UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, JUDGE PRESIDING

_ _ _ _ _ _ _

ECHOSTAR SATELLITE

CORPORATION, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

No. SACV 03-0950-DOC

Day 5, Volume IV

Defendants.

)

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Jury Trial

Santa Ana, California

Wednesday, April 16, 2008

Jane C.S. Rule, CSR 9316

Federal Official Court Reporter

United States District Court

411 West 4th Street, Room 1-053

Santa Ana, California 92701

(714) 558-7755

08-04-16 EchoStarD5V4

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	
3	FOR PLAINTIFFS ECHOSTAR SATELLITE CORPORATION, et al.:
4	T. WADE WELCH & ASSOCIATES
	Attorneys at Law
5	BY: CHAD M. HAGAN
	T. WADE WELCH
6	DAVID M. NOLL
	CHRISTINE D. WILLETTS
7	Attorneys at Law
	2401 Fountainview
8	Suite 700
	Houston, Texas 77057
9	(713) 952-4334
10	FOR DEFENDANTS NDS GROUP PLC, et al.:
11	O'MELVENY & MYERS, LLP
	Attorneys at Law
12	BY: DARIN W. SNYDER
	NATHANIEL L. DILGER
13	DAVID R. EBERHART
	MICHAEL O'DONNELL
14	Attorneys at Law
4 F	Embarcadero Center West
15	275 Battery Street
16	Suite 2600
10	San Francisco, California 94111-3305
17	(415) 984-8700
18	- AND -
19	HOGAN & HARTSON, LLP
	Attorneys at Law
20	BY: RICHARD L. STONE
	KENNETH D. KLEIN
21	Attorneys at Law
	275 Battery Street
22	Suite 2600
	San Francisco, California 94111-3305
23	(415) 984-8700
24	
25	

	Page	3
1 2	INDEX	
3		
4	EXAMINATION	
5		
6	Witness Name Direct Cross Redirect Recross	
7	RUBIN, AVIEL	
	By Mr. Hagan 4	
8	By Mr. Stone 14	
9	NORRIS, JOHN	
	By Mr. Noll 21	
10		
11		
12		
13	EXHIBITS	
14		
15	Exhibit Identification Evidence	
16	Defendants' No. 1186	
17	Plaintiffs' No. 74	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
1
           SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA, WEDNESDAY, APRIL 16, 2008
2
                           DAY 5 - VOLUME IV
 3
               (Live reporter switch with Debbie Gale.)
 4
             AVIEL RUBIN, PLAINTIFFS' WITNESS (Continued.)
 5
                   REDIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued.)
 6
    BY MR. HAGAN:
7
          Now, could the pirates have gotten around this software
8
    patch with glitching?
9
          Yes.
10
          Can you please explain what "glitching" is.
11
          Sure. Remember when I was talking about sending a
12
    message, and you put on a checksum? That's because it might
13
    have gone corrupted in transit. Glitching is the process of
14
     intentionally corrupting something so as to cause some of
15
     the bits to change, and glitching has become very
16
     sophisticated, and not everything is checksummed and then
17
     checked. And so if you know how to glitch very well, you
18
     can actually cause certain parts of the code not to run.
19
     For example, the patch that prevents a hack could be
20
     glitched out, so to speak.
21
               THE COURT: Just a moment.
22
               Debbie, before you leave, check the real time,
23
    will you?
24
               Just one moment.
25
               (Interruption in the proceedings.)
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
2
    BY MR. HAGAN:
 3
          Dr. Rubin, you were also present during the two days
 4
    when Mr. Mordinson testified; is that correct?
5
          That's right.
          And you had an opportunity to listen to all of his
     testimony; is that correct?
8
          That's right, I did.
9
          Did you find any part of his testimony to be unusual?
10
          Yes.
11
          Can you explain that?
12
          There were two things that he said that really
13
     surprised me and didn't make any sense, actually. The first
14
    was he was asked what the index variable was, and he said he
15
     didn't know, and he actually named it in his report "the
16
     index variable," and the entire attack depends on it very
17
    heavily. I don't know if it's a language issue or what. He
18
     just didn't know what it was, and it was a key part of his
19
     report.
20
          But the thing that probably surprised me even more than
21
     that was his comment about his reaction to the Nipper
22
     posting. You see, we hacked the iPhone. For us, that was a
23
     really big deal, but it was only a few weeks. And then
24
     subsequently, later on, other people hacked the iPhone too,
```

and you should have seen my guys running to figure out what

it was those other people did. Did we miss anything? Were they more clever than us, less clever than us? What did they do? I mean, anytime anything happened with the iPhone, everybody scrambled to compare it to what we did.

Now, here is a guy who spent six months of his life developing this complicated hack, disassembling all this code; reverse engineering everything, building a hack; flying to the U.S., going to Canada; testing it all out, and yet, when somebody posts something on the internet that's a hack that supposedly has nothing to do with them, he didn't even know about it, didn't care about it, and when he was told about it, he testified that he spent 15 minutes looking at it. That doesn't make any sense to me.

- Q And you were here when he testified that after a review of the Nipper hack for 15 minutes, it was his belief that it was different than the hack that the defendants developed for EchoStar's security system; is that correct?
- 18 A That's right.

- 19 Q And do you agree with that position?
 - A Well, I honestly think that no matter how much you immerse yourself in this stuff, it's zeros and ones or it's hex, and you can't look at it and do the index variable calculation in your head to figure out that they are putting the shell code on the stack. You don't have the outcodes memorized to know what that shell code does. I don't see

```
1
    how anybody in less than several days of analysis could make
2
     that determination, much less in 15 minutes.
 3
          In fact, it is -- wasn't it your testimony at
 4
     deposition, as well as the defendants' expert, that without
 5
     the Haifa report, it would have been almost impossible to
 6
     figure out what was going on in the Nipper hack?
 7
               MR. STONE: I think he is summarizing the
8
     deposition. Objection.
9
               THE COURT: Overruled.
10
               You can answer the question.
11
               THE WITNESS: Just repeat it. I got distracted.
12
    BY MR. HAGAN:
13
          In fact, haven't you testified at deposition, as well
14
     as the defendants' expert, that without the Haifa report, it
15
     would be significantly hard, almost impossible within a
16
    matter of days to figure out what was going on with the
17
    Nipper hack?
18
          Yeah, it took me quite a bit of time, even with the
19
     Haifa report, and the Haifa report is an excellent
20
     description. It's got all the bits and bytes and all of the
21
     details, as well as high-level design descriptions, and even
22
     with that, it was a substantial effort to figure all this
23
     out and understand what it did. Without the Haifa report,
24
    it would have been hopeless. If I was handed this message
25
    with zeros and ones, I wouldn't know where to draw the
```

1 lines, I wouldn't know what it does. I just don't think I 2 could have figured it out. 3 Now, Dr. Rubin, Mr. Stone also tried to make a point 4 that the aisle buffer overflow method of attack is very 5 common. Can you explain to us what makes the Haifa hack and the Nipper hack so unique? Sure. The -- and it gets back to the Rube Goldberg 8 comment. These -- this hack is, you know, not like most 9 hacks. You know, on the iPhone, we basically look for one 10 buffer overflow. We found it. We did what we did. 11 kind of convoluted, and the thing that really stands out in 12 my mind is how it -- the things that they utilize in common 13 are things that were not known. The -- the memory aliasing, 14 where you wrap around the index variable, they're strange 15 things, and they're not known, so how could it be that 16 something else was posted with exactly the same properties 17 that were outlined so well in this report unless they came 18 from it? 19 Now, it's not your testimony that all reverse 20 engineering is bad or improper; is that right? 21 Α No. 22 In fact, as long -- according to your testimony, as 23 long as you do ethical reverse engineering, and then you 24 engage in responsible disclosure, it can help better society

and better consumer products; isn't that right?

- 1 A Yeah. I mean, it depends on a case-by-case basis.
- There are laws you have to worry about, and once you find
- 3 | the proper project, though, then I agree with that.
- 4 Q And Mr. Stone -- excuse me. Mr. Stone spent a
- 5 significant amount of time talking about the way that you
- 6 handled the -- or your company handled the reverse engineer
- of the Apple iPhone; do you recall that testimony?
- 8 A I do.
- 9 Q But you would agree that there are significant
- 10 differences in what ISE did for the Apple iPhone and what
- 11 the defendants did for EchoStar Security System?
- 12 A Yeah. I mean, I don't think you can compare them.
- 13 Q In fact, when your company reverse-engineered the Apple
- 14 iPhone, when you got finished, you notified Apple about it,
- 15 | their management, correct?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q And you were here last week when David Mordinson
- 18 testified that he was instructed by the defendants to
- 19 conceal his efforts from EchoStar and NagraStar and
- NagraVision, right?
- 21 A That's right.
- 22 Q Your motivation and your company's motivation for
- reverse engineering the Apple iPhone was to help Apple make
- 24 | their product better?
- 25 A That's part of it, yes.

- 1 Q You haven't heard any testimony from the defendants
- 2 | that their motivation in hacking EchoStar's security system
- was to try to help EchoStar's product; is that correct?
- ⁴ A No, they didn't help them.
- 5 Q ISE is an independent evaluation company. You are not
- 6 a competitor of apple; is that right?
- 7 A That's right.
- 8 Q You heard testimony from Mr. Mordinson that NDS is the
- 9 direct competitor for Nagra; is that correct?
- 10 A That's right.
- 11 Q Now, ISE engaged in the reverse engineering of the
- 12 Apple iPhone in a controlled university environment,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A We are not in the university. We are near them, but we
- 15 set up a similar lab.
- 16 Q A controlled laboratory environment?
- 17 A Right.
- 18 Q An official establishment?
- 19 A Right.
- 20 Q You didn't go to another country, to someone's house
- 21 | that you didn't know and go into their basement, correct?
- 22 A I did not.
- 23 Q And you heard Mr. Mordinson testify that that's what
- 24 the defendants did?
- 25 A Right.

