UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, JUDGE PRESIDING

ECHOSTAR SATELLITE CORP., et)
al.,)

Plaintiffs,)

vs.) No. SACV 03-950 DOC
) Day 6, Volume III

NDS GROUP PLC, et al.,)

Defendants.)

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Jury Trial

Santa Ana, California

Thursday, April 17, 2008

Debbie Gale, CSR 9472, RPR
Federal Official Court Reporter
United States District Court
411 West 4th Street, Room 1-053
Santa Ana, California 92701
(714) 558-8141

EchoStar 2008-04-17 D6V3

```
1
    APPEARANCES:
2
3
    FOR PLAINTIFF ECHOSTAR SATELLITE CORPORATION, ET AL.:
4
               T. WADE WELCH & ASSOCIATES
5
               BY: CHAD M. HAGAN
                    CHRISTINE D. WILLETTS
6
                    WADE WELCH
                    Attorneys at Law
7
               2401 Fountainview
               Suite 700
               Houston, Texas 77057
8
               (713) 952-4334
9
10
11
    FOR DEFENDANT NDS GROUP PLC, ET AL.:
12
               O'MELVENY & MYERS
13
               BY: DARIN W. SNYDER
                    DAVID R. EBERHART
14
                    Attorneys at Law
               275 Embarcadero Center West
15
               Suite 2600
               San Francisco, California 94111
16
               (415) 984-8700
17
               -and-
18
               HOGAN & HARTSON
               BY: RICHARD L. STONE
19
                    KENNETH D. KLEIN
                    Attorneys at Law
20
               1999 Avenue of the Stars
               Suite 1400
21
               Los Angeles, California 90067
               (310) 785-4600
22
23
    ALSO PRESENT:
24
               David Moskowitz
               Dov Rubin
25
```

					rage 3
1		IN	D E X		
2					
3	WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
4	NORRIS, John				
	By Mr. Klein		4		72
5					
	By Mr. Noll			41	
6					
7	ORBAN, Paul Walter				
8	By Ms. Willetts	81			
9					
10		EXH	IBITS		
11		(Not ap	plicabl	e)	
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA, TH, APRIL 17, 2008			
2	DAY 6, VOLUME III			
3	(1:22 p.m.)			
4	(In the presence of the jury.)			
5	THE COURT: We're back in session. The jury is			
6	present. All counsel are present.			
7	Thank you for your courtesy. Please be seated.			
8	JOHN NORRIS, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN			
9	RESUMED THE STAND			
10	THE COURT: And this is the continued			
11	cross-examination.			
12	MR. KLEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.			
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)			
14	BY MR. KLEIN:			
15	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Norris.			
16	A. Good afternoon.			
17	Q. Now, this morning you testified that you had pursued			
18	and been involved in criminal or civil prosecutions against			
19	Mr. Mullen, Mr. Ereiser, Mr. Scullion, Mr. Saggiori, all of			
20	whom were EchoStar consultants.			
21	Just to be clear, did you go after them just because			
22	they were EchoStar consultants?			
23	A. I don't believe they were EchoStar consultants. Some			
24	of them weren't EchoStar consultants when we sued or			
25	participated in criminal investigations. I think they			

- 1 became consultants later -- some.
- Q. When you decide who to go after, to criminally or
- 3 | civilly pursue with respect to piracy of NDS, do you have
- 4 any kind of criteria?
- 5 A. No. We go after people that attack our system that are
- 6 just, you know, significant distributors or sellers of
- 7 pirate technology.
- 8 Q. Let the chips fall where they may?
- 9 A. More or less.
- 10 Q. Now, there was testimony about Bell ExpressVu and the
- 11 | fact that with respect to some civil cases, NDS was a
- 12 | plaintiff along with Bell ExpressVu. Do you recall that?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Who is Bell ExpressVu?
- 15 A. It's a Canadian direct-to-home satellite subscription
- 16 | service provider. Similar to DirecTV or EchoStar, but their
- 17 market is Canada.
- 18 | Q. Are they aligned with DirecTV, or are they aligned with
- 19 EchoStar?
- 20 A. Well, they use EchoStar receivers, as I understand, and
- 21 Nagra Smart Cards, Nagra technology.
- 22 Q. Okay. And there was also some testimony about a case
- in North Carolina where Mr. Gee spoke to you at this
- courthouse and congratulated you. Do you recall that?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Without going into all the details, what was that case
- 2 about?
- 3 A. It was -- we worked with the FBI to identify and
- 4 investigate and prosecute the distributor of a free-to-air
- 5 box called or referred to as the Dreambox.
- 6 Q. What was the Dreambox?
- 7 A. The Dreambox is considered or regarded by some as being
- 8 one of the more, if not the most, sophisticated free-to-air
- 9 receiver available on the market.
- 10 O. And what does "free-to-air" mean?
- 11 A. Free-to-air is -- this technology involves a receiver
- 12 that is about the size of a VCR. In the matter of our case
- in North Carolina with the FBI, a consumer doesn't need an
- 14 | EchoStar card or an EchoStar receiver or an EchoStar dish
- antenna or an EchoStar remote or a low noise blocker,
- 16 anything from EchoStar.
- The consumer only needs to buy the receiver and have a
- 18 program put into the receiver which can be hooked up to the
- 19 Internet to view EchoStar's services or Bell ExpressVu
- 20 services.
- Q. And is free-to-air at this time a problem for DirecTV?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. You were asked some questions about something called
- the Headend Report this morning. Do you recall that?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. Before this lawsuit had you ever heard of the
- 2 Headend Report?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Before this lawsuit did you ever read an NDS technical
- 5 report that discussed reverse engineering of any EchoStar
- 6 device --
- 7 A. No --
- 8 Q. -- or chip?
- 9 A. No, sir.
- 10 Q. And would it be within your job duties to read NDS
- 11 reverse-engineering reports?
- 12 A. I'm not technical. It wouldn't be within my
- 13 responsibilities.
- 14 Q. Now, before we left for lunch, you talked about the
- 15 | fact that Mr. Tarnovsky was doing undercover work, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And he was also monitoring the Internet?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. And you were asked by counsel whether he received any
- 20 formal training. And I believe you said he didn't.
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Is there any formal training that you would give
- anybody, whether it's Mr. Tarnovsky or somebody else, to do
- the kind of jobs he was doing?
- 25 A. No. There's not really a position description for what

- 1 he was doing.
- 2 Q. Now, what, if anything, did you do to monitor
- Mr. Tarnovsky during the time period he worked for you?
- 4 A. Well, as I mentioned earlier, he relocated from the
- 5 East Coast to Southern California, about 10, 15 miles away
- from where I live. We talked on the phone frequently,
- 7 perhaps daily. We shared e-mails daily throughout the day.
- 8 We traveled together to the Middle East. We spoke on the
- 9 phone.
- I supervised him as much as possible, as it was needed
- 11 to perform his responsibilities in his undercover capacity.
- 12 Q. Did you have any kind of way to sort of check what he
- was telling you he was doing on the Internet to satisfy
- 14 yourself that he was giving you correct information?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What did you do?
- 17 A. We knew of the various aliases that he used in the
- 18 Internet. And we have a group in the Middle East, in
- 19 Jerusalem, and possibly in Europe that monitor the Internet
- as well. And it wasn't uncommon for me to get a report from
- 21 Tarnovsky of what he discovered or an e-mail and get
- 22 something that's similar in content from one of our analysts
- in Israel, for instance, or from the United Kingdom.
- Q. Did Mr. Tarnovsky know that you were checking what he
- was saying against what other analysts were telling you?

- A. I don't believe he did.
- Q. Now, you say you knew his aliases. Did you ever ask
- 3 | him what all his alias names are?
- 4 A. At a point I stopped because there was so many aliases.
- 5 But, yes, I asked him his aliases in the past.
- 6 Q. Did you know every one of his aliases?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. So you -- how did you -- withdrawn.
- 9 You said your analysts knew his aliases. How did they
- 10 know his aliases?
- 11 A. They knew what aliases he provided because when he sent
- me an e-mail consisting of his identities or his "nicks,"
- 13 | that would be forwarded on to Israel.
- Q. Now, was it a coincidence that he lived 10 or 15 miles
- 15 from you?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Why did that happen?
- 18 A. Chris was going to be doing some pretty important work
- 19 for us. He did have a past of helping the pirates in the
- 20 | first generation DirecTV Smart Card, counters to our
- 21 electronic countermeasures. And I felt that the closer we
- 22 are together, the more I could supervise his work and try to
- 23 monitor what he was doing.
- Q. Now, this morning you testified that Mr. Tarnovsky
- reverse-engineered some EchoStar devices. What were you

1 referring to?

- A. Well, I think the example that comes to mind is where

 he downloaded files off of the Internet that were reported

 to be EchoStar pirate code and where the claims were made

 that this EchoStar pirate code could be put into an NDS or
- 6 DirecTV card and work in an EchoStar system.
 - So he would take code or files off the Internet. He would pull code off of devices to see what, if any, code applied to NDS technology or NDS access cards.
- Q. Was the extent to which he did any of this work limited to what came over the Internet?
- 12 A. No.

8

9

- Q. Was he given assignments by NDS with respect to reverse engineering?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What kind of assignments?
- A. If we received evidence that had code in a security

 thip, an Atmel or a Dallas, different manufacturers of Smart

 Card -- not Smart Card but integrated circuits -- I would

 have my evidence technician and Mr. Tarnovsky examine

 similar devices -- not the specific evidence -- and
- decapsulate and pull out code from these devices in order to
 understand what the code is inside these devices.
- 24 If it applied to our technology, Tarnovsky and our 25 engineers in Israel would hopefully develop a countermeasure

- 1 to knock that technology out, to stop it from functioning.
- 2 Q. If it didn't apply to your technology, would
- 3 Mr. Tarnovsky have any duties?
- 4 A. Not that I -- not that I recall. I don't believe so.
- 5 Sorry, sir. No.
- 6 MR. KLEIN: Could the witness please be shown
- 7 Exhibit 51, which I believe is in evidence at this time.
- 8 (Document displayed.)
- 9 BY MR. KLEIN:
- 10 Q. It's on the screen if you're not finding the exhibit.
- 11 A. Got it. Okay, sir.
- 12 Q. Okay. Now, the last paragraph I'd like you to focus
- on, beginning with "perhaps a little off topic."
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And counsel asked you this. It says, "Perhaps a little
- off topic, but when I was at Mike's yesterday, he took an
- EchoStar hacked filed from the Internet, put it in a" --
- 18 A. Could you start again?
- 19 Q. Do you see the paragraph, it's the last paragraph,
- 20 beginning with "Perhaps a little"?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Okay. Instead of me reading it, tell us what happened.
- 23 What was that about?
- THE COURT: That's okay, Counsel. Just read it
- 25 | but read it slower --

1 MR. KLEIN: Okay. 2 THE COURT: -- so I have a record. 3 BY MR. KLEIN: 4 "Perhaps a little off topic, but when I was at Mike's 5 yesterday, he took an EchoStar hack file from the Internet, put it in a PO1, put the PO1 into an EchoStar receiver and 7 got all programming. Says the same thing can be done with 8 the PO2. We are seeing a lot of requests for PO1's in the 9 Internet now." 10 Do you see that? 11 Yes, I do. Α. 12 You wrote that, correct? 13 Α. Yes, I did. 14 Now, first tell us exactly what you're describing. 15 What happened there? 16 According to our monitoring of the Internet, in the 17 pirate forums, in the pirate community, there were a growing number of requests for DirecTV P1, or PO1; and DirecTV P2, 18 19 or PO2 access cards. 20 My assignment to my staff was: Why do they want these 21 cards? We've already turned those off. What's up? 22 During the analysis and review of the Internet 23 environment, it was discovered that this file, this EchoStar

file, was discovered and that if you took this EchoStar hack

file and put it in a DirecTV P1 or DirecTV P2 access card,

24

25

- 1 that access card would work in an EchoStar receiver.
- Q. Now, when you say -- the P1, the card that was being
- used, was that an EchoStar card or an NDS card?
- 4 A. It was an NDS card manufactured for DirecTV.
- 5 Q. Okay. So what -- and did you learn about this over the
- 6 Internet? Is that where you found it out?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Okay. So if I understand you, NDS became aware of the
- 9 fact that if somebody took the NDS P1 card and used some
- 10 | file they could get from over the Internet, they could put
- 11 it in an EchoStar receiver and get all the programs for
- 12 free?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Now, did Mr. Tarnovsky in his monitoring of the
- 15 Internet come across this?
- 16 A. Yes, he did.
- 17 Q. So why, then -- once you found out about it, why did
- 18 | you let him actually do it?
- 19 A. We wanted to validate the claim, if it was possible or
- 20 not.
- 21 Q. Why was that of interest to you?
- 22 A. Our cards are custom cards. The hardware in those
- cards is custom-designed for DirecTV customers or our
- DirecTV customer. And, first of all, I didn't believe it,
- but I saw it with my own eyes, that what was reported in the

- 1 Internet was truthful or accurate, that a pirate program for
- 2 EchoStar would actually function in one of our cards.
- 3 Q. And to the extent that Mr. Tarnovsky did what is set
- 4 forth in Exhibit 51, you were aware of it and authorized it?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- MR. KLEIN: Now, another exhibit I would ask that
- be shown to the witness, Your Honor, it's already been
- 8 marked in evidence, is Exhibit 41.
- 9 BY MR. KLEIN:
- 10 Q. Now, I want to direct your attention to the first
- 11 paragraph under the, "From George Michael to John Norris: I
- 12 am guessing that there are at least a hundred thousand
- original cards, professional E3M'd or EchoStar 3M."
- Do you see that?
- 15 A. Yes, I do.
- 16 Q. Okay. Now, what is your understanding as to why
- 17 Mr. Tarnovsky was writing that e-mail to you?
- 18 A. He was trying to come up with an estimate or a
- 19 guesstimate of the pirate card population at the request of
- 20 Ms. Beth Erez, our VP of marketing, who put out the request:
- 21 Anybody have any idea what the number of cards might be in
- 22 the population?
- 23 And he several times mentions "I guess," "I would guess
- 24 that there must be." So he's making a guesstimate of what
- 25 he thought could be the number of Smart Cards in the

- 1 population at that time.
- Q. Did you consider his guess anything that you would ever
- 3 rely on?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Why not?
- 6 A. I couldn't use a guess as evidence or in a capacity
- 7 that I could substantiate or refute.
- 8 Q. Now, we heard some testimony of about approximately
- 9 \$20,000 being sent to Mr. Tarnovsky. Were you aware of the
- 10 fact that \$20,000 was sent to Mr. Tarnovsky from somebody?
- 11 A. I was aware that money was being sent to him over a
- 12 period of a year or more by someone -- or by Ereiser, yes.
- Q. And how did you know? How did you know that was
- 14 happening?
- 15 A. Mr. Tarnovsky told me about it.
- 16 Q. And what did he tell you? Why was he getting this
- money?
- 18 A. He told me that the group that we were monitoring when
- 19 he was up in Calgary offered him money for his work on
- 20 behalf of the group during the P1 pirate -- DirecTV P1
- 21 pirate days when he was supporting that group. He said they
- offered him \$10,000. And then they offered him an
- additional amount of money for him to continue to help them
- while he was up in his undercover capacity in Canada.
- Q. Okay. So money had been originally offered to

- 1 Mr. Tarnovsky before he began working for NDS?
- 2 A. That's my understanding.
- Q. Okay. And it was for two types of services?
- 4 A. That's my understanding.
- 5 Q. First type of service was services already performed?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And were those services performed before he worked for
- 8 NDS?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And the second 10,000, that was for future services?
- 11 A. That's my understanding, yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And to your knowledge, did Mr. Tarnovsky tell you about
- this \$20,000 in a timely manner?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And was the -- was some amount of money, approximately
- 16 \$20,000, actually sent to him?
- 17 A. Eventually. That's my understanding, yes.
- 18 Q. And where was it sent to?
- 19 A. It was sent to his mailbox address in Virginia, his
- 20 undercover address in Virginia.
- 21 Q. And did it come all at once or over a period of time?
- 22 A. It came over a year or longer period, as I recall.
- Q. Okay. And you were aware during that time that money
- 24 was being sent to him?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And how did it come? I mean, what form?
- 2 A. It was shipped to him from Mr. Ereiser, concealed in
- 3 electronics, in small amounts, each shipment, over this
- 4 period of time.
- 5 Q. And ultimately it was your understanding that
- approximately \$20,000 was the total?
- 7 A. 18,000 or 20,000. I've heard both numbers.
- 8 Q. Did you tell any of your superiors at NDS about this?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Who did you tell?
- 11 A. Talked to my boss, Mr. Hasak.
- 12 Q. Now, there was testimony this morning that
- 13 Mr. Tarnovsky was allowed by you to hold the money in his
- 14 possession; is that true?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Okay. Well, first of all, as far as you could tell,
- could this \$20,000 be traced back to any particular victim?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. As far as you could tell, could the \$20,000 be traced
- 20 back to any group of victims?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Why did you allow Mr. Tarnovsky to maintain possession
- of the 20,000 -- of the approximately \$20,000?
- 24 A. I was hopeful that we could use it in an operation back
- against this group in our -- either our lawsuit or our

- 1 prosecution of them.
- Q. By "against this group" meaning Mr. Ereiser?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Did you monitor to make sure the money was there every
- 5 day?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Why not?
- 8 A. Mr. Tarnovsky reported to me the first eight -- seven,
- 9 eight number of times that the money came in. And I didn't
- 10 | feel I had to monitor. I felt he was being truthful with
- $11 \quad \text{me.}$
- 12 Q. Did you have any understanding as to what eventually
- would happen to the money if you didn't use it for that
- 14 sting that you were considering?
- 15 A. There was no understanding.
- 16 Q. Did you think there was any person or governmental
- agency or company that had a valid claim to that money?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Now, Mr. Hasak, when you told him about the \$20,000,
- 20 did you also inform him that you were going to allow
- 21 Mr. Tarnovsky to keep it in his possession?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Now, you were asked at some point during counsel's
- examination whether you told the U.S. Attorney about that
- 25 money. Do you recall?

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. Was anything asked of you with respect to that money
- when you spoke to the U.S. Attorney? I guess my question
- 4 is: Did you intentionally conceal it from the U.S.
- 5 Attorney?
- 6 A. No, no.
- 7 Q. Now, we also heard testimony with respect to
- 8 approximately \$40,000 going to Texas. And we've heard
- 9 testimony from a Lieutenant Cumberland, a police officer
- 10 from Texas, who testified that he and his people intercepted
- 11 two packages containing a total of \$40,100 mailed to a post
- office box in Texas belonging to Mr. Tarnovsky.
- Were you aware that occurred?
- 14 A. At some point I was.
- 15 Q. How did you learn about it?
- 16 A. I was told about it at some point months afterwards.
- 17 Q. By whom?
- 18 A. Mr. Tarnovsky.
- 19 Q. And you're saying he didn't tell it to you immediately
- when he found out?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you discuss with him why he didn't tell you right
- away that two packages had been intercepted like that?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. What did he say?

- $1 \mid A$. He said he was afraid.
- Q. What did he say he was afraid about?
- 3 A. He was afraid of me.
- 4 Q. Was he more specific as to why he was afraid of you?
- 5 A. He was afraid to tell me that the packages came to that
- 6 mailbox, that he didn't know who the packages were from and
- 7 | that he had received a notice from a District Attorney or a
- 8 county attorney that those packages were received at that
- 9 mailbox and they were opened because of a drug investigation
- or a drug dog involvement. And he was afraid.
- 11 Q. And a number of months later, he did tell you about it?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. Now, when he told you about it, were you were concerned
- 14 about it?
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. Did you consider terminating him over it?
- 17 A. I was pretty upset.
- 18 Q. Did you consider termination?
- 19 A. I thought about it.
- 20 Q. I take it you decided not to terminate him?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Why not?
- 23 A. We reviewed everything that we were made aware of at
- 24 | the time, the allegations of drug -- drug abuse; talked with
- 25 my HR executive. And he agreed to go to Scripps Hospital

- 1 and take a drug exam.
- 2 Q. Mr. Tarnovsky?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. I'm sorry. Mr. Tarnovsky did. Passed it. No evidence of any drug abuse.
 - Mr. Tarnovsky denied that he was expecting those packages. He showed on the document they were not addressed to him. They were addressed to an alias company or business, that he had never received the packages.

And when he was notified that the packages were seized, he had the option of checking off a box of making a claim or disavowing ownership of that. He sought counsel from a local attorney in San Diego, what he should do. The counsel told him, if it's not yours and you didn't expect it, then you check that box and mail it back, which is what he told me he'd done.

