the Vancouver airport, and
15	I met them there.
16	Q. And do you recall how you got into contact with Mr. Gee
17	and Mr. Guggenheim in order to give these CD's?
18	A. I don't remember exactly, but I would have to assume
19	that I contacted them.
20	Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Gee or Mr. Guggenheim how you got
21	these CD's?
22	A. No, I did not.
23	Q. Now, you didn't tell 'em at the time you gave the CD's,
24	and is it true also that you hadn't told them up till up
25	to today, you have never told 'em where you got these CD's?

	I age
1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. Why didn't you tell 'em?
3	A. I just didn't think they needed to know where I got
4	them.
5	Q. Was there anything secret, in your mind, about where
6	you got them?
7	A. No, not really.
8	Q. You say "not really."
9	A. No, there was nothing secret. It's just who I got them
10	from, you know, wished that I would never have given them to
11	anybody, I suppose. So I didn't want to tell anybody where
12	I got them from.
13	Q. Now, you recall you gave a deposition in this case.
14	Do you recall, that?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And do you recall that you were asked by NagraStar's
17	counsel at that deposition where you got the CD's?
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q. And do you recall you were also asked by NDS's counsel
20	where you got the CD's?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. And do you recall whether or not you were willing to
23	answer that question?
24	A. In Canada I would have been willing to answer the
25	question, but the consent order did not allow them to ask

1	that question. So I was instructed by my lawyer not to
2	answer it because the consent order didn't cover it.
3	Q. What's your understanding of a consent order in Canada?
4	A. Well, I don't have a lot of understanding, but I was
5	told by Stephen, the lawyer, that they can't ask these
6	questions. They can only have a certain scope of questions
7	they can ask, and this was out of the scope.
8	Q. Is it your understanding that the parties, that NDS and
9	your attorney made an agreement as to the consent order
10	A. Correct.
11	Q and what questions could be asked of you and what
12	couldn't?
13	A. That's correct.
14	Q. And so your position was this was outside of the scope
15	of the consent order?
16	A. That's correct.
17	Q. Were you willing to answer the question?
18	A. Yes. I pretty much did. The name was right there. It
19	was everything but circled. But I just didn't answer the
20	question directly because of the consent order.
21	Q. Now, for the jury, who did you get these CD's from,
22	Mr. Ereiser?
23	A. Gilles Kaehlin.
24	THE COURT: Could you spell that? J-I-L-L?
25	THE WITNESS: No, it's actually G-I-L-L-E-S and

	rage
1	possibly K-A-E-H-L-I-N.
2	Am I right?
3	MR. NOLL: I believe so.
4	THE COURT: Spell the last name one more time.
5	THE WITNESS: K-A-E-H-L-I-N.
6	MR. NOLL: I believe that's correct.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	THE WITNESS: I believe it is. I can't be
9	positive.
10	BY MR. NOLL:
11	Q. As I understand you, Mr. Ereiser, you received these
12	CD's from somebody named Gilles Kaehlin?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. Who was Gilles Kaehlin?
15	A. Gilles Kaehlin, when I met him, he was the CEO for
16	Canal+ in France.
17	Q. And did you have an understanding at that time whether
18	or not Canal+ was investigating NDS for alleged satellite
19	piracy?
20	A. Yes, I was under the understanding that they were
21	investigating them for satellite piracy.
22	Q. And what time frame are we talking about when you
23	received this information from Mr. Kaehlin?
24	A. When you say "received information," that they were
25	Q. Received

	raye 4
1	A involved in satellite piracy?
2	Q. Sorry, bad question by me.
3	At what date did you receive these CD's from
4	Mr. Kaehlin?
5	A. I can't honestly be certain of the date, but I know it
6	would have been in probably early 2004 to mid-2004.
7	Q. And do you recall who you were working for at the time
8	you received the CD's?
9	A. I was working for a company called Titus.
10	Q. And what does Titus do?
11	A. I can't say for sure, but they're a subsidiary somehow
12	of Canal+. They work directly with Canal+.
13	Q. Okay. So your understanding was Titus was somehow
14	connected with Canal+?
15	A. Absolutely.
16	Q. And at the time you received these CD's, did you have
17	any discussion with Mr. Kaehlin as to whether or not Canal+
18	had filed a lawsuit against NDS?
19	A. I don't know about I knew that they had filed a
20	lawsuit against NDS, yes.
21	Q. And did you know anything about those claims?
22	A. I'm not sure that I follow the question there.
23	Q. Well, you understood that Canal+ was suing NDS.
24	THE WITNESS: Excuse me one second. Is there
25	another glass here? This one's been used.

	raye 4
1	THE COURT: We'll get you one.
2	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Go ahead.
3	BY MR. NOLL:
4	Q. Did you understand that Canal+ was suing NDS for
5	alleged acts of satellite piracy?
6	A. Yes, I was aware of that.
7	Q. Now, did Mr. Kaehlin tell you where he got these CD's?
8	A. No, he did not directly say. But what he had told me
9	and my understanding was and I never asked any more
10	questions is that he had a court order to download
11	e-mails off the NDS server which had to do with the court
12	case he was involved in. I just assumed that's where he got
13	the e-mails from.
14	Q. Your assumption was Mr. Kaehlin got the e-mails from
15	his court case against NDS
16	A. Correct.
17	Q is that right?
18	A. And it seemed logical. You know, why would I believe
19	anything other, coming from a CEO from a big company like
20	that.
21	Q. Now, what made you think to tell Mr. Gee and
22	Mr. Guggenheim that you had these CD's?
23	A. Well, after having them for a while, looking through
24	them, I seen it was mainly I was interested in the stuff
25	in there for myself and for other friends from past history

	Idyc
1	and the troubles we had. So it was interesting searching
2	through them and seeing what was done to us, aboveboard and
3	what wasn't aboveboard, and eventually just seeing stuff in
4	there that I thought probably would help EchoStar and Nagra
5	in their lawsuit against NDS as well.
6	Q. Now, you saw something there in the CD's related to
7	you?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. What did you see?
10	A. Well, quite a few things. I have a few examples, but
11	one that was a little disturbing to me was there was an
12	e-mail from a Corporal or a Sergeant Struck (phonetic) out
13	of
14	MR. SNYDER: Objection. Hearsay.
15	THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer the
16	question.
17	THE WITNESS: Sorry?
18	THE COURT: There was an e-mail from?
19	THE WITNESS: Corporal or a Sergeant Struck. I
20	forget if it was a corporal or sergeant out of Manitoba, in
21	Canada. And he had his own e-mail address on an NDS server,
22	meaning he wasn't using his RCMP address. So I guess this
23	was one way there was no paper trail for him.
24	And he was talking with John Norris in this e-mail
25	about Ereiser has a couple other businesses he's involved in

and they, you know, should go raid them.

1

25

2 And one of the businesses -- or the businesses in 3 question was one that I had run with my brother-in-law 4 previously but had signed over to him. And, in fact, at the 5 debriefing I had, my brother-in-law was sitting with John 6 Norris and Larry Risler (phonetic) and said, "You know, 7 there's piracy going on here, but we're converting over to 8 the Canadian system; and if you just give me time, we'll do 9 it."

And they were okay with it. Everything seemed all right. And over time everything would to be switched over to Canadian satellite systems as opposed to pirate satellite systems. And they seemed to be okay with it.

But anyways, along came this e-mail. And another friend that I helped out, I signed a lease agreement for him because he had gone -- he was a music promoter. He had gone bankrupt and his credit wasn't that good. So all I did was sign a lease agreement for him, to help him out. He was a friend of mine.

They raided both those businesses. And it also raid that they found some information at one of 'em about Oliver Kommerling, some e-mails or something. And John instructed the police officer to get rid of that stuff before it went to court.

THE COURT: John who?

i	Page
1	THE WITNESS: John Norris.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	THE WITNESS: He instructed 'em to get rid of the
4	Oliver Kommerling documents before it went to court because,
5	you know, for whatever reasons, I don't know. He did say
6	something, but I can't say exactly. It would reflect bad on
7	him.
8	And the police officer agreed, and on the next
9	e-mail
10	BY MR. NOLL:
11	Q. Now
12	A. That was one of 'em.
13	Q. Okay.
14	A. And there was things like that that were just, you
15	know, where they'd be talking of of, well, maybe not
16	having enough evidence for a lawsuit, but shoot one out
17	there anyway.
18	Q. Now, did you read every e-mail
19	A. No.
20	Q on this whole CD?
21	A. No. I was more interested in searching my own name and
22	maybe some friends, things like that.
23	Q. What led you to believe
24	THE COURT: Now, just a moment.
25	(To the jury:) This is a complicated ruling.

1 We don't have that e-mail, so it becomes a matter 2 of recollection and/or credibility, whether you're being 3 told the truth or not. And that's going to occur repeatedly 4 with different witnesses. 5 And there's something called the hearsay rule. 6 One of the problems is, if you don't have that document, 7 there's no chance to verify the document. 8 So oftentimes -- and I think on this occasion, 9 this is coming in to show the effect on this witness of that 10 e-mail. But the truth of that e-mail is not in front of 11 you. It's something that you can't verify, et cetera. 12 But I'll allow both counsel to get into that 13 e-mail. It's really introduced, though, to show the effect 14 on this particular witness, what his motivations, biases or 15 sympathies are in this matter. 16 And I'll clarify that again in instructions at the 17 end of the case. 18 Counsel, proceed. 19 MR. NOLL: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 BY MR. NOLL: 21 And you said you would have given these CD's to Mr. Gee Q. 22 and Mr. Guggenheim because you thought there may be 23 information that would help EchoStar in its case against 24 NDS, right? 25 Α. Correct.

1	Q. Can you recall any specific information that you found
2	that would advance that goal?
3	A. I can't recall anything specific at the moment.
4	Q. Now, did you ever come to have an understanding as to
5	what happened to those CD's? In other words, do you know
6	whether those CD's were ever lodged with this Court?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And what did you do with all of your copies of those
9	CD's as well as with the original?
10	A. I made a copy that was given to Alan Guggenheim and
11	J.J. Gee. I was instructed by my lawyer in Canada that I
12	need to give the originals to him, which I did. I had
13	they were copied to my hard drive on my laptop. They were
14	erased off that. And that's the end of 'em.
15	Q. Is it true, sir, that today you don't possess those
16	e-mails in any form, whether hard copy or electronic?
17	A. No, I don't possess them.
18	THE COURT: Do those e-mails exist someplace in
19	hard copy form?
20	THE WITNESS: My lawyer has them.
21	THE COURT: Your lawyer has them?
22	THE WITNESS: In Canada.
23	THE COURT: In Canada?
24	THE WITNESS: In Canada. And they exist somewhere
25	here, too.

	Page 5
1	THE COURT: On a copy provided to counsel?
2	MR. NOLL: To the Court, Your Honor. They were
3	lodged with the Court early on in the litigation.
4	THE COURT: If we need to verify that, you can dig
5	that out tonight.
6	BY MR. NOLL:
7	Q. Now, did NagraStar pay you any money to get these CD's?
8	A. No, they did not.
9	Q. Did Alan Guggenheim pay you any money to get these
10	CD's?
11	A. No, they did not.
12	Q. Did J.J. Gee pay you any money to get these CD's?
13	A. No, they did not. I believe they paid for my trip from
14	Victoria to Vancouver. I flew over.
15	Q. Did Mr. Gee or NagraStar make any promises to do
16	anything in the future if you provided them these CDs?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Are you aware of anyone else who has copies of these
19	CDs?
20	A. No. But there were some copied, some e-mails I printed
21	out and gave to some people.
22	Q. Who did you give those to?
23	A. A fellow by the name of Gary Tocholke who I used to be
24	involved with in '96, '97, '98. I gave him some copies of
25	some of the e-mails and also Plamen Donev, who I worked with

	rage o
1	in '97, '98. I made him some copies. And these are printed
2	out copies of particular e-mails; not copies of the discs or
3	anything like that. They're just particular e-mails,
4	specific e-mails.
5	And I believe I might have sent a few to my
6	brother-in-law and possibly his lawyer at the time because
7	they were dealing with the raid that was on his store, and I
8	thought it might be helpful for him to see the e-mail that I
9	talked about earlier.
10	Q. Do you know if anyone at Titus has these CDs?
11	A. I'm sure they do. I can't be positive. Gilles Kaehlin
12	has them. He runs Titus, he runs CK2, he runs Canal+. I'm
13	sure there's lot of people there that have them.
14	Q. Was there anything secretive about your presentation of
15	these CDs to Alan Guggenheim and J.J. Gee?
16	A. What do you mean by "secretive"? I'm sorry.
17	Q. This happened at a normal airport?
18	A. Yeah. No Spy vs. Spy hats on. Nothing. Just sat down
19	and talked about it.
20	Q. Did you partake in any action to steal these CDs,
21	Mr. Ereiser?
22	A. Absolutely not.
23	Q. Have you ever had possession of any NDS computers or
24	hard drives?
25	A. No.

	raye
1	Q. Did anyone from NagraStar ever ask you to steal CDs or
2	to take information like this in an inappropriate way?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Do you have any understanding why NDS would allege that
5	you had some involvement in the theft of these CDs?
6	A. Why they would allege it?
7	Q. Yeah. Why would NDS say that?
8	A. I suppose they have reasons. I I suppose they don't
9	want anybody to see the CDs, number one. I suppose they
10	don't want me to testify. I don't know. I I have
11	nothing else to say about it. I don't know.
12	Q. And just to be clear I'm going to move off the
13	topic the reason you gave these CDs to NagraStar and
14	EchoStar is because you thought they would help EchoStar and
15	NagraStar in their prosecution of NDS involving the present
16	activities; is that right?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. Now, let's move to the second topic I want to speak to
19	you about here, and that's a gentleman named
20	Christopher Tarnovsky.
21	A. Sure.
22	Q. Through your piracy of DirecTV, did you come to know
23	Mr. Tarnovsky?
24	A. Yes, I did.
25	Q. And how tell the jury how you specifically came to

1	know Mr. Tarnovsky?
2	A. It was in '96 when we were raided by the RCMP. Our
3	head engineer at the time was a guy named Norman Dick who
4	was from Victoria, British Columbia.
5	Q. Okay. You were saying that you came to know
6	Mr. Tarnovsky after he after Norman Dick was raided by
7	the RCMP.
8	Can you keep going with that?
9	A. Right. And Norman, for lack of a better word, was
10	scared and didn't want to support the battery card anymore
11	when there was electronic countermeasures. So we had to try
12	to find somebody new that could do the coding to the card.
13	And searching on the Internet, I just found some
14	website I believe that was in England, e-mailed the guy,
15	told 'em what I was looking for, and he said he had a mate
16	that was just moving back to the United States that was in
17	the Army over there or something. And he had been working
18	on the D2Mac, I believe it was, and could probably help me
19	out. And he provided me an e-mail address which was
20	Von@metro200.net. And that was Chris Tarnovsky, and that
21	was the first time I got in touch with him.
22	Q. Now, did you understand that Mr. Tarnovsky used the
23	alias "Von"?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Or Vonnie?