```
1
          Now, when you prepared, or when your company prepared
2
     this report on the Apple iPhone, you gave it to Apple
 3
    management --
 4
          That's right.
 5
          -- isn't that right?
          You didn't hear any testimony from the defendants that
7
     they gave this information to EchoStar?
8
          No. Well, that's not all we gave them. We gave them
9
     all the code that we wrote for the exploit and the patch
10
     that we wrote.
11
          And we'll get to the patch in a second, but once you
12
    had that report and once you had the results, your company
13
    published that information at a security conference attended
14
    by, among other people, Apple iPhone representatives?
15
          I don't know about that. They were Apple employees.
16
         Apple employees.
17
          You didn't post it on a pirate website?
18
          No.
    Α
19
          Now, when you did present that paper, was it your
20
     testimony that you redacted out specific codes, instructions
21
     and commands that allowed the hack to occur?
22
          So just a clarification, you know, it was Charlie
23
    Miller who made the presentation, and at that point, I
24
    believe that the patch had already been released, and I
```

don't know remember us redacting anything from that at that

1 point. 2 And let's talk about that just for a second. 3 Once you conducted the reverse engineering, ISE, and 4 I'm saying you, but ISE created a patch, a fix, a way to 5 correct that vulnerability, and then you gave that to Apple; is that correct? 7 That's right. 8 You didn't ask Apple for any compensation for that, did 9 you? 10 No. 11 In fact, didn't Apple offer you compensation and you 12 declined? 13 Yeah, they offered to -- to hire us to help them find 14 other vulnerabilities, but we have a policy -- I mentioned 15 that we plan these things out, and so a long time ago we 16 decided that whenever we went after a product to try to 17 break it, that we wouldn't work for that company that made 18 that product to -- to keep things clean, so we wouldn't get 19 any money from them. 20 In other words, that's part of your protocol for 21 ethical reverse engineering and responsible disclosure? 22 That's right. You know, Texas Instruments tried to 23 hire us as well after the Exxon Mobil Speed Pass, and we

Now, finally, Dr. Rubin, does anything that was brought

24

25

turned them down.

```
1
     out or discussed in your testimony with Mr. Stone in
2
     cross-examination, does that in any way change your
 3
     conclusions that the Nipper hack could not have been done
 4
     without access to the information in the Haifa report?
 5
          No. I mean, that -- there was nothing that changed my
     opinion at all.
          And does anything that came out in your questioning
8
     impact your opinion in any way that the Nipper hack and the
9
     Haifa hack are identical in all the fundamental respects?
10
          Yes. They are not identical in their code, but they
11
     are identical in the fundamental way that the hack is built.
12
          The four key elements that you talked about earlier.
13
          Exactly, yes.
14
          Now, does -- did anything in your cross-examination or
15
     in any of the other documents that you looked at in your
16
     testimony change in any way your conclusion that whoever
17
     wrote the Nipper hack, published it on that pirate website,
18
     either was the same person that worked on the Haifa project
19
     or someone that got the information from the defendants'
20
    Haifa project?
21
          No, I still believe that -- that that is -- is either
22
     the same person or someone that had access to the
23
     information from that person.
```

Thank you very much, Dr. Rubin.

Pass the witness, your Honor.

24

```
1
                          Recross by Mr. Stone on behalf of NDS.
               THE COURT:
2
               MR. STONE: Thank you, your Honor.
 3
                          RECROSS EXAMINATION
4
    BY MR. STONE:
5
         Dr. Rubin, when you did your hacking of the iPhone, I
     take it you didn't get any equipment from Satan's Playhouse
    either?
8
          I don't know where Satan's Playhouse is, and we did
9
    not; you're right.
10
         And would it have been better or worse for EchoStar if
11
    the patch had been deployed prior to the posting?
12
          I imagine it would have been better. It would have
    been better.
13
14
          And you heard Mr. Mordinson testify about the various
15
    differences between his report and the posting, correct?
16
          Yes.
17
          Did you disagree with any of those differences?
18
          Can you remind me what they were?
19
          Well, it's the ones we've covered. You were here
20
     testifying --
21
          Right. I just --
22
               THE COURT: Is this from his expert report?
23
               MR. STONE: No. This is from sitting in the
24
    courtroom, apparently.
25
               THE COURT: Oh, I see.
```

1 THE WITNESS: You're talking about Mr. Mordinson 2 testified that there were differences between his report and 3 the Nipper posting, and I'm just trying -- I'd like you to 4 refresh my memory as to those before I tell you whether I 5 agree with them or not. BY MR. STONE: 7 Well, you just testified when other counsel was asking 8 you questions about that same testimony. You don't recall 9 the other part of that testimony? 10 Those particular things that I testified about were 11 things I was really struck with at the time that I listened 12 to him, and the thing that you are saying now must not have 13 had the same impact on me. 14 Now, is it your testimony that the patch you developed 15 for Apple would have closed their vulnerability? 16 It would have. We tested it. 17 But it's your testimony that the Nagra patch would not 18 close the vulnerability here? 19 I did not say that. 20 Is it your testimony that it would? 21 I didn't say that either. I think that the -- the -- a 22 patch, if you can get it working without ruining the card, 23 would prevent future cards that weren't protected by a 24 blocker from having that vulnerability.

Now, you just testified that you hadn't seen any

- evidence that the blockers were not effective or useful in
- preventing the patch from taking?
- 3 A I didn't test the blockers, and I don't know how
- 4 effective they were.
- 5 Q Let me show you Exhibit 1186 and see if this is
- 6 evidence you considered.
- 7 1186 is an e-mail from Joel Conus dated March 2nd,
- 8 2001.
- 9 A I had not seen this before today.
- 10 Q And so you hadn't seen that Mr. Conus wrote on
- 11 March 2nd, 2001, that "The hacking is stalling, it seems.
- 12 The number of hackers who still have non-updated cards is
- very limited, and therefore, the blocker code that has been
- 14 published cannot be widely used."
- Do you see that?
- 16 A I don't see it.
- 17 Q It's right in the middle of the large paragraph in the
- 18 first --
- 19 A I see it.
- 20 Q You weren't aware of that before testifying, correct?
- 21 A I hadn't seen this before.
- Q And what is the status of the ROM 3 as of March 2nd,
- 23 2001, according to Mr. Conus?
- 24 A Where?
- 25 Q Under "devices status."