- Q. In analyzing whether to terminate him or not, did you take into consideration the work he had done for you over the years?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And what were your thoughts about -- about that, and how did that figure in your decision not to terminate him?
- A. Well, I had worked with him six or seven years, and I

 didn't -- I believed what he said, that he didn't expect or

 know who the money was sent from.
- The amount of the money was very similar to an amount

- of money, \$41,000, that was offered to a U.S. Customs
- documented informant in Canada in another operation we were
- 3 involved with up in Manitoba. And it seemed like there was
- 4 a number of plausible reasons to believe Chris, plus I
- 5 trusted him, and I believed him when he said he wasn't
- 6 expecting it.
- 7 Q. Did he have any explanation for you as to how it was
- 8 | that \$40,100 happened to be mailed to a mailbox that had his
- 9 name on it?
- 10 A. He opined that he may be -- it may have been set up by
- 11 somebody in Canada.
- 12 Q. Well, did he give you a scenario for being set up that
- you considered to be reasonable?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What was the scenario?
- 16 A. Well, the packages came from British Columbia. And
- 17 | that's where -- as I understand, Ron Ereiser had sold his
- 18 home in Kerrobert, Saskatchewan and located to Vancouver.
- 19 The packages were sent in a similar manner in which Chris
- 20 | had described when he received the \$18,000 from the Calgary
- operation that he was on. In other words, Ereiser had sent
- 22 him cash hidden inside electronics with fictitious return
- 23 addresses.
- It was plausible. It was believable.
- Q. Now, we've also heard testimony this morning that there

- was a meeting that you attended after this with the United
- 2 States Attorney's Office?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. And there was some kind of an investigation going on,
- and you were there and you said Mr. Stone was there and some
- 6 others were there with the U.S. Attorney?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Now, with respect to these packages, the \$40,100, then
- 9 followed up by this meeting with the U.S. Attorneys, after
- all that, was there ever a prosecution by the United States
- 11 Attorney's Office or any government agency of Mr. Tarnovsky?
- 12 A. No, sir.
- Q. Were there ever -- was there ever a prosecution with
- respect to all of this -- the \$40,100, the meeting you had
- with the U.S. Attorney's office, was there a prosecution of
- 16 NDS?
- 17 A. No, sir.
- 18 Q. Were there any charges filed by the U.S. Attorney or
- 19 Texas or any other jurisdiction against Mr. Tarnovsky
- arising out of this \$40,100?
- 21 A. No, sir.
- 22 Q. Were there any charges against NDS arising out of this
- 23 \$40,100?
- 24 A. No, sir.
- Q. As far as you know, was Mr. Tarnovsky ever arrested

- with respect to this \$40,100?
- 2 A. No, sir.
- MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, I would ask that the
- 4 witness be shown Exhibit 1268.
- 5 BY MR. KLEIN:
- 6 Q. Now, looking at Exhibit 1268, was this a letter sent by
- 7 | the Department of Justice to counsel for NDS?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And did you have occasion in your position to review
- this letter on or about February 3rd of 2004?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Was it received in the regular course of business?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, I would ask that 1268 be
- 15 received into evidence.
- THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. NOLL: We object. Hearsay, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: We'll take it up outside the presence
- of the jury.
- 20 BY MR. KLEIN:
- 21 Q. As far as you know, after the meeting that you attended
- in the U.S. Attorney's Office, was there any further action
- ever taken with respect to the subjects discussed by that
- U.S. Attorney?
- 25 A. No, sir.

- 1 Q. Now, this morning you were shown Exhibit 77.
- MR. KLEIN: And I would ask that the witness be
- 3 shown Exhibit 77, please.
- 4 MR. O'DONNELL: (Complies.)
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 6 BY MR. KLEIN:
- 7 Q. Now, it says in Exhibit 77 "this Von is they [sic] guy
- 8 | who also popped the Nagra and did all the Swiss cheese crap
- 9 that was released through dr7."
- Do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And that was sent on December 7th, 2000.
- Now, you testified this morning that the person who
- sent that, whose name is NorthSat, is, in fact, an
- individual named Dean Love, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Did you, when you saw Exhibit 77, commence an
- investigation into what Mr. Love was saying?
- 19 A. No, sir.
- Q. Why not?
- 21 A. We had completed a search warrant of Mr. Love's
- business and seizures. And at his attorney's office, he
- 23 stated the person responsible for Nagra was -- first he said
- 24 it was is Chris Gerlinsky; then he changed it to "J," or
- Jeff, from Edmonton. He may have then changed to another

1 person.

- And I think possibly this came in after the search
 warrants, after I was back. And it was clear to me that he
 had no idea and that he was reading what was being posted on
 the Internet with all kinds of allegations floating around
 at the time.
- Q. So you didn't give it much credibility?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Now, you also were asked this morning about an e-mail,
- Exhibit 113. That's the one with the subject "cat's out of
- 11 the bag."
- 12 A. Okay, sir. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, when you received Exhibit 113 where Mr. Tarnovsky
- wrote the subject "cat's out of the bag, there's a public
- file on the Internet I saw via www.interestingdevices.com,
- as well as www.dr7.com, and it's the syntax to dump any
- ROM 3 NagraVision card" -- when you saw that, were you in
- 18 any way surprised that Mr. Tarnovsky would be relaying
- 19 information to you that he received over the Internet?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 Q. Why not?
- 22 A. That's what he did as part of his job.
- Q. Now, there did come a time when you terminated
- 24 Mr. Tarnovsky, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Now, how is it that you went through this whole process
- at the time you found out about the two packages sent to his
- 3 Texas mailbox, and you went through the whole process and
- 4 explained to us why you didn't terminate him; and then in
- 5 2007, right before he's supposed to be deposed in this case,
- 6 he gets terminated. How did that happen?
- 7 A. Our lawyers -- our law firm, other law firm had
- 8 received evidence from the EchoStar law firm that had a
- 9 report from, I think, Canadian law enforcement, that there
- was a palm print or a fingerprint inside one or both of the
- 11 packages that belonged to an associate of Allen Menard.
- 12 Q. Okay. Let's see if we can make this clear.
- We're talking about one or both of the packages that
- 14 Lieutenant Cumberland testified he intercepted back in 2001,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And -- I'm sorry, 2000 -- no -- 2000. Actually, I'm
- 18 not sure at this moment whether it was 2000, 2001. We'll
- 19 just leave that.
- Talking about the packages that were intercepted by
- 21 Lieutenant Cumberland, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. Now, at the time you found out that these two packages
- were intercepted, were you aware of any fingerprints or
- 25 thumbprints or anything else being found on any of the

- devices in those packages?
- 2 A. No, sir.
- Q. Prior to 2007 were you aware of any fingerprints or
- 4 thumbprints being found in either of those packages?
- 5 A. No, sir.
- 6 Q. And at some point you find out through counsel, who
- found out through EchoStar's counsel, that, in fact, there
- 8 | were some kind of prints found in those packages?
- 9 A. I found out from our counsel.
- 10 Q. Now, when you found out about the prints -- and these,
- again, are the prints that were in one or both of the
- 12 packages that were intercepted by Lieutenant Cumberland that
- were sent to a mailbox in Texas that Mr. Tarnovsky had --
- 14 | that was his mailbox, correct?
- 15 A. He rented that mailbox, correct.
- 16 Q. All right. So, then, how much time elapsed between
- when you found out about these prints and when you actually
- 18 terminated Mr. Tarnovsky?
- 19 A. 24 hours.
- 20 Q. And what was it about finding out about these prints
- 21 that caused you to terminate Mr. Tarnovsky in 2007 when you
- 22 | hadn't terminated him back when the incident occurred?
- 23 A. He was terminated because he wasn't candid where the
- 24 money came from, in my opinion.
- Q. And when you say he wasn't candid where the money came

- 1 from, what exactly do you mean?
- 2 A. He told me that he didn't know who had sent the money,
- and yet this money came from an associate or somebody known
- 4 to associate with Al Menard. I couldn't believe that any
- 5 longer. I felt he lied to me.
- 6 Q. Now, did you come to the conclusion once you found out
- 7 those prints were in the package that Mr. Tarnovsky had
- 8 indeed hacked EchoStar?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Do you believe he hacked EchoStar?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- 12 Q. Why not?
- 13 A. That's not what he -- that's not what Tarnovsky was
- 14 about. He was -- he was passionate about fighting piracy.
- 15 That was his job for eight years, for the length of his
- employment. It was -- it was his job, and he was passionate
- about performing those responsibilities to fight piracy, not
- 18 to cause piracy.
- 19 Q. To this day have you ever seen any evidence that you
- 20 consider credible, as opposed to rumors on the Internet --
- 21 have you ever seen any credible evidence that indicate to
- 22 you and satisfy you, based on your 30 years in law
- enforcement, that Mr. Tarnovsky did indeed hack EchoStar?
- 24 A. I've seen nothing.
- Q. Let's talk about Mr. Menard for a moment.

Counsel, when he questioned you this morning, pointed out that you never prosecuted Mr. Menard, correct?

A. Correct.

3

- Q. I think you testified earlier today, even though you
- didn't prosecute him, you did shut him down, correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- Q. Did you eventually recommend that Mr. Menard be hired as a consultant to NDS?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And at the time you recommended that he be hired as a consultant to NDS, you knew that he had operated
- www.dr7.com, which is a pirate website, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.

of the business.

21

- Q. Why would you recommend that NDS hire someone who had operated a pirate website?
- A. He was closed down -- operating a site and selling

 pirate technology are two different things. He operated a

 site that people would come and take files or leave files

 on. I don't know, they may have paid a subscription, or he

 was making his money from advertising banners. He was out
- I met with Mr. Menard and one of our attorneys in

 Canada. And in the debriefing Mr. Menard provided some

 expertise that solved a significant problem for us and for

 DirecTV. And based on his expertise and what I believe was

- his candor at the time, I suggested we bring him into our camp and have him help us fight piracy in the Internet.
- Q. What was his area of expertise?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Technical involving Internet, the World Wide Web and computers.
- Q. Be a little more specific. What could he do for NDS that was valuable?
- A. Well, when he met with myself and the attorney, we were faced with a number of very sensitive stamped "secret" and stamped "confidential" documents that had been posted on the Internet. They were very sensitive documents between DirecTV, our customer's CEO's, and NDS's CEO, Dr. Abe Peled. And my concern at the time was: Do I have a leak in NDS?

 My personal concern is: There goes my job if we do.

When we met with Mr. Menard, he was one -- actually he was the only person at that time that was able to capture copies of those documents before they were taken down. And he explained to the attorney, who was a former assistant U.S. Attorney, how to identify the person or persons or company that made the copies of these secret documents.

And damned if he didn't do it. And it worked. And the individual was identified by the FBI and prosecuted.

Q. Once you hired Mr. Menard, what kind of work did he do for you that you needed him as opposed to just hiring somebody else?

A. He has skills that I've not found in anyone else. Some skills I don't really understand. But he had the ability to mirror or copy Internet sites globally that dealt with piracy of NDS technology regardless of our customer.

He monitored sites for us, computerized it, in the Middle East, in Eastern Europe, Europe, the United States, North and South America, Asia.

And he was able to reduce these files and cross-reference the manner in which people would write or misspell words and cross-index identities who might appear in England because of the way he misspelled certain words; may come up with a different idea in Canada, for instance.

So he had these skills of developing these databases and monitoring the piracy of our technology on a global basis. He also presented an idea to NDS how we might be able to take out these significant numbers of posters that are clustered offshore and are most likely being run either by Americans or Canadians, North Americans, but are avoiding detection.

And he was able to cluster approximately 230, 250 different websites in the Bahamas, in Malaysia, in the Cayman Islands. And we turned it over to our law firm, Wilsdon, who shut 'em down. And they've never come back.

Q. Was there something about his ability to locate and identify those websites that you couldn't get someone else

1 to do for you?

- 2 A. We saw a report by another company that tried to do
- 3 that for our customer. And they were well below the numbers
- 4 that Mr. Menard had identified. We went with Mr. Menard's
- 5 numbers.
- Q. Now, it was pointed out by counsel that at the time you
- 7 hired Mr. Menard, the lawsuit in this litigation had already
- 8 been filed. The fact that there were allegations in the
- 9 complaint in this case about Mr. Menard, did that affect
- 10 your decision whether to hire him?
- 11 A. It was -- it was a consideration, yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. And how did you come to the conclusion that
- despite the fact that these claims were made in this very
- lawsuit about Mr. Menard, that you were gonna still hire
- 15 him? How did you get to that decision?
- 16 A. Well, it was at the same time, in the same time frame
- of this money that had been sent to Tarnovsky. And there
- was an investigation, and our counsel assured us that we
- wouldn't be obstructing any other official investigation if
- 20 | we hired Mr. Menard. Our counsel spoke with the Assistant
- U.S. Attorney in charge of looking into Mr. Tarnovsky back
- 22 during that time frame.
- 23 And if we weren't prohibited or if it was not -- if it
- had been recommended don't do that, we wouldn't have done
- 25 it. But we were told it was okay.

- 1 Q. And when you say it was okay, you mean hiring
- 2 Mr. Menard?
- 3 A. As a consultant, yes, sir.
- Q. During the time that Mr. Menard had been employed as a consultant by NDS -- withdrawn.
- During the time Mr. Menard had been employed by NDS,

 did he do any work directly with law enforcement?
- 8 A. Yes, he did.
- 9 Q. What was that?
- 10 A. Well, he provided us intelligence which we shared
- 11 indirectly. He also traveled and met with the high tech
- 12 crimes group and the U.S. Secret Service in the U.S. and
- 13 tutored or gave assistance to their official investigations
- 14 that have resulted in the arrest of a Canadian on U.S. soil.
- 15 | Q. So Mr. Menard worked with the United States Secret
- 16 Service?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Now, there's been testimony about the fact that
- 19 Mr. Menard and Mr. Tarnovsky were friendly, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And they were friendly even before Mr. Menard was hired
- 22 by NDS, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. And you as the person to whom Mr. Tarnovsky reported
- 25 knew that there was some kind of a friendship here?

- 1 A. That was Chris' assignment, Tarnovsky's assignment when
- 2 he was investigating Internet activities and we were
- developing targets in Canada. He was to become friendly
- 4 with targets in Canada. Mr. Menard, he became friendly
- 5 with.
- 6 Q. And did you have any concern that maybe Mr. Tarnovsky
- 7 | would become too friendly with Mr. Menard and his loyalties
- 8 | would be to Mr. Menard as opposed to NDS?
- 9 A. I don't know that I would say concern. I don't believe
- 10 I was worried about it. I believed the loyalties from
- 11 Mr. Tarnovsky were for our department and our effort. He
- 12 | communicated with me regularly regarding Mr. Menard's
- 13 activities.
- Q. And was there ever any instance -- withdrawn.
- When you ultimately shut down Mr. Menard, the
- 16 information that was given to you that led to that -- his
- website being shut down, who did that come from?
- 18 A. It came from Tarnovsky.
- 19 Q. Now, in Mr. Tarnovsky's consulting services agreement,
- 20 | Exhibit 115 -- maybe --
- 21 A. Mr. Tarnovsky's?
- 22 Q. I'm sorry, Mr. Menard's consulting services agreement,
- 23 Exhibit 115. Perhaps you could take a look at that.
- MR. O'DONNELL: We don't have it.
- THE COURT: You can put that up. It was already

- 1 received. If you want to put it on the board.
- MR. KLEIN: That's fine. Thank you, Your Honor.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
- 4 BY MR. KLEIN:
- Q. What I wanted to direct your attention to was, you were
- 6 asked some questions about the fact that it says,
- 7 | "Consultant will maintain an accurate and detailed log of
- 8 hours spent in fulfilling consulting obligations under this
- g agreement. A certified monthly copy of this log will be
- 10 made available to company upon written request."
- Now, this morning you were asked by counsel whether you
- 12 ever made the written request for that log. Do you recall
- 13 that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And you said you had not.
- 16 A. That's correct.
- $17 \mid Q$. Why not?
- 18 A. It wasn't necessary.
- 19 Q. Why wasn't it necessary?
- 20 A. The volume of traffic, the hours of e-mails between
- 21 Mr. Menard and our intelligence analyst and Internet analyst
- in Israel showed me right off the bat he was spending
- considerably more than 120 hours per month on these
- 24 functions.
- 25 Q. So you know from your own experience that you were

- 1 getting your money's worth?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Now, I'd like to direct your attention to another
- 4 document that was received in evidence this morning, which
- 5 is Exhibit 1568.
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, you were asked some questions by counsel about
- 8 this document. Do you remember that?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Did you write this document?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- 12 Q. Do you know who wrote it?
- 13 A. No, sir.
- 14 Q. Do you know to whom it was sent?
- 15 A. No, sir.
- 16 Q. If it hadn't been that counsel showed you the
- information that's on the next to last page, would you know
- when it was created?
- 19 A. No, sir.
- Q. What's that?
- 21 A. No, sir.
- $22 \mid Q$. Now, it says it was created in -- on August 23rd, 1998.
- 23 Do you see that?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Can you tell us how it could be that there would be a

document on your computer created in August of 1998 and you don't know anything about it?

- A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. Please.

A. Back in this time frame our IT group -- our first or second IT group were having problems or difficulties with PGP, which is a form of encrypting electronic e-mails.

At this time, in order to open up an e-mail that one would receive that had been encrypted, whether you're -it's addressed to you or if you're a cc to the e-mail or if you're a blind cc to the e-mail, in order to open that document, unlike today, you had to take that PGP document or that PGP e-mail and put it on your hard drive; and once it was on your hard drive, then open that PGP e-mail on your hard drive in order to read it, which created an unencrypted e-mail on your hard drive in addition to the encrypted e-mail.

In that there was not an unencrypted e-mail on my hard drive, I either didn't keep it, I read it or I didn't read it or I don't recall the document. It may or may not have been addressed to me. I don't recall it. But that's how it would be on my hard drive.

In order to look at an e-mail, I had to save it, open it, put in a password, look at it, and either reply to it, delete it or leave it for the future if there was some need

- 1 for it in the future.
- In this case the unencrypted document was deleted.
- This document stayed there. And I don't recall -- I
- 4 | couldn't tell you who sent it. I don't know why it doesn't
- 5 say who sent it or why -- the address. Our system allows
- 6 that now, but back then it did not.
- 7 Q. Now, are you suggesting that this document was not sent
- 8 to you?
- 9 A. I don't recall this document being sent to me.
- 10 Q. But are you disputing that it was sent to you?
- 11 A. No. If it was on my hard drive, it would be logical
- 12 | that it's on my hard drive, it was either sent to me as a
- 13 "to," a cc or a bcc.
- Q. And over the years that you've worked at NDS, how many
- different operations have you had?
- 16 A. Well, in excess of a thousand.
- Q. And as you read Exhibit 1568 today, ten years after it
- was created, do you have any kind of recollection as to
- which of those operations this related to?
- 20 A. I don't know that it related to an operation at all. I
- 21 don't know that it related to me at all. It's technical on
- 22 EPROM and ROM, and I don't recall the document.
- Q. Now, there was testimony this morning about
- Mr. Tarnovsky was asked to set up an account on a pirate
- website. Does that concern or surprise you?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Why not?
- 3 A. That was part of his job. In order to participate in a
- forum, you had to join the forum, register your identity,
- 5 develop a password. And that was part of his job.
- 6 Q. Did you ever engage in satellite piracy of EchoStar or
- 7 NagraStar?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Did you ever engage in satellite piracy of any NDS
- 10 competitor?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Yesterday we heard some testimony about rumors, hearsay
- that you had in some way engaged in hacking of a company
- 14 | call Canal+. Did you have -- did you ever engage in any
- 15 | hacking of Canal+?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q. Did you ever do anything to help anyone else commit
- 18 | satellite piracy of EchoStar and NagraStar?
- 19 A. No, sir.
- Q. Did you ever do anything to help anyone else commit
- 21 satellite piracy of any company?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- Q. As you sit here today, do you have any doubt whether
- Mr. Tarnovsky was Nipper or NipperClause or any of those
- 25 names?