1 Von, VonRat, Vonnie, Von Neumann, Arthur Von Neumann. Α. 2 Ο. Artie? 3 Artie. Arthur, if I haven't said that one. Α. 4 Can you think of any others while you're sitting here Ο. 5 today, sir? 6 Α. One chat he used Coleman. 7 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 8 THE WITNESS: Coleman. 9 THE COURT: Spell it. 10 THE WITNESS: C-O-L-E-M-A-N. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 THE WITNESS: Sure. 13 BY MR. NOLL: 14 Q. Now, what was your understanding how Mr. Tarnovsky 15 would use these particular aliases -- IRC? E-mail? 16 Α. Mostly IRC. 17 And does "IRC" stand for Internet relay chat? Q. 18 Α. That's correct. 19 And what are these? Are these chatrooms? Q. 20 It's -- it's a chatroom, yes. And there was one that Α. 21 was on the EFnet server, E-F-N-E-T server, and the channel 22 was pound -- pound sign, satellite. And that's where we 23 used to meet. 24 Q. So as I understand you, when you first got in contact 25 with Mr. Tarnovsky, he was working on the D2Mac system in

1 Europe; is that right?

2	A. Apparently. This is what the fellow from England had
3	told me. I have nothing to support that. He just said his
4	mate, as he said, had worked on the D2Mac and seemed to be a
5	good coder and could possibly do what I needed done.
6	Q. Then you met Mr. Tarnovsky. Tell us what happened.
7	A. Yeah. Well, we exchanged e-mails, and I don't remember
8	how much longer after we decided I sent him tickets for a
9	flight to Calgary. I met him in Calgary. Him and his wife
10	came up. I had a face-to-face meeting. I provided him with
11	all the information I could, all the code I could,
12	everything that I could to help him along to try to fix the
13	situation we were in and get the cards back up and running.
14	And so I think it was roughly within about two weeks
15	after he returned home, he says, "I got it."
16	He sent the file over, and sure enough, he had 'em up
17	and running again.
18	THE COURT: When you say, "he had 'em up and
19	running," what did you
20	THE WITNESS: Sorry. He had the battery cards up
21	and running again.
22	BY MR. NOLL:
23	Q. Let's back up just a second.
24	A. Sure.
25	Q. Mr. Tarnovsky would work on the software side of the

1 battery card; is that right? 2 Α. That's correct. 3 And as you -- is it correct to say that DirecTV would Q. 4 send an electronic countermeasure down to kill the battery 5 cards? 6 Α. That's correct. 7 And then what Mr. Tarnovsky would do was come up with a Q. 8 software fix or a patch that would open back up the battery 9 cards or pirate cards? 10 Absolutely. Α. 11 So you gave Mr. Tarnovsky all the codes that you had Q. 12 when you met with him, and he then went back to the 13 United States, and in two weeks he had come up with a fix; 14 is that right? 15 That's right. And when you say two weeks, I say Α. 16 roughly two weeks. I can't be exactly sure -- although 17 shortly thereafter he had it running. 18 How did you know that Mr. Tarnovsky was able to Q. 19 successfully provide a fix? 20 He e-mailed me the file. I loaded the file onto the Α. 21 battery card, and the battery card ran. 22 Q. And do you recall the date that this took place, where 23 he e-mailed you this fix? 24 No, I don't recall a date. It would have been in '96 Α. 25 sometime -- late '96 -- June, July, August, say.

THE COURT: When you say a "fix," is that the same 1 2 as a hack? 3 THE WITNESS: No. A fix is correction, correction 4 on an ECM. 5 THE COURT: I want to make sure the way you're 6 using that word. 7 THE WITNESS: Okay. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 THE WITNESS: My best estimate would have to be 10 August because we were raided in June, and I remember the 11 cards were down for roughly six to eight weeks. 12 So that would put us in around the August area. 13 BY MR. NOLL: 14 And do you have an understanding as to whether or not Q. 15 providing a fix or drafting the fix software would be a 16 legal act? Can you provide a fix? Isn't that the same 17 thing as pirating the system? 18 Yeah. You are the fellow that is pirating the system Α. 19 by providing the fix. 20 Now, did Mr. Tarnovsky ask you for any consideration in Q. 21 return for his services? 22 We had talked in Calgary, and his initial thoughts Α. 23 were, well, if I can -- you know, he said when he worked on 24 the D2Mac, the people always promised him money in Europe, 25 but he never really made any. I said, "There's a chance to

	rage
1	make some money here," and he said, "Well, if I can make
2	
	\$20,000 on this, I'd be happy."
3	And that's how it started out. I think with donations
4	from other you know, he got he quickly got onto the
5	IRC channel. He got to be known as "biggun." Everybody,
6	you know, praised him. People were sending him what he
7	called donations. Money was coming in to him, and I think
8	he finally reached that goal. And I believe I sent him some
9	money directly, too.
10	Q. Now, "biggun" that was another one of
11	Mr. Tarnovsky's aliases?
12	A. Yes. Yes. Kind of his main one when he was on the
13	IRC.
14	Q. Did you send Mr. Tarnovsky the \$20,000?
15	A. Not all of the \$20,000 myself, no. Some of the
16	donations, as they were called, were sent directly from
17	other people where I just provided Chris's address and they
18	sent directly to him. Some of the money I would have sent
19	to him, yes.
20	Q. So did Mr. Tarnovsky ever did you ever come to
21	understand that Mr. Tarnovsky found a backdoor way to hack
22	into the DirecTV card so that you wouldn't have to use the
23	battery cards anymore?
24	A. I think what a few people were doing, shortly after the
25	battery card was out there, people were programming the

1 original plastic card. 2 And when you say "programming the original plastic 0. 3 card," you mean taking the actual Smart Card that came with 4 the DirecTV system and reprogramming that card? 5 That's -- you're right. Α. 6 All right. Did there ever come a point in time when Q. 7 Mr. Tarnovsky stopped working with you on the DirecTV 8 system? 9 Yes. When we got into the -- that was the P.1 card or Α. 10 version one card, however you want to refer to it. I'll 11 refer to it as P1; next one will be P2, period 1, period 2. 12 When we got into the P.2 card, we knew that, you know, 13 we're going to have to find a different engineer for this. 14 It would have to be cracked at a lab or something like that. 15 So we asked for Chris's help to find somebody that would do 16 that, and he said he had a contact by the name of Yon 17 (phonetic) that could probably find somebody to do it. 18 Do you recall, if anyone, who Mr. Tarnovsky referred to Q. 19 you to continue to work on the P.2? 20 Sorry? Α. 21 Do you recall if -- what engineer Mr. Tarnovsky would Ο. 22 have referred to you to work on the .2 DirecTV card? 23 What ended up happening is Chris supplied an e-mail to Α. 24 me of a contact -- I don't know if he supplied it to me or 25 actually supplied it to Herb Huddleston, but an e-mail was

	Iage
1	supplied to us from Chris for the Bulgarians that we ended
2	up using that hacked the P.2 card.
З	Q. Plamen Donev and Vesselin Nedeltchev?
4	A. Vesselin Nedeltchev, yeah.
5	Q. What date did Mr. Tarnovsky stop working with you on
6	the DirecTV system?
7	
8	
	beginning. It was shortly after we had it cracked and
9	working and were reprogramming original cards that I think
10	we had we're had one ECM, fixed it. A second one came
11	along, and Plamen in Bulgaria was not too reliable. You
12	didn't know if you had an ECM if he was not going to answer
13	the phone for three days or not, so we got pretty worried
14	that we weren't able to keep the customers up.
15	And that's when we called Chris and said, "Would you
16	help us support the card?"
17	He said, "Sure."
18	He met us in Calgary.
19	THE COURT: Was this the second trip?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, it was.
21	THE COURT: You mentioned the first trip where
22	yeah, the first trip was on the P.1 card. This is the
23	second trip on the P.2 card.
24	Okay.
25	THE WITNESS: He came up, and an agreement was

	Iage
1	struck that, you know, he'd offer support if Plamen was not
2	able to give us support.
З	BY MR. NOLL:
4	Q. Was this in about the 1998 time frame?
5	A. Yes. That would be right. Sounds right.
6	And at that time, we gave him a dump of the card, and
7	also he knew what he was working with. Again, I think he
8	requested \$20,000, but I think we only gave him 10 because
9	that was the limit he could go across the border with. And
10	the rest of it was sent shortly thereafter to I think
10	
	half of it to his mother and half to his father's address in
12	Manassas.
13	Q. Did Chris tell you that he could only go across the
14	border with \$10,000?
15	A. No, I think we told him that. He wanted more.
16	Q. How much did he want?
17	A. Well, the whole amount. We told him he's crazy, you
18	know, he can't do that.
19	Q. So he took 10,000 cash with him across the border, as
20	far as you know?
21	A. Yeah, it was a money order, I believe.
22	Q. And then you sent the other money you broke it up
23	5,000 and 5,000?
24	A. Yes, which was sent out of Winnipeg by Gary Tacholke.
25	And I think the other half was sent by Herb Huddleston out

1 of Grand Cayman.

	*
2	Q. Now, you mentioned, Mr. Ereiser, that half was sent to
3	Mr. Tarnovsky's mother and half was sent to his father. Was
4	that a normal practice that Chris employed when he asked you
5	to send money; that is, "Send some to my dad, send some to
6	me, send some to my mother"?
7	A. Sometimes when you were to send money down for
8	something. I remember in particular once I had to send two
9	PlayStation games, and one was to his father, and one was to
10	him, and they both had money inside them.
11	Q. Did you ever have an understanding whether
12	Mr. Tarnovsky's father was going to keep that cash or was he
13	going to provide it to Chris?
14	A. I never had the understanding either way.
15	Q. Now, did you ever come to know of a gentleman named
16	Mr. Al Menard?
17	A. Yes, I did.
18	Q. Who is Al Menard?
19	A. Al Menard is a friend of Chris Tarnovsky's from
20	Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.
21	Q. And did Mr. Tarnovsky ever indicate to you that he was
22	busy working on another system, and he didn't have time to
23	work on the DirecTV system anymore?
24	A. Well, things got a little rough on the P.2 card. They
25	were doing good countermeasures and stuff like that, so it

¹ was a little harder to keep up. And Chris seemed to be ² losing interest in trying to keep it up, you know, trying to ³ support it of any kind or trying to raid software of any ⁴ kind.

5 So the relationship kind of fell apart. And there was 6 times he would say, you know, he's busy with other stuff, 7 he's working on another system, and all this. I didn't know 8 what it meant at the time or anything like that. 9 Do you recall -- I believe you testified that Q. 10 Mr. Menard and Mr. Tarnovsky were friends. Do you recall 11 anything more about their relationship than that? 12 Well, I think that Chris had actually corresponded with Α. 13 Menard before he met me, even, via e-mail -- even before he 14 went back to the States that I think that he knew Al Menard. 15 Q. Do you recall if Mr. Tarnovsky ever asked you to send 16 Mr. Menard blank Smart Cards or Smart Cards relating to 17 EchoStar? 18 At one point -- it was right near the end of the P.2 Α. 19 card where Chris had bought -- I believe it was 200 cards 20 from Europe. I think it's the same cards that Oliver 21 Kommerling had designed with a single Dalas chip on 'em. 22 And he bought them real cheap, you know, and we were 23 building battery cards for \$50. Chris had bought these 24 for \$5. So he bought 200 of 'em.

25

So he sent them to me. He says, "Here, get these going

1 and sell 'em for the DirecTV stuff." 2 Well, there was like three weeks left, and the P.2 was 3 switched off. They were no more good. They couldn't be 4 used. 5 So when the EchoStar hack came out, the first hack that 6 came out was one that could be used on a battery card. You 7 could take any battery card that was used for the 8 P.1 DirecTV stuff -- this is why we made the battery cards 9 that you could load this EchoStar file on -- and they would 10 work on an EchoStar now. So we had 200 of these cards, and 11 he wanted me to send 'em to Al Menard. 12 Q. Can you recall what date he asked you to send these 13 cards to Mr. Menard? 14 Α. I'm sorry. I can't recall the exact date. 15 Q. Do you ever --16 Some of this stuff is 10 years old. When you guys ask Α. 17 dates, it's so tough to pick dates. I just can't remember 18 'em. I'm sorry. I would guess if you want a guess. 19 MR. SNYDER: Objection. Speculation. 20 THE COURT: Sustained. 21 THE WITNESS: I was waiting for the fireworks 22 there. 23 BY MR. NOLL: 24 Q. Did you have an understanding that Mr. Menard operated 25 a website called dr7?