```
1
          He says, "Secured VIP rights and some cards, cards and
2
    blockers, not affected by the update."
 3
               MR. STONE: Your Honor, I would move Exhibit 1186.
 4
               THE COURT: Any objection?
 5
               MR. HAGAN: No objection, your Honor.
 6
               THE COURT: Received.
7
               (Defendants' Exhibit No. 1186 is received in
8
          evidence.)
9
    BY MR. STONE:
10
         Now, didn't you testify that the author of the ROM 3
11
     code deliberately chose to locate the communications buffer
12
    at an absolute address, which was at the end of memory?
13
         That is correct.
14
          And in all of the programs you've written, you have
15
    never placed a buffer in an absolute address; isn't that
16
    correct?
17
          When you write assembly language programming, you can
18
     decide where to put your buffers. When you write in C and
19
     other program languages that I write in usually, you don't
20
    have control over where the buffers go, so I have never
21
    deliberately placed a buffer anywhere.
22
          And have you ever seen anyone deliberately not check a
23
     communications buffer like occurred here --
24
          For -- for the balance?
25
          Yes.
```

- 1 A I have seen that many times, yes.
- 2 Q And didn't you testify that dumping the EEPROM of the
- ROM 3 card using a buffer overflow method was easier if the
- 4 buffer was located at the end of memory?
- 5 A I said that, but after the deposition, I thought about
- 6 it some more, and I changed my mind.
- 7 Q You've changed your mind a lot in this case, haven't
- 8 you, sir?
- 9 A As I get more information, I, you know, am flexible
- 10 with -- with my opinions on them.
- 11 Q Now, Nipper used library calls and Mordinson used his
- 12 own routine, correct?
- 13 A That's right.
- 14 Q And Haifa stored the show code and the communications
- buffer while Nipper's stored in the stack, correct?
- 16 A That's correct.
- Q And the programming styles were different as well,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A That's correct.
- 20 Q And Haifa did not have the 7381 jump or the passing of
- 21 parameters, correct?
- 22 A That's correct.
- 23 Q And you saw no evidence that Haifa even knew about
- 24 those, correct?
- 25 A I didn't see any of the evidence.

```
1
          And Mr. Mordinson's code just hangs at the end of it,
 2
     correct?
 3
          That's right.
 4
     Q
          Thank you.
 5
          Nothing further.
 6
               THE COURT: All right.
 7
               Sir, I'm going to ask you to remain available.
 8
               I assume he'll be here during the testimony of the
 9
     opposing expert as well?
10
               MR. HAGAN: Yes, sir, your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Okay. Then you will be here or around
12
    or back --
13
               THE WITNESS: Yeah, back and forth.
14
               THE COURT: -- back and forth, okay. Obviously
15
     you are on call. I'll give you the same admonition, and
16
     that is -- you are in the United States, though?
17
               THE WITNESS: Yeah, Baltimore.
18
               THE COURT: Okay. It's close. That's the United
19
     States.
20
               (Laughter.)
21
               THE COURT: Within 48 hours, remain on call, would
22
     you?
23
               THE WITNESS: Sure.
24
               THE COURT: Thank you very much, sir.
25
               THE WITNESS: Thank you.
```

```
THE COURT: Counsel, I know that we were trying to
1
2
     fly down a witness that was available. I assume he's here,
3
     Mr. Orban?
 4
               MR. NOLL: John Norris, your Honor.
5
               THE COURT: John Norris?
               MR. NOLL: Yes.
7
               All right. Call Mr. Norris.
8
               MR. NOLL: Okay. The plaintiffs call John Norris,
9
    head of NDS security NDS Americas.
10
               THE COURT: Thank you.
11
               Thank you, sir. If you'd be kind enough to raise
12
     your right hand, please, and the clerk will administer the
13
     oath to you.
14
               MR. NOLL: Where is he?
15
               THE COURT: There is Mr. Norris.
16
               Mr. Norris, if you you'd come forward.
17
               Thank you. My apologies. I had the wrong person.
18
               Sir, if you'd raise your right hand, please.
19
                JOHN NORRIS, PLAINTIFFS' WITNESS, SWORN
20
               THE WITNESS: I do.
21
               THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
22
               If you'd please be kind enough to be seated in the
23
    witness box to my left.
24
               Now, sir, if you'd be kind enough to state your
25
    full name for the jury.
```

```
THE WITNESS: John Carter Norris.
2
               THE COURT: Can you spell your last name, please.
 3
               THE WITNESS: Norris, N-o-r-r-i-s.
 4
               THE COURT: And this is direct examination by --
5
               MR. NOLL: Mr. Noll for the plaintiffs.
 6
               THE COURT: Mr. Noll on behalf of EchoStar and
7
    NagraStar.
8
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
9
    BY MR. NOLL:
10
         Good afternoon, Mr. Norris.
11
         Good afternoon.
    Α
12
         We've met before, sir; is that correct?
13
         That's correct.
14
          In fact, we've met a couple of times at depositions
15
     you've given in this case; is that right?
16
          That's correct.
17
          You work for NDS Americas; is that correct, Mr. Norris?
18
         Yes.
    Α
19
          In fact, you are the head of NDS's conditional access
20
    security in the United States, right?
21
          Yes. Yes, it is.
22
         And DirecTV is a client of NDS?
23
         Yes.
24
         NDS protects DirecTV's television signals by way of
25
    providing software that goes into a Smart Card, correct?
```

- 1 A Essentially.
- 2 Q And focusing back to the 1997 time frame, DirecTV's
- 3 | conditional access system was hacked, correct?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q And you understood that DirecTV was considering
- 6 changing its conditional access provider from NDS, correct?
- 7 A I've -- I've heard that, but I don't recall hearing
- 8 that in 1997.
- 9 Q You did come to understand that in the late '90s,
- 10 DirecTV was considering changing its conditional access
- 11 provider, right, sir?
- 12 A It's possible.
- 13 Q I mean, possibly --
- 14 A I -- I don't recall the date, I'm sorry.
- 15 Q But the fact is you heard that, right?
- 16 A I've heard that, yeah.
- 17 Q And you understood that the conditional access provider
- 18 DirecTV was considering changing to was a company called
- 19 Kudelski, right?
- 20 A There were rumors.
- 21 Q And Kudelski is a company that owns the Nagra
- 22 conditional access technology, correct?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 | Q And you understand, sir, that EchoStar uses Nagra
- 25 conditional access technology, right?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Now, in the 1997 time frame, you also understand that
- 3 | NDS hired an individual named Christopher Tarnovsky,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q At the time NDS hired Mr. Tarnovsky, you knew
- Mr. Tarnovsky was a very talented hacker, correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And to use your words, "Mr. Tarnovsky had substantial
- skills when it came to hacking," correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And NDS decided in 1997 to hire Mr. Tarnovsky so that
- 13 | you could learn from him, correct?
- 14 A That's fair, yeah.
- 15 Q And NDS also wanted to remove Mr. Tarnovsky from the
- 16 hacker community, correct?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q In fact, NDS wanted to control Mr. Tarnovsky, correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q You didn't want Mr. Tarnovsky hacking your systems
- 21 anymore; is that right?
- 22 A That's correct.
- 23 Q And you will agree with me, sir, that when NDS hired
- Mr. Tarnovsky, you knew that Mr. Tarnovsky's work on DirecTV
- 25 | Smart Cards was illegal, correct?

- 1 A He injured our -- our technology, yes.
- 2 Q But you would agree with me, sir, that his work was
- 3 | illegal as it relates to what he was doing to DirecTV's
- 4 conditional access system.
- 5 A Yeah, that's true.
- 6 Q 1998, DirecTV's conditional access system was still
- 7 hacked, correct?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q 1999, DirecTV's conditional access system was hacked,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A I believe so, yes.
- 12 Q 2000, DirecTV was hacked?
- 13 A I believe so.
- 14 | Q 2001, DirecTV was hacked?
- 15 A Yeah.
- 16 Q 2002, DirecTV was hacked?
- 17 A I don't know if that's when we introduced our current
- generation Smart Card that's not hacked. I -- I don't
- 19 recall the date.
- Q Okay. Sometime DirecTV became secure, 2002, 2003 time
- 21 frame?
- 22 A When they introduced the P4. I don't recall the date.
- Q Okay. But your testimony here today would be that
- DirecTV became secure at some point in time, right?
- 25 A Yes.