- 1 A. I don't have any information that he was Nipper,
- 2 NipperClause or names like that.
- Q. Have you ever seen any credible evidence -- not rumors,
- 4 credible evidence that led you to believe Mr. Tarnovsky was
- 5 Nipper or NipperClause?
- 6 A. No, sir.
- Q. Did you ever receive a file of Canal+ code from
- 8 anybody?
- 9 A. (No audible response.)
- 10 Q. Did you ever receive a file containing code of Canal+?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- 12 Q. Did you ever give any file to Mr. Tarnovsky that was a
- 13 | Canal+ code?
- 14 A. No, sir.
- 15 Q. Have you always done your absolute best to combat
- 16 | satellite piracy?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- MR. KLEIN: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: This would be redirect by Mr. Noll.
- MR. NOLL: Yes, sir.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- 22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- BY MR. NOLL:
- Q. Mr. Norris, I want to start by focusing you on
- 25 Exhibit 51.

- MR. NOLL: Can you put Exhibit 51 up, Clint.
- 2 (Document displayed.)
- 3 BY MR. NOLL:
- 4 Q. Focus on the language in the center. You recall this
- 5 e-mail, Mr. Norris? You just got through talking about it
- 6 with your counsel, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. This is the e-mail where you said that Mr. Tarnovsky
- 9 took an EchoStar hack off the Internet, correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Is that an illegal file that Mr. Tarnovsky took off the
- 12 internet, sir?
- 13 A. We wouldn't have known until we tested it.
- 14 Q. Have you ever known EchoStar to post files of hacks of
- 15 its system on the Internet?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q. All right. So it's your best guess that that was an
- 18 | illegal file that was posted on the Internet by a satellite
- 19 pirate?
- 20 A. That's what we were trying to determine.
- 21 Q. And let me ask you, sir, is it legal to access
- 22 | EchoStar's satellite signals without paying for them?
- 23 A. No, sir.
- Q. And that's, in fact, what you and Mr. Tarnovsky did on
- 25 | that particular date; isn't that correct, sir?

- 1 A. Yes, we did, in his lab.
- Q. And so you witnessed Mr. Tarnovsky committing an
- 3 | illegal act in his lab in California; isn't that correct,
- 4 sir?
- 5 A. I think we were validating that it was an illegal hack.
- 6 Q. But in order to do that, you yourself committed an
- 7 illegal act, correct?
- 8 A. No, I didn't.
- 9 Q. It's not illegal if you take EchoStar's programming off
- 10 the Internet and you don't pay for it?
- 11 A. We validated or were going to refute that this program
- worked or didn't work. And we had a right, I believe, to
- determine that since it involved our technology. I don't
- 14 believe it was an illegal act.
- Q. You opened up EchoStar's programming on the set-top box
- 16 that -- you did this with Mr. Tarnovsky at his house,
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. No. Mr. Tarnovsky did.
- 19 Q. And you watched it?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Now, talking about the money that Mr. Tarnovsky
- received from some pirates in Canada, you knew Chris was
- getting the money, correct?
- A. He told me that money was going to be sent to him,
- 25 correct.

- 1 Q. Then you testified in your cross-examination with your
- 2 counsel that the money was offered before Mr. Tarnovsky came
- 3 to work for NDS, correct?
- 4 A. For work he had done before, yes.
- 5 Q. You say Mr. Tarnovsky went legit after he joined NDS,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. You said he was undercover, right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. You let him keep the money for prior acts of piracy,
- 11 right, sir?
- 12 A. I told him to put it into an account and not to spend
- 13 it.
- 14 Q. And this money that we're talking about came to the
- 15 | Manassas, Virginia mailbox, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And that was a mailbox that NDS paid for; isn't that
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. You didn't give the money to the police, did you?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. You didn't give it to any form of law enforcement, did
- 23 you?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. And I believe you testified, when your counsel was

- questioning you, that there was seven to eight times that
- 2 | money was sent to this mailbox; is that correct?
- 3 A. That's my recollection, correct.
- 4 Q. Up to this point, up to today, all we've known about is
- one shipment of \$20,000 cash. Is there more that we need to
- 6 know about, Mr. Norris?
- $7 \mid A.$ No, sir.
- 8 Q. Well, how do you figure -- if there's seven to eight
- 9 shipments, all we know about is the 20,000 that Tarnovsky
- 10 received. Why don't you tell us about the rest?
- 11 A. I believe there's seven or eight shipments of money
- 12 totaled approximately \$20,000. It was not a single shipment
- 13 of \$20,000, to my memory.
- 14 Q. I believe you testified that you didn't know who to
- give the money to; is that right?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- Q. It's found money that Mr. Tarnovsky just received in
- 18 the mail. Is that your position, sir?
- 19 A. No, sir.
- Q. How do you explain that, sir?
- 21 A. How do I explain what, sir?
- 22 Q. How do you explain that you didn't know who to give the
- 23 money to?
- 24 A. I didn't have an idea of anybody having a claim on
- 25 those funds. And we had hoped to use those funds in an

- 1 operation back against this same group.
- Q. You hoped to use the money in prosecution of
- Ron Ereiser, correct?
- 4 A. Or his group.
- 5 Q. NDS -- while we're talking about it, NDS tried to
- 6 recruit Ron Ereiser; isn't that correct?
- 7 A. We tried at one time, correct.
- 8 Q. And Mr. Ereiser declined NDS's recruitment, correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. You filed a lawsuit against Mr. Ereiser once he made
- 11 that declination to come with NDS, correct?
- 12 A. We joined a lawsuit with DirecTV; that's correct.
- Q. And in NDS's efforts to prosecute Mr. Ereiser, you
- 14 never turned that money that Mr. Tarnovsky had received over
- 15 to the prosecution; isn't that correct?
- 16 A. I'm not sure I understand what you just said.
- Q. You just testified that the money that Chris Tarnovsky
- got may be used to help prosecute Ron Ereiser, right?
- 19 A. That's correct, or his group.
- Q. But you never took that money from Chris and used it to
- 21 prosecute Mr. Ereiser, correct?
- 22 A. Every time -- that's correct.
- Q. And to your knowledge, that money was never disclosed
- in that civil prosecution, correct?
- 25 A. In what civil prosecution, sir?

- 1 Q. Against Ron Ereiser.
- 2 A. It was Ron Ereiser and his group that were prosecuted
- 3 civilly.
- 4 Q. Now, this money that Chris got or Mr. Tarnovsky got at
- 5 his Manassas, Virginia mailbox, that money came over the
- 6 | course of a year; is that correct?
- $7 \mid A$. That's my recollection.
- 8 Q. And isn't it also your recollection that \$10,000 of
- 9 that money was for future piracy acts by Mr. Tarnovsky?
- 10 A. That's what they had hoped to achieve by recruiting
- 11 Mr. Tarnovsky to help them. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Don't you think, Mr. Norris, if Mr. Tarnovsky truly
- didn't continue his pirating activities during this one-year
- 14 period, the Canadians would have never sent him the last two
- 15 | shipments of cash?
- 16 A. I don't have an opinion. I don't think I understand
- what you're asking, sir.
- 18 Q. Well, you say that Mr. Tarnovsky received -- you
- 19 know -- you've testified about \$20,000, correct?
- 20 A. It was about 20,000. It may have been 18,000. I'm a
- 21 little gray on the numbers there.
- 22 Q. And you know that he was going to continue to be paid
- for future acts of piracy, correct?
- 24 A. That was what the plan was when he was in his
- 25 undercover capacity in Calgary, meeting with this group of

- 1 pirates.
- Q. Now, couldn't you have taken the money, the 18 to
- 3 \$20,000, and given it to the copyright holders of the
- 4 | programming that Mr. Tarnovsky was aiding others in
- 5 stealing?
- 6 A. It's possible. It hadn't occurred to me.
- 7 Q. That's possible, right?
- 8 A. That's possible.
- 9 Q. But you didn't do that?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Tarnovsky ever put that money
- 12 on his tax return?
- 13 A. He was instructed to declare any interest that he
- 14 gained from any of these funds.
- 15 Q. Let's talk about the Texas mailbox for a minute.
- I believe you just testified that you thought it was
- plausible that Mr. Tarnovsky did not know who had sent him
- 18 the cash that was stuffed in the electronic equipment,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And I believe you said that you gave Mr. Tarnovsky a
- 22 drug test -- you tested him after this cash came to make
- sure he wasn't taking drugs, right?
- 24 A. After we read that there may have been drugs involved,
- then we asked Mr. Tarnovsky to take a drug test. That's

- 1 correct.
- Q. Drug use violates NDS's policies, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. You recall sending Mr. Tarnovsky to Canada in 2000 to
- 5 | meet with Al Menard and Stanley Frost? Do you recall that,
- 6 sir?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. They had a meeting, a pow-wow, these pirates in Canada?
- 9 A. Yep.
- 10 Q. And you recall that Mr. Tarnovsky took drugs at that
- 11 meeting in Canada, correct?
- 12 A. I remember Mr. Tarnovsky saying that they were passing
- 13 | around marijuana in Toronto.
- 14 Q. You didn't require him to take a drug test after that,
- 15 | did you, sir?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- Q. You didn't terminate him either, did you, sir?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. And it violated NDS's policy, then; isn't that correct?
- 20 A. That's one way of looking at it.
- Q. What's the other way of looking at it?
- 22 A. He was in his undercover capacity, and if he had
- passed, it would not have been conducive to the operation he
- 24 was participating in.
- Q. So as long as Mr. Tarnovsky's in his undercover

- capacity, he's got a king's X, he's got his hand on home
- plate, he can't be touched; is that right?
- 3 A. That's my understanding it's not illegal to smoke
- 4 | marijuana in Canada.
- 5 Q. There Tarnovsky can behave however he wants as long as
- 6 he's in his undercover capacity in Canada. Is that your
- 7 testimony, sir?
- 8 A. No, sir.
- 9 Q. You also said it's plausible that Mr. Ereiser might
- 10 have sent him the cash to the Texas mailbox; is that right?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And if Mr. Ereiser sent that cash, how would that be
- any different from the cash that we know Chris Tarnovsky
- received in Manassas, Virginia from Mr. Ereiser?
- 15 A. Tarnovsky did not anticipate that cash. And as far as
- 16 we knew, Tarnovsky didn't know who sent him the cash.
- Q. So because the cash sent to the Texas mailbox was
- unanticipated and then was later found out, you had a
- 19 problem with it. Is that your testimony?
- 20 A. Of course.
- 21 Q. But the cash that you knew about, the Manassas,
- 22 | Virginia, but that nobody else knew about, that we know you
- 23 didn't tell any government officials about, that cash was
- 24 okay, right, sir?
- 25 A. That cash was part of an operation. That was okay.

- 1 Q. Now, you also said that maybe it was a set-up, the
- 2 Texas mailbox cash. Do you recall that?
- 3 A. Sure.
- 4 Q. And if I recall correctly, the amounts that were sent
- 5 to the Texas mailbox were two shipments totaling 40,100,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. If it was a set-up, couldn't it have been done
- 9 | with \$5,000, \$7,000? Why did it have to be \$40,000, sir?
- 10 A. I didn't send it. I have no idea.
- 11 Q. And I believe you testified that the cash came from
- 12 | British Columbia area; is that correct?
- 13 A. That's what I understand, correct.
- 14 Q. Isn't it true, sir, that Mr. Menard lived in the
- British Columbia area at this time?
- 16 A. He lived in Edmonton, and he lived in British Columbia,
- and I'm not sure at what time he relocated, so I don't know.
- 18 Q. Regarding Exhibit 113 -- that's the cat's out of the
- 19 | bag e-mail -- do you recall that exhibit?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- MR. NOLL: You can put it up, Clint.
- 22 (Document displayed.)
- BY MR. NOLL:
- Q. You weren't surprised when you got this e-mail; is that
- 25 | right, Mr. Norris?

- 1 A. Yes, I was.
- 2 Q. I believe you testified earlier with your counsel that
- you weren't surprised to see this e-mail, cat's out of the
- 4 bag. Are you changing that now?
- 5 A. No, I wasn't surprised that the system was further
- 6 hacked.
- 7 Can I see the date on that?
- 8 (Technician complies.)
- 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. This is a later posting or a
- different hack from what we first saw posted on the Internet
- 11 as far as I recall.
- So the fact that the pirates were working on the
- 13 EchoStar technology wasn't a surprise to me. And when it
- 14 appeared, it's like the cat's out of the -- out of the bag.
- The cat's out of the bag. It's escaped. It's public.
- 16 Look, it's public. It's posted on this -- on these
- websites.
- 18 BY MR. NOLL:
- 19 Q. You fired Mr. Tarnovsky in 2007, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. You found a fingerprint on something that EchoStar
- 22 | produced that linked Christopher Tarnovsky to Al Menard,
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. Did you say I found a fingerprint?
- 25 O. Yeah.

- 1 A. (No audible response.)
- Q. Did you find a fingerprint?
- 3 A. No, sir.
- 4 Q. On a document that EchoStar produced that linked
- 5 Christopher Tarnovsky to Al Menard?
- 6 A. (No audible response.)
- 7 Q. Okay. You don't understand. Let me change the
- 8 question.
- Did you come to understand that there were fingerprints
- of somebody named Mervin Main linking Christopher Tarnovsky
- 11 to Al Menard?
- 12 A. No. It linked Mervin Main to Al Menard, not
- 13 Mervin Main to Chris Tarnovsky.
- Q. Okay. And Mr. Tarnovsky, as you know, was an associate
- of Al Menard, right?
- 16 A. Associate? I don't think that's -- I don't think
- 17 that's accurate.
- 18 | Q. Well, how would you describe it?
- 19 A. Well, Mr. Tarnovsky is an employee of NDS. Mr. Menard
- was a consultant of our operational security group.
- 21 Q. So the link is actually stronger than what I said.
- 22 | It's really you have a consultant of NDS and an employee of
- NDS. So it's actually not an association, it's a -- I mean,
- these guys are in business together, right?
- 25 A. They both participated in our antipiracy efforts.

- 1 That's correct.
- Q. And as I understood your testimony, this is the first
- 3 | time someone outside of NDS was able to link Mr. Menard to
- 4 Mr. Tarnovsky relating to illegal conduct; is that correct?
- 5 A. It related to the linking of Mr. Menard's associate to
- 6 Mr. Menard, which we took the leap that it's too
- 7 coincidental that the packages apparently came from Menard,
- 8 | which was our basis for terminating Mr. Tarnovsky.
- 9 Q. And I believe you testified that Mr. Tarnovsky was
- 10 fired at counsel's office; is that right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. O'Melveny & Myers here in Newport Beach, correct?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. These lawyers sitting right over here, correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. In fact, is it your testimony the person who told
- 17 Mr. Tarnovsky that he was fired was Darin Snyder, counsel
- 18 for NDS?
- 19 A. The question was?
- Q. Did Darin Snyder tell Mr. Tarnovsky -- in a meeting
- 21 | that you just testified to, with your counsel, did Darin
- 22 | Snyder tell Mr. Tarnovsky that he was fired?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. All the money that Chris received was no big deal,
- 25 | right? That didn't cause him to be fired by NDS?

- 1 A. Wrong.
- Q. Why didn't you fire him when he received the cash from
- 3 | the DirecTV operation?
- 4 A. That was part of the undercover operation he was
- 5 participating in.
- 6 Q. Keeping the cash was part of the operation?
- $^{\prime}$ A. That was the instruction that Chris was given.
- 8 Q. Who gave him that instruction?
- 9 THE COURT: You understand that "Chris" is
- 10 Mr. Tarnovsky?
- 11 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Yes, sir.
- 12 THE COURT: It's not your fault. And I'm --
- counsel was starting to use the word "Chris."
- MR. NOLL: Sorry. Mr. Tarnovsky.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- 16 BY MR. NOLL:
- 17 Q. Now, was Mr. Tarnovsky given the instruction to keep
- 18 the cash by you, or was it by the lawyers?
- 19 A. Are you talking about the \$18,000 that Mr. Ereiser sent
- 20 him?
- 21 Q. Yes, sir.
- 22 A. It was by me.
- Q. And Chris, for clarity's sake, still has that cash
- 24 | today -- Mr. Tarnovsky has that cash today, right?
- 25 A. As far as I know.

- Q. You testified a moment ago that Mr. Menard has special
- 2 Internet skills, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Is it your testimony, sir, that Mr. Menard was better
- at saving websites to his computer than anyone else you know
- 6 of?
- A. Anyone I had ever dealt with, he was, that's correct.
- 8 Q. And concerning Mr. Menard, I believe you testified
- 9 earlier, if I understand your testimony correctly, the
- 10 | lawyers said to hire Mr. Menard; is that right?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. You testified that you consulted with attorneys before
- 13 hiring Mr. Menard in 2003?
- 14 A. The lawyer didn't tell me to hire Mr. Menard. I wanted
- 15 to hire Mr. Menard. But I didn't want to obstruct justice
- or an investigation or do something improper. And I was
- given an okay by our lawyers that there was not a problem
- with any prosecutor that they were dealing with if we
- 19 retained the services of Mr. Menard.
- Q. Okay. So the lawyers told you it was okay to hire
- 21 Mr. Menard, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. These lawyers?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, earlier you testified that you never read

- 1 | the Headend Project Report, correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you ever have physical possession of the Headend
- 4 Project Report?
- 5 A. I have during this lawsuit. I looked at a document --
- 6 I think you gave me a document to look at. I don't -- it's
- 7 all technical. It's not something I'm familiar with.
- 8 Q. And did you ever have possession of the Headend Project
- 9 Report before the year 2000?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. I believe you testified in your cross-examination that
- 12 | you didn't know Christopher Tarnovsky's Internet aliases,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. I don't think I testified -- I knew a number of his
- aliases that he told me, but I don't recall that I knew all
- of 'em or would recall them.
- 17 Q. Okay. So you're not changing your earlier testimony
- when I asked you whether you knew Tarnovsky's --
- 19 Mr. Tarnovsky's Internet aliases, wherein you said no.
- 20 You're sticking to that; is that right?
- 21 A. Now -- now I'm getting confused.
- 22 Q. Okay. Let me break it down.
- 23 A. Okay. Sure.
- Q. When I questioned you this morning, do you recall
- answering my question that you did not know Mr. Tarnovsky's

- 1 Internet aliases? Do you recall that?
- 2 A. I did not know all of his aliases. I believe I said
- 3 that.
- 4 Q. And you also testified you don't recall any lists that
- 5 you were provided with of any of Mr. Tarnovsky's Internet
- 6 aliases, right?
- 7 A. I don't recall receiving a list of Mr. Tarnovsky's
- 8 Internet aliases.
- 9 Q. And you never asked Mr. Tarnovsky for any lists of his
- 10 aliases, right?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Now, you testified through your counsel about Ron
- 13 Ereiser. Do you recall that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And you said Mr. Ereiser is a Canadian satellite
- 16 pirate, correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And you testified about an operation where
- 19 Mr. Tarnovsky was sort of infiltrating Mr. Ereiser's pirate
- organization. Do you recall that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And you testified that Mr. Tarnovsky was able to
- intercept certain codes before they were released by
- 24 Mr. Ereiser's group, correct?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. And you testified that that was a blow to that pirate
- 2 organization right?
- 3 A. I believe it was a blow, correct.
- 4 Q. If that pirate organization's codes would have been
- 5 exposed, it would have been a blow to NDS and DirecTV,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. It would have been harmful.
- 8 Q. Would have been the same blow that EchoStar suffered as
- 9 a result of its codes being posted on the Internet, correct?
- 10 A. I -- whenever there's piracy published, it's harmful to
- 11 the company, your company, or my company -- your client's
- 12 company or my company.
- Q. You also testified that Mr. Ereiser, to your knowledge,
- 14 is a consultant of NagraStar, correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. You don't understand Mr. Ereiser to be a consultant of
- 17 | EchoStar, right?
- 18 A. I believe -- I believe it's NagraStar.
- 19 Q. Okay. And your counsel put some pictures of
- 20 Mr. Ereiser up and various other satellite pirates. Do you
- 21 recall that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. But Mr. Ereiser did not become a consultant of
- NagraStar until several years after NDS prosecuted him;
- 25 isn't that correct?

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. So for clarity's sake, when you say Mr. Ereiser is a
- 3 | consultant of NagraStar, that wasn't until after NDS had
- 4 already prosecuted these people for satellite piracy, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. You don't want to mislead the jury in your testimony
- 7 here today, do you, sir?
- 8 A. Of course not.
- 9 Q. Now, we talked a little bit about an operation called
- 10 Operation Johnny Walker. Do you recall that?
- 11 A. Yes, I do.
- 12 Q. And you said Mr. Tarnovsky had a persona to be
- maintained, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. So Mr. Tarnovsky developed software in this Operation
- Johnny Walker in order to provide to Mr. Ereiser, correct?
- 17 A. Mr. Tarnovsky and other engineers, correct.
- 18 | Q. And you said Mr. Tarnovsky had -- he had a lot of
- skills when it comes -- came to software in developing
- 20 software in the satellite industry, right?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. But you disagree -- let me back up.
- Mr. Tarnovsky knew how to mask code so that it would
- 24 | not be identified with him. Would you agree with that, sir?
- 25 A. I don't have any knowledge of what that means.