1 Yes. Α. 2 THE COURT: Counsel, why don't you pick a 3 convenient place for lunch. Okay? 4 MR. NOLL: This is fine, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: You sure? 6 MR. NOLL: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Okay. You choose it. 8 Then, ladies and gentlemen, why don't we see you 9 at 1:00 o'clock. 10 You're admonished not to discuss this matter 11 amongst yourselves nor to form or express any opinion 12 concerning the case. 13 Thank you. 14 Okay. Counsel, have a nice lunch. 15 (Lunch recess held at 12:04 p.m.) 16 (Further proceedings reported by Jane Rule in 17 Volume III.) 18 -000-19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	-000-
2	
3	CERTIFICATE
4	
5	I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753,
6	Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing is a true and
7	correct transcript of the stenographically reported
8	proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the
9	transcript page format is in conformance with the
10	regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.
11	
12	Date: April 22, 2008
13	
14	
15	
	DEBBIE GALE, U.S. COURT REPORTER
16	CSR NO. 9472, RPR
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

5/10 V 05 950 DOC		1,22,2000		Chobal 2000 01 22 D0 0
				Page
A	11:21,23,24	arguments 7:20	battery 29:2,4,5	broke 61:22
ability 5:20 10:9	34:11 41:9	Army 53:17	29:13,21,24	brother-in-law
10:24	46:15,18 60:25	Arthur 54:1,3	31:25 32:1	46:3,5 51:6
able 18:6 35:6	ahead 10:3 44:2	Artie 54:2,3	53:10 55:20	BSkyB 13:25
56:18 60:14	airport 39:11,14	aside 27:17	56:1,4,8,21,21	building 63:23
61:2	51:17	asked 6:16 12:2	58:23,25 63:23	Bulgaria 60:11
aboveboard 45:2	al 1:5,8 2:2,9	13:5,8 40:16,19	64:6,7,8	Bulgarians 60:1
45:3	62:16,18,19	41:11 44:9	BC 27:5	business 8:19
above-entitled	63:14 64:11	59:15 62:4	beg 11:25	17:21 18:9
66:8	Alan 26:17 38:1	63:15 64:12	beginning 38:24	businesses 45:25
Absolutely 8:11	38:18 49:10	ASkyB 12:10 16:9	60:8	46:2,2,20
9:18 15:21	50:9 51:15	ASSOCIATES	behalf 4:7 35:15	busy 62:22 63:6
35:21 43:15	Alberta 62:20	2:3	35:18	
51:22 56:10	algorithms 8:6	assume 39:18	believe 11:16	<u> </u>
abundantly 30:24	alias 53:23	assumed 44:12	13:11,21 16:12	calculated 23:14
accentuates 10:6	aliases 54:15	assumption 44:14	21:9 29:17,20	Calgary 55:9,9
access 4:15,22	58:11	attempt 7:20	34:13,21 36:6,7	57:22 60:18
12:12,13 13:1	allege 52:4,6	attend 12:25 13:4	42:3,6,8 44:18	California 1:2,16
13:12 17:1 18:2	alleged 24:4 42:18	14:17 17:8	47:23 50:13	1:23 2:13,18 4:1
18:10,21 22:24	44:5	attended 18:4	51:5 53:14,18	call 25:9,13
24:5 28:24	allow 29:10 32:25	attorney 41:9	58:8 61:21 63:9	called 28:1 29:2
29:16 31:6	40:25 48:12	Attorneys 2:5,11	63:19	32:16 34:2 43:9
35:23 36:3	allowed 11:19	2:17	Bell 18:1	48:5 58:7,16
accounted 6:18	alongside 12:13	August 21:25	best 57:9	60:15 64:25
achieve 10:16	amount 61:17	22:3,13 24:16	better 53:9	Canada 18:1,3
act 57:16	Ana 1:16,23 4:1	56:25 57:10,12	beyond 11:3	27:5,17,21
acted 37:16	analyze 35:22	authentication	biases 48:14	28:15,20 29:23
action 51:20	analyzer 37:3	8:6	bid 9:15	30:22 31:2 39:6
actively 38:9	and/or 29:9 48:2	automobile 20:9	big 44:19	40:24 41:3
activities 8:8	Angeles 2:18	20:13	biggun 58:5,10	45:21 49:11,22
20:16 23:7	answer 40:23,24	Avenue 2:17	bit 12:1 14:7,7	49:23,24 62:20 Canadian 27:13
52:16	41:2,17,19	aware 19:21,24	22:23 27:2,6,7	27:15 46:8,12
acts 44:5	45:15 60:12	20:11 44:6	27:11 29:11	Canal 42:16,18
actual 59:3	antipiracy 37:15	50:18	33:5 35:2 36:17	43:12,12,14,17
add 37:3 38:17	anybody 28:19	Axiotron 36:20	blackout 5:19,20	43:23 44:4
address 37:24	40:11,11 52:9	a.m 4:3	blank 63:16	51:12
45:21,22 53:19	anymore 53:10 58:23 62:23	B	blocked 5:22 board 29:5	card 8:5 10:8 14:2
58:17 61:11	anyway 47:17	back 4:4 12:1	bonder 36:21	14:2,3 15:6 29:2
admitted 4:14	anyways 46:14	31:17 33:4	border 28:18 61:9	29:4,5,5,6,7,8
admonished	apart 63:5	53:16 55:13,23	61:14,19	29:13,21 31:25
65:10	Apparently 55:2	56:8,12 63:14	bought 63:19,22	32:1 38:14
advance 49:2	APPEARANCES	backdoor 58:21	63:23,24	53:10,12 56:1
advantages 7:20	2:1	background 27:3	box 15:6,8 25:20	56:21,21 58:22
advertising 6:22	apply 18:6	33:15	box 15:0,8 25:20 boxes 17:2,15	58:25 59:1,3,3,4
agent 28:17 30:3	April 1:17 4:1	bad 43:2 47:6	brains 32:1	59:9,10,12,22
ago 9:8 30:24	66:12	bankrupt 46:17	brief 18:25	60:2,16,22,23
agree 21:24	architecture	basically 8:6 30:5	British 39:8 53:4	61:6 62:24
agreed 47:8	10:11 11:1	31:24 32:2	broadcaster 10:9	63:19 64:6,7
agreement 8:24		51.21.52.2		
8	area 57:12	basis 25:2	broadcasts 5:23	cards 28:6,12,24