```
1
         And that was probably around the 2002, 2003 time frame?
    Q
2
          I don't recall the date.
 3
          Well, was it after that time frame?
 4
          I'm sorry, I don't recall the date.
5
          Okay. And you recall that News Corporation purchased a
     controlling interest in DirecTV, correct?
         Yes.
    Α
8
         And after News Corporation --
9
               THE COURT: Counsel, we don't have the year.
10
     That's eventually going to cause some confusion.
11
               MR. NOLL: All right.
12
    BY MR. NOLL:
13
          What's your best testimony, Mr. Norris, here under oath
14
     in front of this jury as to when DirecTV became secure?
15
               MR. KLEIN: Objection, your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: Well, I am going to sustain the
17
     objection. I am going to put a stop to that for either
18
    party. He knows he is under oath. All of the witnesses
19
     know that they are under oath. I have ways of taking care
20
     of that, Counsel. No witness needs a reminder, believe me.
21
               MR. NOLL: Thank you, your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: I am very effective with that.
23
               MR. NOLL: Okay.
24
    BY MR. NOLL:
25
         Mr. Norris, can you tell us what your best estimate of
```

```
1
    when DirecTV became secure is?
2
               MR. KLEIN: Objection. Asked and answered, your
3
    Honor. Calls for speculation.
4
               THE COURT: Overruled.
5
               THE WITNESS: When we introduced the fourth
6
    generation Smart Card, I don't recall the date, sir.
7
    BY MR. NOLL:
8
         Okay. And you are aware that News Corporation
    purchased a controlling share in DirecTV?
10
         Yes, sir.
11
         And after that time, were you also aware that DirecTV
12
    was secure as it relates to piracy of its system?
         Yes.
14
         Now, changing gears and focusing back on Nagra and
    EchoStar, before 1998, you understood that Nagra's
16
    conditional access system was not hacked in the United
17
    States, correct?
18
         Before 1998? I guess that's probably correct.
19
         You don't have any reason to dispute --
20
               THE COURT: Now, wait just a moment.
21
               Do you know or not?
22
               THE WITNESS: I don't know, sir.
23
    BY MR. NOLL:
24
         Let me ask you one more time.
25
    Α
         Sure.
```

- 1 Q Before 1998, did you ever have an understanding that
- NagraStar's conditional access system was not hacked?
- 3 A I understood it wasn't hacked. I heard that.
- 4 Q Okay. You first learned that Nagra was hacked in the
- 5 | late 1990s or early 2000, right?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And you came to understand that there was Nagra code or
- 8 data posted on an internet website called www.dr7.com?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q And DR7 is a nickname for an individual named Allen
- 11 Menard, right?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q And Mr. Menard, to your knowledge, ran the DR7 website?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And at a later point in time, Mr. Menard would enter
- into a consulting relationship with NDS, correct?
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q In fact, Mr. Menard entered that relationship with NDS
- 19 in 2003, correct?
- 20 A I don't recall the date.
- Q Okay. We'll discuss it later, and I'll show you the
- 22 agreement.
- 23 A Sure.
- Q Maybe that will refresh your recollection?
- 25 A Thank you.

```
1
          I am going to ask you to turn to Exhibit 74.
     Q
2
          Can you provide him with that, Christine, please?
3
          Thank you.
 4
          Can you identify Exhibit 74, Mr. Norris?
5
          It's a summary of -- of the Kudelski-NagraVision piracy
     situation in approximately 2000.
          And Exhibit 74 is an NDS document; is that correct?
8
          That's correct.
9
          In fact, it's an operational security group document,
10
    correct?
11
          That's correct.
12
          And you were the head of operational security for NDS
13
    Americas; correct?
14
          For Americas, yes.
15
          Okay. You don't have reason to believe that Exhibit 74
16
     is somehow not a true and correct copy?
17
         No reason.
18
     Q
          Okay.
19
          Plaintiffs offer Exhibit 74, your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: It will be received.
21
               (Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 74 is received in
22
          evidence.)
23
               MR. NOLL: Can you pull it up, please.
24
    BY MR. NOLL:
25
         See at the top, there, it says "NDS Operational
```

```
1
     Security Group."
2
          Correct.
 3
          That's the group we just talked about that you head up
 4
    for the U.S.
5
         No. That's the operational security group for the
     global operation. I work for NDS Americas, which is part of
7
     that global group.
8
          Okay. Thank you for the clarification.
9
          Now, if you focus your attention in the center, it says
10
     "Nagra piracy situation, May 2000."
11
         Yes, sir.
    Α
12
          Now, I want to direct your attention down to the middle
13
     of the first page here on Exhibit 74, and I'll read it for
14
     you. It says, "Chronicle of the Nagra hack"; do you see
15
     that, sir?
16
         Yes, I do.
17
          And I'll read for you, it says, "Chronicle on the Nagra
18
    hack. In September of 1998, a well-known and respectable
19
    hacking website known as DR7, http://www.dr7.com, created an
20
    EchoStar section which provided a discussion forum as well
21
    as a tools section for hackers." Did I read that correctly,
22
     sir?
23
               THE COURT: No, you didn't. It said for "other
```

MR. NOLL: I'm sorry, "for other hackers." Thank

24

25

hackers."

```
1
     you, your Honor.
2
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
3
    BY MR. NOLL:
 4
         Did NDS believe that Mr. Menard's DR7 website was a
5
     respectable hacker website?
          Yes. In this context, the respectable quote is
7
     respected among the pirate community.
8
          You respected Mr. Menard enough to hire him later on in
9
     2003, right?
10
         We found his skills of value to us.
11
         And you would agree, sir, that Mr. Menard was an
12
     individual who was a member of the so-called "Old Boys"
13
    group, correct?
14
               MR. KLEIN: Objection. Vague and ambiguous, your
15
    Honor.
16
               THE COURT: Well, if you are familiar with that
17
    phrase, in the pirate community. "Old Boys" group can be --
18
               THE WITNESS: I haven't heard of that before, sir.
19
               THE COURT: Okay. Sustained.
20
    BY MR. NOLL:
21
         I'm sorry?
22
          I don't know that I've heard "Old Boys" group before.
23
               THE COURT: In relation to the pirate community,
24
    Counsel.
25
```

```
1
    BY MR. NOLL:
2
          Do you know what the "Old Boys" group is, sir?
 3
          I don't know that I've heard the "Old Boys" group
 4
    before.
5
         As it relates to the pirate community?
    Α
         No.
7
         You don't know?
8
               THE COURT: Counsel, it's been asked and answered.
9
    He does not know.
10
               MR. NOLL: Well, your Honor --
11
               Christine, if you could hand the Court page 318 of
12
    Mr. Norris' exhibit, line 22 through 25.
13
               THE COURT: Is this his deposition? Counsel, is
14
     this his deposition?
               MR. NOLL: Yes, your Honor.
15
16
               THE COURT: Okay. Is there a contra answer in the
17
     deposition of some kind?
18
               What page, Counsel?
19
               MR. NOLL: It's page 318, lines 22 through 25.
20
               THE COURT: Well, Counsel, I'm not certain. I
21
     think the fairest thing to do is let the witness refresh his
     recollection. I don't know if that's impeaching at all. It
23
     was a leading question, frankly, that you asked. He simply
24
     agreed.
25
               So why don't you take a look at that for a moment,
```

```
1
     sir.
2
               THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
3
               THE COURT: Take a look at the bottom four lines,
4
     and Counsel had asked you a question about the "Old Boys"
5
     group, and then you stated "I agree."
 6
               MR. NOLL: Okay.
7
               THE WITNESS: I did say "I agree." I never used
8
     the "Old Boys" group --
9
               THE COURT: You must be away from it.
10
               Just read the question and --
11
               MR. NOLL: I would like to refresh his
12
     recollection.
13
               If you would, Christine, hand him page 317, lines
14
     22 through 25.
15
               THE COURT: Pages I haven't seen yet. It's before
16
     this page. Thank you.
17
               Thank you very much, Counsel.
18
               MR. NOLL: Okay.
19
               THE COURT: You should have given me that page
20
     first.
21
               MR. NOLL: My apologies, your Honor.
22
    BY MR. NOLL:
23
        Mr. Norris --
24
          Well, I'd like to direct his attention to it. Maybe it
25
    will refresh his recollection. If not, maybe we need to
```

```
1
    play the video.
2
               THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
 3
               THE COURT: Right in the middle of the page.
 4
               And now, Counsel, you can read that in if you'd
5
     like to.
               MR. NOLL: Okay.
7
    BY MR. NOLL:
8
          Have you had a chance to refresh your recollection,
9
    Mr. Norris?
10
          I have.
11
          Okay. I am going to ask you again. Do you understand
12
     the "Old Boys" group to be a group of Canadian residents
13
    that modified and distributed hacked Smart Cards?
14
          I never heard of -- this was my expression at the time.
15
     I never heard it as a published comment that this is an "Old
16
    Boys" group. This is a statement that I made, it was an
17
     "Old Boys" group. It was the "old guard."
18
       Okay. But do you disagree that Mr. Menard was a part
19
     of this, quote-unquote, "Old Boys" group as it was used in
20
    your deposition --
21
    Α
         No.
22
          -- that distributed hacked, modified Smart Cards?
23
         (No audible response.)
24
          You don't disagree that Mr. Menard was a part of this
25
    group --
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Excuse me, Counsel. I think this is
 2
     taking too much time. Under 403, Counsel, this is duly
 3
     consumptive of time. Just read the question and answer.
 4
               Ladies and gentlemen, I caution you that
 5
     probably -- I don't know if there is an "Old Boys" group or
     club running around. Maybe it was made in a vernacular,
 7
     like Mr. Menard's an oldtimer, that he's been around a long
 8
     time in the buisness of piracy and satellite insemination.
 9
               We are wasting a lot of time, Counsel.
10
               MR. NOLL: That's fine.
11
               THE COURT: Read it and do it now or move on.
12
               MR. NOLL: All right. I think I can expedite
13
     this.
14
               THE COURT: Move on.
15
    BY MR. NOLL:
16
          You knew that Mr. Menard was a satellite pirate and
17
    hacker, correct?
18
          I knew he ran a website.
19
          Did you know he was a hacker and a pirate?
20
          We were never able to buy anything from him, so to say
21
    he was a hacker and a pirate, we were never able to purchase
     anything from him. He ran a website.
23
               THE COURT: Just read the section. I am allowing
24
    you to do that.
25
               MR. NOLL: Okay. I'll read the section.
```