- 1 Q. Turn to Exhibit 1453, please.
- MR. NOLL: Go ahead and put it up, Clint. I think
- 3 it's already in evidence.
- 4 (Document displayed.)
- 5 BY MR. NOLL:
- Q. Do you recall testifying about this document earlier
- 7 today, Mr. Norris?
- 8 A. I do.
- 9 Q. And is this the meeting of the more elite pirates as
- 10 you testified to?
- 11 A. No, I don't believe it is. I think this was a
- 12 different meeting in Canada, not the one that Mr. Tarnovsky
- went to.
- 14 Q. But that wasn't my question.
- 15 A. Okay.
- Q. Was this Exhibit 1453 talking about a meeting of elite
- pirates? I believe that's what you testified to earlier.
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Now, focus your attention on a gentleman named
- 20 Stanley F., aka "Wheels." Do you see that?
- 21 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Is that Stanley Frost?
- 23 A. At the time -- I've got a question mark in there -- I
- 24 | didn't know, but now I do believe it to be Stanley Frost.
- 25 Q. Okay. Mr. Frost -- his moniker or nickname is

- 1 "Wheels"; is that correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- Q. And Mr. Frost, according to you, is an elite pirate in
- 4 the community, correct?
- 5 A. He was an elite pirate; that's correct.
- 6 Q. And you were aware of his relationship with
- 7 Christopher Tarnovsky, correct?
- 8 A. Chris attempted to monitor Mr. Frost and Mr. Melnick,
- 9 his partner.
- 10 Q. Are you aware of any other relationship outside of
- 11 that?
- 12 A. I don't recall. There was an operation of identifying
- 13 these guys, and I don't recall -- subsequent or after this
- meeting, Mr. Frost had this other meeting in Toronto with
- another group of people that Mr. Tarnovsky attended. I
- don't believe Mr. Tarnovsky attended this particular
- meeting.
- 18 Q. But there was a subsequent pow-wow, satellite pirates
- in Canada that Mr. Tarnovsky went to, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And Mr. Frost was the one who put that meeting
- 22 together, correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you never told Mr. Tarnovsky not to have a
- relationship with Mr. Frost, right?

```
1
         Correct.
    Α.
2
         Let's focus on Exhibit 1568.
3
               THE COURT: I'm sorry. Would you put 1453 back
4
    up.
5
               MR. NOLL:
                          Sure.
6
               THE COURT: This might be confusing to the jury.
7
    Would counsel stipulate that "LNU" means "last name
8
    unknown"?
9
               MR. SNYDER: Yes.
10
               MR. HAGAN: Yes.
11
               THE COURT: All right. Okay.
12
               Counsel, we'll take a brief recess.
13
               You're admonished not to discuss this matter
14
    amongst yourselves nor form or express any opinion
15
    concerning the case.
16
               Why don't we meet about ten after the hour.
17
               Counsel, have a nice recess.
18
               (Recess held at 2:55 p.m.)
19
               (Proceedings resumed at 3:16 p.m.)
20
               THE COURT: All right. We're back in session.
21
               The jury's present. All counsel are present.
22
               Thank you for your courtesy.
23
               This is a continued Redirect Examination by
24
    Mr. Noll on behalf of EchoStar and NagraStar.
25
               MR. NOLL: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

REDIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

2 BY MR. NOLL:

1

- 3 Q. Mr. Norris, you recall testifying in your
- 4 cross-examination about Mr. Ereiser, Mr. Mullen, and
- 5 Mr. Scullion? Do you recall that?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And some pictures of these men were put up on the
- 8 monitors, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. I just want to make clear you're not saying in your
- 11 testimony that NagraStar was behind any of the acts that NDS
- 12 claimed that these satellite pirates committed against NDS,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. It's your understanding that they received no
- 16 assistance from NagraStar in whatever acts they may or may
- 17 | not have done, correct?
- 18 A. I have no knowledge of that happening.
- 19 Q. You also have no knowledge of any promotion, any piracy
- 20 by NagraStar, against NDS's system, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Now, you also talked about several phone calls that you
- had with Mr. Ergen and also with Mr. Guggenheim. Do you
- 24 recall that?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Mr. Guggenheim is the former CEO of NagraStar, correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- Q. And at the time of these phone calls, you didn't know
- 4 | who was behind the EchoStar Internet postings, right?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. You didn't tell Mr. Ergen or Mr. Guggenheim who you
- believed was behind the EchoStar Internet postings, correct?
- 8 A. I had no information at the time of the call who was
- 9 behind any posting, sir.
- $10 \mid Q$. You just called them to let them know that there was
- some piracy of their system going on, right?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- Q. And as you sit here today, sir, you still don't know
- 14 | who was behind the EchoStar hack, correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Now, you also testified about Mr. Tarnovsky -- when you
- 17 | hired him, he was -- you knew he was a satellite pirate,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. And to use your words, you said he has -- he had a
- 21 level of skill and talent that was substantial, right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. And you hired him to try to control him and to get him
- out of the pirating environment, right?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. And you also said that Mr. Tarnovsky was not a criminal
- or a low-life; is that right?
- 3 A. I think I said that he was not a criminal or a
- 4 low-life.
- 5 Q. But satellite piracy, sir, is illegal; isn't that
- 6 right?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And you knew Mr. Tarnovsky was behind the DirecTV hack
- 9 when you hired him, correct?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. You knew Mr. Tarnovsky was engaging in illegal acts at
- 12 | the time you hired him, correct?
- 13 A. We knew that he was writing code to counter our
- 14 electronic countermeasures.
- Q. So you took a known risk when you put a criminal on the
- 16 NDS payroll, correct?
- 17 A. I would say so.
- 18 Q. You played with fire when you hired Mr. Tarnovsky;
- 19 isn't that correct, sir?
- 20 A. I suspect it's like your hiring Mr. Ereiser. You take
- 21 a risk, and you value -- or you weigh that risk.
- 22 Q. You testified about these other satellite pirates.
- 23 | Some of them you've thrown in jail. Marty Mullen -- he's in
- 24 jail, correct?
- 25 A. I didn't throw him in jail, sir.

- 1 Q. NDS prosecuted him civilly; is that right?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- Q. NDS referred him for a criminal prosecution, correct?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Mr. Mullen is in jail, correct?
- 6 A. He's in prison.
- 7 Q. You also prosecuted Reg Scullion, right?
- 8 A. We sued him civilly.
- 9 Q. Sued him civilly.
- 10 You sued Jan Saggiori civilly?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. You sued Ron Ereiser civilly?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Sued Paul Maxwell King civilly?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Sued Dean Love civilly?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. But you didn't sue Mr. Tarnovsky?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you didn't sue Mr. Tarnovsky 'cause he was too good
- 21 at what he did; isn't that right, sir?
- 22 A. We felt Mr. Tarnovsky would bring value to us; that's
- 23 correct.
- Q. NDS believed that Mr. Tarnovsky was the best satellite
- 25 | hacker -- pirate -- in the world, and the temptation was one

- 1 that NDS could not resist in hiring Mr. Tarnovsky; is that
- 2 | right, sir?
- 3 A. I don't know that anyone at NDS calls him the best
- 4 | satellite hacker in the world, but he was certainly very
- 5 talented.
- 6 Q. He's one of the best, isn't he?
- 7 A. He's certainly very talented.
- 8 Q. And we talked a little bit earlier about an e-mail
- 9 between you and Dean Love, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And Mr. Love, if you recall, mailed you and told you
- 12 that Mr. Menard and Tarnovsky were behind the EchoStar
- 13 piracy, right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And Mr. Love told you that he had evidence on his
- 16 computers that proved Mr. Menard and Mr. Tarnovsky were
- behind the EchoStar piracy, correct?
- 18 A. I don't believe that's correct.
- 19 Q. Did you send one of your employees out to Dean Love's
- 20 residence or his establishment in order to inspect his
- 21 computers?
- 22 A. His computers were seized from his establishment. He
- met with his lawyers in Winnipeg after these seizures were
- made.
- Q. You sent an NDS employee to inspect his computer,

- 1 | correct?
- 2 A. At his lawyer's, yes, sir.
- Q. And that NDS employee goes by the alias "Joe Z"; is
- 4 that right?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And "Joe Z" happens to be George Tarnovsky,
- 7 Chris Tarnovsky's father; isn't that correct, sir?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Could have sent any other NDS employee to look at
- Dean Love's computers once he'd made these allegations
- 11 against Chris, Mr. Tarnovsky, and Mr. Menard, but you sent
- 12 Mr. Tarnovsky's father, right?
- 13 A. We sent a number of engineers including Mr. Tarnovsky's
- 14 father, sir.
- 15 Q. Isn't it suspicious that you'd send Mr. Tarnovsky's
- 16 | father to investigate -- Joe -- to investigate Dean Love's
- 17 computers?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Now, Dov Rubin testified earlier today. Do you report
- 20 to Dov Rubin here in the United States?
- 21 A. Yes, I do.
- 22 Q. Did you ever tell Dov Rubin that Christopher Tarnovsky
- received cash for his work in DirecTV piracy?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Why not?

- 1 A. Mr. Rubin is our general manager and administrator for
- 2 NDS Americas. My operational reporting relationship is
- directly to Reuven Hasak in Israel under the operational
- 4 security group.
- Q. Did you ever tell Abe Peled that Mr. Tarnovsky received
- 6 cash payments in the mail for his piracy of DirecTV system?
- 7 A. I don't typically talk to Mr. Abe Peled --
- 8 Dr. Abe Peled -- so the answer is no.
- 9 Q. Did you ever tell Rupert Murdoch that Mr. Tarnovsky was
- 10 receiving cash payments for DirecTV piracy?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- 12 Q. And as it relates to Mr. Tarnovsky, he said that you
- put him in an environment that you could control, right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. You didn't install any monitoring equipment in
- 16 Mr. Tarnovsky's laboratory, did you, sir?
- 17 A. I didn't, no, sir.
- 18 Q. Did anyone at NDS?
- 19 A. Mr. Tarnovsky put in alarm systems and CCTV systems to
- 20 secure his lab area.
- 21 Q. So no one could break in from the outside?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. But you didn't have any formal monitoring so that NDS
- 24 | could keep track of what a well-known satellite pirate and
- 25 | hacker was doing, right?

- $1 \quad A. \quad No.$
- 2 Q. Right or wrong?
- 3 A. Wrong.
- 4 Q. What did you do to install monitoring systems on
- 5 Mr. Tarnovsky?
- 6 A. I'm sorry. You said did we monitor him. We monitored
- 7 him daily through e-mails, phone calls, and reports. We
- 8 | monitored him frequently, including daily.
- 9 Q. You didn't install any software on his computers to
- 10 monitor him, correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. You didn't install any softwares -- software on his
- NDS-issued computers either, correct?
- 14 A. No, sir.
- 15 Q. You knew Mr. Tarnovsky had computers that were his own
- 16 at his house, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. And you knew he had NDS-issued computers, right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. You didn't monitor either of those computers, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. So Mr. Tarnovsky could have posted anything on the
- 23 Internet relating to piracy without being run through NDS's
- 24 servers, correct?
- 25 A. That's correct.

```
MR. NOLL: Pass the witness.

THE COURT: Recross?
```

4 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

MR. KLEIN: Yes, Your Honor.

5 BY MR. KLEIN:

3

6 Q. I'll be very brief, Mr. Norris.

First, with respect to that \$20,000: If the \$20,000 was given to some entity or person, would that have

jeopardized Mr. Tarnovsky's undercover identity?

- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. How?
- 12 A. We would have had to disclose where the money came from
- if we had put it into a formal business account. It would
- 14 have potentially put him at risk of being identified as an
- undercover operative for NDS in our efforts to fight piracy
- 16 in Canada.
- Q. Okay. Secondly, Mr. Menard -- his contract was signed
- on April 1st, 2003. Does that sound about right?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. The packages that were sent to Texas, the two packages
- with the \$40,000, they were sent prior to April 1st, 2003?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Years before?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Lastly, you were asked questions about Mr. Ereiser and

```
1
     the fact that he's now a consultant for EchoStar.
2
     sit here today, do you know if Mr. Ereiser ever stopped
 3
    being a satellite pirate?
 4
     Α.
         No, sir.
 5
               MR. KLEIN: Thank you. No further questions.
 6
               THE COURT: Could I ask you to excuse yourself for
7
     just a very few minutes.
8
               Would you be kind enough to take the jury out to
9
     the jury room. We'll come and get them for just a moment.
10
               THE CLERK: Sure.
11
               (The jury exits the courtroom.)
12
               (Outside the presence of the jury.)
13
               THE COURT: The jury is no longer present.
14
               Through the course of the proceedings, not
15
     necessarily connected to you or finding fault with either
16
     side, this Court has formed the opinion that much of the
17
     discovery that was to have passed between the parties over
18
     the last couple years has either been conveniently lost,
19
    misplaced, or there hasn't been an effort to find it. And
20
     I'm being very blunt about that.
21
               I've just set a general record that there's been
22
     equality amongst the parties; although, by the end of the
23
     case, there may be an imbalance for one side or the other.
24
     I don't know.
25
               And I've expressed to counsel in some of our
```

Saturday sessions and during the last three years that we've been together as a judge and counsel how exemplary I think the counsel in my Court have been, but I've really placed the responsibility on the corporate entities. And in corporate entities, sometimes we have somebody at this level, who is not responsible, but somebody else at a different level. And in the corporate structure, at least in the past history of my courtroom, oftentimes it's hard to find exactly what orders are given or documents are lost, et cetera.

I'm becoming more and more concerned after the trial started that there are documents in the control of each party that are material, and they may have in fact been lost, misplaced, and truly may be in a form that can't be retrieved, or people honestly just don't know or recall.

But it's become so profuse watching these multinational companies, you know, going over distances from Israel to Switzerland, whether it's the Kudelski Group, the Haifa group with NDS.

I want to keep this neutral right now as a statement that I'm becoming more and more concerned and will probably give what I call an adverse inference instruction; that is, if there's a material document in a party's possession where that party was to maintain or should have maintained control of that type of document, that the jury

1 can view that as a conscious effort to suppress evidence 2 that should be coming to them. 3 I'm going to do everything to encourage you. 4 encouraged the Kudelski Group and EchoStar on the last 5 occasion -- I think it was with Exhibit 816. MR. WELCH: 816. 7 816, and counsel brought to the THE COURT: 8 Court's attention attachments for 511, which haven't been 9 produced. Frankly, we've gotten more discovery in the last 10 month than I think counsel have in the last three years. 11 THE WITNESS: I can't speak to that. 12 THE COURT: Nothing like a trial to do that. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 14 THE COURT: Outside your presence, I've 15 continually expressed my dissatisfaction, and through the 16 excellent efforts of counsel this case really started in a 17 posture where most of this case was going to be tried by 18 snippets of depositions. In other words, somebody would fly 19 up and try to depose Mr. Ereiser on one side or another 20 gentleman, Mr. Menard, or whomever. 21 And the pirates, being outside the jurisdiction of 22 the United States, would either refuse to testify at a 23 deposition, you know, knowing a Court didn't have power 24 beyond the jurisdictional limits of this country and, 25 frankly, no power outside 150 miles of this Court to cause a

1 subpoena, to literally answer the questions that they wanted 2 to, if they would even speak to them, and just ignore 3 others. 4 And that's a difficult thing, I think, for our 5 jury system to try to have a fair result under. Because 6 each company, in a sense, each of the plaintiffs and 7 defendants are simply choosing, you know, who they want to 8 put on. 9 And the pirates are dictating, well, EchoStar and 10 NagraStar, NDS, News Corp., DirecTV, whomever, are dictating 11 how the case goes with the information that they're choosing 12 to present to the jury. 13 I've been subtly and brazenly applying pressure to 14 all of the parties to present evidence. I think of those 18 15 or so depos, we're down to now 14 of those people are going 16 to appear who were previously refusing to appear. And it 17 was, obviously, in the interests of different parties, in my 18 opinion, not to have people appear, quite frankly. 19 THE WITNESS: I see. 20 THE COURT: You're one of those people who have 21 voluntarily appeared. Thank you. 22 Now, you have a couple documents. One is 1516, 23 (sic) and you can't recall where you obtained that document. 24 THE WITNESS: You said 1568, sir? 25 THE COURT: I mean, 1568.

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: I'm going to show you that document, 3 and your testimony was -- I'm going to read to you from my 4 notes. My notes are not accurate, obviously, but the e-mail 5 comes from Mr. Norris. It's between you and a gentleman named Chaim Shen-Orr. And basically I roughed out your 7 request is, Chaim, "the field," told our contact of your 8 requirements for a second image of the code. First, I need 9 another image of a second CAM. Second, I need to know what 10 IRD number goes, and then remember I cut off the info. 11 It goes on to say they need to be absolutely 12 certain that there is not the hidden possibility of 13 identifying the ID of the E card, that their code comes from 14 some kind of fingerprint or receiver. 15 Now, remember I'm writing as fast as I can --

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: -- watching you, looking at evidence, so I don't purport that that's accurate. It's a summary in my notes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Respecting but not become satisfied with some of the answers by other witnesses unconnected with you, I would encourage you, if you have any ability to retrieve any additional information from your computer, take any kind of forensic search, to do so.

THE WITNESS: Sir, I had a number of experts come

```
1
     in and take possession, believe me.
2
               THE COURT: I'm sure. I'm just going to counsel
 3
     you.
 4
               THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
 5
               THE COURT: Because the results are going to be
 6
     devastating. I'm going to encourage you to go back, make
7
     the effort.
8
               THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
9
               THE COURT: Because I'm going to allow counsel to
10
     argue on both sides and give them free rein that not only
11
     the responsibility for satellite piracy on one side
12
     allegedly as accusing NDS, and NDS's counter-accusation that
13
     EchoStar is stealing 26,000 pages of documents is fair game.
14
               THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
15
               THE COURT: In other words, I'm going to turn
16
     counsel loose because of what I consider, frankly,
17
     unwillingness to comply with the earlier discovery orders.
18
     And in watching some of the depositions, I'm absolutely
19
     astounded that some of these people who thought that this
20
     was a game didn't understand that when it got to federal
21
     court, the chickens were coming home to roost.
22
               THE WITNESS: No games here.
23
               THE COURT: No games. But you understand that
24
     from your background. I don't think a lot of these people
25
    have.
```

1 These inferences will be absolutely deadly. 2 other words, it will allow counsel from NDS to stand up and 3 argue that certain documents weren't attached and at what 4 stage, and EchoStar can stand up and argue, Gee, in this 5 gentleman's case, Mr. Norris, this document suddenly appears 6 on his computer. There's no date, no person. It's kind of 7 strange from their perspective. I mean, you can unravel 8 that argument yourself. 9 THE WITNESS: Sure. 10 THE COURT: I can't go out there and police this 11 outside the court. I'm just saying to you and I think now 12 it's co-equal because I've dealt with NDS with the same 13 issue -- I mean, EchoStar with the same issue. I'm coming 14 back with the same opportunity of saying to NDS --15 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 16 THE COURT: You can expect this argument. 17 there's some additional effort, I'll leave that to you. 18 ordering nothing. I'm just forewarning you. 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 20 THE COURT: Bring the jury back in, would you, 21 please. 22 THE WITNESS: Here, sir. 23 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 24 (In the presence of the jury.) 25 THE COURT: Thank you very much. Appreciate the

1 courtesy. 2 All right. The jury's present. All counsel are 3 still present. The witness is present. 4 Thank you, Mr. Norris. 5 Counsel, thank you for your courtesy. If you 6 would please be seated. 7 (To the witness:) Mr. Norris, in the presence of 8 the jury I'm going to order you to remain present within 48 9 hours of the call from the Court. In other words, if a call 10 is placed, you're to be back in this Court within 48 hours. 11 If not timely, I'll attach an adverse inference that you're 12 unwilling to appear. 13 I'm going to say that I think we're on schedule, 14 although it's a little hard to tell. And the case should 15 conclude in the early part of May, in my opinion. That was 16 the four-week estimate, but you never know. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 18 THE COURT: I'm going to be overly cautious and 19 say that you're to remain available until June 15th. At 20 that time, you're free. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 22 THE COURT: Thank you very much, sir. You may 23 step down. 24 (Witness steps down subject to recall.) 25 THE COURT: Counsel, your next witness.