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					Page 2
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	29.19 24 31.6	Charlie 5.11	27.7 49.4 52.22	confident 11.8	Corn 12·3
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$					-
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$,	-		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		-		•	
			0		,
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		1		0	
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{carpentry 27:11} \\ 59:23 60:1,15 \\ CARTER 1:3 \\ 61:13 62:4,13 \\ 63:19 63:1,12 \\ 38:10 40:13 \\ 44:12,15 48:17 \\ CHRISTINE 2:4 \\ 48:23 65:12 \\ Christmas 15:9 \\ 28:17 \\ case 6:8 14:9 63:1.2 \\ christmas 15:9 \\ 28:17 \\ case 6:8 14:9 63:1.2 \\ christmas 15:9 \\ 28:17 \\ case 6:8 14:9 63:1.2 \\ christmas 15:9 \\ 28:17 \\ case 6:8 14:9 63:1.2 \\ christmas 15:9 \\ 28:17 \\ case 6:11 4:12 \\ case 6:11 4:11 \\ case 6:11 4:12 \\ case 6:11 4:12 \\ citzen 27:13,15 \\ completior 5:12 \\ completior 5:12 \\ case 6:11 4:12 \\ citzen 27:13,15 \\ case 11 1:13 \\ case 6:11 4:12 \\ citzen 27:13,15 \\ case 11 1:13 \\ case 11:12 \\ case 11:13 \\ case 11:12 \\ case 11:13 \\ case 11:12 \\ case 11:13 \\$,	19:19.23 20:3
$\begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{CARTER} 1:3 & 61:13 62:4,13 \\ \mathbf{case} 6:8 14:9 30:6 \\ 62:19 63:1,12 \\ 33:10 40:13 \\ 44:12,15 48:17 \\ \mathbf{CHRISTINE} 2:4 \\ \mathbf{Christopher} \\ 33:21 30:2 \\ \mathbf{companies} 28:6 \\ 41:2,3,9,15,20 \\ 33:12 3:13 3:2,13;2,37 \\ 57:20 \\ \mathbf{casus} 24:5 35:11 \\ \mathbf{Christopher} \\ 33:21 3:2,2,37 \\ 57:20 \\ \mathbf{casus} 24:5 35:11 \\ \mathbf{Christospher} \\ 33:21 3:2,3,17 \\ 20:20,21 52:20 \\ 59:15 \\ \mathbf{CDs} 50:16,19 \\ \mathbf{circuit} 29:5 \\ \mathbf{circuit} 59:15 \\ \mathbf{competitor} 42:0 \\ \mathbf{competitor} 42:0 \\ \mathbf{contact} 39:16 \\ \mathbf{cortect} 33:10 \\ \mathbf{circuit} 54:18 56:23 \\ 39:23 40:17,20 \\ 44:22 45:6 \\ \mathbf{circuit} 31:10 \\ \mathbf{circuit} 29:5 \\ \mathbf{circuitscnship} 27:16 \\ 35:23 \\ \mathbf{competitor} 5:8 \\ 33:16,25,25 \\ \mathbf{circuitscnship} 27:16 \\ 35:23 \\ \mathbf{competitor} 5:8,16 \\ \mathbf{contact} 39:16 \\ \mathbf{contact} 39:16 \\ \mathbf{contact} 39:16 \\ \mathbf{contact} 39:16 \\ \mathbf{contact} 55:16 \\ \mathbf{contact} 22:26 \\ \mathbf{contact} 35:27 \\ \mathbf{contact} 35:27 \\ \mathbf{contact} 35:27 \\ \mathbf{contact} 35:16 \\ \mathbf{contact} 22:27 \\ \mathbf{contact} 22:27 \\ \mathbf{cotact} 55:1 \\ \mathbf{contact} 22:18 \\ \mathbf{cotact} 55:16 \\ \mathbf{cotact} 55:10 \\ \mathbf{cotact} 55:12 \\ \mathbf{cotact} 55:12 \\ co$	carpentry 27:11				-
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{case } 6:8 \ 14:9 \ 30:6 \\ 3:19 \ 42:12 \ 3:12 \\ 44:12 \ 15 \ 48:17 \\ 44:12 \ 15 \ 48:17 \\ 44:12 \ 15 \ 48:17 \\ cmpanis \ 15:9 \\ case \ 61:19 \ 62:12 \\ cmparing \ 3:21 \ 34:2,3,7 \\ cause \ 24:5 \ 35:12 \\ core \ 28:17 \ 30:4 \\ consult \ 34:12 \ 35:52 \\ consult \ 34:12 \ 35:16 \\ consult \ 34:13 \\ 41:10,13,16 \\ consult \ 34:11 \\ consult \ 34:19 \\ consult \ 34:10 \\ correction \ 57:3,3 \\ correction \ 57:3,3 \\ correction \ 57:3,3 \\ correction \ 57:3,3 \\ correction \ 57:5 \\ condeptitor \ 5:8 \\ contait \ 54:24 \ 59:16,24 \\ contait \ 52:4 \\ contait \ 54:24 \ 59:16,24 \\ contait \ 54:24 \ 59:16 \\ contait \ 54:24 \ 52:24 \\ contait \ 54:24 \\ contait \ 5$		· · · ·	commissioned	connected 43:14	· · · ·
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	case 6:8 14:9 30:6	· · · ·	35:22	connection 19:23	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	38:10 40:13	-	companies 28:6	consent 40:25	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	44:12,15 48:17		company 18:1	41:2,3,9,15,20	
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{cause } 24:5 \ 35:11 \\ \textbf{Cayman } 62:1 \\ \textbf{Chris's } 58:17 \\ \textbf{CD } 47:20 \\ \textbf{CD } 59:16,19 \\ \textbf{circuit } 29:5 \\ \textbf{circuit-board} \\ \textbf{S1:10,15,20 \\ \textbf{circuit-board} \\ \textbf{S2:15,9,13 \\ \textbf{S2:15,9,13 \\ \textbf{S2:15,9,13 \\ \textbf{S2:25,25 \\ \textbf{S2:15,9,13 \\ \textbf{S2:25,25 \\ \textbf{S2:15,9,13 \\ \textbf{S2:25,25 \\ \textbf{S2:15,9,13 \\ \textbf{S2:25,25 \\ \textbf{Circuit-board \\ \textbf{S2:25 \\ \textbf{Circuit-baard \\ \textbf{S2:25 \\ \textbf{Competitor's \\ \textbf{S2:26 \\ \textbf{Circuit } 30:11 \ 31:19 \\ 12:17,19,20 \\ \textbf{Catim } 7:23 \\ \textbf{Catim } 7:25 \\ \textbf{Computers } 10:12 \\ Computers 10:12 \\ \textbf{Continue } 32:4 \\ \textbf{Continue } 32:4 \\ \textbf{Countermeasures } 5:5 \\ \textbf{Computers } 10:12 \\ Continuing 4:7 \\ \textbf{Courter } 8:19 \\ \textbf{Courter } 10:12 \\ 4:21 \ 2:12,12,13 \\ \textbf{Competing } 2:16 \\ 3:12 \ 2:18 \ 2:25 \\ \textbf{Controlling } 2:19 \\ 0:0000000000000000000000000000000000$		Christmas 15:9			36:15 37:17
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	cash 61:19 62:12	Christopher	33:21 34:2,3,7	57:20	40:1,18,21
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	cause 24:5 35:11	26:20,21 52:20	43:9 44:19	consult 34:13	41:10,13,16
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Cayman 62:1	Chris's 5 8:17	comparing 4:21	consultancy	42:6,13 44:16
51:10,15,20 circuit 29:5 competitor 7:21 34:24 66:7 52:1,5,9,13 circuit-board 10:21,24 25:1 contact 39:16 corresponded 38:16,25,25 35:7 competitors 6:8 54:24 59:16,24 63:12 corresponded 39:3,17,21,23 11:19 36:2 39:19 cost 37:5 counsel 2:1 4:4 63:12 counsel 2:1	CD 47:20	59:15	competitive 4:20	33:19 34:11,16	48:25 52:17
52:1,5,9,13 circuit-board 10:21,24 25:1 contact 39:16 correction 57:3,3 38:16,25,25 circumstances 7:16 8:1 11:13 39:3,17,21,23 11:19 36:2 39:19 cost 37:5 counsel 2:1 4:4 41:21 42:12 citizen 27:13,15 competitor's contain 5:4 9:16 coursel 2:1 4:4 63:12 cost 37:5 coursel 2:1 4:4 43:3,8,16 44:7 city 33:10 competitor's contain 5:4 9:16 coursel 2:1 4:4 63:12 coursel 2:1 4:4 44:22 45:6 civil 30:11 31:19 12:17,19,20 contents 7:14 48:12,18 50:1 65:2,14 50:7,10,12 claim 7:23 complex 5:25 continue 32:4 countermeasures 59:19 53:11 62:25 CENTRAL 1:2 clarify 48:16 47:25 59:19 53:11 62:25 court 1:1,21,22 38:23 41:6 43:5 code 55:11 66:6 concerned 20:2 cointaing 47: cours 8:19 cours 8:19 certain 37:7 38:16 coincidence 22:16 cointional 4:15 control 11:14 18:24 19:13,15 25:2 code 55:5 coincidence 22:16 coi	CDs 50:16,19	circled 41:19	7:19	consulting 34:19	54:18 56:2,3,6
CD's 26:16 37:25 35:7 competitors 6:8 54:24 59:16,24 corresponded 38:16,25,25 11:19 36:2 39:19 cost 37:5 39:3,17,21,23 11:19 36:2 39:19 cost 37:5 39:25 40:17,20 citizen 27:13,15 competitor's contain 5:4 9:16 cost 37:5 41:21 42:12 citizen 39:10 citizen 31:19 25:9 40:17,19 25:9 40:17,19 44:22 45:6 civil 30:11 31:19 12:17,19,20 contents 7:14 48:12,18 50:1 48:21 49:5,6,9 CK2 51:12 17:25 complex 5:25 continuation countermeasure cell 17:4 claims 10:25 24:7 23:9 12:23 56:4 countermeasure CENTRAL 1:2 clarify 48:16 47:25 59:19 53:11 62:25 countermeasures certain 37:7 38:16 closer 26:2,3 51:23 contract 21:25 court 11:1,21,22 28:23 41:6 43:5 code 55:51 code 55:11 66:6 concerned 20:2 22:3,3 4:4 5:12 9:2 certainly 11:1 codes 56:1 65:12 control 11:14	51:10,15,20	circuit 29:5	competitor 7:21	34:24	66:7
38:16,25,25 circumstances 7:16 8:1 11:13 contacted 16:24 63:12 39:3,17,21,23 11:19 36:2 39:19 cost 37:5 39:25 40:17,20 citizen 27:13,15 competitor's 35:23 contains 5:4,9:16 6:16 21:2 23:19 43:33,8,16 44:7 city 33:10 completed 12:14 9:20 25:9 40:17,19 44:22 45:6 civil 30:11 31:19 12:17,19,20 contents 7:14 48:12,18 50:1 48:21 49:5,6,9 CK2 51:12 17:25 continuation countermeasure cell 17:4 claims 10:25 24:7 23:9 12:23 56:4 Center 2:12 43:21 completed 20:2 continue 32:4 countermeasures CEO 36:14 38:2 clairfy 48:16 47:25 contract 21:25 countermeasures certain 37:7 38:16 code 55:51 code 55:51 concerned 20:2 22:3,3 4:4 5:12 9:2 certainy 11:1 codes 56:11 65:12 convering 29:18 control 11:14 18:24 19:13,15 25:22 code 55:51 coincidence 22:16 13:1,12 17:1 convering 46:7 21:18,46,8(1,0,15 25:24 coding 31:25	52:1,5,9,13	circuit-board	10:21,24 25:1	contact 39:16	correction 57:3,3
39:3,17,21,23 39:25 40:17,20 41:21 42:1211:19 citizen 27:13,15 citizenship 27:1636:2 competitor's 35:2339:19 contain 5:4 9:16 contains 5:8,16cost 37:5 counsel 2:1 4:441:21 42:12 43:3,8,16 44:7 44:22 45:6 43:21 49:5,6,9 cell 17:4city 33:10 citizen 27:13,15 city 33:10 completed 12:14 12:17,19,20contain 5:4 9:16 contains 5:8,16coursel 2:1 4:4 6:16 21:2 23:19 25:9 40:17,1948:21 49:5,6,9 48:21 49:5,6,9CK2 51:12 claim 7:23 claims 10:25 24:7 claims 10:25 24:717:25 23:9continuation continuationcell 17:4 42:15 44:19 claims 10:25 24:7complicated congence 42:5 continue 32:4countermeasure 59:19countermeasure 59:19CENTRAL 1:2 certain 37:7 38:16 38:23 41:6 43:5 code 55:11 code 55:51 code 55:11 code 55:11 coding 31:25 concidence 22:16 concidence 22:16 certain 48:11 25:22 coincidence 22:16 coincidence 22:16 coincidence 22:16 coincidence 22:16 coincidence 22:16 coincidental 24:5 29:16 coincidental 24:5 29:16 coincidence 33:23 6:22 cooper 49:18 conditions 18:11 coopie 49:13 coincidental coopie 49:13 coincidental<	CD's 26:16 37:25	35:7	competitors 6:8	54:24 59:16,24	corresponded
39:25 40:17,20 41:21 42:12citizen 27:13,15 citizenship 27:16competitor's 35:23contain 5:4 9:16 contains 5:8,16counsel 2:1 4:4 6:16 21:2 23:1943:3,8,16 44:7 44:22 45:6 civil 30:11 31:19city 33:10 civil 30:11 31:19completed 12:14 12:17,19,209:20 contents 7:146:16 21:2 23:19 25:9 40:17,1944:22 45:6 43:21 49:5,6,9 coll 17:4civil 30:11 31:19 claim 7:23 claim 10:25 24:717:25 23:9contents 7:14 10:20 11:22 23:948:12,18 50:1 10:20 11:22 continue 32:4 continue 32:4CENTRAL 1:2 CET CEO 36:14 38:2 42:15 44:19 certain 37:7 38:16 38:23 41:6 43:5 code 55:11 66:6 code 55:5 colog 55:11 66:6 concerned 20:2 concerning 29:18 continuel 4:11 control 11:14countermeasures 59:19CERTIFICATE 66:3 c3:12 concidence 22:16 chance 48:17 57:25 change 23:6,13,15coincidence 22:16 coincidental 22:1251:23 conditional 4:15 conditional 4:15 concerning 29:18 concerning 29:18 concerning 29:18 control 11:1418:24 19:13,15 coures 8:19 control 11:14 18:24 19:13,15 concerning 29:18 concerning 29:18 concerning 29:18 concerning 29:18 concerning 29:18 concerning 29:18 concerning 40:7 concerning 40:7 copied 49:13 coincidental coinc	38:16,25,25	circumstances	7:16 8:1 11:13	contacted 16:24	
41:21 42:12citizenship 27:1635:23contains 5:8,166:16 21:2 23:1943:3,8,16 44:7city 33:10civil 30:11 31:19completed 12:149:2025:9 40:17,1944:22 45:6civil 30:11 31:1912:17,19,20contents 7:1448:12,18 50:148:21 49:5,6,9CK2 51:1217:25continuation65:2,1450:7,10,12claim 7:23complicatedcontinuationcountermeasurecell 17:4claims 10:25 24:723:912:2356:4Center 2:1243:21complicatedcontinue 32:4countermeasuresCENTRAL 1:2clark 13:6,8compromise 24:5Continued 4:11course 8:19certain 37:7 38:16clare 55:11 66:6concerned 20:222:3,34:4 5:12 9:2certain 11:11coder 55:5concerning 29:18control 11:1418:24 19:13,1525:22codes 56:1165:12control 11:1418:24 19:13,15corroling 31:25concinidence 22:1613:1,12 17:1convenient 65:321:1,4,6,8,10,1566:353:12coincidence 22:1613:1,12 17:1convenient 65:321:1,4,6,8,10,15certa 48:1122:18,20,2113:1,21 27:1conventien 14:725:19,23 26:2,6CHAD 2:4coincidental24:5 29:1650:2032:14 36:7chance 48:7 57:2522:1235:23 36:250:2032:14 36:7changing 22:24Coleman 54:6,8conditions 18:11copies 49:8 50:1841:24 42:4,7change 23:6,13,15Coleman 54:6,8conditions 18:1150:24	39:3,17,21,23	11:19	36:2	39:19	cost 37:5
43:3,8,16 44:7 44:22 45:6 (25:7,10,12)city 33:10 (civil 30:11 31:19)completed 12:14 12:17,19,20 (17:25)9:20 (25:9 40:17,19)48:21 49:5,6,9 50:7,10,12 cell 17:4CK2 51:12 (claim 7:23) (claim 7:23)completed 12:14 12:17,19,20 (17:25)9:20 (contents 7:14)25:9 40:17,19 48:12,18 50:1 (55:2,14)cell 17:4 CENTRAL 1:2 (2EO 36:14 38:2) 42:15 44:19 certain 37:7 38:16 23:24 16:43:5 certain 11:11 25:22clarify 48:16 (clar 30:24 52:12) code 55:11 66:6 code 55:11 66:6 code 55:11 66:6 code 55:11 codes 56:11 codes 56:11 codes 56:11 codes 56:11 codes 56:11 codes 56:11 codes 56:11 codes 56:129:20 (contents 7:14) (10:20 11:22) continue 32:4 continue 32:4 contract 21:25 course 8:19 court 1:1,21,222 coure 1:1,21,212 contract 21:25 control 11:14 control 11:14 control 11:14 control 11:14 (11:14,6,8,10,15) converting 46:7 converting 46:7 converting 46:7 converting 46:7 converting 46:7 converting 46:7 converting 46:7 conjed 49:13 conjed 49:13 con	39:25 40:17,20	citizen 27:13,15	competitor's	contain 5:4 9:16	counsel 2:1 4:4
44:22 45:6civil 30:11 31:1912:17,19,20contents 7:1448:12,18 50:148:21 49:5,6,9CK2 51:1217:2510:20 11:2265:2,1450:7,10,12claim 7:23complex 5:25continuation56:4cell 17:4claims 10:25 24:723:912:2356:4Center 2:1243:21complicated59:1953:11 62:25CEO 36:14 38:2Clark 13:6,8compromise 24:5Continued 4:11courter measures42:15 44:19clear 30:24 52:12computers 10:12contract 21:25court 1:1,21,2228:23 41:6 43:5code 55:11 66:6concerned 20:222:3,34:4 5:12 9:2certain 37:7 38:16closer 26:2,351:23control 11:1418:24 19:13,1525:22code 55:5concerning 29:18control 11:1418:24 19:13,1525:22code 56:1165:12conventing 46:721:18 23:17,19certify 66:5coincidence 22:1613:1,12 17:1conventing 46:721:18 23:17,19certify 66:5coincidence 22:1613:1,12 17:1conventing 46:721:18 23:17,19certify 66:5coincidental24:5 29:1629:25 30:1,621:1,4,6,8,10,15chance 48:7 57:2522:1235:23 36:250:2032:14 36:7change 23:6,13,15Coleman 54:6,8conditions 18:11copies 49:8 50:1841:24 42:4,7change 23:6,13,15Coleman 54:6,8conditions 18:1150:24 51:1,2,244:1,10,11,15channel 54:2153:4Conference 66:10copy 49:10,16,1945:15,18 46:24	41:21 42:12	citizenship 27:16	35:23	contains 5:8,16	6:16 21:2 23:19
48:21 49:5,6,9 50:7,10,12CK2 51:12 claim 7:23 claim 10:25 24:717:25 complex 5:25 23:910:20 11:22 continuation 12:2365:2,14 countermeasurecell 17:4claims 10:25 24:7 43:2123:912:2356:4Center 2:1243:21 clairfy 48:16complicated 47:25continue 32:4 59:19countermeasuresCENTRAL 1:2 42:15 44:19clar 13:6,8 clear 30:24 52:12compromise 24:5 computers 10:12Continued 4:11 contract 21:25couple 45:25 court 1:1,21,2238:23 41:6 43:5 25:22code 55:11 66:6 code 55:11 66:6concerned 20:2 concerning 29:18 control 11:14court 1:1,21,22 tas:24 19:13,1525:22 CERTIFICATE 66:3code 55:5 53:12conditional 4:15 conditional 4:15 control 11:14court 11:1,4,6,8,10,15 21:1,4,6,8,10,1566:3 c6:353:124:21 12:12,13 tas:1,12 17:1 convoluted 23:20converting 46:7 21:18 23:17,19certar 48:11 certify 66:5 coincidence 22:16 chance 48:7 57:25coleman 54:6,8 22:12conditions 18:11 tas:2chance 48:7 57:25 change 23:6,13,15Coleman 54:6,8 Coleman 54:6,8 Columbia 39:8conference 66:10 tas:2copies 49:8 50:18 50:24 51:1,2,2channel 54:2153:4Conference 66:10 copy 49:10,16,1945:15,18 46:24				9:20	25:9 40:17,19
50:7,10,12 cell 17:4claim 7:23 claims 10:25 24:7complex 5:25 23:9continuation 12:23countermeasure 56:4Center 2:1243:21 claify 48:16complicated 47:25continue 32:4 59:19countermeasuresCENTRAL 1:2 claify 48:16clark 13:6,8 clear 30:24 52:12 clear 30:24 52:12compromise 24:5 computers 10:12Continued 4:11 contrauting 4:7 contract 21:25counter 4:12 court 1:1,21,2238:23 41:6 43:5 25:22code 55:11 66:6 concerning 29:18concerned 20:2 conterol 11:14court 1:1,21,22 court 1:1,21,22certain 37:7 38:16 25:22code 55:11 66:6 concerning 29:18control 11:14 control 11:1418:24 19:13,15 court 1:1,21,22CERTIFICATE 66:3coincidence 22:16 53:1265:12 control 14:15 convenient 65:3 converting 46:7convenient 65:3 coincidence 22:1621:1,4,6,8,10,15 converting 46:7CHAD 2:4 chance 48:7 57:25coincidental 22:1224:5 29:16 35:23 36:2copied 49:13 50:24 51:1,2,229:25 30:1,6 44:1,10,11,15chance 48:7 57:25 change 23:6,13,15Coleman 54:6,8 Coleman 54:6,8 Columbia 39:8conditions 18:11 18:12copies 49:8 50:18 50:24 51:1,2,244:1,10,11,15 44:11,0,11,15channel 54:2153:4Conference 66:10copy 49:10,16,1945:15,18 46:24					48:12,18 50:1
cell 17:4claims 10:25 24:723:912:2356:4Center 2:1243:21complicatedformulaformulaformulaCENTRAL 1:2clarify 48:1647:25formulaformulaformulaCEO 36:14 38:2clar 13:6,8compromise 24:5continue 32:4formulaformula42:15 44:19clear 30:24 52:12compromise 24:5continuing 4:7course 8:19certain 37:7 38:16closer 26:2,3formulaformulaformula38:23 41:6 43:5code 55:11 66:6concerned 20:2formulaformulacertainly 11:1coder 55:5concerning 29:18control 11:14formula25:22codes 56:1165:12control 11:14formulaCERTIFICATEcoding 31:25conditional 4:15converting 46:7formula66:3formulaformulaformulaformulaformula66:3formulaformulaformulaformulaformulacertar 48:11formulaformulaformulaformulaformulaformulaformulaformulaformulaformulaformulaformulaformulaformulacertar 48:11formula<	48:21 49:5,6,9			10:20 11:22	65:2,14
Center 2:1243:21complicatedcontinue 32:4countermeasuresCENTRAL 1:2clarify 48:1647:2559:1953:11 62:25CEO 36:14 38:2Clark 13:6,8compromise 24:5Continued 4:11couple 45:2542:15 44:19clear 30:24 52:12computers 10:12contract 21:25court 1:1,21,2238:23 41:6 43:5code 55:11 66:6concerned 20:222:3,34:4 5:12 9:2certainly 11:1coder 55:5concerning 29:18control 11:1418:24 19:13,1525:22codes 56:1165:12control 11:1418:24 19:13,1566:353:124:21 12:12,13converting 46:721:18 23:17,19certify 66:5coincidence 22:1613:1,12 17:1convoluted 23:2024:11 25:6,9,14cetera 48:1122:18,20,2118:2,9,21 22:24coperation 14:725:19,23 26:2,6CHAD 2:4coincidental24:5 29:1650:2032:14 36:7chance 48:7 57:2522:1235:23 36:250:2032:14 36:7change 23:6,13,15Coleman 54:6,8conditions 18:1150:24 51:1,2,244:1,10,11,15change 23:6,13,15Coleman 54:6,8conditions 18:1150:24 51:1,2,244:1,10,11,15channel 54:2153:4Conference 66:10copy 49:10,16,1945:15,18 46:24	, ,		-		
CENTRAL 1:2 CEO 36:14 38:2 42:15 44:19clarify 48:16 Clark 13:6,8 clear 30:24 52:12 s38:23 41:6 43:547:25 Clark 13:6,8 compromise 24:5 51:2359:19 Continued 4:11 contract 21:2553:11 62:25 couple 45:25 course 8:19certain 37:7 38:16 38:23 41:6 43:5closer 26:2,3 code 55:11 66:6 code 55:551:23 concerned 20:2 concerning 29:18 control 11:14court 1:1,21,22 ta:21 12:12,1325:22 CERTIFICATE 66:3code 56:11 coding 31:25concerning 29:18 conditional 4:15control 11:14 convenient 65:366:3 certify 66:5 certify 66:5coincidence 22:16 22:18,20,2113:1,12 17:1 ta:2,9,21 22:24converting 46:7 coperation 14:721:18 23:17,19 25:19,23 26:2,6CHAD 2:4 chance 48:7 57:25 change 23:6,13,15Coleman 54:6,8 Columbia 39:824:5 29:16 ta:18:12copied 49:13 ta:12,2229:25 30:1,6 ta:12,22channel 54:2153:4Conference 66:10 ta:11copy 49:10,16,1945:15,18 46:24					
CEO 36:14 38:2 42:15 44:19 certain 37:7 38:16 38:23 41:6 43:5 code 55:11 66:6 certainly 11:1 25:22Clark 13:6,8 closer 26:2,3 code 55:11 66:6 code 55:11 66:6 code 55:11 66:6compromise 24:5 computers 10:12 51:23Continued 4:11 contract 21:25couple 45:25 cours 8:19 court 1:1,21,22CERTIFICATE 66:3codes 56:11 coding 31:25concerning 29:18 65:12control 11:14 control 11:1418:24 19:13,15 19:17 20:12CERTIFICATE 66:3coincidence 22:16 22:18,20,21coincidence 22:16 13:1,12 17:1 certify 66:5coincidence 22:16 22:18,20,2113:1,12 17:1 18:2,9,21 22:24 24:5 29:16cooperation 14:7 29:25 30:1,629:25 30:1,6 29:25 30:1,6chance 48:7 57:25 change 23:6,13,15 changing 22:24Coleman 54:6,8 53:4conditions 18:11 18:12copies 49:8 50:18 50:24 51:1,2,241:24 42:4,7 44:1,10,11,15			-		
42:15 44:19 certain 37:7 38:16 38:23 41:6 43:5clear 30:24 52:12 closer 26:2,3computers 10:12 51:23continuing 4:7 contract 21:25course 8:19 court 1:1,21,2238:23 41:6 43:5code 55:11 66:6 coder 55:5concerned 20:2 concerning 29:1822:3,34:4 5:12 9:225:22codes 56:11 coding 31:25concerning 29:18 continuing 4:15control 11:14 convenient 65:318:24 19:13,1525:22codes 56:11 coding 31:2565:12 conditional 4:15convenient 65:3 converting 46:719:17 20:12CERTIFICATE 66:3coincidence 22:16 22:18,20,2113:1,12 17:1 18:2,9,21 22:24converting 46:7 coperation 14:721:18 23:17,19cetera 48:11 chance 48:7 57:2522:12 22:1235:23 36:2 conditions 18:11copies 49:8 50:18 50:2041:24 42:4,7 44:1,10,11,15change 23:6,13,15 changing 22:24 channel 54:21Columbia 39:8 53:418:12 Conference 66:10copy 49:10,16,1945:15,18 46:24					
certain 37:7 38:16 38:23 41:6 43:5 certainly 11:1 25:22closer 26:2,3 code 55:11 66:6 coder 55:5 codes 56:1151:23 concerned 20:2 concerning 29:18 65:12contract 21:25 22:3,3court 1:1,21,22 4:4 5:12 9:2CERTIFICATE 66:3coding 31:25 53:12conditional 4:15 4:21 12:12,13convenient 65:3 convenient 65:321:1,4,6,8,10,15 21:1,4,6,8,10,15certify 66:5 cetera 48:11coincidence 22:16 22:18,20,2113:1,12 17:1 18:2,9,21 22:24 24:5 29:16converting 46:7 coincidental21:18 23:17,19 21:18 23:17,19CHAD 2:4 change 23:6,13,15coincidental 22:1224:5 29:16 35:23 36:2coincidental 50:2029:25 30:1,6 32:14 36:7Change 23:6,13,15 changing 22:24 change 53:4Columbia 39:8 53:418:12 Conference 66:10copy 49:10,16,1945:15,18 46:24		-			
38:23 41:6 43:5 certainly 11:1 25:22code 55:11 66:6 coder 55:5 codes 56:11concerned 20:2 concerning 29:18 65:1222:3,3 control 11:14 controlling 22:19 convenient 65:34:4 5:12 9:2 18:24 19:13,15CERTIFICATE 66:3coding 31:25 53:12conditional 4:15 4:21 12:12,13convenient 65:3 convenient 65:321:1,4,6,8,10,15 21:18,23:17,19certify 66:5 cetera 48:11coincidence 22:16 22:18,20,2113:1,12 17:1 18:2,9,21 22:24conventing 46:7 coincidental21:18 23:17,19 24:11 25:6,9,14chance 48:7 57:25 change 23:6,13,1522:12 Coleman 54:6,8 53:435:23 36:2 conditions 18:11copies 49:8 50:18 50:24 51:1,2,241:24 42:4,7 44:1,10,11,15channel 54:2153:4Conference 66:10copy 49:10,16,1945:15,18 46:24			-	0	
certainly 11:1 25:22coder 55:5 codes 56:11concerning 29:18 65:12control 11:14 controlling 22:1918:24 19:13,15 19:17 20:12CERTIFICATE 66:3coding 31:25 53:12conditional 4:15 4:21 12:12,13convenient 65:3 converting 46:721:1,4,6,8,10,15 21:18 23:17,19certify 66:5 cetera 48:11coincidence 22:16 22:18,20,2113:1,12 17:1 18:2,9,21 22:24convoluted 23:20 coperation 14:724:11 25:6,9,14 25:19,23 26:2,6CHAD 2:4 chance 48:7 57:25coincidental 22:1224:5 29:16 35:23 36:2copied 49:13 50:2029:25 30:1,6 32:14 36:7changing 22:24 channel 54:21Coleman 54:6,8 53:4conditions 18:11 18:12copies 49:8 50:18 50:24 51:1,2,241:24 42:4,7 44:1,10,11,15		-			
25:22 CERTIFICATE 66:3codes 56:11 coding 31:2565:12 conditional 4:15 4:21 12:12,13controlling 22:19 convenient 65:3 convenient 65:319:17 20:12 21:1,4,6,8,10,1566:3 certify 66:5 cetera 48:11 CHAD 2:4 chance 48:7 57:25coincidence 22:16 22:1213:1,12 17:1 18:2,9,21 22:24 24:5 29:16convenient 65:3 convenient 65:321:1,8 23:17,19 21:18 23:17,19cetra 48:7 57:25 change 23:6,13,15 changing 22:24coincidental 22:1224:5 29:16 35:23 36:2copied 49:13 50:2029:25 30:1,6 32:14 36:7chance 48:7 57:25 change 23:6,13,15 changing 22:24Coleman 54:6,8 53:4conditions 18:11 18:12copies 49:8 50:18 50:24 51:1,2,241:24 42:4,7 44:1,10,11,15				-	
CERTIFICATE 66:3coding 31:25 53:12conditional 4:15 4:21 12:12,13convenient 65:3 converting 46:721:1,4,6,8,10,15 21:18 23:17,19certify 66:5 cetera 48:11coincidence 22:16 22:18,20,2113:1,12 17:1 18:2,9,21 22:24cooperation 14:7 coincidental24:11 25:6,9,14 25:19,23 26:2,6CHAD 2:4 chance 48:7 57:25coincidental 22:1224:5 29:16 35:23 36:2copied 49:13 50:2029:25 30:1,6 32:14 36:7changing 22:24 channel 54:21Columbia 39:8 53:418:12 Conference 66:10copy 49:10,16,1941:24 42:4,7 44:1,10,11,15	v		U U		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
66:3 certify 66:5 cetera 48:1153:12 coincidence 22:164:21 12:12,13 13:1,12 17:1converting 46:7 convoluted 23:2021:18 23:17,19 24:11 25:6,9,14CHAD 2:4 Chance 48:7 57:2522:18,20,21 coincidental 22:1218:2,9,21 22:24 24:5 29:16cooperation 14:7 50:2029:25 30:1,6 32:14 36:7change 23:6,13,15 changing 22:24 channel 54:21Coleman 54:6,8 53:4conditions 18:11 18:12copies 49:8 50:18 50:24 51:1,2,2 copy 49:10,16,1941:24 42:4,7 44:1,10,11,15				8	
certify 66:5 cetera 48:11coincidence 22:1613:1,12 17:1 18:2,9,21 22:24convoluted 23:20 coperation 14:724:11 25:6,9,14 25:19,23 26:2,6CHAD 2:4 chance 48:7 57:25coincidental 22:1224:5 29:16 35:23 36:2coperation 14:7 50:2029:25 30:1,6 32:14 36:7Change 23:6,13,15 changing 22:24 channel 54:21Columbia 39:8 53:4conditions 18:11 18:12coperation 14:7 copied 49:1329:25 30:1,6 29:25 30:1,6		0			
cetera 48:1122:18,20,2118:2,9,21 22:24cooperation 14:725:19,23 26:2,6CHAD 2:4coincidental24:5 29:16copied 49:1329:25 30:1,6chance 48:7 57:25coleman 54:6,835:23 36:250:2032:14 36:7changing 22:24Coleman 54:6,8conditions 18:1150:24 51:1,2,244:1,10,11,15channel 54:2153:4Conference 66:10copy 49:10,16,1945:15,18 46:24			,	0	,
CHAD 2:4 chance 48:7 57:25coincidental 22:1224:5 29:16 35:23 36:2copied 49:13 50:2029:25 30:1,6 32:14 36:7change 23:6,13,15 changing 22:24 channel 54:21Coleman 54:6,8 53:4conditions 18:11 18:12copied 49:13 50:2029:25 30:1,6 32:14 36:7changing 22:24 channel 54:21Coleman 54:6,8 53:4conditions 18:11 18:12copies 49:8 50:18 50:24 51:1,2,241:24 42:4,7 44:1,10,11,15			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
chance 48:7 57:25 change 23:6,13,15 changing 22:2422:12 Coleman 54:6,8 Columbia 39:835:23 36:2 conditions 18:11 18:1250:20 copies 49:8 50:18 50:24 51:1,2,232:14 36:7 41:24 42:4,7 44:1,10,11,15channel 54:2153:4Conditions 18:11 Conference 66:1050:20 copies 49:8 50:18 50:24 51:1,2,232:14 36:7 41:24 42:4,7 44:1,10,11,15				-	
change 23:6,13,15 changing 22:24 channel 54:21Coleman 54:6,8 Columbia 39:8conditions 18:11 18:12copies 49:8 50:18 50:24 51:1,2,241:24 42:4,7 44:1,10,11,15channel 54:2153:4Conference 66:10copy 49:10,16,1945:15,18 46:24				-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
changing 22:24 channel 54:21Columbia 39:8 53:418:12 Conference 66:1050:24 51:1,2,2 copy 49:10,16,1944:1,10,11,15 45:15,18 46:24					
channel 54:2153:4Conference 66:10copy 49:10,16,1945:15,18 46:24	0 , ,			-	· · · · ·
$56.5 \qquad \qquad$				_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _	·
	30.3	come 15.9 1/.18	connuence 14.0	50.1	40.23 47.2,4,24