```
1
    BY MR. NOLL:
 2
          Page 317:
 3
          "So there were a group of Canadian residents, correct?"
 4
          Answer: "Correct."
 5
          "That distributed modified or hacked Smart Cards,
 6
     correct?"
          Answer: "Correct."
 8
          And I said, "You would agree with me, sir, that
 9
    Mr. Menard was one of the individuals of this 'Old Boys'
10
     group?"
11
          Answer: "I would agree."
12
               THE COURT: Now, ladies and gentlemen, you can
13
     decide whether there is an "Old Boys" group -- I'm just
14
     joking with you -- or if that's a vernacular. Thank you.
15
               Counsel, your next question.
16
               MR. NOLL: Okay.
17
    BY MR. NOLL:
18
          Sir, I want to shift gears, Mr. Norris, and I want to
19
     talk to you about Christopher Tarnovsky. And you've
20
     testified that NDS hired Christopher Tarnovsky, correct?
21
          Correct.
22
          He was a W-2 employees of NDS?
23
          Correct.
24
          In other words, he wasn't a contractor or a 1099
25
    employee, right?
```

- 1 A He was an employee.
- 2 Q You removed him from the pirate community?
- 3 A (No audible response.)
- 4 Q Yes?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q You wanted to control him?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q In fact, once Mr. Tarnovsky was removed from the pirate
- 9 community, you instructed him to develop a circumvention
- device relating to DirecTV's conditional access system,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A That's correct.
- 13 Q And you knew that Mr. Tarnovsky was meeting with
- 14 | satellite pirates in Canada about hacking DirecTV's
- conditional access system, correct?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q And you instructed Mr. Tarnovsky to give this
- 18 circumvention device to a satellite pirate, correct?
- 19 A I believe we gave him software. I don't recall that we
- 20 gave him a device.
- 21 Q Software to use in satellite piracy?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 Q And you knew that by doing this, this satellite pirate
- would be able to reprogram DirecTV Smart Cards, right?
- 25 A Correct.

- 1 Q And then you later knew that Mr. Tarnovsky received
- 2 cash payments stashed in electronic devices at his mailbox
- in Manassass, Virginia, for these acts of piracy, correct?
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 Q In fact, Mr. Tarnovsky would inform you when shipments
- of cash would arrive to his mailbox; is that correct?
- 7 A That's correct.
- 8 Q You understood that the payments were for present and
- 9 future pirate acts he may commit against DirecTV's
- 10 conditional access system, correct?
- 11 A I believe it was for past support or pirated activities
- 12 and for what they intended to be future pirate activities.
- 13 Q And at this time Rubin Hasak was your direct superior,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q And Mr. Hasak is the head of the global security group
- 17 for NDS, correct?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q He is still your boss today?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And you reported to Mr. Hasak that Mr. Tarnovsky had
- received approximately \$20,000 in cash at his Manassass,
- 23 Virginia, mailbox, correct?
- 24 A I don't recall that.
- 25 Q Did you speak to Mr. Hasak about Mr. Tarnovsky

- 1 receiving the cash?
- 2 A Yes, at the beginning, correct.
- 3 Q Isn't that the same thing as reporting it to Mr. Hasak?
- 4 A I understand he received it over a year or two-year
- 5 period, and I didn't report every time. I wasn't told every
- 6 time, as my memory serves me.
- Q And to your knowledge, sir, Mr. Hasak had no problem
- 8 with that; isn't that right?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q And in fact, concerning the cash, you instructed
- 11 Mr. Tarnovsky to keep the money, right?
- 12 A I instructed him to put it into an escrow account.
- 13 | Q You didn't tell him to return it to the satellite
- 14 pirate, correct?
- 15 A I told him we would get it back at some point and
- 16 hopefully use it against the pirates.
- Q But you never did get it back and use it against the
- 18 pirates, right, sir?
- 19 A Right, correct.
- 20 Q In fact, as of today, NDS has never gotten that cash
- 21 back from Mr. Tarnovsky; isn't that true?
- 22 A I believe that's correct.
- 23 Q You said Mr. Tarnovsky escrowed the money; did you say
- 24 that?
- 25 A He put it into an account like an escrow account.

- 1 Q You never had access to that escrow, did you?
- 2 A No.
- Q And isn't it true, sir, that it's doubtful according to
- 4 you that you ever told DirecTV about the cash Mr. Tarnovsky
- was receiving in the 1999 to 2000 time frame, correct?
- 6 A That's correct.
- Q In fact, you never notified any government official or
- 8 any government agency that Mr. Tarnovsky was in possession
- 9 of this \$20,000 cash, correct?
- 10 A I don't recall notifying anybody.
- 11 Q Let's focus on what you did when you hired
- 12 Mr. Tarnovsky.
- You didn't train Mr. Tarnovsky; isn't that correct,
- 14 sir?
- 15 A I didn't train Mr. Tarnovsky?
- 16 Q Yeah. You didn't give him any -- NDS didn't do
- anything to train Mr. Tarnovsky for his job duties as an NDS
- 18 employee, correct?
- 19 A We supervised him.
- 20 | Q You didn't give him any formal training, did you?
- 21 A Not that I can think of.
- 22 Q And you knew that Mr. Tarnovsky was analyzing codes
- that were posted on the internet relating to piracy,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A Yes, he was analyzing DirecTV code.

```
And you also knew that some of the codes that he was
2
     analyzing related to EchoStar's conditional access system,
3
    correct?
         Later on, that's correct.
5
         And sir, you are aware that EchoStar claims that
    Mr. Tarnovsky posted his conditional access codes on the
7
    internet; is that correct?
8
          I'm sorry, could you repeat that?
9
         You were aware that EchoStar claims that Mr. Tarnovsky
10
    posted EchoStar's conditional access codes on the internet.
11
          I'm aware of EchoStar's claims, yes.
12
         Okay. Focus your attention on Exhibit 103, please.
13
               THE COURT: Do you want to start there tomorrow;
14
    would that be convenient?
15
               MR. NOLL: That would be great, your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: Okay. I think that's a logical
17
    breaking point this evening.
18
               You are admonished not to discuss this matter
19
    amongst yourselves, nor form or express any opinion
20
    concerning the case. We will see you at 8:00 tomorrow
21
    morning. Please drive safely.
22
               (The following proceedings is taken outside
23
          the presence of the jury.)
24
               THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You may step down.
25
               THE WITNESS: Thank you.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Now, if Mr. Saggiori is here, we can
 2
     commence now or start him at 5:30.
 3
               MR. HAGAN: I believe he is here, your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you get him.
 5
               (Live reporter switch with Sharon Seffens.)
 6
                (Volume V of the proceedings reported by
 7
          Sharon Seffens.)
 8
                                   -000-
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

-000-CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States. Date: April 17, 2008 JANE C.S. RULE, U.S. COURT REPORTER CSR NO. 9316