```
MR. HAGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
2
               Plaintiffs call Paul Orban.
3
               THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
4
               Would you raise your right-hand, sir.
5
            PAUL WALTER ORBAN, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN
6
               THE WITNESS: I do.
7
               THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Would you be kind
8
    enough to be seated in the witness box to my left.
9
               And, sir, would you be kind enough to state your
10
    full name for the jury.
11
               THE WITNESS: It's Paul Walter Orban.
12
               THE COURT: Would you spell your last name, sir.
13
               THE WITNESS: O-R-B-A-N.
14
               THE COURT: Thank you.
15
               And this is direct examination.
16
              MR. NOLL: Yes, Your Honor.
17
              MS. WILLETTS: Yes, Your Honor.
18
               Christine Willetts on behalf of plaintiffs
19
    EchoStar and NagraStar.
20
               THE COURT: Thank you.
21
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
22
    BY MS. WILLETTS:
23
       Good afternoon, Mr. Orban. Can you please tell the
24
    jury a little bit about yourself?
25
    A. I work at EchoStar. And I'm about to be the proud
```

- 1 father of triplets, so very excited about that.
- Q. Great. So we'll try and keep this brief today so we
- 3 can get you back to your family.
- So you said you work for EchoStar. What type of
- 5 business is EchoStar in?
- 6 A. We're in the satellite TV business.
- 7 Q. And when did you start working for EchoStar?
- 8 A. I started in January of 1996.
- 9 Q. And what do you do for them?
- 10 A. I am their corporate controller.
- 11 Q. And how long have you held that position at EchoStar?
- 12 A. I believe I've been the controller for about six or
- 13 seven years.
- 14 Q. Can you tell the jury what you did before you became
- 15 controller for EchoStar?
- 16 A. I held various positions, including manager of SEC
- Reporting, director of corporate accounting -- and I believe
- 18 | those are the only two positions actually.
- 19 Q. And what did you do prior to coming to work for
- 20 EchoStar in '96?
- 21 A. I worked for Arthur Andersen as an auditor.
- Q. And do you have any degrees?
- 23 A. Yes, I have a bachelor of science in accounting.
- Q. Can you tell us a little bit about your
- 25 responsibilities for the general accounting in EchoStar,

- 1 what that involves?
- 2 A. Well, basically I'm responsible for the overall
- accounting for the company and making sure that our
- 4 | financial statements are properly stated in accordance with
- 5 GAAP, which is generally accepted accounting principles.
- 6 Q. Do you have any responsibilities as it relates to
- 7 reporting to government agencies at all?
- 8 A. Yes. As part of my overall corporate controller role,
- 9 I'm responsible for the SEC reporting. We currently today
- 10 have three SEC registrants where we have to file 10-Ks,
- 11 which are annual reports, or 10-Qs, which are quarterly
- 12 reports, with the SEC.
- Q. And does that responsibility fall with you, the SEC
- 14 reporting -- or your team?
- 15 A. Yeah, from the standpoint of the accounting parts of
- 16 it, yes.
- Q. Now, can you explain in just general terms how
- 18 | EchoStar's business model works in terms of acquiring new
- subscribers for the DISH Network programming?
- 20 A. Well, our business model is that we up front incur a
- 21 substantial what we call subscriber acquisition costs. We
- spend anywhere between 6 or 700 hours today to acquire a
- subscriber. And what happens after the time they're a
- subscriber with us, we earn back that money via the margins
- on the programming or the ARPU, and then if they stay long

- 1 enough, we actually will make money on the subscriber.
- Q. And can you tell the jury what ARPU stands for?
- 3 A. That's average revenue per user.
- 4 Q. And if I understood you correctly, the way the model
- 5 works is, the longer you keep a subscriber, the more
- 6 profitable EchoStar is on that particular subscriber. Is
- 7 | that correct?
- 8 A. Correct. We need to first recover our SAT costs, our
- 9 up-front costs, and once we recover those, then we make
- 10 margin or we have profit on that subscriber.
- 11 Q. Okay. Now I want to talk a little bit about EchoStar's
- 12 programming.
- Do you know how EchoStar protects that programming,
- 14 just generally?
- $15 \mid$ A. Yes. We have a conditional access system that encrypts
- 16 the programming.
- 17 Q. And how do EchoStar's paying subscribers actually
- 18 receive that programming?
- 19 A. Well, in the set-top boxes or the receivers that are at
- 20 the customer's house, there's Smart Cards or smart chips in
- 21 them, and that, I guess, decrypts the programming and allows
- 22 the user to see the programming that they pay for.
- Q. And it's your understanding that NagraStar is the
- 24 entity that provides those Smart Cards?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Now, I want to focus a little bit on the allegations in this particular case that we're here for today.
- Do you have a general understanding of what the claims are that EchoStar has brought against the defendant, NDS?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And what's your understanding?

system out on the Internet.

- A. My understanding is that they hacked our system and they put the information that you would need to pirate the
- Q. And do you have an understanding of the type of harm that was caused by those acts?
- 12 A. Yes.

9

- 13 Q. And what's your understanding of that?
- A. Well, as a result of our system being hacked, we had to replace all of the Smart Cards that are in all the set-top
- 16 boxes for all of our customers.
- 17 Q. And is that generally referred to as a card swap?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, other than the cost of the card swap, was there any other types of harm that were caused by what we've
- 21 alleged the defendants to have engaged in?
- 22 A. Yes. We would have lost profit on subscribers that
- were pirating our service. We would no longer have gotten
- the margin on those subscribers. We incurred costs to
- 25 prosecute people who were pirating our service as well as we

- would incur costs to perform countermeasures that help to
 limit the amount of piracy that was going on.
- Q. So can you explain to the jury what it is that we mean when we're referring to card swap -- what that refers to?
- A. Well, what we had to do is -- again, every set-top box
- 6 has a Smart Card or a smart chip in it, and what we had to
- 7 do was we had to send a Smart Card to every subscriber for
- 8 every box in their home. And they physically had to take
- 9 out the old one and put the new Smart Card in their system
- 10 to resecure the system.
- 11 Q. Were you involved in any way in the implementation of
- 12 that card swap?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. How were you involved?
- 15 A. I was involved from the accounting perspective from
- 16 tracking the costs, what it cost us, and properly accounting
- 17 for it.
- 18 Q. So when did that card swap begin, to your knowledge?
- 19 A. I believe we started the mass swap in 2004.
- 20 THE COURT: 2004?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- Counsel, what month?
- 24 BY MS. WILLETTS:
- Q. Do you know what month the card swap began, the mass

- 1 swap began in 2004?
- THE WITNESS: It was either the second or third
- quarter, some time in that time frame, of 2004.
- 4 THE COURT: That's a six-month time frame.
- 5 When was it?
- THE WITNESS: Off the top of my head, I couldn't
- 7 answer that with a hundred percent certainty.
- 8 BY MS. WILLETTS:
- 9 Q. And from -- you were simply involved from an accounting
- 10 perspective; isn't that correct, Mr. Orban?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And when did EchoStar first begin tracking that card
- 13 swap?
- 14 A. We would have started tracking those costs just about
- 15 the time the mass swap started, so roughly the same time
- 16 frame.
- 17 Q. So was anything implemented prior to that time, prior
- 18 | to 2004, with what you're referring to as a mass swap?
- 19 A. (No audible response.)
- Q. Was EchoStar undertaking to -- any actions other than a
- 21 mass swap that you know of that -- to begin testing cards in
- 22 the field?
- 23 A. Yeah, prior to the mass swap, we were actually swapping
- out the Smart Cards in units that we received back in our
- 25 | service organization. We -- if a receiver breaks at a

1 person's household, we swap out the receiver, give them one 2 that works, they send us back the old one, and when we were 3 repairing them, we would replace the old Smart Card or the 4 pirated Smart Card with the new one when we were actually 5 handling the unit. And do you have any understanding as to why EchoStar 7 did not actually begin what you're calling the mass swap until 2004? 8 9 I believe the infrastructure and all the technology 10 that was needed to do it was not ready. 11 So they had to incur -- it took time to develop the new 12 card, to your knowledge? 13 Yes. As well as the infrastructure that you need to go 14 to the next platform in the new system. 15 Can you tell us a little bit about the types of things 16 that EchoStar had to do to get ready to implement this card 17 swap? 18 From what perspective? 19 (Live reporter switch.) 20 (Further proceedings reported by Sharon 21 Seffens in Volume IV.) 22 -000-23 24 25

1	-000-
2	
3	CERTIFICATE
4	
5	I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753,
6	Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing is a true and
7	correct transcript of the stenographically reported
8	proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the
9	transcript page format is in conformance with the
10	regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.
11	
12	Date: April 18, 2008
13	
14	
15	
	DEBBIE GALE, U.S. COURT REPORTER
16	CSR NO. 9472, RPR
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
25	
2 9	

A	70:1	51:4	Asia 32:7	August 37:22
Abe 31:12 70:5,7	admonished	Ana 1:16,23 4:1	asked 6:23 7:19	38:1
70:8	63:13	analysis 12:22	9:5 11:15 18:23	authorized 14:4
ability 32:2,24	adverse 74:22	analyst 36:21,21	19:2 26:9 36:6	available 6:9
77:22	80:11	analysts 8:22,25	36:11 37:7	36:10 80:19
able 31:16 32:8	advertising 30:20	9:9	39:24 48:25	Avenue 2:20
32:16,20 54:3	affect 33:9	analyzing 21:16	57:18 58:9	average 84:3
58:22	afraid 20:1,2,3,4	Andersen 82:21	72:25	avoiding 32:18
above-entitled	20:5,10	Angeles 2:21	asking 47:17	aware 13:8 14:4
89:8	afternoon 4:15,16	annual 83:11	assignment 12:20	15:9,11 16:23
absolute 41:15	81:23	answer 70:8 76:1	35:1,1	19:13 20:23
absolutely 77:11	agencies 83:7	87:7	assignments	27:24 28:3 62:6
78:18 79:1	agency 18:17	answering 57:25	10:13,16	62:10
abuse 20:24 21:4	23:11	answers 77:21	assistance 34:13	
accepted 83:5	ago 56:1	antenna 6:15	64:16	B
access 10:9 12:19	agree 60:24	anticipate 50:15	assistant 31:18	bachelor 82:23
12:25 13:1	agreed 20:25	antipiracy 53:25	33:20	back 4:5 17:17,20
42:21 84:15	agreement 35:19	anybody 7:23	associate 27:11	17:24 21:14
account 39:24	35:22 36:9	14:21 41:8	29:3,4 53:14,16	26:3 27:14
44:12 72:13	ahead 61:2	45:24	54:5	28:22 32:23
accounting 82:17	aiding 48:4	apparently 54:7	ASSOCIATES	33:21 38:5 39:6
82:23,25 83:3,5	aka 61:20	appear 32:10	2:4	46:1 60:22 63:3
83:15 86:15,16	al 1:5,8 2:3,11	76:16,16,18	association 53:23	63:20 78:6
87:9	29:4 49:5 52:22	80:12	assured 33:18	79:14,20 80:10
accurate 14:1	53:5,11,12,15	APPEARANCES	astounded 78:19	82:3 83:24
36:7 53:17 77:4	alarm 70:19	2:1	Atmel 10:18	87:24 88:2
77:18	alias 9:3 21:7 69:3	appeared 52:14	attach 80:11	background
accusing 78:12	aliases 8:17 9:2,4	76:21	attached 79:3	78:24
achieve 47:10	9:5,6,9,10,11	appears 79:5	attachments 75:8	bag 26:11,14
acquire 83:22	57:12,15,19	applicable 3:11	attack 5:5	51:19 52:4,14
acquiring 83:18	58:1,2,6,8,10	applied 10:9,24	attempted 62:8	52:15
acquisition 83:21	aligned 5:18,18	apply 11:2	attended 23:1	Bahamas 32:21
act 43:3,7,14	allegations 20:24	applying 76:13	24:21 62:15,16	banners 30:20
action 24:22	26:5 33:8 69:10	Appreciate 79:25	attention 14:10	based 29:22 30:25
actions 87:20	85:1	approximately	36:5 37:3 61:19	basically 77:6
activities 35:2,13	alleged 85:21	15:8 16:15 17:6	75:8	83:2
47:13	allegedly 78:12	17:23 19:8	attorney 18:24	basis 32:15 54:8
acts 44:10 47:9,23	Allen 27:11	32:20 45:12	19:3,5 20:7,8	bat 36:22
64:11,16 66:11	allow 17:22 18:20	April 1:17 4:1	21:12 23:6,18	bcc 39:13
85:11	78:9 79:2	72:18,21 89:12	24:24 31:8,18	Beach 54:12
addition 38:16	allowed 17:13	area 31:3 51:12	31:19 33:21	becoming 74:11
additional 15:23	allows 39:5 84:21	51:15 70:20	attorneys 2:6,14	74:21
77:23 79:17	America 32:7	argue 78:10 79:3	2:19 23:9 30:22	began 16:1 86:25
address 16:19,20	Americans 32:18	79:4	56:12	87:1
39:5	32:18	argument 79:8,16	attorney's 23:2,11	beginning 11:13
addressed 21:6,7	Americas 70:2	arising 23:20,22	23:15 24:22	11:20
38:10,21	amount 15:23	ARPU 83:25 84:2	25:22	behalf 15:20
addresses 22:23	16:15 21:25,25	arrest 34:14	audible 41:9 53:1	63:24 81:18
administrator	86:2	arrested 23:25	53:6 87:19	behave 50:5
	amounts 17:3	Arthur 82:21	auditor 82:21	believable 22:24
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				rage .
believe 4:23 7:20	brought 75:7 85:4	86:7,9,12,18,25	CHAD 2:5	closed 30:16
9:1 11:4,7 13:24	business 21:8	87:12 88:3,4,12	Chaim 77:6,7	closer 9:21
22:4 29:4,10	24:12 25:22	88:16	change 53:7	cluster 32:20
30:25 35:9 41:4	30:21 53:24	cards 5:21 10:9	changed 25:24,25	clustered 32:17
43:12,14 44:25	72:13 82:5,6	12:19,21 13:22	changing 52:4	Coast 8:5
45:11,14 48:16	83:18,20	13:22,23 14:2	57:17	code 10:4,5,7,8,8
48:21 51:11	buy 6:17	14:13,21,25	charge 33:21	10:17,22,23
52:2 54:9 56:8	buy 0.17	84:20,24 85:15	charges 23:18,22	41:7,10,13
57:11 58:2 59:3	<u>C</u>	87:21,24	check 8:12 21:14	60:23 66:13
59:18,18 61:11	Calgary 15:19	Carolina 5:23	checking 8:24	77:8,13 89:6
61:17,24 62:16	22:20 47:25	6:13	21:10	codes 58:23 59:4
68:18 78:1	California 1:2,16	CARTER 1:3	cheese 25:8	59:9
82:12,17 86:19	1:23 2:15,21 4:1	case 5:22 6:1,12	chickens 78:21	coincidence 9:14
88:9	8:5 43:3	27:5 33:9 39:2	chip 7:8 10:18	coincidental 54:7