				Page 3
49:6,18,21,23	14:14	58:16,18	12:1 14:16	eight 57:11
50:1,2,3,4 54:7	days 60:13	DirecTV 14:5,9	15:16 24:10,14	either 20:22 32:13
54:9,11 55:18	dealing 51:7	14:24 15:6,11	25:5,6	62:14
57:1,5,8 60:19	deals 37:9	21:24 22:18,20	drafting 57:15	electronic 49:16
60:21 64:20	Debbie 1:21 66:15	28:24 29:16	drive 49:13	53:11 56:4
			drives 51:24	
65:2,5,7 66:15	debriefing 46:5	30:2,7 52:22		em 39:23,25 40:2
cover 41:2	decided 30:3 55:8	56:3 58:22 59:4	driving 33:10	46:21 47:3,12
cracked 59:14	default 30:20	59:7,22 60:6	drop 32:6,12	49:14 53:15
60:8	DEFENDANT	62:23 64:1,8	dr7 64:25	55:16,18 63:21
crazy 61:17	2:9	discs 51:2	Dugan 15:5,5	63:24 64:1,11
credibility 48:2	defendants 1:9	discuss 12:23	dump 61:6	64:18
credit 46:17	38:9	17:20 65:10	duped 30:2	Embarcadero
CROSS 3:3	definite 20:14	discussed 17:19	D-U-G-A-N 15:5	2:12
cross-examinati	deliver 10:12	discussion 43:17	D2Mac 53:18	employed 33:17
4:7,11	38:25	discussions 12:5	54:25 55:4	33:18,22 34:9
CSR 1:21 66:16	delivered 26:16	12:21	57:24	62:4
customer 7:21	33:9	displayed 4:19	D8V2 1:25	employee 16:6
8:22,23 9:9,11	delivering 38:16	5:13 9:4,25		33:23 37:21
9:15 11:17	delivery 37:25	disputes 29:18	<u> </u>	employees 16:2
13:25	demonstrate 15:1	disseminated	E 3:1	emulate 11:2 29:6
customers 6:7,25	demonstration	19:8	earlier 51:9	enable 7:21
6:25 10:18	17:25 18:5	District 1:1,2,22	early 19:19 29:21	Encryption 34:5
22:10 23:1 28:7	deposition 24:16	disturbing 45:11	38:15 43:6 50:3	34:7,19
60:14	40:13,17	DOC 1:7	EBERHART	ended 59:23 60:1
customer's 9:12	describe 8:13	document 4:18,19	2:11	engaged 20:17
cutter 36:21	describes 5:19	4:21,24 5:4,8,13	EchoStar 1:5,25	engineer 31:24
cyberpiracy 28:9	describing 8:15	5:18 6:4,5 7:8	2:2 6:17 12:3,10	33:24 53:3
Č-L-A-R-K 13:6	designated 5:2	7:10,12,15 8:15	12:12,22 13:8	59:13,21
C-O-L-E-M-A-N	designation 4:25	8:18 9:4,6,16,25	14:9 15:7,10,17	engineering 12:11
54:10	designed 63:21	10:21 11:2,12	15:20,23 16:3,7	12:14,19 24:18
	detailed 8:16 10:5	11:16 19:13	16:15,21 17:5	England 14:13
D	details 8:7	48:6,7	17:20 18:7,14	53:14 55:2
D 2:4,16 3:1	determine 5:21	documents 19:4	18:17,20 19:22	enjoy 27:9
dad 62:5	detrimental 22:1	19:11,22 47:4	20:3 25:11 34:1	entered 21:25
Dalas 63:21	22:4,10	doing 17:20 26:11	34:14,17 38:14	entire 5:23
damage 24:6	devices 28:5,12	26:17 28:5 30:5	45:4 48:23	equipment 36:18
damaging 8:9	28:16 33:24	30:8,8 31:2	52:14,14 63:17	36:25 37:2,5,7
11:1	35:17,19	32:24,25 33:1	64:5,9,10	37:15
DARIN 2:10	diagrams 10:15	35:14 58:24	EchoStar's 17:11	era 19:19
date 7:12 34:20	Dick 31:24 53:3,6	62:25	18:9,20	erased 49:14
43:3,5 56:22,24	different 8:6 48:4	dollars 24:6 37:11	ECM 35:9 57:4	Ereiser 25:13,17
60:5 64:12,14	59:13	37:12	60:10,12	26:1,2,9,13 27:4
66:12	difficulties 13:24	donations 58:3,7	Edmonton 62:20	
dated 19:12	13:25	58:16	effect 32:11 48:9	27:16 28:2,10
dates 64:17,17			48:13	33:17 37:13
Dave 26:24	dig 50:4	Donev 50:25 60:3	efficiently 6:3	41:22 42:11
David 1:3 2:11,21	digital 3:14 8:16	Dov 2:22 3:4 4:9	10:13	45:25 51:21
25:11	Direct 3:3 26:6,7	DoveBid 37:7	efforts 33:12	62:2 Engon 14:16-10
Dawson 26:24	directly 33:21	download 44:10	37:15	Ergen 14:16,19
day 1:8 4:2 14:4	34:9,15 41:20	Dr 4:6,13,17 5:4	EFnet 54:21	15:1,4,10 16:10
uay 1.0 4.2 14.4	43:12 44:8 58:9	6:10 8:12 9:6	121 1100 34.21	20:1,5,8,16,21
	1	1	1	·