SACV 03-950-DOC Page 1

A	35:7,11	balance 17:24	1:23 2:16,22 4:1	35:19,20
	answered 26:2	Baltimore 19:17	call 19:15,21 20:7	Chronicle 29:14
able 34:20,21	31:8	basement 10:21	20:8	29:17
36:24	anybody 7:1	basically 8:9	called 22:18 27:8	circumvention
above-entitled	39:10	basis 9:1	calls 18:11 26:3	36:9,18
42:7	anymore 23:21	Battery 2:15,21	Canada 6:8 36:14	claims 40:5,9,11
absolute 17:12,15	anytime 6:3	beginning 38:2	Canadian 33:12	clarification
access 13:4,22	apologies 20:17	behalf 14:1 21:6	35:3	11:22 29:8
21:19 22:3,6,10	32:21	belief 6:15	card 15:22 18:3	clean 12:18
22:17,22,25	apparently 14:24	believe 11:24	21:25 24:18	clerk 20:12
24:4,6,9 26:16	APPEARANCES	13:21 24:11,13	26:6	clever 6:2,2
27:2 36:10,15	2:1	25:20 28:15	cards 15:23 16:12	client 21:22
37:10 39:1 40:2	apple 9:7,10,13	30:4 36:19	17:1,1 23:25	close 15:18 19:18
40:6,10	9:14,23,23 10:6	37:11 38:22	33:13,22 35:5	closed 15:15
account 38:12,25	10:12 11:2,2,14	41:3	36:24	club 34:6
38:25	11:15,16 12:5,8	best 25:13,25	care 6:11 25:19	code 4:18 6:7,24
activities 37:11,12	12:11 15:15	better 8:24,25	Carter 1:3 21:1	6:25 11:9 13:10
acts 37:3,9	approximately	9:24 14:10,12	case 18:7 21:15	16:13 17:11
address 17:12,15	28:6 37:22	14:13	40:20	18:14 19:1 27:7
administer 20:12	April 1:18 4:1	big 5:23	case-by-case 9:1	39:25 42:5
admonished 40:18	42:11	bit 7:18	cash 37:2,6,22	codes 11:20 39:22
admonition 19:15	arrive 37:6	bits 4:15 7:20	38:1,10,20 39:4	40:1,6,10
afternoon 21:10	asked 5:14 26:2	blocker 15:24	39:9	come 20:16 22:9
21:11	31:8,23 32:4	16:13	cause 4:14,18	commands 11:21
-	asking 15:7	blockers 16:1,3	25:10	commence 41:2
agency 39:8 ago 12:15	assembly 17:17	17:2	caution 34:4	comment 5:21 8:8
ago 12.13 agree 6:19 9:3,9	ASSOCIATES	boss 37:19	center 2:14 29:9	33:15
15:5 23:23 24:2	2:4	bottom 32:3	CENTRAL 1:2	commit 37:9
30:11 32:5,7	assume 19:8 20:2	box 20:23	certain 4:18 31:20	common 8:5,12
35:8,11	attack 5:16 8:4	Boys 30:12,17,22	CERTIFICATE	communications
agreed 31:24	attended 11:13	31:2,3 32:4,8	42:2	17:11,23 18:14
agreement 27:22	attention 29:9,12	33:12,16,17,19	certify 42:4	community 23:16
aisle 8:4	32:24 40:12	34:5 35:9,13	CHAD 2:5	30:7,17,23 31:5
al 1:6,9 2:3,10	Attorneys 2:4,7	break 12:17	chance 33:8	36:2,9
aliasing 8:13	2:11,14,19,21	breaking 40:17	change 4:15 13:2	company 9:6,13
Allen 27:10	audible 33:23	brought 12:25	13:16	10:5 11:1,12
allowed 11:21	36:3	buffer 8:4,10	changed 13:5	12:17 22:18,21
allowing 34:23	author 17:10	17:11,15,21,23	18:6,7	company's 9:22
ambiguous 30:14	available 19:7	18:3,4,15	changing 22:6,10	compare 6:4 9:12
Americas 20:9	20:2	buffers 17:18,20	22:18 26:14	compensation
21:17 28:13,14	AVIEL 3:7 4:4	building 6:7	Charlie 11:22	12:8,11
29:6	aware 16:20 26:8	built 13:11	check 4:22 17:22	competitor 10:6,9
amount 9:5	26:11 40:5,9,11	buisness 34:8	checked 4:17	complicated 6:6
Ana 1:17,23 4:1		buy 34:20	checksum 4:12	conceal 9:19
analysis 7:1	<u>B</u>	bytes 7:20	checksummed	concerning 38:10
analyzing 39:22	back 8:7 19:12,13		4:16	40:20
39:25 40:2	19:14 22:2	<u>C</u>	chose 17:11	conclusion 13:16
answer 7:10	26:14 38:15,17	C 17:18	Christine 2:6 28:2	conclusions 13:3
31:16 34:3 35:4	38:21	calculation 6:23	31:11 32:13	conditional 21:19
	bad 8:20	California 1:2,17	Christopher 23:3	22:3,6,10,17,22
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

22 25 24 4 6 0	25 2 4 6 7 20 21	D2 (20 2 1	DH CED 2 12	0 11 10 11 7
22:25 24:4,6,9	35:3,4,6,7,20,21	D 2:6,20 3:1	DILGER 2:12	9:11,19 11:7
26:16 27:2	35:23 36:11,12	DARIN 2:12	direct 3:6 10:9	14:10 21:6
36:10,15 37:10	36:15,16,18,22	data 27:8	21:4,8 29:12	22:24 26:15
40:2,6,10	36:25 37:3,4,6,7	date 22:14 24:19	32:24 37:13 D: TEX 21 22	29:20 40:5,9
conducted 12:3	37:10,14,15,17	24:22 25:2,4	DirecTV 21:22	EchoStarD5V4
conference 11:13	37:18,23 38:2,9	26:6 27:20	22:5,10,18	1:25
42:9	38:14,19,22	42:11	23:24 24:12,14	EchoStar's 6:17
conformance	39:5,6,9,13,18	dated 16:7	24:16,20,24	10:2,3 40:2,10
42:8	39:24 40:3,4,7	David 1:3 2:6,13	25:6,14 26:1,9	40:11
confusion 25:10	42:6	9:17	26:11 36:24	EEPROM 18:2
considered 16:6	correctly 29:21	Day 1:10 4:2	39:4,25	effective 16:1,4
considering 22:5	corrupted 4:13	days 5:3 7:1,16	DirecTV's 21:24	25:22
22:10,18	corrupting 4:14	deal 5:23	22:2 24:3,6,9	effort 7:22
consulting 27:16	counsel 2:1 15:7	Debbie 4:3,22	36:10,14 37:9	efforts 9:19
consumer 8:25	20:1 25:9,20	decide 17:18	disagree 14:17	either 13:18,21
consumptive 34:3	30:24 31:8,13	35:13	33:18,24	14:7 15:21
context 30:6	31:18,20 32:4	decided 12:16	disassembling 6:6	25:17
Continued 4:4,5	32:17 33:4 34:1	23:12	disclosure 8:24	electronic 37:2
contra 31:16	34:2,9 35:15	declined 12:12	12:21	elements 13:12
contractor 35:24	country 10:20	defendants 1:10	discuss 27:21	Embarcadero
control 17:20	couple 21:14	2:10 3:16 6:16	40:18	2:14
23:18 36:6	Court 1:1,22,22	7:4,14 9:11,18	discussed 13:1	employee 35:25
controlled 10:12	4:21 5:1 7:9	10:1,24 11:6	discussion 29:20	36:1 39:18
10:16	14:1,22,25 17:4	13:19 17:7	dispute 26:19	employees 11:15
controlling 25:6	17:6 19:6,11,14	deliberately	distracted 7:11	11:16 35:22
26:9	19:18,21,24	17:11,21,22	distributed 33:13	engage 8:24
Conus 16:7,10,23	20:1,5,10,15,21	depends 5:16 9:1	33:22 35:5	engaged 10:11
convenient 40:14	21:2,4,6 25:9,16	deployed 14:11	District 1:1,2,22	engineer 9:6
convoluted 8:11	25:22 26:4,20 28:20 29:23	deposition 7:4,8 7:13 18:5 31:13	document 28:7,9	engineering 6:7
copy 28:16 Corporation 1:6		31:14,17 33:20	documents 13:15	8:20,23 9:23
_	30:16,19,23		doing 24:3 36:23 doubtful 39:3	10:11 12:3,21 enter 27:15
2:3 25:5,8 26:8 correct 5:4,7 6:17	31:8,11,13,16	depositions 21:14	Dr 5:3 8:3 12:25	enter 27:13 entered 27:18
9:15,16 10:3,9	31:20 32:3,9,15 32:19 33:3 34:1	description 7:20 descriptions 7:21	13:24 14:5	entire 5:16
10:13,21 12:5,6	34:11,14,23	design 7:21	draw 7:25	entire 3.10 environment
14:15 16:20	35:12 40:13,16	details 7:21	drive 40:21	10:12,16
17:13,16 18:12	40:24 41:1,4	determination	DR7 27:10,13	equipment 14:6
18:15,16,18,19	42:14	7:2	29:19 30:4	escrow 38:12,25
18:21,22,24	courtroom 14:24	develop 36:9	duly 34:2	39:1
19:2 21:12,13	covered 14:19	developed 6:16	duny 54.2 dumping 18:2	escrowed 38:23
21:16,17,25	created 12:4	15:14	duties 39:17	Essentially 22:1
22:3,4,6,22,23	29:19	developing 6:6	duties 37.17	establishment
23:4,7,10,13,16	Cross 3:6	device 36:10,18	E	10:18
23:18,22,25	cross-examinati	36:20	E 3:1	estimate 25:25
24:7,8,10 25:6	13:2,14	devices 16:25	earlier 13:12	et 1:6,9 2:3,10
26:17,18 27:9	CSR 1:21 42:15	37:2	early 27:5	et 1.0,9 2.3,10 ethical 8:23 12:21
27:16,17,19	current 24:17	differences 9:10	easier 18:3	evaluation 10:5
28:7,8,10,11,13	C.S 1:21 42:14	14:15,17 15:2	EBERHART	evening 40:17
28:16 29:2		different 6:16	2:13	eventually 25:10
30:13 34:17	D	18:17	EchoStar 1:6 2:3	everybody 6:4
JU.13 JT.17		10.17		Cici y body 0.4