believed 21:23	call 40:14 65:8	63:15 73:23	86:6	Columbia 22:16
22:5 35:10 65:7	74:22 80:9,9	75:16,17 76:11	chips 5:8 84:20	
67:24	81:2 83:21	79:5 80:14 85:2	_	51:12,15,16 combat 41:15
	called 6:5,23 60:9	79:5 80:14 85:2 cases 5:11	choosing 76:7,11 Chris 9:18 22:4	compat 41:15 come 13:15 14:18
Bell 5:10,12,14 6:19	65:10	cases 5:11 cash 22:22 45:5	22:19 25:24	16:21 17:1
belonged 27:11	calling 88:7	47:15 48:18,22	35:1 43:22	26:23 29:6
belonging 19:12	calls 64:22 65:3	50:10,12,13,15	46:17,20 47:4	30:18 32:12,23
best 41:15 42:17	68:3 71:7	50:16,17,21,23	50:13 53:13	33:12 35:17
67:24 68:3,6	CAM 77:9	50:10,17,21,23	54:24 55:7,9,13	46:11 53:9 73:9
Beth 14:20	camp 31:2	55:2,6,18,23,24	55:23 62:8 69:7	77:25
better 56:4	Canada 5:17	69:23 70:6,10	69:11	comes 10:2 60:19
beyond 75:24	15:24 22:2,11	cat's 26:10,14	Christine 2:5	77:5,13
big 54:24	30:23 32:12	51:18 52:3,14	81:18	coming 75:2
bit 60:9 68:8	35:3,4 43:22	52:15	Christopher	78:21 79:13
81:24 82:24	49:4,8,11 50:4,6	cause 29:18 54:25	52:22 53:5,10	82:19
84:11 85:1	61:12 62:19	67:20 75:25	57:12 62:7	commence 25:17
88:15	72:16	caused 28:21	69:22	commit 40:17,20
blind 38:11	Canadian 5:15	85:11,20	circuits 10:19	committed 43:6
blocker 6:15	27:9 34:14	cautious 80:18	civil 4:18 5:11	64:12
blow 59:1,3,5,8	58:15	Cayman 32:22	46:24,25	committing 43:2
blunt 73:20	Canadians 32:18	cc 38:10,11 39:13	civilly 5:3 47:3	communicated
board 36:1	47:14	CCTV 70:19	67:1,8,9,10,12	35:12
boss 17:11	Canal 40:14,15	center 2:14 42:4	67:14,16	community 12:17
box 6:5 19:12	41:7,10,13	CENTRAL 1:2	claim 13:19 18:17	62:4
21:10,14 43:15	candid 28:23,25	CEO 31:12 65:1	21:10 45:24	companies 74:17
81:8 86:5,8	candor 31:1	CEO's 31:12	claimed 64:12	company 18:17
boxes 84:19 85:16	capacity 8:11	certain 32:11	claims 10:4 33:13	21:7 31:20 33:2
brazenly 76:13	15:6,24 47:25	58:23 77:12	85:3	36:10 40:13,21
break 57:22 70:21	49:22 50:1,6	79:3	clarity's 55:23	59:11,11,11,12
breaks 87:25	capture 31:16	certainly 68:4,7	60:2	59:12 76:6 83:3
brief 63:12 72:6	card 6:14 9:20	certainty 87:7	clear 4:21 26:3	competitor 40:10
82:2	10:6,19,19	CERTIFICATE	27:12 64:10	complaint 33:9
bring 31:1 67:22	12:25 13:1,2,3,3	89:3	CLERK 73:10	completed 25:21
79:20	13:4,9 14:19	certified 36:9	client's 59:11	complies 25:4
British 22:16	26:17 77:13	certify 89:5	Clint 42:1 51:21	52:8
51:12,15,16	85:17,19 86:4,6	cetera 74:10	61:2	comply 78:17
· ·	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 3
computer 38:1	consultants 4:20	47:6,11,19,23	80:2,5,25 86:23	66:1,3,15 67:3
56:5 68:25	4:22,23,24 5:1	48:19,20 49:1,2	counsel's 18:23	criminally 5:2
77:23 79:6	consulted 56:12	49:3,7,11,19	54:10	criteria 5:4
computerized	consulting 35:19	50:11 51:6,7,12	counter 66:13	CROSS 3:3
32:5	35:22 36:8	51:13 52:19,20	countermeasure	cross-examinati
computers 31:5	consumer 6:13,17	52:23 54:1,4,12	10:25	4:11,13 44:1
68:16,21,22	contact 77:7	54:13,14,15,23	countermeasures	57:11 64:4
69:10,17 71:9	containing 19:11	56:2,3,7,21,22	9:21 66:14 86:1	cross-index 32:10
71:13,15,18,20	41:10	56:24 57:1,2,13	counters 9:20	cross-reference
conceal 19:4	content 8:22	58:11,16,17,24	counter-accusa	32:9
concealed 17:2	continually 75:15	58:25 59:3,6,9	78:12	CSR 1:21 89:16
concern 31:13,14	continue 15:23	59:14,15,25	country 75:24	Cumberland 19:9
35:6,9 39:25	47:13,22	60:1,5,13,14,16	county 20:8	27:14,21 28:12
concerned 20:13	continued 4:10,13	60:17,21 61:18	couple 73:18	currently 83:9
74:11,21	63:23 64:1	62:1,2,4,5,7,19	76:22	custom 13:22
concerning 56:8	contract 72:17	62:20,22,23	course 24:12 47:6	custom 13.22 customer 13:24
63:15	control 65:23	63:1 64:8,9,13	50:20 60:8	32:4 33:3
conclude 80:15	70:13 74:12,25	64:14,17,20,21	73:14	customers 13:23
conclusion 29:6	controller 82:10	65:1,2,5,7,12,14	court 1:1,21,22	85:16
33:12	82:12,15 83:8	65:15,18,19,22	4:5,10 11:24	customer's 31:12
conditional 84:15	conveniently	65:25 66:7,9,12	12:2 24:16,18	84:20
conducive 49:23	73:18	66:16,19,24	35:25 41:19,21	Customs 22:1
conduct 54:4	copies 31:17,20	67:2,3,4,5,11,13	55:9,12,15 63:3	custom-designed
Conference 89:10	copy 32:3 36:9	67:15,17,19,23	63:6,11,20 72:2	13:23
confidential	copy 32.3 30.9 copyright 48:3	68:9,10,14,17	73:6,13,16 74:3	cut 77:10
31:10	Corp 1:5 76:10	68:18 69:1,5,7,8	75:7,12,14,23	cut / /.10
conformance	corporate 74:4,5	70:14,22 71:10	75:25 76:20,25	
89:9	74:7 82:10,17	71:11,13,16,17	77:2,17 78:2,5,9	D 2:5,19 3:1
confused 57:21	83:8	71:19,20,21,24	78:15,21,23	daily 8:7,7 71:7,8
confusing 63:6	CORPORATI	71:19,20,21,24	79:10,11,16,20	Dallas 10:18
congratulated	2:3	87:10 89:7	79:10,11,10,20	damned 31:21
5:24	correct 7:15,16	correctly 51:4	80:10,18,22,25	Darin 2:13 54:17
connected 73:15	7:21 8:14 12:12	56:9 84:4	81:3,7,12,14,20	54:20,21
conscious 75:1	13:13 16:6,9	cost 85:19 86:16	86:20,22 87:4	databases 32:13
consider 15:2	17:15 18:3 19:1	costs 83:21 84:8,9	89:15	date 42:25 52:7
				79:6 89:12
20:16,18 29:20 78:16	19:21 20:12,21 25:15,16 26:8	85:24 86:1,16 87:14	courtesy 4:7 63:22 80:1,5	David 1:3 2:13,24
considerably	26:24 27:15,16	counsel 4:6 7:19	courthouse 5:24	day 1:8 4:2 8:7
36:23	27:21,22 28:14	11:15,24 21:11	courtnouse 3.24 courtroom 73:11	18:5 29:19
consideration	28:15 30:2,3,5,6	21:12 24:7 28:6	74:8	days 15:21
21:17 33:11	30:12,13 34:19	28:7,9 30:1 33:6	Court's 75:8	deadly 79:1
considered 6:7	34:20,22,23	33:18,20 36:11	co-equal 79:12	deadly 75.1 deal 54:24
22:13	36:16 42:6,9,10	37:7,16 42:6	crap 25:8	dealing 56:18
considering 18:14	42:25 43:3,7,17	44:2,25 52:2	crap 23.8 created 37:18,22	dealt 32:3 56:7
consisting 9:12	43:20,23,25	54:17,21 55:13	38:1,15 39:18	79:12
consultant 30:8	43.20,23,23	58:12 59:19	credibility 26:7	Dean 25:15 67:16
			credibility 26.7	68:9,19 69:10
30:11 34:3,5	44:18,19 45:2,3	63:7,12,17,21 73:25 74:2,3	•	69:16
36:7 53:20,22	45:16 46:3,6,7,8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	41:3,4 crimes 34:12	Debbie 1:21 89:15
59:14,16,23 60:3 73:1	46:9,11,12,15	75:7,10,16 78:2		debriefing 30:23
00.5 / 5.1	46:19,21,22,24	78:9,16 79:2	criminal 4:18,25	debiteing 50.25

				Page 4
		55.5.6.1.4.6	104561115	(0.2.0
decapsulate 10:22	39:15 50:13	57:5,6 61:4,6	10:4,5,6 11:17	69:3,9
December 25:12	52:10 61:12	74:23,25 76:23	12:5,6,23,24	employees 68:19
decide 5:2	74:7 76:17	77:2 79:5	13:1,3,11 14:2	employment
decided 20:20	difficult 76:4	documented 22:2	14:13 27:8 29:8	29:16
decision 21:21	difficulties 38:6	documents 31:10	29:10,23 40:6	encourage 75:3
33:10,15	direct 3:3 14:10	31:11,17,20	40:18 42:9,14	77:22 78:6
declare 48:13	36:5 37:3 81:15	74:9,12 76:22	52:13,21 53:4	encouraged 75:4
declination 46:11	81:21	78:13 79:3	59:8,17 63:24	encrypted 38:9,16
declined 46:8	directly 34:7 70:3	dog 20:10	65:4,7,14 68:12	encrypting 38:7
decrypts 84:21	director 82:17	doing 7:15,24 8:1	68:17 73:1 75:4	encrypts 84:15
defendant 2:11	DirecTV 5:16,18	8:13 9:18,23	76:9 78:13 79:4	enforcement 27:9
85:4	6:21 9:20 10:6	70:25	79:13 81:19,25	29:23 34:7
defendants 1:9	12:18,18,25,25	doubt 40:23	82:4,5,7,11,15	44:22
76:7 85:21	13:4,23,24	Dov 2:24 69:19,20	82:20,25 84:6	engage 40:6,9,14
degrees 82:22	15:20 30:25	69:22	84:13 85:4	engaged 40:13
delete 38:25	31:12 46:12	downloaded 10:3	87:12,20 88:6	85:21
deleted 39:2	55:3 59:5 66:8	Dr 31:12 70:8	88:16	engaging 66:11
denied 21:5	69:23 70:6,10	Dreambox 6:5,6,7	EchoStar's 6:19	engineering 7:5
department 24:7	76:10	drive 38:13,14,15	28:7 42:22 43:9	10:14
35:11	direct-to-home	38:16,19,22	43:15 83:18	engineers 10:25
depos 76:15	5:15	39:11,12	84:11,17	60:17 69:13
depose 75:19	disagree 60:22	drug 20:9,10,24	Edmonton 25:25	England 32:11
deposed 27:5	disavowing 21:11	20:24 21:1,4	51:16	entities 74:4,5
deposition 75:23	disclose 72:12	48:22,25 49:2	effort 35:11 73:19	entity 72:8 84:24
depositions 75:18	disclosed 46:23	49:14	75:1 78:7 79:17	environment
78:18	discovered 8:21	drugs 48:23,24	efforts 46:13	12:23 65:24
describe 53:18	12:23,24	49:10	53:25 72:15	70:13
described 22:20	discovery 73:17	dr7 25:9	75:16	EPROM 39:22
describing 12:14	75:9 78:17	dump 26:16	eight 18:8,9 29:15	equality 73:22
description 7:25	discuss 19:22	duties 7:10 11:3	45:1,8,11	equipment 48:18
despite 33:13	63:13	D6V3 1:25	either 17:25 28:4	70:15
detailed 36:7	discussed 7:5		32:17 38:19,24	Ereiser 4:19
details 6:1	24:23	E 3:1 77:13	39:12 49:17	15:12 17:2 18:2
detection 32:19	dish 6:14 83:19	earlier 8:4 30:4	71:13,20 73:15	22:17,21 46:3,6
determine 42:20	displayed 11:8		73:18 75:22	46:8,10,13,18
43:13	42:2 51:22 61:4	52:2 56:9,25	87:2	46:21 47:1,2
devastating 78:6	disputing 39:10	57:17 61:6,17 68:8 69:19	elapsed 28:16	50:9,12,14
develop 10:25	dissatisfaction	78:17	electronic 9:21	55:19 58:13,15
40:5 88:11	75:15	early 80:15	38:7 48:18	59:13,16,20,23
developed 60:15	distances 74:17	earn 83:24	66:14	60:2,16 64:4
developing 32:13	distributor 6:4		electronics 17:3	66:20 67:12
35:3 60:19	distributors 5:6	East 8:5,8,18 32:6 Eastern 32:6	22:22	72:25 73:2
device 7:6	District 1:1,2,22	EBERHART	elite 61:9,16 62:3	75:19
devices 9:25 10:8	20:7	2:13	62:5	Ereiser's 58:19,24
10:21,22,23	DOC 1:7		em 32:23 57:16	Erez 14:20
28:1	document 11:8	EchoStar 1:5,25	Embarcadero	Ergen 64:23 65:6
dictating 76:9,10	21:6 37:4,8,10	2:3 4:20,22,23	2:14	escaped 52:15
Diego 21:12	38:1,12,12,20	4:24 5:16,19,20	employed 34:4,6	establishment
different 10:18	39:2,3,7,9,22	6:14,14,14,15	employee 53:19	68:20,22
30:17 32:12,21	42:2 51:22 53:4	6:16 7:5 9:25	53:22 68:25	estimate 14:18
	ı	I	<u> </u>	ı

		_		
80:16	86:3	fictitious 22:22	formal 7:20,22	GAAP 83:5
et 1:5,8 2:3,11	explained 27:4	field 77:7 87:22	70:23 72:13	gained 48:14
74:10	31:18	fight 29:17 31:2	format 89:9	Gale 1:21 89:15
Europe 8:19 32:6	explanation 22:7	72:15	formed 73:16	game 78:13,20
32:6	exposed 59:5	fighting 29:14	former 31:18 65:1	games 78:22,23
eventually 16:17	express 63:14	figure 21:21 45:8	forth 14:4	Gee 5:23 79:4
18:12 30:7	expressed 73:25	file 12:5,23,24,25	forum 40:4,4	general 70:1
evidence 10:17,20	75:15	13:10 26:15	forums 12:17	73:21 82:25
10:21 11:7 14:8	ExpressVu 5:10	41:7,10,12	forwarded 9:13	83:17 85:3
15:6 21:3 24:15	5:12,14 6:19	42:11,18 83:10	found 13:6,17	generally 83:5
27:8 29:19,21	extent 10:10 14:3	filed 11:17 23:18	19:20 27:2,23	84:14 85:17
37:4 41:3,4 61:3	eyes 13:25	33:8 46:10	27:25 28:4,7,8,9	generation 9:20
68:15 75:1	e-mail 8:21 9:12	files 10:3,7 30:18	28:10,17 29:6	gentleman 61:19
76:14 77:17	14:17 26:9 38:8	30:18 32:8	32:1 45:17	75:20 77:5
exactly 12:14 29:1	38:10,11,13,14	42:14	50:18 52:21,24	gentleman's 79:5
74:9	38:16,17,18,23	financial 83:4	Fountainview 2:7	George 14:11
exam 21:1	42:5,8 51:19,24	find 28:6 53:2	four-week 80:16	69:6
examination	52:3 68:8 77:4	73:19 74:9	frame 33:16,22	Gerlinsky 25:24
18:24 41:22	e-mails 8:7 36:20	finding 11:10	38:5 87:3,4,16	getting 15:16 37:1
63:23 64:1	38:7 71:7	28:20 73:15	Francisco 2:15	43:23 57:21
81:15,21	E3M'd 14:13	fine 36:2	frankly 75:9,25	give 7:22 22:12
examine 10:20		fingerprint 27:10	76:18 78:16	26:7 41:12
example 10:2	F	52:21,24 53:2	free 13:12 78:10	44:20,22 45:15
excellent 75:16	F 61:20	77:14	80:20	45:22 74:22
excess 39:16	faced 31:9	fingerprints	free-to-air 6:4,8	78:10 88:1
excited 82:1	fact 5:11 7:15	27:24 28:3 53:9	6:10,11,21	given 10:13 35:16
excuse 73:6	13:9 15:10	fire 55:2 66:18	frequently 8:6	48:3 55:7,17
executive 20:25	25:14 28:7 33:8	fired 52:19 54:10	71:8	56:17 72:8 74:9
exemplary 74:2	33:13 34:18	54:17,22,25	friendly 34:19,21	giving 8:14
exhibit 11:7,10	36:6 42:24	firm 27:7,7,8	35:3,4,7	global 32:14
14:4,6,8 24:4,6	52:12 54:16	32:22	friendship 34:25	globally 32:3
25:1,3,7,17	73:1 74:13	first 9:20 12:14	front 83:20	go 4:21 5:2,5
26:10,13 35:20	fair 76:5 78:13	13:24 14:10	Frost 49:5 61:22	20:25 61:2 78:6
35:23 37:5	fall 5:8 83:13	16:5 17:16 18:8	61:24,25 62:3,8	79:10 88:13
39:17 41:25	familiar 57:7	25:23 38:5	62:14,21,25	goes 31:14 69:3
42:1 51:18,19	family 82:3	52:10 54:2 72:7	fulfilling 36:8	76:11 77:10,11
61:1,16 63:2	far 17:16,19	77:8 84:8 87:12	full 81:10	going 6:1 9:18
75:5	23:25 24:21	floating 26:5	function 14:2	18:20 19:8 23:4
EXHIBITS 3:10	50:15 52:11	fly 75:18	functioning 11:1	43:11,24 47:22
exits 73:11	55:25	focus 11:12 42:4	functions 36:24	65:11 74:17
expect 21:13,23	fast 77:15	61:19 63:2 85:1	funds 45:25,25	75:3,17 76:15
79:16	father 69:7,12,14	focusing 41:24	48:14	77:2,3 78:2,5,6
expecting 21:5	69:16 82:1	followed 23:9	further 24:22	78:9,15 80:8,13
22:6	fault 55:12 73:15	foregoing 89:6	41:18 52:5 73:5	80:18 86:2
experience 36:25	FBI 6:3,13 31:22	forensic 77:24	88:20	gonna 33:14
expertise 30:24	February 24:10 federal 1:21 78:20	forewarning 70.10	future 16:10	good 4:15,16
30:25 31:3		79:18	38:25 39:1 47:9	67:20 81:23
experts 77:25	feel 18:10	form 17:1 38:7	47:23	gotten 75:9 85:23
explain 45:20,21	felt 9:21 18:10	44:22 63:14	G	government
45:22 83:17	29:5 67:22	74:14		23:11 50:23
	ı	I	I	ı

83:7	83:23	1:3	improper 56:16	12:5,9,16,22
governmental	hard 38:13,14,15	hooked 6:18	incident 28:22	13:6,10,15 14:1
18:16	38:16,18,22	hoped 45:25 46:2	including 69:13	26:5,15,19
gray 47:21	39:11,12 74:8	47:10	71:8 82:16	29:20 31:2,4,11
Great 82:2	80:14	hopeful 17:24	incur 83:20 86:1	32:3 35:2 36:21
group 1:8 2:11	hardware 13:22	hopefully 10:25	88:11	42:9,12,15,18
8:18 15:18,20	harm 85:10,20	Hospital 20:25	incurred 85:24	43:10 52:10
15:21 17:20,25	harmful 59:7,10	hour 63:16	indicate 29:21	56:2 57:12,19
18:2 34:12 38:5	HARTSON 2:18	hours 28:19 36:8	indirectly 34:11	58:1,5,8 59:9
38:6 46:1,4,19	Hasak 17:11	36:20,23 80:9	individual 25:15	65:4,7 71:23
47:2,25 53:20	18:19 70:3	80:10 83:22	31:22	85:9
58:24 62:15	head 87:6	house 43:16 71:16	industry 60:20	investigate 6:4
70:4 74:18,19	Headend 6:24 7:2	84:20	inference 74:22	69:16,16
75:4	57:1,3,8	household 88:1	80:11	investigating 35:2
growing 12:17	heard 7:1 15:8	Houston 2:8	inferences 79:1	investigation 20:9
guess 14:23,23	17:7 19:7,8	HR 20:25	infiltrating 58:19	23:4 25:18
15:2,6 19:3	22:25 40:12	hundred 14:12	info 77:10	33:18,19 56:16
42:17 84:21	hearsay 24:17	87:7	inform 18:20	investigations
guessing 14:12	40:12		informant 22:2	4:25 34:13
guesstimate 14:19	held 63:18 82:11	I	information 8:14	involved 4:18
14:24	82:16 89:8	ID 77:13	26:19 35:16	22:3 43:13
Guggenheim	help 15:23 31:2	idea 14:21 26:4	37:17 41:1 65:8	48:24 86:11,14
64:23 65:1,6	40:17,20 46:18	32:12,15 45:24	76:11 77:23	86:15 87:9
guy 25:7	47:11 86:1	51:10	85:8	involvement
guys 53:24 62:13	helping 9:19	identified 31:22	infrastructure	20:10
guys 55.2+ 02.15	hidden 22:22	33:4 60:24	88:9,13	involves 6:11 83:1