				Page 4
ERISER 3:7	51:8 53:19	29:20 33:6	future 50:16	good 26:9,10 37:8
especially 17:24	54:15 59:23,25	37:23 38:14,17	future-looking	46:17 55:5
essentially 26:14	63:13	53:21 54:24	17:2	62:25 64:3
estimate 6:17,21	e-mailed 53:14	60:21,22 64:5		Grand 62:1
6:24 7:2,4,7	56:20,23	firsthand 13:10	G	grant 24:7
57:9	e-mails 26:24,25	five 35:12	Gale 1:21 66:15	Greg 13:6
et 1:5,8 2:2,9	44:11,13,14	fix 55:12 56:8,13	games 5:22 62:9	GROUP 1:8 2:9
48:11	46:22 49:16,18	56:19,23 57:1,3	Gary 50:23 61:24	guess 45:22 64:18
Europe 55:1	50:20,25 51:2,3	57:15,15,16,19	Gee 26:17 36:6,11	64:18
57:24 63:20	51:4 55:7	fixed 60:10	37:25 38:21	Guggenheim
eventually 45:3	E-R-E-I-S-E-R	Fixing 27:10	39:1,4,12,16,20	26:17 37:25
Everybody 58:5	26:1	flew 39:12,14	44:21 48:21	38:1,3,5,8,18
evidence 3:12		50:14	49:11 50:12,15	39:1,4,12,17,20
4:14 9:3 19:5	F	flight 55:9	51:15	44:22 48:22
21:5,12,19	face 25:24	focus 19:25 30:12	general 7:25	49:10 50:9
47:16	face-to-face 55:10	focusing 10:7	generally 8:13	51:15
exact 38:23 64:14	fact 14:3 17:8	31:17	gentleman 52:19	guitar 27:9
exactly 6:2 29:7	19:11 35:24	follow 43:22	62:15	guy 53:3,14
38:13 39:18	37:14 46:4	football 5:22	gentlemen 65:8	guys 64:16
47:6 56:16	factor 10:9	foregoing 66:6	Gerlinski 37:20	G-I-L-L-E-S
examination 19:1	fair 20:21,23	forget 45:20	GES 34:2,24 35:3	41:25
26:6,7	34:24 35:17	form 3:15,16 21:2	37:21	
example 5:7,9,17	38:20	49:16,19 65:11	getting 25:1	<u> </u>
5:22 9:19,21	fall 31:20 33:11	format 66:9	Gilles 41:23 42:12	hack 38:14 57:2
10:4 17:2	false 10:24	forum 35:10	42:14,15 51:11	58:21 64:5,5
examples 11:3,7	fame 35:13	forums 33:24	gist 31:23	hacked 14:5,25
45:10	far 38:2 61:20	35:11,11,13	give 5:7 9:19,21	23:22,25 60:2
exchanged 55:7	fast 10:13	forward 25:15	10:5 13:7 15:19	hackers 23:10,23
exclude 34:14	father 62:3,9,12	found 30:2 35:19	15:22 39:17	23:24 24:2,4
excuse 38:17	father's 61:11	46:21 49:1	46:8 49:12	HAGAN 2:4
43:24	feasibility 12:11	53:13 58:21	50:22 61:2	half 61:11,11,25
executives 15:10	12:14,19	Fountainview 2:6	given 8:23 11:17	62:2,3
exhibit 3:12 4:13	features 7:22	frame 31:17	26:24 36:5	hand 25:16
6:4,11 8:12,14	Federal 1:21	42:22 61:4	40:10 48:21	hands 7:15,25
8:25 9:3 19:4	fell 63:5	France 42:16	49:10	8:10 10:21
20:6,25 21:1,5	fellow 31:21 35:5	Francisco 2:13	giving 10:24	11:13
21:12,19	50:23 55:2	free 23:8 28:8,8	glass 43:25	happened 12:20
EXHIBITS 3:11	57:18	29:10 31:7	Global 34:5,7,19	49:5 51:17 55:6
exist 49:18,24	felt 14:23 18:5	free-to-air 17:14	go 10:3 11:6,9	happening 59:23
expensive 14:23	file 55:16 56:20	friend 46:15,19	16:13 23:8,24	happy 58:2
express 14:19	56:20 64:9	62:19	32:20 44:2 46:1	hard 23:18,19
65:11	filed 23:10 24:1	friends 44:25	61:9,13	37:6 49:13,16
ExpressVu 18:1	43:18,19	47:22 63:10	goal 49:2 58:8	49:19 51:24
extension 22:13	finally 58:8	front 6:13 21:2	goes 11:3 23:23	harder 63:1
extremely 37:8	find 33:24 53:12	31:10 48:10	going 11:9 23:15	harm 7:24
E-F-N-E-T 54:21	59:13,15,17	FTA 35:7	26:13 29:11	harmful 7:14
e-mail 16:24	fine 65:4	full 25:24	32:25 46:7 48:3	10:20 11:12
45:12,18,21,24	fireworks 64:21	fully 14:8	52:12 53:8	HARTSON 2:15
46:14 47:9,18	first 10:4 12:10	further 8:8 24:9	59:13 60:12	hats 51:18
48:1,10,10,13	18:3 24:14,17	25:4 65:16	62:12,13 63:25	head 23:14 31:24
	I	I	I	l

Page 5

				raye .
53:3	II 1:8 4:2	35:19,20 53:13	K	L
Headend 10:11	III 65:17	54:17		
20:5,7 24:15	illegal 28:15,16	introduced 48:13	Kaehlin 41:23	L 2:16
headlines 30:1	31:2	investigating 38:6	42:12,14,15,23	lab 33:22 35:2
hear 16:1 22:17	important 10:6,8	38:9 42:18,21	43:4,17 44:7,14	36:17,19,23
heard 16:5 20:17	improve 23:2	investigations	51:11	37:19 59:14
29:2 34:2	inappropriate	35:14	keep 11:22 32:25	lack 53:9
hearing 6:7	52:2	involved 15:23	53:8 60:14	lacking 17:5
hearsay 45:14	include 7:4,7	16:2,6 29:12	62:12 63:1,2	ladies 65:8
48:5	indicate 18:8 32:6	38:5 43:1 44:12	KENNETH 2:16	laptop 49:13
hedge 32:16	33:2,3 62:21	45:25 50:24	kept 15:15	large 10:12 22:7
held 65:15 66:8	indicated 9:8	involvement	kill 56:4	Larry 46:6
help 45:4 46:18	indicted 30:15	15:20 28:9 52:5	killing 17:14,15	laser 36:21
48:23 52:14	individual 37:18	involving 52:15	kind 5:17 10:16	late 29:21 56:25
53:18 55:12	37:19	IPO 22:13	23:14 25:15	Law 2:5,11,17
59:15 60:16	inform 20:16	IRC 54:15,16,17	27:10 30:7	laws 28:15
helped 46:15	information 5:5,8	58:5,13	32:23 33:4	lawsuit 32:7 33:6
helpful 51:8	5:17,17 7:24 8:4	Israel 31:22	36:18 58:12	33:9 43:18,20
Herb 59:25 61:25	8:8 9:17,20,20	issue 10:8	63:3,4,5	45:5 47:16
Herb 59.25 01.25 Hewlett-Packard	10:13 11:20	issues 17:12	kinds 9:19	lawsuits 23:10
10:15	19:6,8,9,10	items 36:22	Kingdom 13:25	24:1 30:12
high 8:16 10:18	24:24,25 33:25	100118 30.22	KLEIN 2:16	32:12
highly 24:24,24	35:8 42:23,24	J	knew 38:11 43:19	lawyer 41:1,5
history 44:25	46:21 48:23	J 26:17,17	59:12 61:7	49:11,20,21
HOGAN 2:15	49:1 52:2 55:11	Jackson 16:20,24	63:14	51:6
home 5:22 10:10	informed 32:3	17:8,11,20,23	know 14:6 20:12	learn 15:16
55:15	informs 23:1	17:24 18:4,8,16	20:19,22 21:1	learned 24:17
honestly 43:5	initial 21:21 22:4	Jackson's 18:19	25:2 26:14,20	learning 27:11
Honor 4:8 8:25	57:22	Jane 65:16	27:9 28:2 29:15	lease 46:15,18
9:1 18:25 20:24	inside 15:8 62:10	January 3:13 9:7	31:20,20 32:11	led 47:23
21:9,17 24:9	installed 18:2	John 31:21,23	35:6 38:2,5,7	left 25:21 64:2
48:19 50:2 65:4	instructed 15:5	45:24 46:5,22	40:3,10 43:5,19	legal 28:20,22
HONORABLE	16:2 36:1 37:14	46:25 47:1	43:21 44:18	57:16
1:3	41:1 46:23 47:3	JTAG 35:7	46:1,6 47:5,5,15	legitimate 30:3
house 27:10 33:23	49:11	JUDGE 1:3	49:5 51:10	Lenoir 36:6,13,16
35:3	instruction 15:19	judgment 30:18	52:10,11,22	let's 22:15 30:12
housekeeping	15:23 36:5	30:20	53:1,5 56:18	36:17 52:18
20:24	instructions	Judicial 66:10	57:23 58:4,6	55:23
Houston 2:7	48:16	July 56:25	59:12,24 60:12	level 8:16,16
HP 10:14	instrument 35:5	June 29:22 33:9	61:1,18,20	lights 15:9
HP 10:14 Huddleston 59:25	intelligence 4:21	56:25 57:10	62:15 63:2,6,7	limit 61:9
61:25	intended 6:5	jury 1:15 4:17 5:7	63:22	list 10:14 13:7
hundred 6:18	interest 22:19	8:13 9:19 25:24	knowledge 30:16	litigation 19:23
hundreds 24:5	63:2	26:14 27:6	known 8:7 38:3	50:3
nunureus 24.3	interested 17:6	31:10 41:21	58:5	little 14:7,7 22:23
I		47:25 52:25	Kommerling	27:2,6,7,11
IDENTIFICAT	44:24 47:21	J-I-L-L 41:24	46:22 47:4	29:11 33:5
3:12	interesting 45:1	J.J 36:6,11 49:11	63:21	34:25 35:2
identified 19:3	internal 4:24 8:15	50:12 51:15	K-A-E-H-L-I-N	36:17 45:11
36:22	19:3 Internet 17:3 10:6	50.12 51.15	42:1,5	62:24 63:1
50.22	Internet 17:3 19:6			
		•	•	•