	1	ı	1	1
evidence 3:15	flying 6:8	25:17 28:1	31:11 32:13	13:11
16:1,6 17:8	focus 29:9 39:11	33:11	handed 7:24	Identification
18:23,25 28:22	40:12	Goldberg 8:7	handled 9:6,6	3:15
exactly 8:16 13:13	focusing 22:2	Good 21:10,11	hangs 19:1	identify 28:4
examination 3:4	26:14	gotten 4:7 38:20	happened 6:3	illegal 23:25 24:3
4:5 14:3 21:4,8	following 40:22	government 39:7	hard 7:15	imagine 14:12
example 4:19	foregoing 42:5	39:8	HARTSON 2:19	immerse 6:21
excellent 7:19	form 40:19	great 40:15	Hasak 37:13,16	impact 13:8 15:13
excuse 9:4 34:1	formal 39:20	group 1:9 2:10	37:21,25 38:3,7	impeaching 31:22
exhibit 3:15 16:5	format 42:8	28:9 29:1,3,5,7	head 6:23 20:9	impossible 7:5,15
17:3,7 28:1,4,7	forth 19:13,14	30:13,17,22	21:19 28:12	improper 8:20
28:15,19,21	forum 29:20	31:2,3 32:5,8	29:3 37:16	independent 10:5
29:13 31:12	forward 20:16	33:12,12,16,17	hear 11:6	index 5:14,16
40:12	found 8:10 30:10	33:19,25 34:5	heard 10:1,8,23	6:22 8:14
EXHIBITS 3:13	Fountainview 2:7	35:3,10,13	14:14 22:7,15	individual 23:3
expedite 34:12	four 13:12 32:3	37:16	22:16 27:3	27:10 30:12
expert 7:4,14	fourth 26:5	guard 33:17	30:18,22 31:3	individuals 35:9
14:22 19:9	frame 22:2 23:2	guess 26:18	33:14,15	inform 37:5
explain 4:10 5:11	24:21 25:1,3	guy 6:5	hearing 22:7	information 11:7
8:5	39:5	guys 5:25	heavily 5:17	11:13 13:4,19
exploit 11:9	Francisco 2:16,22		held 42:7	13:23 18:9
express 40:19	frankly 31:23	H	help 8:24 9:23	injured 24:1
expression 33:14	front 25:14	hack 4:19 6:6,7	10:3,4 12:13	insemination 34:8
Exxon 12:23	full 20:25	6:10,15,16 7:6	hex 6:22	instructed 9:18
e-mail 16:7	fundamental 13:9	7:17 8:5,6,8	he'll 19:8	36:9,17 38:10
	13:11	11:21 13:3,8,9	high-level 7:21	38:12
F	further 19:5	13:11,17 29:14	hire 12:13,23	instructions
fact 7:3,13 8:22	future 15:23 37:9	29:18	23:12 30:8	11:20
9:13 12:11	37:12	hacked 5:22,24	hired 23:3,6,23	Instruments
21:14,19 22:15		22:3 24:7,9,12	35:20 39:11	12:22
23:18 27:18	G	24:14,16,18	HOGAN 2:19	intended 37:12
28:9 36:8 37:5	Gale 4:3	26:16 27:2,3,4	honestly 6:20	intentionally 4:14
38:10,20 39:7	gears 26:14 35:18	33:13,22 35:5	Honor 13:25 14:2	interest 25:6
fair 23:14	generation 24:18	hacker 23:7,16	17:3,5 19:10	interest 25:0
fairest 31:21	26:6	30:5 34:17,19	20:4 25:15,21	39:23 40:7,10
familiar 30:16	gentlemen 34:4	34:21	26:3 28:19 30:1	Interruption 4:25
Federal 1:22	35:12	hackers 16:12	30:15 31:10,15	introduced 24:17
figure 5:25 6:23	give 19:15 36:17	29:21,24,25	32:21 40:15	24:22 26:5
7:6,16,22	39:16,20	hacking 10:2 14:5	41:3	iPhone 5:22,24
figured 8:2	given 21:15 32:19	16:11 23:10,20	HONORABLE	6:3 8:9 9:7,10
finally 12:25	glitch 4:17	29:19 36:14	1:3	9:14,23 10:12
find 5:9 9:2 12:13	glitched 4:20	hacks 8:9	hopefully 38:16	11:2,14 14:5
fine 34:10	glitching 4:8,10	Hagan 2:5 3:7 4:6	hopeless 7:24	ISE 9:10 10:5,11
finished 9:14	4:13,15	5:2 7:12 17:5	hours 19:21	12:3,4
first 5:13 16:18	global 29:6,7	19:10 41:3	house 10:20	issue 5:17
27:4 29:13	37:16	Haifa 7:5,14,19	Houston 2:8	IV 1:10 4:2
32:20	go 10:20,21 17:20	7:19,23 8:5 13:4	http 29:19	1 1.10 7.2
fix 12:4	goes 21:25	13:9,18,20	nttp 49.19	J
flexible 18:9	going 6:8 7:6,16	18:14,20,23	I	Jane 1:21 42:14
fly 20:2	19:7 25:10,16	hand 20:12,18	identical 13:9,10	job 39:17
11, 20.2	15.7 25.10,10	10.12,10	13.7,10	J 50 57.17