Н	77:12	72:14	inside 10:23 22:22	involving 31:4
hack 12:5,24	high 34:11	identify 6:3 31:19	27:10	IRD 77:10
29:23 42:9 43:5	hire 30:14 33:10	32:25	inspect 68:20,25	Islands 32:22
52:10 65:14	33:14 56:10,14	identifying 62:12	install 70:15 71:4	Israel 8:23 9:13
66:8	56:15,20	77:13	71:9,12	10:25 36:22
hacked 11:17	hired 30:7,10	identities 9:12	instance 8:23	70:3 74:18
29:8,10 52:6	31:23 33:7,20	32:10	32:12 35:14	issue 79:13,13
85:7,14	34:21 65:17,23	identity 40:4 72:9	instructed 48:13	IV 88:21
hacker 67:25 68:4	66:9,12,18	ignore 76:2	instruction 55:7,8	1 7 00.21
70:25	hiring 31:24 34:1	III 1:8 4:2	55:17 74:22	J
hacking 40:13,15	56:13 66:20	illegal 42:11,18	integrated 10:19	J 25:24
hacks 42:14	68:1	43:3,5,7,9,14	intelligence 34:10	jail 66:23,24,25
HAGAN 2:5	history 74:8	50:3 54:4 66:5	36:21	67:5
63:10 81:1	HOGAN 2:18	66:11	intentionally 19:4	Jan 67:10
Haifa 74:19	hold 17:13	image 77:8,9	intercept 58:23	January 82:8
hand 50:1	holders 48:3	imbalance 73:23	intercepted 19:10	Jeff 25:25
handling 88:5	home 22:18 50:1	immediately	19:23 27:14,20	jeopardized 72:9
happen 9:17	78:21 86:8	19:19	27:24 28:12	Jerusalem 8:19
18:13 27:6	honestly 74:15	implement 88:16	interest 13:21	job 7:10 26:22
happened 11:22	Honor 4:12 14:7	implementation	48:13	29:15,16 31:14
12:15 22:8	24:3,14,17 36:2	86:11	interests 76:17	40:3,5
happening 15:14	41:18 63:25	implemented	interests 70.17	jobs 7:24
64:18	72:3 81:1,16,17	87:17	8:13,18,19 10:3	Joe 69:3,6,16
happens 69:6	HONORABLE	important 9:18	10:7,11 11:17	John 3:4 4:8
Tr	HONORABLE	F	10.7,11 11.17	

				Page 7
14.11	57.14.15.10	27.7	16 22 20 5	47.5.50.14.21
14:11	57:14,15,18	lawyers 27:7	longer 16:22 29:5	47:5 50:14,21
Johnny 60:10,16	60:23 65:17	54:14 55:18	73:13 84:5	Manitoba 22:3
join 40:4	66:8,11,13	56:10,17,20,23	85:23	manner 16:13
joined 44:5 46:12	71:15,18	68:23	look 35:23 38:23	22:19 32:9
judge 1:3 74:2	knock 11:1	lawyer's 69:2	38:24 52:16	manufactured
Judicial 89:10	know 5:6 8:24 9:6	leak 31:13	57:6 69:9	13:4
June 80:19	9:10 15:13,13	leap 54:6	looked 57:5	manufacturers
jurisdiction 23:19	20:6 21:24	learn 13:5 19:15	looking 24:6	10:18
75:21	23:25 24:21	leave 27:19 30:18	33:21 49:20,21	margin 84:10
jurisdictional	29:2 30:19 35:9	38:25 79:17	77:17	85:24
75:24	36:25 37:12,14	led 35:16 41:4	loose 78:16	margins 83:24
jury 1:15 4:4,5	37:17 38:2 39:4	left 7:14 81:8	Los 2:21	marijuana 49:13
24:19 60:6 63:6	39:20,21 45:6,9	legal 42:21	lost 73:18 74:9,14	50:4
73:8,9,11,12,13	45:14,22 47:19	legit 44:5	85:22	mark 61:23
74:25 76:5,12	47:22 48:11,17	length 29:15	lot 12:8 60:18	marked 14:8
79:20,24 80:8	50:13,16,22	letter 24:6,10	78:24	market 5:17 6:9
81:10,24 82:14	51:17 53:14	Let's 27:12 29:25	Love 25:15,18	marketing 14:20
84:2 86:3	55:25 56:5	48:15 63:2	67:16 68:9,11	Marty 66:23
jury's 63:21 80:2	57:12,25 58:2	level 65:21 74:6,7	68:15 L 1: 25:21	mask 60:23
justice 24:7 56:15	61:24 65:3,10	lied 29:5	Love's 25:21	mass 86:19,25
K	65:13 68:3 73:2	Lieutenant 19:9	68:19 69:10,16	87:15,18,21,23
keep 18:21 38:19	73:24 74:15,17	27:14,21 28:12	low 6:15	88:7
44:10 55:17	75:23 76:7 77:9	limit 86:2	low-life 66:2,4	material 74:13,23
70:24 74:20	80:16 84:13	limited 10:10	loyalties 35:7,10	matter 6:12 63:13
82:2 84:5	86:25 87:21	limits 75:24	lunch 7:14	89:8
Keeping 55:6	knowing 75:23	link 53:21 54:3	M	Maxwell 67:14
KENNETH 2:19	knowledge 16:12	linked 52:22 53:4	$\overline{\mathbf{M}}$ 2:5	mean 6:10 17:1
Kerrobert 22:18	46:23 59:13	53:12	mail 21:14 45:18	29:1 34:1 53:23 76:25 79:7,13
kind 5:4 7:24 8:12	60:25 64:18,19 86:18 88:12	linking 53:10 54:5 list 58:7	70:6	86:3
10:16 23:4 28:8	known 29:3 42:13	lists 58:4,9	mailbox 16:19	
31:23 34:25		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20:6,9 22:8 27:3	meaning 18:2 means 60:25 63:7
39:18 73:8	42:14 45:4 66:15	literally 76:1 litigation 33:7	28:13,14,15	meet 49:5 63:16
77:14,23 79:6	Kudelski 74:18	little 11:13,15,20	44:15,17 45:2	
81:7,9	75:4	12:4 31:6 47:21	47:5 48:15	meeting 23:1,9,14 24:21 47:25
kinds 26:5	73.4	60:9 68:8 80:14	50:10,17 51:2,5	49:8,11 54:20
King 67:14	$\frac{}{}$	81:24 82:24	mailed 19:11 22:8	61:9,12,16
Kingdom 8:23	L 2:18	84:11 85:1	68:11	62:14,14,17,21
king's 50:1	lab 43:1,3 70:20	88:15	Main 53:10,12,13	Melnick 62:8
Klein 2:19 3:4	laboratory 70:16	live 8:6 88:19	maintain 17:22	memory 45:13
4:12,14 11:6,9	language 42:4	lived 9:14 51:14	36:7 74:24	men 64:7
12:1,3 14:6,9	Lastly 72:25	51:16,16	maintained 60:13	Menard 27:11
24:3,5,14,20	law 2:6,14,19 27:7	LNU 63:7	74:25	29:4,25 30:2,7
25:2,6 36:2,4	27:7,8,9 29:22	local 21:12	making 14:24	30:22,23 31:15
41:18 72:3,5	32:22 34:7	locate 32:24	21:10 30:20	31:23 33:4,7,9
73:5	44:22	located 22:18	83:3	33:14,20 34:2,4
knew 8:17 9:2,9	lawsuit 7:1,4	log 36:7,9,12	Malaysia 32:21	34:6,15,19,21
9:11 30:11	17:25 33:7,14	logical 39:11	manager 70:1	35:4,7,8,15
34:25 43:22	46:10,12 57:5	long 49:25 50:5	82:16	36:21 49:5
50:16,21,22	lawyer 56:14	82:11 83:25	Manassas 44:15	51:14 52:22
-, ,		02.11 03.23		J1.1 T J2.22

				Page (
53:5,11,12,15	moniker 61:25	NDS 1:8 2:11 5:3	41:5	23:11,15 24:22
53:19 54:3,6,7	monitor 8:2,19	5:11 7:4,10 10:5	NipperClause	25:22 54:10
56:1,4,8,10,13	9:23 18:4,10	10:9,9,13 13:3,4	40:24 41:2,5	officer 19:9
56:14,15,19,21	62:8 71:6,10,20	13:8,9 16:1,8	noise 6:15	official 1:21 33:19
68:12,16 69:11	monitored 32:5	17:8 23:16,22	Noll 3:5 24:17	34:13
72:17 75:20	71:6,8	24:7 30:8,11,14	41:19,20,23	officials 50:23
Menard's 33:4	monitoring 7:17	31:6,13 32:4,15	42:1,3 51:21,23	offshore 32:17
35:12,22 54:5	12:16 13:14	34:5,6,22 35:8	52:18 55:14,16	oftentimes 74:8
mentioned 8:4	15:18 32:14	39:14 40:9 44:3	61:2,5 63:5,24	okay 5:22 7:1
mentioned 5.4	70:15,23 71:4	44:5,17 46:5,5	63:25 64:2 72:1	11:11,12,22,24
Mervin 53:10,12	monitors 64:8	46:11 53:19,22	81:16	12:1 13:5,8
53:13	month 36:23	53:23 54:3,18	Norris 3:4 4:8,15	14:16 15:25
met 30:22 31:8,15	75:10 86:23,25	54:25 59:5,24	14:11 41:24	16:3,23 17:16
34:11 68:23	monthly 36:9	60:3 64:11,12	42:5 45:6 47:12	26:12 27:12
Michael 14:11	months 19:16	66:16 67:1,3,24	51:25 61:7 64:3	33:12,25 34:1
Middle 8:8,18	20:11	68:1,3,25 69:3,9	72:6 77:5 79:5	50:24,25 52:9
32:6	morning 4:17	70:2,18,23	80:4,7	53:7,14 56:17
Mike's 11:16 12:4	6:24 9:24 17:12	72:15 74:19	North 5:23 6:13	56:20,20,25
miles 8:5 9:14	22:25 25:1,13	76:10 78:12	32:7,18	57:17,22,23
75:25	26:9 30:1 36:11	79:2,12,14 85:4	NorthSat 25:14	59:19 61:15,25
mind 10:2	37:4 39:23	NDS's 31:12 46:8	notes 77:4,4,19	63:11 72:17
minute 48:15	57:24	46:13 49:2,19	notice 20:7	84:11
minute 48.13	Moskowitz 2:24	64:20 71:23	notified 21:9	old 86:9 88:2,3
mirror 32:3	Mullen 4:19 64:4	78:12	number 12:18	once 13:17 16:21
mislead 60:6	66:23 67:5	NDS-issued 71:13	14:21,25 18:9	29:6 31:23
misplaced 73:19	multinational	71:18	20:11 22:4 31:9	38:13 46:10
74:14	74:17	necessarily 73:15	57:14 69:13	69:10 84:9
misspell 32:10	Murdoch 70:9	necessary 36:18	77:10,25	one-year 47:13
misspelled 32:11	Myers 2:12 54:12	36:19	numbers 17:7	oOo 88:22 89:1
model 83:18,20		need 6:13 38:25	32:16 33:3,5	open 38:8,11,14
84:4	N	45:5 77:8,9,11	47:21	38:23
moment 27:18	N 3:1	84:8 85:8 88:13		opened 20:9
29:25 56:1 73:9	Nagra 5:21,21	needed 8:10 31:24	0	43:15
money 15:11,17	25:8,23	88:10	O 1:3	operated 30:11,15
15:19,23,25	NagraStar 40:7	needs 6:17	object 24:17	30:17
16:15,23 17:13	40:18 59:14,18	Network 83:19	objection 24:16	operating 30:16
18:4,9,13,17,25	59:24 60:3	neutral 74:20	obligations 36:8	operation 17:24
19:2 21:24,25	63:24 64:11,16	never 21:8 30:2	obstruct 56:15	22:2,21 39:20
22:1 28:24,25	64:20 65:1	32:23 46:14,20	obstructing 33:19	46:1 49:23
29:2,3 30:20	76:10 81:19	46:23 47:14	obtained 76:23	50:25 55:3,4,6
33:17 43:21,23	84:23	56:25 58:9	obviously 76:17	58:18 60:9,10
43:24 44:2,10	NagraVision	62:24 80:16	77:4	60:15 62:12
13.2111.2,10			occasion 24:9	operational 53:20
44.14.20.45.2	26:17	I new X 31 I X X6.9		operational 55.20
44:14,20 45:2 45:11 15 17 23	name 22:9 25:14	new 83:18 86:9 88:4 11 14	75:5	70.2 3
45:11,15,17,23		88:4,11,14		70:2,3 operations 39:15
45:11,15,17,23 46:2,14,17,20	name 22:9 25:14	88:4,11,14 Newport 54:12	75:5	operations 39:15
45:11,15,17,23 46:2,14,17,20 46:23 47:4,5,9	name 22:9 25:14 63:7 81:10,12	88:4,11,14 Newport 54:12 News 76:10	75:5 occurred 19:13	operations 39:15 39:19
45:11,15,17,23 46:2,14,17,20 46:23 47:4,5,9 48:2,11 54:24	name 22:9 25:14 63:7 81:10,12 named 25:15	88:4,11,14 Newport 54:12 News 76:10 nice 63:17	75:5 occurred 19:13 28:22 48:6 offered 15:19,22	operations 39:15 39:19 operative 72:15
45:11,15,17,23 46:2,14,17,20 46:23 47:4,5,9 48:2,11 54:24 72:12 83:24	name 22:9 25:14 63:7 81:10,12 named 25:15 53:10 61:19	88:4,11,14 Newport 54:12 News 76:10 nice 63:17 nickname 61:25	75:5 occurred 19:13 28:22 48:6	operations 39:15 39:19 operative 72:15 opined 22:10
45:11,15,17,23 46:2,14,17,20 46:23 47:4,5,9 48:2,11 54:24 72:12 83:24 84:1	name 22:9 25:14 63:7 81:10,12 named 25:15 53:10 61:19 77:6	88:4,11,14 Newport 54:12 News 76:10 nice 63:17 nickname 61:25 nicks 9:12	75:5 occurred 19:13 28:22 48:6 offered 15:19,22 15:22,25 22:1	operations 39:15 39:19 operative 72:15 opined 22:10 opinion 28:24
45:11,15,17,23 46:2,14,17,20 46:23 47:4,5,9 48:2,11 54:24 72:12 83:24	name 22:9 25:14 63:7 81:10,12 named 25:15 53:10 61:19 77:6 names 9:3 40:25	88:4,11,14 Newport 54:12 News 76:10 nice 63:17 nickname 61:25	75:5 occurred 19:13 28:22 48:6 offered 15:19,22 15:22,25 22:1 44:2	operations 39:15 39:19 operative 72:15 opined 22:10

				- 5
73:16 76:18	paragraph 11:12	25:13,23 26:1	81:2,18	PO1's 12:8
80:15	11:19,19 14:11	31:16,19 34:24	PLAINTIFF'S	PO2 12:8,19
opportunity	part 26:22 40:3,5	54:16 72:8 79:6	4:8 81:5	presence 4:4
79:14	50:25 55:4,6	persona 60:12	plan 47:24	24:18 73:12
opposed 29:20	80:15 83:8	personal 31:14	plate 50:2	75:14 79:24
31:24 35:8	participate 40:3	persons 31:19	platform 88:14	80:7
option 21:10	participated 4:25	person's 88:1	plausible 22:4,24	present 2:23 4:6,6
Orban 3:7 81:2,5	53:25	person's 88.1	48:17 50:9	63:21,21 73:13
81:11,23 87:10	participating	86:15 87:10	played 66:18	76:12,14 80:2,3
order 10:22 38:8	49:24 55:5	88:18	PLC 1:8 2:11	80:3,8
38:11,15,23	particular 17:17	PGP 38:7,12,13	please 4:7 11:6	presented 32:15
40:3 43:6 60:16	42:25 62:16	38:14	25:3 38:4 61:1	PRESIDING 1:3
68:20 80:8	84:6 85:2	phone 8:6,9 64:22	79:21 80:6	pressure 76:13
ordering 79:18	parties 73:17,22	65:3 71:7	81:23	pretty 9:18 20:17
orders 74:9 78:17	76:14,17	physical 57:3	plus 22:4	previously 4:8
organization	partner 62:9	physically 86:8	point 9:4 18:23	76:16
58:20 59:2	parts 83:15	pictures 59:19	19:14,16 28:6	principles 83:5
87:25	party 74:13,24	64:7	45:4	print 27:10
organization's	party's 74:23	piracy 5:3 29:14	pointed 30:1 33:6	prints 28:8,10,11
59:4	Pass 72:1	29:17,18 31:2	police 19:9 44:20	28:17,20 29:7
original 14:13	passed 21:3 49:23	32:4,14 40:6,9	79:10	prior 28:3 44:10
originally 15:25	73:17	40:18,21 41:16	policies 49:2	72:21 82:19
outside 24:18	passing 49:12	44:10 47:9,23	policy 49:19	87:17,17,23
54:3 62:10	passionate 29:14	59:10 60:4	popped 25:8	prison 67:6
70:21 73:12	29:16	64:19 65:11	population 14:19	probably 74:22
75:14,21,25	password 38:24	66:5 68:13,17	14:22 15:1	problem 6:21
79:11	40:5	69:23 70:6,10	position 7:25 24:9	30:24 50:19
overall 83:2,8	Paul 3:7 67:14	71:23 72:15	45:18 82:11	56:17
overly 80:18	81:2,5,11	78:11 86:2	positions 82:16,18	problems 38:6
ownership 21:11	pay 43:10 84:22	pirate 5:7 10:4,5	possession 17:14	proceedings 1:14
O'DONNELL	paying 42:22	12:17,17 14:1	17:22 18:21	63:19 73:14
25:4 35:24	84:17	14:19 15:20,21	57:3,8 74:24	88:20 89:8
O'Melveny 2:12	payments 70:6,10	30:12,15,17	78:1	process 27:1,3
54:12	payroll 66:16	39:24 42:19	possibility 77:12	produced 52:22
O-R-B-A-N 81:13	Peled 31:12 70:5	58:16,19 59:1,4	possible 8:10	53:4 75:9
	70:7,8	62:3,5 65:17	13:19 48:6,7,8	professional
P	people 5:5 19:10	67:25 70:24	possibly 8:19 26:2	14:13
package 29:7	30:18 32:9 60:4	73:3 85:8	post 19:11 42:14	profit 84:10 85:22
packages 19:11	62:15 74:15	pirated 88:4	posted 26:4 31:10	profitable 84:6
19:23 20:5,6,8	76:15,18,20	pirates 9:19 43:22	42:18 52:10,16	profuse 74:16
21:6,8,9 22:16	78:19,24 85:25	48:1 49:8 52:12	59:9 71:22	program 6:18
22:19 23:8 27:2	percent 87:7	59:20 61:9,17	posters 32:16	14:1 43:11
27:11,13,20,23	perform 8:11	62:18 64:12	posting 52:9 65:9	programming
28:1,4,8,12 54:7	86:1	66:22 75:21	postings 65:4,7	12:7 43:9,15
72:20,20	performed 16:5,7	76:9	posture 75:17	48:4 83:19,25
page 37:17 89:9	performing 29:17	pirating 47:13	potentially 72:14	84:12,13,16,18
pages 78:13	period 8:3 15:12	65:24 85:23,25	power 75:23,25	84:21,22
paid 30:19 44:17	16:21,22 17:4	placed 74:3 80:10	pow-wow 49:8	programs 13:11
47:22	47:14	plaintiff 2:3 5:12	62:18	prohibited 33:23
palm 27:10	person 18:16	plaintiffs 1:6 76:6	PO1 12:6,6,18	Project 57:1,4,8
				J

promotion 64:19		26:19 27:8 36:1	regular 24:12	respect 5:3,11
properly 83:4	Q	37:4 43:22	regularly 35:12	10:13 19:2,7
86:16	quarter 87:3	45:10,17 46:14	regulations 89:10	23:8,14 24:1,23
prosecute 6:4	quarterly 83:11	47:18 50:14	rein 78:10	72:7
30:5 46:13,18	question 19:3	54:24 55:2	related 39:19,20	
46:21 85:25	53:8 54:19	64:15 69:23	39:21 54:5	Respecting 77:20 response 41:9
prosecuted 30:2	57:25 61:14,23	70:5 87:24	relates 70:12 83:6	53:1,6 87:19
31:22 47:2	questioned 30:1	receiver 6:9,11,14	relating 54:4	responsibilities
59:24 60:4 67:1	57:24	6:17,18 12:6	71:23	7:13 8:11 29:17
67:7	questioning 45:1	13:1,11 77:14	relationship 62:6	82:25 83:6
prosecution 18:1	questions 6:23	87:25 88:1	62:10,25 70:2	responsibility
23:10,13,15	36:6 37:7 41:18	receivers 5:20	relaying 26:18	74:4 78:11
46:2,15,24,25	72:25 73:5 76:1	84:19	released 25:9	83:13
67:3	quite 76:18	receiving 58:7	58:23	responsible 25:23
prosecutions 4:18	R	70:10	relocated 8:4	74:6 83:2,9
prosecutor 56:18	$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{R}}$ 2:13	recess 63:12,17	51:17	rest 45:10
protects 84:13	raise 81:4	63:18	rely 15:3	result 59:9 76:5
proud 81:25	read 7:4,10 11:24	recollection 39:18	remain 80:8,19	85:14
proved 68:16	11:25 38:15,19	45:3 47:7,8	remember 37:8	resulted 34:14
provide 60:16	38:19 39:17	recommend 30:7	49:12 77:10,15	results 78:5
provided 9:11	48:24 56:25	30:14	remote 6:15	resumed 4:9
30:23 34:10	77:3	recommended	rented 28:15	63:19
58:5	reading 11:22	30:10 33:24	repairing 88:3	retained 56:19
provider 5:16	26:4	record 12:2 73:21	replace 85:15	retrieve 77:22
provides 84:24	ready 88:10,16	recover 84:8,9	88:3	retrieved 74:15
public 26:14	really 7:25 32:2	Recross 3:3 72:2	reply 38:24	return 22:22