Page	6
------	---

				Page 6
live 18:3 27:19,22	matter 17:13	7:23	named 26:20,21	48:19,20 50:2,6
lived 27:21	18:21 20:24	moment 9:8 27:25	26:24 42:12	54:13 55:22
living 27:10	48:1,15 65:10	30:23 47:24	52:19 53:3	57:13 61:3
load 64:9	66:8	49:3	62:15	64:23 65:4,6
loaded 56:20	mean 23:7,16	money 50:7,9,12	names 10:14	nonconfidential
locate 18:13,17	31:3 32:15	57:24 58:1,7,9	NDS 1:8 2:9 3:13	11:21,23
located 9:13,14	51:16 59:3	58:18 61:21,22	4:7,14,21,24 5:4	nondisclosure
lodged 49:6 50:3	meaning 11:22	62:5,7,10	5:16,19 7:2,14	11:23,25,25
logic 37:3	45:22	month 32:5	7:24 8:9 9:16,20	nonpaying 6:18
logical 44:18	means 5:20 10:12	months 14:1	10:17,20 11:12	normal 51:17
long 38:3	meant 63:8	Mordinson 20:8	11:13,14 13:1	62:4
longer 31:20 55:8	media 17:4	20:17 24:18	13:12,18,21	Norman 31:24
look 4:13 6:15	meet 16:19 38:17	morning 26:9,10	15:2,20,22,23	32:3 53:3,6,9
8:12 9:22 15:8	39:13 54:23	26:15	16:1,2,5,6,6	Norris 31:21,23
19:11	meeting 12:25	Moskowitz 2:21	17:20 18:9,9,17	32:6 33:2 45:24
looking 11:4,5	13:4,6,11,15,18	mother 61:11	18:20 19:4	46:6 47:1
29:23 35:18	14:2,16,17,19	62:3,6	21:21,24 22:1,8	November 21:22
44:23 53:15	15:7,7,12,16	motivations 48:14	23:1,10,22	22:5,13
Lorne 3:7 25:17	16:9,14,17,23	move 8:25 26:2,3	29:17,18 30:2,6	number 18:13
26:1,5	16:25 17:8	27:23 52:12,18	30:11,13,18	52:9
Los 2:18	19:25 20:20	moved 27:24	31:17 32:6,20	
losing 63:2	31:21,23 55:10	moving 53:16	33:12 38:9 41:8	0
loss 6:17	memo 13:8	music 46:16	42:18 43:18,20	O 1:3
lot 35:10 41:4	Menard 62:16,18	MYERS 2:10	43:23 44:4,11	objection 9:1
51:13	62:19 63:10,13		44:15 45:5,21	45:14 64:19
lunch 65:3,14,15	63:14,16 64:11	<u> </u>	48:24 51:23	occasion 48:8
L-O-R-N-E 26:5	64:13,24	N 3:1	52:4,7,15	occur 48:3
	mention 32:10	Nagra 4:15,21 6:1	NDS's 6:21 7:15	occurred 21:22
<u> </u>	mentioned 11:16	6:9 13:1,7,12,15	7:25 8:16,19	odd 32:23
M 2:4	60:21 62:2	13:22 14:20	22:4 40:19	OEM 29:8
main 26:14 33:16	merger 12:2,6,9	18:3 45:4	near 63:18	offer 14:8 20:25
36:12 37:23	12:22,24	NagraStar 12:13	necessarily 32:12	21:16 61:1
58:12	met 16:10,20	19:22 25:12	Nedeltchev 60:3,4	offering 21:21
maintain 25:1	31:22 38:20	33:18,19,22	need 49:12 50:4	22:5
major 12:21	39:15 42:15	34:6,9,14,15,20	needed 40:3 55:5	officer 36:12
making 12:23	55:6,9 56:12	34:25 35:3,9,15	need-to-know	46:23 47:8
man 26:24	60:18 63:13	35:22 36:1,5,12	25:2	Official 1:21
management	microchip 8:5	36:14,24,25	Neumann 54:1,1	oftentimes 48:8
15:22 16:2	microphone 26:3	37:14 38:2 50:7	never 16:4 20:7	okay 4:24 5:19
manager 12:9	26:3	50:15 52:1,13	39:25 40:10	6:15 7:14 8:9,21
Manassas 61:12 Maritaha 45:20	microscope 36:21	52:15	44:9 57:25 60:7	9:19 10:1 11:11
Manitoba 45:20	middle 26:5	NagraStar's 7:9	62:14	12:5,25 14:10
March 16:10	mid-2004 43:6	35:18 37:15	new 11:4 53:12	14:14 19:17,21
19:25 34:21 Mark 16:20 17:13	Mike 15:5	40:16 name 13:6 25:24	newer 11:6	19:25 20:5 22:3 22:15 23:5,10
marked 6:11	millions 24:6	25:25 26:5	News 12:3,22 13:5	25:14 26:4,13
marketing 4:20	mind 40:5	31:22 37:20	22:1,19	31:5 32:9 33:15
6:5	mine 46:19	41:18 42:4	nice 65:14	36:17 43:13
married 27:8	minute 30:12	47:21 50:23	Noll 3:8 25:11,11	46:10,13 47:2
mate 53:15 55:4	minutes 35:13	59:16	26:6,8 42:3,6,10	40.10,13 47.2
man 55.15 55.4	misrepresent	57.10	44:3 47:10	ו.ול ל.ל ל.וד
				•

				Page 7
60:24 65:3,7,14	51:2,3 54:15	pirated 13:16,19	potentially 8:9	29:10
old 27:8 64:16	62:8	13:24 15:2,17	pound 54:22,22	programming
Oliver 46:22 47:4	particularly 6:1,6	23:22 28:12,24	powerful 10:12	28:8,19 29:10
63:20	parties 4:5 12:21	29:19 35:19	practice 62:4	30:4 32:1 58:25
once 30:13 62:8	41:8	pirates 7:4 8:10	praised 10:17,18	59:2
one's 19:20 43:25	parts 27:21	19:9 23:11,23	58:6	project 12:9
oOo 65:18 66:1	Pascal 36:6,13,16	23:24 24:1,4	precise 10:18	24:21
open 56:8	patch 56:8	pirating 13:24	preference 14:20	promised 57:24
operated 64:24	path 14:6	33:25 57:17,18	preferred 14:22	promises 50:15
operation 5:20,20	pay 8:16 28:7,18	place 15:8 56:22	premises 18:14,17	promoter 46:16
5:25 7:8,9	28:19 30:4,4	65:3	prepared 8:18,21	prompted 16:23
operational 18:14	31:6 32:4,25	PLAINTIFF 2:2	8:22 9:6,8 17:18	prosecution 52:15
opinion 65:11	33:1 50:7,9,12	plaintiffs 1:6 19:5	present 2:20 4:5,5	provide 18:20
-		-	13:9 52:15	-
opposed 46:12	pay-TV 3:14 PCB 29:5	25:11,13		56:19 57:16 62:13
opposite 18:2 order 39:17 40:25		plaintiff's 4:9	presentation	
	people 6:6 7:25	6:16 25:17 Plaman 50:25	51:14	provided 50:1,16
41:2,3,9,15,20	11:13 16:14	Plamen 50:25	presentations	53:19 55:10
44:10 61:21	25:2 31:6 33:25	60:3,11 61:1	6:24	58:17
original 28:6 29:7	50:21 51:13	planes 20:9,12	presently 27:8,11	provider 18:10
29:7 49:9 59:1,2	57:24 58:6,17	plastic 29:7 35:25	27:19 33:17	provides 29:15
60:9	58:24,25	59:1,2	president 16:21	providing 57:15
originally 29:8	perform 5:19 6:1	play 27:9	16:21	57:19
originals 49:12	12:11	players 17:5	PRESIDING 1:3	province 39:8
oscilloscope 36:20	performance	35:11	pretend 27:9	provinces 28:21
outlines 6:2	10:16,17,19	PlayStation 62:9	pretty 11:8 29:6	public 7:25 21:21
outside 7:2,4	period 59:11,11	PLC 1:8 2:9	41:18 60:13	22:4
41:14	person 26:20,20	please 4:13,17	previously 4:9,14	publicly 8:7
overcame 14:2	35:4	5:10 6:10 8:12	21:19 46:4	purchased 22:19
overlooking 10:7	personally 13:9	9:23 10:3 25:10	price 18:15,21	35:20
Overruled 45:15	personnel 18:14	25:20	printed 29:5 35:6	purchasing 17:7
overview 3:13	18:17	point 10:7 29:12	50:20 51:1	purpose 6:4 8:21
o'clock 65:9	persuasion 22:21	33:15 59:6	prior 13:6	pursuant 66:5
O'MELVENY	phone 60:13	63:18	probably 6:17	pursue 32:13
2:10	phones 17:4	police 46:23 47:8	10:8 20:21	put 15:6 18:11
	phonetic 45:12	portable 17:4,4	31:11,13 33:11	23:14 57:12
<u> </u>	46:6 59:17	position 41:14	43:6 45:4 53:18	p.m 65:15
page 5:2,9 6:10	pick 5:9 64:17	positive 30:17	59:17	P.1 59:9 60:22
9:21,24 11:6,6,9	65:2	42:9 51:11	probing 36:20	64:8
11:10 66:9	piracy 10:8 15:20	positively 30:17	problem 31:14,16	P.2 59:12,19 60:2
paid 36:22 50:13	15:24 16:3,7	32:18	problems 14:3	60:7,23 62:24
paper 45:23	17:11,14,15	possess 49:15,17	48:6	63:18 64:2
paragraph 5:14	20:2 22:23 28:1	possession 51:23	proceed 48:18	P1 59:11
5:14,16 6:12	28:2,4,5 30:15	possible 25:3	proceedings 1:14	P2 59:11
10:4,4	30:19 35:14	possibly 35:9	65:16 66:8	
pardon 11:25	38:6 42:19,21	38:22 42:1 51:6	proceeds 23:22	Q
part 22:22	43:1 44:5 46:7	55:5	processers 29:6	quality 10:19
partake 51:20	52:22	posted 19:6	produced 14:2	question 20:14
participate 12:5	pirate 15:6 23:7,7	potential 6:25	products 6:7 11:4	23:9,17 40:23
particular 5:21	33:24 46:12	8:22,23 11:17	16:25 17:2,5,7,7	40:25 41:1,17
8:4 9:15 48:14	56:9	12:2,6	program 5:21	41:20 43:2,22
		7 -		

				Page 8
45:16 46:3	RECROSS-EX	requires 10:11	21:14 24:10,14	60:23
questions 12:2	24:12	response 15:14	25:5,6	seconds 10:11
18:23 24:10	recruit 31:18	responses 10:10	rule 48:5 65:16	secret 11:22 24:24
25:4 41:6,6,11	33:13	responsible 23:16	ruling 47:25	40:5,9
44:10	recruitment 33:6	rest 61:10	run 12:12 37:8	secretive 51:14,16
quickly 58:4	33:12	RESUMED 4:10	46:3	section 6:12,12
quite 7:19 45:10	redirect 3:3 18:24	return 57:21	running 55:13,17	66:5
quite 7.17 4 5.10	18:25 19:1	returned 55:15	55:19,21 56:17	secure 14:4,8
R	refer 59:10,11	revenue 22:9	runs 51:12,12,12	22:18
R 2:11	referred 59:18,22	revenues 22:7	Tuns 51.12,12,12	security 36:12
raid 46:1 51:7	referring 14:10	reverse 24:18	S	see 4:25 6:19
63:3	reflect 47:6	33:24	SACV 1:7	11:19 12:11
raided 29:22			sales 6:5	35:10 45:9 51:8
46:20 53:2,6	regarding 16:9	reverse-engineer	salespeople 6:6	
57:10	region 5:23	35:6 36:2	San 2:13	52:9 65:8
raise 25:16	regular 8:18	reverse-enginee	Santa 1:16,23 4:1	seeing 45:2,3
raise 25.10 ran 56:21	regulations 66:10	35:18	Santa 1.10,25 4.1 Saskatchewan	seen 24:7 44:24
	related 12:6 17:1	RICHARD 2:16	27:22 28:23	Segoly 31:22
range 37:9,10 RCMP 29:23 30:1	45:6	Rico 9:12,13	Saskatoon 31:23	sell 11:4,5 28:12
30:7 45:22 53:2	relating 11:5	11:17,19	sat 51:18	28:15,24 64:1
53:7 53:7	63:16	rid 46:23 47:3	satellite 1:5 2:2	sellers 32:2
reached 58:8	relationship	ride 20:9	5:23 8:10 17:3	selling 6:7 28:7
	33:19 34:6,16	right 11:9 13:19		29:19 31:6
read 30:1 47:18	63:5,11	14:6 15:12	28:1,2,4,5 30:15	send 10:9 35:8
real 63:22	relay 54:17	18:15 21:4	30:18 35:13	56:4 58:14 62:5
really 17:18 33:14	reliable 60:11	22:17 25:16	38:6 42:18,21	62:5,5,6,7,8
40:7,8 48:13	remain 25:6	26:11 31:7,15	43:1 44:5 46:12	63:15 64:11,12
57:25 60:7	remains 14:14	35:1 36:15	46:12 54:22	sending 58:6
reason 14:24	remember 12:3	37:10 41:18	saw 17:5 20:7	senior 16:21
52:13	26:17 33:10	42:2 44:17	24:14 45:6	sensitive 24:24
reasons 47:5 52:8	39:18 55:7	46:11 48:24	saying 4:25 10:25	sent 16:24 51:5
recall 25:8 26:25	57:10 62:8	52:16 53:9 55:1	22:17 31:1,14	55:8,16 58:8,16
33:13 38:12,16	64:17	56:1,14,15 59:5	31:16 35:10	58:18,18 61:10
39:10,16 40:13	remind 4:17	59:6 61:5,5	53:5	61:22,24,25
40:14,16,19,22	repeatedly 48:3	63:18	says 4:14 6:16	62:2,3 63:25
43:7 49:1,3	Report 20:5,7	Risler 46:6	55:15 63:25	sentence 6:15
56:22,24 59:18	24:15	role 12:8,9	scared 53:10	September 16:18
59:21 63:9,10	reported 65:16	Ronald 3:7 25:13	schemes 8:6	17:9
63:15 64:12,14	66:7	25:17 26:1	scope 41:6,7,14	sergeant 45:12,19
receive 17:3 43:3	Reporter 1:21	Roni 31:22	search 29:23	45:20
received 9:2,3	66:15	room 1:22 15:7,7	33:24	served 30:11
21:4,5,8,10,11	REPORTER'S	rough 62:24	searched 37:6	31:19
21:12,16,18,19	1:14	roughly 37:6,9	searching 35:10	server 44:11
42:11,23,24,25	representative	38:4,23 55:14	45:1 47:21	45:21 54:21,21
43:8,16	13:5	56:16 57:11	53:13	servers 10:12,15
receivers 35:7	reprogram 29:9	RPR 1:21 66:16	seat 26:2	services 28:18
receiving 15:11	reprogramming	Rubin 2:22 3:4	seated 25:20,23	34:5,7 57:21
recess 65:15	28:6 59:4 60:9	4:6,9,13,17 5:4	second 14:24	session 4:4
recognized 30:22	requested 61:8	6:10 8:12 9:6	18:15 26:19	set-top 15:6,8
recollection 48:2	requesting 16:25	12:1 14:16	43:24 52:18	17:2
Recross 3:3 24:11	required 23:5,6	15:16 19:3	55:23 60:10,19	share 20:5,8
		I	I	I