52:15,16	53:22 74:3	RECROSS-EX	report 6:24 7:2,5	48:12
published 59:10	75:16	72:4	8:20 27:9 33:2	Reuven 70:3
pull 10:8,22	reasonable 22:13	recruit 46:6	57:1,4,9 69:19	revenue 84:3
purport 77:18	reasons 22:4	recruiting 47:10	reported 10:3	reverse 7:5 10:13
pursuant 89:5	recall 5:12,24	recruitment 46:8	13:25 18:8	reverse-enginee
pursue 5:3	6:24 11:4 16:22	redirect 3:3 41:19	34:24 88:20	9:25
pursued 4:17	18:25 36:12	41:22 63:23	89:7	reverse-enginee
put 6:18 10:5	38:20,21 39:3,9	64:1	reporter 1:21	7:11
11:17 12:6,6,25	39:22 42:4 49:4	reduce 32:8	88:19 89:15	review 12:22 24:9
13:10 14:20	49:5,10 51:2,4	referred 6:5 67:3	REPORTER'S	reviewed 20:23
35:25 36:1	51:19 52:11	85:17	1:14	RICHARD 2:18
38:13,24 42:1	57:15,16,24	referring 10:1	reporting 70:2	right 19:22 27:5
44:12 48:11	58:1,4,7,13,20	86:4 87:18	82:17 83:7,9,14	28:16 36:22
51:21 59:19	59:21 60:10	refers 86:4	reports 7:11 71:7	42:17 43:12
61:2 62:21 63:3	61:6 62:12,13	refuse 75:22	83:11,12	44:8,11 45:15
64:7 66:15	64:3,5,24 68:11	refusing 76:16	request 14:19,20	46:18 48:7,23
70:13,19 72:13	74:15 76:23	refute 15:7 43:11	36:10,12 77:7	50:2,10,24
72:14 76:8 85:8	80:24	Reg 67:7	requests 12:8,18	51:25 53:15,24
86:9	receive 38:9 41:7	regarded 6:7	require 49:14	54:10,14,25
p.m 4:3 63:18,19	41:10 84:18	regarding 35:12	requirements	55:24 56:10
P1 12:18,25 13:2	received 7:19	51:18	77:8	57:20 58:6,10
13:9 15:20,20	10:17 20:7,8	regardless 32:4	resecure 86:10	59:2,17 60:4,20
P2 12:18,25	21:8 22:20	register 40:4	residence 68:20	62:25 63:11,20
	24:12,15 26:13	registrants 83:10	resist 68:1	65:4,11,21,24
	l	l	l	<u> </u>

		l	I	
66:2,6 67:1,7,21	saw 13:25 25:17	sent 9:11 15:9,10	sides 78:10	sites 32:3,5
68:2,13 69:4,12	26:15,17 33:2	15:11 16:16,18	signals 42:22	sitting 54:14
70:13,25 71:2	52:10	16:19,24 21:24	signed 72:17	six 21:22 82:12
71:18 72:18	saying 8:25 19:19	22:19,21 24:6	significant 5:6	six-month 87:4
74:20 80:2	25:18 49:12	25:12,14 27:2	30:24 32:16	size 6:12
right-hand 81:4	64:10 79:11,14	28:13 29:2	similar 5:16 8:22	skill 65:21
risk 66:15,21,21	says 11:15 12:7	33:17 37:14	10:21 21:25	skills 32:1,2,13
72:14	25:7 36:6 37:22	39:4,5,7,9,10,12	22:19	56:2 60:19
role 83:8	scenario 22:12,15	43:24 45:2	simply 76:7 87:9	slower 11:25
ROM 26:17 39:22	schedule 80:13	47:14 48:17	single 45:12	small 17:3
Ron 22:17 46:3,6	science 82:23	50:10,12,16,17	sir 5:13 6:25 7:9	smart 5:21 9:20
46:18 47:1,2	screen 11:10	51:4 55:19	7:18 11:5,11,14	10:18,19 14:25
58:12 67:12	Scripps 20:25	68:25 69:9,11	11:21 13:7 14:5	84:20,20,24
room 1:22 73:9	Scullion 4:19 64:5	69:13 72:20,21	16:11,14 23:3,7	85:15 86:6,6,7,9
roost 78:21	67:7	servers 71:24	23:12,17,21,24	87:24 88:3,4
roughed 77:6	search 25:21 26:2	service 5:16 16:5	24:2,8,11,13,25	smoke 50:3
roughly 87:15	77:24	34:12,16 85:23	25:5,11,19	snippets 75:18
RPR 1:21 89:16	seated 4:7 80:6	85:25 87:25	26:12,12,20	Snyder 2:13
Rubin 2:24 69:19	81:8	services 6:19,20	28:2,5 29:11	54:17,20,22
69:20,22 70:1	SEC 82:16 83:9	16:3,5,7,10	34:3,17 36:3	63:9
rumors 29:20	83:10,12,13	35:19,22 56:19	37:6,9,11,13,15	software 60:15,19
40:12 41:3	second 16:10 38:6	session 4:5 63:20	37:19,21,24	60:20 71:9,12
run 32:17 71:23	77:8,9,9 87:2	sessions 74:1	38:3 40:16,19	softwares 71:12
Rupert 70:9	Secondly 72:17	set 14:3 22:10,12	40:22 41:6,11	soil 34:14
S	secret 31:9,20	39:24 73:21	41:14,17,20	sold 22:17
SACV 1:7	34:12,15	set-top 43:15	42:12,16,21,23	solved 30:24
Saggiori 4:19	Section 89:5	84:19 85:15	42:25 43:4	somebody 7:23
67:10	secure 70:20	86:5	44:11 45:7,18	13:9 15:10
sake 55:23 60:2	security 10:17	set-up 51:1,8	45:19,20,21	22:11 29:3
San 2:15 21:12	53:20 70:4	seven 18:8 21:22	46:25 47:17	31:25 53:10
Santa 1:16,23 4:1	see 10:8 11:19	45:1,8,11 82:13	49:6,15,16,17	74:5,6 75:18
Saskatchewan	12:10 14:14	shared 8:7 34:10	49:18 50:7,8,24	sophisticated 6:8
22:18	25:10 27:12	Sharon 88:20	51:9,14,20 53:3	sorry 11:5 21:3
SAT 84:8	37:23 52:3,7	Shen-Orr 77:6	55:11,21 56:4	27:17 35:22
satellite 1:5 2:3	61:20 76:19	shipment 17:3	57:10 60:7,24	55:11,14 63:3
5:15 40:6,9,18	84:22	45:5,12 shipments 45:9	65:9,13 66:5,10	71:6 sort 8:12 58:19
40:21 41:16	seeing 12:8	45:11 47:15	66:19,25 67:21 68:2 69:2,7,14	
42:18,22 58:15	seen 29:19,21,24 41:3	51:5	70:11,16,17	sought 21:11 sound 72:18
59:20 60:4,20	Seffens 88:21	shipped 17:2	71:14 72:10,19	South 32:7
62:18 64:12	seized 21:9 68:22	shipped 17.2 show 77:2	72:22,24 73:4	Southern 8:5
65:17 66:5,22	seizures 25:22	show 77.2 showed 21:6	75:13 76:24	speak 75:11 76:2
67:24 68:4	68:23	36:22 37:16	77:1,16,25 78:4	special 56:1
70:24 73:3	sellers 5:6	shown 11:6 14:7	78:8,14 79:15	specific 10:21
78:11 82:6	selling 30:16	24:4 25:1,3	79:19,22 80:17	20:4 31:6
satisfied 77:20	send 51:10 68:19	shut 30:5 32:23	80:21,22 81:3,4	spell 81:12
satisfy 8:13 29:22	69:15 86:7 88:2	35:15,17	81:7,9,12	spend 44:12 83:22
Saturday 74:1	sending 49:4	sic 25:7 76:23	sit 40:23 65:13	spend 44.12 83.22 spending 36:22
save 38:23	sense 76:6	side 73:16,23	73:2	spent 36:8
saving 56:5	sensitive 31:9,11	75:19 78:11	site 30:16,18	spoke 5:23 8:8
	,,11	, 5.17 , 5.11	2100 20.10,10	-pone 5.25 0.0

				Page 12
19:3 33:20	subscribers 83:19	64:20 65:11	45:9,17 46:14	82:14,24 84:2
staff 12:20	84:17 85:22,24	70:6 76:5 84:15	46:17 47:4,9,11	88:15
stan 12.20 stage 79:4	subscription 5:15	85:7,9,14 86:9	47:12,18 48:4	telling 8:13,25
stage 79.4 stamped 31:9,10	30:19	86:10 88:14	48:11,17,21,25	temptation 67:25
stamped 31.9,10 stand 4:9 79:2,4	subsequent 62:13	systems 70:19,19	49:4,10,12 50:5	tem 39:17 63:16
stand 4.9 79.2,4 standpoint 83:15	62:18	71:4	50:13,15,16	terminate 20:20
stands 84:2	substantial 65:21	/ 1.4	52:19,22 53:5	21:16,21 27:4
Stanley 49:5	83:21	T	53:10,13,14,19	28:21 49:17
61:20,22,24	substantiate 15:7	T 2:4	54:4,8,9,17,20	terminated 26:23
Stars 2:20	substantiate 13.7	take 10:7 20:20	54:22 55:10,14	27:6 28:18,22
start 11:18 41:24	suddenly 79:5	21:1,17 24:18	55:17,24 58:9	28:23
82:7	sue 67:18,20	30:18 32:16	58:19,22 60:12	terminating
started 74:12	sued 4:24 67:8,9	35:23 38:12	60:15,17,18,23	20:16 54:8
75:16 82:8	67:10,12,14,16	43:9 48:25	61:12 62:7,15	termination
86:19 87:14,15	suffered 59:8	49:14 63:12	62:16,19,24	20:18
starting 55:13	suggested 31:1	66:20 73:8	65:16 66:1,8,11	terms 83:17,18
state 81:9	suggesting 39:7	77:23 78:1 86:8	66:18 67:18,20	test 48:22,25
stated 25:23 83:4	Suite 2:7,15,20	taken 24:23 31:17	67:22,24 68:1	49:14
stated 23.23 83.4 statement 74:21	summary 77:18	48:2	68:12,16 69:6	tested 42:13 48:22
statements 83:4	summary 77.18 superiors 17:8	talent 65:21	69:11,22 70:5,9	testified 4:17 9:24
States 1:1,22 23:2	supervise 9:22	talented 68:5,7	70:12,19 71:5	19:10 25:13
23:10 32:6	supervised 8:10	talk 29:25 48:15	71:15,22	27:14 30:4 44:1
34:15 69:20	supporting 15:21	70:7 84:11	Tarnovsky's 35:1	44:25 45:14
75:22 89:6,10	supporting 15.21 supposed 27:5	talked 7:14 8:6	35:19,21 49:25	46:17 47:19
stay 83:25	suppress 75:1	17:11 20:24	57:12,18,19,25	48:16 51:11
stayed 39:3	sure 18:4 27:18	60:9 64:22 68:8	58:5,7 69:7,12	52:2 54:9,21
stayed 57.5 stealing 48:5	46:16 48:23	talking 27:13,20	69:13,15 70:16	56:1,8,12,25
78:13	51:3,17 57:23	42:5 43:21	72:9	57:11,14 58:4
stenographically	63:5 73:10 78:2	44:14 46:5	tax 48:12	58:12,18,22
89:7	79:9 83:3	55:19 61:16	team 83:14	59:1,13 61:10
step 80:23	surprise 39:25	targets 35:3,4	tech 34:11	61:17 65:16
steps 80:24	52:13	Tarnovsky 7:15	technical 7:4,12	66:22 69:19
sticking 57:20	surprised 26:18	7:23 8:3,21,24	31:4 39:21 57:7	testify 75:22
sting 18:14	51:24 52:3,5	9:24 10:20,24	technician 10:20	testifying 61:6
stipulate 63:7	suspect 66:20	11:3 13:14 14:3	52:8	64:3
Stone 2:18 23:5	suspicious 69:15	14:17 15:9,10	technology 5:7,21	testimony 5:10,22
stop 11:1	swap 85:17,19	15:15 16:1,12	6:11 10:9,24	15:8 17:12 19:7
stopped 9:4 73:2	86:4,12,18,19	17:13,22 18:8	11:1,2 30:17	19:9 22:25
strange 79:7	86:25 87:1,13	18:21 19:12,18	32:4,14 43:13	34:18 39:23
Street 1:22	87:15,18,21,23	21:2,3,5 23:11	52:13 88:9	40:12 50:7,19
stronger 53:21	88:1,7,17	23:19,25 26:13	tell 11:22 12:14	54:2,16 56:4,9
structure 74:7	swapping 87:23	26:18,24 28:13	15:16 16:12	57:17 60:6
stuffed 48:18	Swiss 25:8	28:18,21 29:7	17:8,10,16,19	64:11 77:3
subject 26:10,14	switch 88:19	29:13,23 33:17	19:19,22 20:5	testing 87:21
80:24	Switzerland	33:21 34:19,24	20:11 37:25	Texas 2:8 19:8,10
subjects 24:23	74:18	35:6,11,18	39:4 45:10	19:12 23:19
subpoena 76:1	SWORN 4:8 81:5	39:24 40:24	50:23 54:20,22	27:3 28:13
subscriber 83:21	syntax 26:16	41:4,12 42:8,11	56:14 65:6	48:15 50:10,17
83:23,24 84:1,5	system 5:5 10:6	42:24 43:2,16	69:22 70:5,9	51:2,5 72:20
84:6,10 86:7	39:5 42:15 52:5	43:18,21 44:2,5	80:14 81:23	TH 4:1
	<u> </u>			

				rage 1.
thank 4:7,12 36:2	times 14:23 18:9	turn 61:1 78:15	United 1:1,22	W
36:3 41:21	45:1	turned 12:21	8:23 23:1,10	
55:15 63:22,25	Title 89:6	32:22 46:14	32:6 34:15	W 2:13
73:5 76:21	today 30:4 38:12	tutored 34:13	69:20 75:22	WADE 2:4,6
79:23,25 80:4,5	39:17 40:23	TV 82:6	89:6,10	Walker 60:10,16
80:22 81:1,3,7	45:4 55:24,24	two 16:3 19:11,23	units 87:24	Walter 3:7 81:5
81:14,20 86:22	60:7 61:7 65:13	27:2,23 30:17	unknown 63:8	81:11
thing 12:7 76:4	69:19 73:2 82:2	47:14 51:5	unravel 79:7	want 12:20 14:10
things 30:17	83:9,22 85:2	72:20 82:18	unwilling 80:12	36:1 41:24
88:15	told 15:15,18	type 16:5 74:25	unwillingness	56:15 60:6
think 4:25 10:2	18:19,24 19:16	82:4 85:10	78:17	64:10 74:20
18:16 26:2 27:9	20:13 21:13,14	types 16:3 85:20	upset 20:17	76:7 84:11 85:1
30:4 43:5 47:12	29:2 33:25	88:15	up-front 84:9	wanted 13:19
47:16 53:16,16	43:24 44:12	typically 70:7	use 5:20 15:6	36:5 56:14 76:1
57:6,14 61:2,11	54:16 56:20	Lypically 70.7	17:24 18:13	wants 50:5
66:3 74:2 75:5	57:15 62:24	U	45:25 46:2 49:2	warrant 25:21
75:10 76:4,14	68:11,15 77:7	ultimately 17:5	55:13 65:20	warrants 26:3
78:24 79:11	top 87:6	35:15	user 84:3,22	wasn't 8:20 22:5
80:13	topic 11:13,16	unanticipated	U.S 18:24 19:3,4	28:23,25 36:18 36:19 48:23
third 87:2	12:4	50:18	22:1 23:6,9,15	
thought 14:25	Toronto 49:13	uncommon 8:20	23:18 24:22,24	52:5,13 60:3
20:19 48:16	62:14	unconnected	31:19 33:21	61:14
78:19	total 17:6 19:11	77:21	34:12,12,14	watched 43:19
thoughts 21:20	totaled 45:12	undercover 7:15	89:15	watching 74:16
thousand 14:12	totaling 51:5	8:11 15:24		77:17 78:18
39:16	touched 50:2	16:20 44:8	V	way 8:12 26:18 32:11 40:13
three 74:1 75:10	traced 17:17,19	47:25 49:22,25	valid 18:17	
83:10	track 70:24	50:6 55:4 72:9	validate 13:19	49:20,21 84:4 86:11
throw 66:25	tracking 86:16	72:15	validated 43:11	Web 31:4
thrown 66:23	87:12,14	understand 5:20	validating 43:5	website 30:12,15
thumbprints	traffic 36:20	10:23 13:8	valuable 31:7	35:17 39:25
27:25 28:4	training 7:20,22	22:17 32:2	value 66:21 67:22	websites 32:21,25
Thursday 1:17	transcript 1:14	46:16 47:16	Vancouver 22:18	52:17 56:5
time 6:21 8:3 11:7	89:7,9	51:13 53:7,9	various 8:17	weigh 66:21
15:1 16:21,23	traveled 8:8 34:11	55:9 56:9 59:16	59:20 82:16	WELCH 2:4,6
17:4 20:24 26:6	trial 1:15 74:12	78:20,23	VCR 6:12	75:6
26:23 27:2,23	75:12	understanding	victim 17:17	well-known 70:24
28:16 30:10	tried 33:2 46:5,7	14:16 16:2,4,11	victims 17:20	went 27:1,3 33:4
31:1,13,16 33:6	75:17	16:17 17:5	view 6:19 75:1	44:5 61:13
33:16,16,22	triplets 82:1	18:12,15 50:3	violated 49:19	62:19
34:4,6 38:5,8	true 17:14 51:14	64:15 84:23	violates 49:2	weren't 4:24
46:7,22 51:15	89:6	85:3,6,7,10,13	Virginia 16:19,20	33:23 51:24
51:17 54:3	truly 47:12 74:14	88:6	44:15 47:5	52:3 79:3
61:23 65:3,8	trusted 22:5	understood 54:2	50:14,22	West 1:22 2:14
66:12 80:20	truthful 14:1	84:4	volume 1:8 4:2	we'll 24:18 27:18
83:23 87:3,3,4	18:10	undertaking	36:20 88:21	63:12 73:9 82:2
87:15,15,17	try 9:22 65:23	87:20	voluntarily 76:21	we're 4:5 27:13
88:11	75:19 76:5 82:2	unencrypted	Von 25:7	44:14 46:5
timely 16:13	trying 14:18	38:15,18 39:2	VP 14:20	63:20 76:15
80:11	42:20	unit 88:5	vs 1:7	00.20 , 0.10
	l	l	I	<u> </u>

				Page 14
80:13 82:6 85:2	88:2	48:3 72:7,7	2001 27:14,18	714 1:23
86:4	world 31:4 67:25	\$40,000 19:8 51:9	2001 27:14,16 2003 56:13 72:18	72 3:4
we've 12:21 19:8	68:4	72:21	72:21	753 89:5
22:25 45:4 74:1	worried 35:10	\$40,100 19:11	2004 24:10 86:19	77 25:1,3,7,17
75:9 85:20	worth 37:1	22:8 23:8,14,20	86:20 87:1,3,18	77057 2:8
Wheels 61:20	worth 57.1 wouldn't 7:12	23:23 24:1	88:8	785-4600 2:21
62:1	33:19,24 42:13	\$41,000 22:1	2007 27:5 28:3,21	703-4000 2.21
Wide 31:4	write 32:9 37:10	\$41,000 22.1 \$5,000 51:9	52:19	8
Willetts 2:5 3:8			2008 1:17 4:1	81 3:8
	writing 14:17 66:13 77:15	\$7,000 51:9	89:12	816 75:5,6,7
81:17,18,22		0	2008-04-17 1:25	010 75.5,0,7
86:24 87:8	written 36:10,12	03-950 1:7		9
Wilsdon 32:23	wrong 55:1 71:2,3	03-730 1.7	23rd 37:22	90067 2:21
Winnipeg 68:23	wrote 12:12 26:14	1	230 32:20	92701 1:23
withdrawn 9:8	37:12	1st 72:18,21	24 28:19	94111 2:15
34:5 35:14	www.dr7.com	1-053 1:22	2401 2:7	9472 1:21 89:16
witness 4:8 11:6	26:16 30:12	1:22 4:3	250 32:20	952-4334 2:8
14:7 24:4 25:2,5	www.interestin	10 8:5 9:14	26,000 78:13	96 82:20
36:3 52:9 55:11	26:15	10 ,000 16:10	2600 2:15	984-8700 2:16
72:1 75:11,13	X	10-Ks 83:10	275 2:14	704-0700 2.10
76:19,24 77:1		10-Qs 83:11	28 89:6	
77:16,25 78:4,8	X 3:1 50:1	113 26:10,13	3	
78:14,22 79:9	Y	51:18		
79:15,19,22	Yeah 20:15 52:25	115 35:20,23	3 26:17	
80:3,7,17,21,24	83:15 87:23	120 36:23	3M 14:13	
80:25 81:5,6,8	year 15:12 16:22	1268 24:4,6,14	3rd 24:10	
81:11,13 86:21	47:6 57:9	1208 24.4,0,14 14 76:15	3:16 63:19	
87:2,6	years 21:18,22	1400 2:20	30 29:22	
witnessed 43:2	29:15,22 39:14	1453 61:1,16 63:3	310 2:21	
witnesses 3:3	39:17 59:24	15 8:5 9:14	4	
77:21	72:23 73:18	15 8.3 9.14 15th 80:19	43:4	
word 55:13	74:1 75:10	15th 60.19 150 75:25	4th 1:22	
words 22:21	82:13			
32:10,11 65:20		1516 76:22 1568 37:5 39:17	40,100 51:5	
75:18 78:15	Yep 49:9		41 3:5 14:8	
79:2 80:9	yesterday 11:16 12:5 40:12	63:2 76:24,25	411 1:22	
work 7:15 9:18,22	12.3 40.12	17 1:17 4:1	415 2:16	
10:6,10 13:1	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$	18 48:2 76:14 89:12	48 80:8,10	
15:19 21:17	Z 69:3,6		5	
31:23 34:7		18,000 17:7 47:20	51 11:7 14:4	
43:12 44:3,4	\$	1996 82:8 1998 37:22 38:1	41:25 42:1	
69:23 81:25	\$10,000 15:22		511 75:8	
82:4,19	47:8	1999 2:20	558-8141 1:23	
worked 6:3 8:3	\$18,000 22:20	2	330-0171 1.23	
16:7 21:22	55:19	2:55 63:18	6	
31:21 34:15	\$20,000 15:9,10	20,000 17:7,23	6 1:8 4:2 83:22	
39:14 43:12	16:13,16 17:6	45:9 47:20		
82:21	17:17,19,23	2000 25:12 27:17	7	
working 16:1	18:19 45:5,12	27:17,18 49:4	7th 25:12	
52:12 82:7	45:13 47:19	57:9	700 2:7 83:22	
works 83:18 84:5		31.7	713 2:8	
			I	l