				Page 9
Shkedy 20:9,17	37:3 39:9 43:2	45:3 46:23	18:21 20:3 24:5	14:12 17:3,4
24:18	44:2 45:17	62:25 63:6 64:1	28:25 29:8,16	31:6
shoot 47:16	51:16 54:7	64:8,16	34:19 35:23	tell 18:16 27:6
shortly 31:19 33:8	55:20 59:20	subject 12:25	46:8 54:25	36:18 39:20,23
38:18 56:17	64:14,18	17:13,19 25:8	57:17,18 59:4,8	40:2,11 44:7,21
58:24 60:8	Sounds 61:5	subscribe 28:16	60:6 62:22,23	52:25 55:6
61:10	source 22:7,9	subscribers 6:19	63:7	61:13
show 5:10 48:9,13	speak 52:18	subsidiary 43:11	systems 22:24	tend 5:22 10:7
shut 35:9	specific 24:7 49:1	successful 12:16	34:1 36:3 46:12	term 29:2
side 55:25	49:3 51:4	12:17	46:13	testified 16:12
	specifically 6:11	successfully 17:25	40.15	34:13 63:9
sign 11:21 46:18 54:22	35:3 36:1 39:10	18:1 56:19	T	
	52:25		T 2:3	testify 52:10
signal 18:3 38:6		sued 30:13 32:24	Tacholke 61:24	testimony 22:12 22:17 37:13
signed 46:4,15	Speculation 64:19	suggested 16:6	take 7:19 11:1	Texas 2:7
signing 8:23	spell 25:24 41:24 42:4 54:9	suing 43:23 44:4	12:1 15:6 23:9	
silent 15:15		suit 30:11 31:19	27:25 52:2 64:7	Thank 4:8 21:17
simply 7:19	Spy 51:18,18	Suite 2:6,12,18	talk 10:14 17:18	25:5,7,14,19
single 63:21	stand 4:6,10	superior 13:12,22	22:15,23 26:15	37:4 42:7 48:19
sir 21:3 25:7,14	20:18 34:4	supplied 59:23,24	26:19,23 27:25	54:11 57:8
25:16,19 26:18	54:17 Stars 2:17	59:25 60:1	29:11 33:15	65:13
26:21,25 27:25	Stars 2:17	supply 28:7	35:12 36:17	theft 52:5
32:9,22 37:4	start 34:19	support 7:22	37:23	they'd 47:15
38:1 49:15 54:5	started 15:11	10:25 53:10	talked 21:21 35:2	thing 26:19,23
sister 17:25	28:14 29:20	55:3 60:16 61:1	51:9,19 57:22	29:9 33:4 57:17
sit 31:9	38:22 58:3	61:2 63:3	talking 8:4,5	things 6:8 11:2
sitting 46:5 54:4 situation 55:13	state 25:24 34:24	suppose 40:11	42:22 45:24	27:12 45:10
situation 33.13 six 14:1 57:11	35:17 38:20	52:8,8,9	47:15	47:14,22 62:24 think 9:14 16:21
Sky 14:12	statement 20:23 22:14	sure 12:10 13:17 30:25 43:11,22	talks 10:4	22:6,9 27:24
Smart 8:5 14:2	States 1:1,22 5:24	51:11,13 52:21	Tarnovsky 26:20	28:21 29:22
	· · ·	54:12 55:16,24	26:21 29:12	
28:12 29:7,8,19 59:3 63:16,16	7:5 13:16,19 30:16 53:16	56:16 57:5	52:20,23 53:1,6	32:10,10,14,24 33:8,10 37:9
Snyder 2:10 3:4	56:13 63:14	60:17 65:5	53:20,22 54:14	38:15,22 40:3
4:6,8,12,23 5:10	66:6,10	Sustained 64:20	54:25 55:6,25	44:21 48:8 54:4
5:15 8:25 9:5	station 36:20	swap 23:2,5	56:7,11,18	55:14 58:3,7,24
10:2 18:23	steal 51:20 52:1	swap 23.2,3 swapping 22:24	57:20 58:14,20	60:9 61:7,8,10
21:15,17 24:13	stenographically	swapping 22.24 switched 46:11	58:21 59:7,18	61:15,25 63:12
25:4 32:19	66:7	64:3	59:21 60:5	63:14,20
36:10 45:14	step 25:7,15	SWORN 4:9	62:21 63:10,15	thinking 30:7
64:19	Stephen 41:5	25:17	Tarnovsky's	third 26:23
software 55:25	steps 25:8	sympathies 48:15	58:11 62:3,12	thought 16:25
56:8 57:15 63:3	STONE 2:16	system 3:14 4:15	62:19	17:6 18:5 33:2,3
solution 17:16	stop 60:5	4:22 6:1,8 7:9	task 12:17	45:4 48:22 51:8
18:6	stopped 59:7	7:23 8:17 10:5,6	technical 8:7 35:4	52:14
solutions 17:15	store 51:7	10:15,25 12:12	technologies 11:6	thoughts 57:22
somebody 42:12	Street 1:22	12:12,13 13:1,7	16:22	thousand 6:18
53:12 59:15,17	struck 32:23	13:12,13,15,18	technology 14:20	three 12:18 13:23
somebody's 23:7	45:12,19 61:1	13:21,22 14:4,8	14:22 22:25	26:14 27:8
someplace 49:18	study 12:14,20	14:10,12,23,24	23:2,2,6,13,15	33:16 60:13
sorry 23:20 32:10	stuff 27:10 44:24	15:2,17 18:2,3	television 8:17	64:2
JULY 23.20 J2.10	5 (UII 2/.10 44.24	10.2,17 10.2,0		UT.2

				Page 10
Thursday 12:2	true 39:24 49:15	39:12,14 50:14	weather 33:10	witnesses 3:3 48:4
tickets 55:8	66:6	verify 48:7,11	website 53:10	word 36:7 53:9
till 39:24	truth 48:3,10	50:4	64:25	57:6
time 7:8,9 11:5,5	try 11:2 31:18	version 59:10	websites 37:7	words 28:4 30:23
13:11,15,18	33:12 53:11	versions 7:7,8	week 30:10	32:14,16 49:5
14:7 15:19,22	55:12	Versions 7.7,8 Vesselin 60:3,4	weeks 12:18	work 18:2 27:9
16:20 20:19	trying 63:2,2,3	vice 16:21	55:14 56:13,15	32:20 33:21
		Victoria 27:5,10	56:16 57:11	43:12 55:25
22:11 23:3,18	Tuesday 1:17 4:1 turn 6:10	-	64:2	
23:19 24:14,17 24:19 28:13,14	TV 28:7	27:19,23 36:18		59:19,22 62:23 64:10
· · · · · ·		36:19,23 50:14 53:4	Welch 2:3,5 3:5 9:1 18:25 19:2	
29:12 31:3,17	twice 30:14			worked 50:25
38:18,20,23	two 10:14 12:21	view 5:21	19:14,16,18	55:4 57:23
39:23 42:4,17	13:23 55:14	Volume 1:8 4:2	20:15,24 21:3,7	working 29:13
42:22 43:7,16	56:13,15,16	65:17	21:9,13,20	43:7,9 53:17
46:8,11 51:6	62:8	Von 53:23 54:1,1	23:20,21 24:9	54:25 59:7 60:5
53:3,21 59:6	types 28:16	54:1	went 18:5 20:9	60:9 61:7 62:22
61:4,6 62:22	U	Vonnie 53:25	29:25 46:24	63:7
63:8		54:1	47:4 56:12	works 35:5 37:18
times 63:6	UK 9:15,15	VonRat 54:1	63:14	world 6:6 9:14
Title 66:6	unauthorized	Von@metro200	weren't 23:25	worried 60:13
Titus 43:9,10,13	15:11	53:20	60:14	wouldn't 58:22
51:10,12	understand 18:19	vs 1:7 51:18	West 1:22 2:12	wrong 30:5,8,9,23
Tocholke 50:23	22:2 23:17		we'll 29:10 44:1	31:1,11 32:24
today 14:12 26:11	24:20 27:7,13	$\frac{\mathbf{W}}{\mathbf{W} 2:10}$	46:8	wrote 8:15
26:23 31:10	31:5 32:9 33:5		we're 4:4 11:9	X
37:24 39:25	35:14 42:11	WADE 2:3,5	29:11 46:7	
49:15 54:5	44:4 53:22	waiting 64:21	59:13 60:10	X 3:1
told 24:20 31:24	54:24 58:21	want 12:1 16:13	we've 11:2 20:17	<u> </u>
32:3 39:24,25	understanding	19:25 20:14	whatnot 37:7	yard 27:9
41:5 44:8 48:3	38:8,12 41:3,4,8	22:23 24:25	whatsoever 19:5	yeah 31:13 35:1
53:15 55:3	42:17,20 43:13	25:1 26:13,14	wife 55:9	51:18 52:7 55:7
61:15,17	44:9 49:4 52:4	26:19,23 27:2	WILLETTS 2:4	57:18 60:4,22
tonight 50:5	54:14 57:14	27:25 30:24	willing 18:8,13,16	61:21
topic 28:1 37:23	62:11,14 64:24	33:5,15 37:23	18:20 40:22,24	year 10:18,18
52:13,18	understood 43:23	37:24 40:11	41:17	14:1 24:16
topics 26:14 33:16	United 1:1,22	52:9,10,18	Winnipeg 61:24	34:25 38:22
touch 36:2 53:21	5:24 7:5 13:16	53:10 57:5	wished 40:10	years 13:23,23
tough 64:17	13:19,25 30:16	59:10 61:16	witness 4:6,9,20	16:10 27:8
trail 45:23	53:16 56:13	64:18	5:14 10:1 23:18	64:16
trains 20:9,12	66:6,10	wanted 61:15	25:8,10,17,18	Yon 59:16
transcript 1:14	unpirated 14:14	64:11	25:20,22 26:1,4	Y OII 39.10
66:7,9	use 8:5,8 14:11,12	wants 11:8	32:18 36:9	\$
transmissions	28:7 35:24	warrant 29:23	41:25 42:5,8	\$10,000 32:4
15:12	37:14 54:15	wasn't 31:2 38:24	43:24 44:2	61:14
tree 15:9	58:22	45:3,22 46:17	45:17,19 47:1,3	\$20,000 58:2,14
Trial 1:15	U.S 37:11,12	way 45:23 52:2	48:9,14 49:20	58:15 61:8
tricked 30:7	66:15	57:5 58:21	49:22,24 54:8	\$35,000 37:10
trip 50:13 60:19	V	62:14	54:10,12 55:20	\$5 63:24
60:21,22,23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	weaknesses 13:7	57:3,7,9 60:20	\$5 05.24 \$50 63:23
troubles 45:1	Vancouver 39:5	13:9	60:25 64:21	430 0 <i>3.23</i>
	l	I	I	l

Page 11

			Page 1
	21 3:15,16	94111 2:13	
0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	94111 2.13 9472 1:21 66:16	
03-950 1:7	22 1:17 4:1 66:12		
1	24 3:5	95 29:21	
<u> </u>	2401 2:6	952-4334 2:7	
1 59:11	25 3:13	96 29:20,21,22	
1-053 1:22	25th 9:7	30:21 31:21	
1:00 65:9	26 3:8	33:9,11 50:24	
10 61:8 64:16	2600 2:12	53:2 56:24,25	
10,000 61:19	275 2:12	97 14:5 28:21	
10-Q 3:16 21:6,7	28 66:6	30:21 50:24	
10:44 4:3	3	51:1	
1065 3:15 20:25	3 10:11	98 20:6,10 30:21	
21:5	3.1 10:4	38:4,19 50:24	
12:04 65:15	30-to-40 37:9	51:1	
1270 4:13 6:4	310 2:19	984-8700 2:13	
19:4,14,15,16	366 3:13 8:12,14	99 21:25 22:3,5,13	
1270-011 5:9	8:15,25 9:3 19:4	22:13 38:19	
1270-12 6:11	19:14,15 21:15		
13th 7:13	21:19		
1400 2:18	366-012 9:24		
19 3:5	391 19:4,14,15		
1996 28:14,20	371 17.4,14,15		
31:17	4		
1997 12:3	43:4		
1998 61:4	4th 1:22		
1999 2:17 21:22	4.5 5:14		
38:4	411 1:22		
2	415 2:13		
2 10:10 59:11,22	49 27:8		
20-F 3:15			
20-H 21:2	5		
200 63:19,24	5,000 61:23,23		
64:10	5.1 6:12		
2000 16:10 19:19	558-8141 1:23		
19:25 38:15,19	7		
2001 7:13 19:12			
19:21 28:21	700 2:6		
2002 3:13 9:7	713 2:7		
19:20,21 27:24	714 1:23		
2004 43:6	753 66:5 77057 2:7		
2005 19:23	770572.7 785-4600 2:19		
2006 16:18 17:9	703-4000 2.19		
2007 24:16 34:22	8		
34:23	8 1:8 4:2		
2008 1:17 4:1			
34:22 66:12	9		
2008-04-22 1:25	93:13		
2011 3:16 21:6,7	90067 2:18		
21:12	92701 1:23		
l			<u> </u>