SACV 03-950-DOC April 16, 2008

Joel 16:7	languages 17:19	15:4 17:12 18:4	name 3:6 20:25	33:9 35:18
John 3:9 20:4,5,8	large 16:17	38:6	21:2	notified 9:14 39:7
20:19 21:1	late 22:9 27:5	Menard 27:11,13	named 5:15 23:3	notifying 39:10
joking 35:14	Laughter 19:20	27:15,18 30:8	27:10	number 16:12
JUDGE 1:3	Law 2:4,7,11,14	30:11 33:18,24	NATHANIEL	N-o-r-r-i-s 21:3
Judicial 42:9	2:19,21	34:16 35:10,21	2:12	
jump 18:20	laws 9:2	Menard's 30:4	NDS 1:9 2:10 10:8	0
jury 1:16 20:25	leading 31:23	34:7	14:1 20:9,9	O 1:3
25:14 40:23	learn 23:13	mentioned 12:14	21:17,22,24	oath 20:13 25:13
	learned 27:4	message 4:12 7:24	22:6 23:3,6,12	25:18,19
K	leave 4:22	met 21:12,14	23:15,18,23	objection 7:8 17:4
keep 12:18 38:11	left 20:23	method 8:4 18:3	27:16,18 28:7	17:5 25:15,17
KENNETH 2:20	let's 12:2 39:11	MICHAEL 2:13	28:12,25 29:6	26:2 30:14
key 5:18 13:12	library 18:11	middle 16:17	30:4 35:20,22	Obviously 19:14
kind 8:11 20:11	life 6:5	29:12 33:3	37:17 38:20	occur 11:21
20:22,24 31:17	limited 16:13	Miller 11:23	39:16,17	occurred 17:23
KLEIN 2:20	line 31:12	mind 8:12 18:6,7	NDS's 21:19	offer 12:11 28:19
25:15 26:2	lines 8:1 31:19	minutes 6:12,15	near 10:14	offered 12:13
30:14	32:3,13	7:2	need 32:25	official 1:22 10:18
knew 18:23 23:6	listen 5:6	Mobil 12:23	needs 25:20	39:7
23:24 34:16,18	listened 15:11	modified 33:13,22	never 17:15,20	Oh 14:25
36:13,23 37:1	Live 4:3 41:5	35:5	32:7 33:14,15	okay 5:1 19:11,14
39:22 40:1	LLP 2:11,19	moment 4:21,24	34:20,21 38:17	19:18 20:8
know 4:17 5:15	locate 17:11	26:20 31:25	38:20 39:1,7	24:20,23 25:5
5:17,18 6:11,25	located 18:4	money 12:19	News 25:5,8 26:8	25:23 26:8 27:4
7:25 8:1,8,9	logical 40:16	38:11,23	nickname 27:10	27:21 28:15,18
10:21 11:15,22	long 8:22,23	months 6:5	Nipper 5:21 6:15	29:8 30:19
11:25 12:22	12:15 34:7	Mordinson 5:4	7:6,17 8:6 13:3	31:16 32:6,18
14:8 16:3 18:9	look 6:22 8:9	9:17 10:8,23	13:8,17 15:3	33:6,11,18
20:1 24:17	31:25 32:3	14:14 15:1	18:11	34:25 35:16
25:19 26:21,22	looked 13:15	18:11	Nipper's 18:15	40:12,16 41:4
30:22 31:2,3,7,9	looking 6:12	Mordinson's 19:1	Noll 2:6 3:9 20:4	old 30:12,17,22
31:22 34:5,19 knowledge 27:13	lot 18:7 34:9	morning 40:21	20:6,8,14 21:5,5	31:2,3 32:4,8 33:12,15,17,17
38:7	M	motivation 9:22	21:6,9 25:11,12	33:19 34:5 35:9
known 8:13,15	$M = \frac{111}{M \cdot 2:5,6}$	9:22 10:2	25:21,23,24	35:13
29:19	mailbox 37:2,6,23	move 17:3 34:11	26:7,23 28:23 28:24 29:25	oldtimer 34:7
knows 25:18	management 9:15	34:14 MYERS 2:11		once 9:2 11:11,12
Kudelski 22:19	11:3	WIIEKS 2.11	30:3,20 31:1,10 31:15,19 32:6	12:3 36:8
22:21	Manassass 37:3	N	32:11,18,21,22	ones 6:21 7:25
Kudelski-Nagr	37:22	N 3:1	33:6,7 34:10,12	14:19
28:5	March 16:7,11,22	Nagra 10:9 15:17	34:15,25 35:1	oOo 41:8 42:1
	matter 6:20 7:16	22:21,24 26:14	35:16,17 40:15	operation 29:6
L	40:18 42:7	27:4,7 29:10,14	non-updated	operational 28:9
L 2:12,20	mean 6:3 9:1,12	29:17	16:12	28:12,25 29:5
lab 10:15	13:5 22:13	NagraStar 9:19	Norris 3:9 20:4,5	opinion 13:6,8
laboratory 10:16	meeting 36:13	21:7	20:7,8,15,16,19	40:19
ladies 34:4 35:12	member 30:12	NagraStar's 27:2	21:1,3,10,17	opinions 18:10
language 5:17	memorized 6:25	NagraVision 9:20	25:13,25 28:4	opportunity 5:6
17:17	memory 8:13	Nagra's 26:15	31:12 32:23	opposing 19:9
	l		1	

SACV 03-950-DOC April 16, 2008

Orban 20:3 36:2,8,18,23 **product** 9:24 10:3 32:10 33:4 34:3 13:4 14:15,22 outcodes 6:24 37:9,12 38:14 12:16,18 34:11,23,25 15:2 38:5 outlined 8:17 pirated 37:11 products 8:25 real 4:22 reported 37:21 really 5:12,23 outside 40:22 pirates 4:7 36:14 program 17:19 41:6 42:6 **overflow** 8:4,10 38:16,18 programming 8:11 15:11 reporter 1:22 4:3 placed 17:15.21 17:17 18:17 18:3 reason 26:19 41:5 42:14 Overruled 7:9 plaintiffs 1:7 2:3 28:15,17 REPORTER'S programs 17:14 3:17 4:4 20:8,19 26:4 project 9:3 13:18 recall 9:7 15:8 1:15 owns 22:21 21:5 28:19,21 13:20 22:7,14 24:19 reporting 38:3 representatives O'DONNELL plan 12:15 proper 9:3 24:22 25:2,4,5 2:13 **play** 33:1 properties 8:16 26:6 27:20 11:14 **O'MELVENY** Playhouse 14:6,8 36:19 37:24 protected 15:23 reprogram 36:24 residents 33:12 PLC 1:9 2:10 protects 21:24 39:10 2:11 please 4:10 20:12 protocol 12:20 **received** 17:6,7 35:3 P provide 28:2 20:18,22 21:2 28:20,21 37:1 respectable 29:18 page 29:13 31:11 28:2,23 40:12 provided 29:20 37:22 38:4 30:5,6 31:18,19 32:13 40:21 provider 22:6,11 receiving 38:1 respected 30:7,8 32:16,19 33:3 point 8:3 11:23 39:5 respects 13:9 22:17 35:2 42:8 12:1 24:24 providing 21:25 recollection 27:24 response 33:23 Pages 32:15 27:15 38:15 published 11:13 31:22 32:12,25 36:3 paper 11:19 40:17 13:17 16:14 33:8 responsible 8:24 paragraph 16:17 **Recross** 3:6 14:1 **policy** 12:14 33:15 12:21 parameters 18:21 position 6:19 pull 28:23 14:3 results 11:12 part 5:9,18 9:25 possession 39:8 purchase 34:21 redacted 11:20 **return** 38:13 12:20 15:9 29:6 possible 22:12 purchased 25:5 redacting 11:25 reverse 6:7 8:19 33:18,24 possibly 22:13 26:9 **Redirect** 3:6 4:5 8:23 9:6,23 particular 15:10 post 11:17 refresh 15:4 10:11 12:3,21 pursuant 42:4 parts 4:18 posted 8:16 27:8 27:24 31:21 put 4:12 17:18 reverse-enginee... party 25:18 39:23 40:6,10 25:17 38:12,25 32:11,25 33:8 9:13 Pass 12:23 13:25 posting 5:22 putting 6:23 regulations 42:9 review 6:14 passing 18:20 14:11,15 15:3 P4 24:22 related 40:2 RICHARD 2:20 patch 4:8,19 11:9 posts 6:9 relates 24:3 26:12 **right** 5:5,8 6:18 0 11:11,24 12:4 prepared 11:1,1 31:5 8:20,25 9:20,21 14:11 15:14,17 question 7:10 relating 36:10 10:6,7,10,17,19 presence 40:23 31:23 32:4,10 15:22 16:2 present 5:3 11:19 39:23 10:25 11:4,5 payments 37:2,8 34:3 35:15 37:8 relation 30:23 12:7,22 14:9,21 people 5:24 6:1 questioning 13:7 presentation 16:17 18:13 relationship 11:14 questions 15:8 11:23 27:16,18 19:3,6 20:7,12 period 38:5 PRESIDING 1:3 **quite** 7:18 released 11:24 20:18 21:15,20 person 13:18,22 **quote** 30:6 prevent 15:23 remain 19:7,21 22:11,15,19,25 13:23 20:17 quote-unquote preventing 16:2 remember 4:11 23:21 24:24 **phrase** 30:17 33:19 prevents 4:19 11:25 25:11 27:5,11 piracy 26:12 28:5 **prior** 14:11 **remind** 14:18 30:9 33:3 34:12 R 29:10 34:8 probably 5:20 reminder 25:20 35:25 36:24 36:21 37:3 R 2:13 25:1 26:18 34:5 **remove 23:15** 38:8,11,18,19 raise 20:11,18 39:23 rights 17:1 problem 38:7 **removed** 36:2,8 ran 27:13 34:18 pirate 11:17 proceedings 1:15 repeat 7:11 40:8 **ROM** 16:22 17:10 34:22 13:17 30:7,17 4:25 40:22 41:6 report 5:15,19 7:5 18:3 reaction 5:21 30:23 31:5 42:7 7:14,19,19,23 **Room** 1:23 read 29:13,17,21 34:16,19,21 process 4:13 8:17 11:2,12 **routine** 18:12

Page 6

April 16, 2008 SACV 03-950-DOC

	I	I	I	I
Rube 8:7	serves 38:6	spent 6:5,12 9:4	27:2 36:10,15	Thank 5:1 13:24
Rubin 3:7 4:4 5:3	set 10:15	stack 6:24 18:15	37:10 40:2	14:2 19:4,24,25
8:3 12:25 13:24	share 26:9	stalling 16:11	systems 23:20	20:10,11,17,21
14:5 37:13	Sharon 41:5,7	stands 8:11		25:21 27:25
ruining 15:22	shell 6:24,25	start 40:13 41:2	T	28:3 29:8,25
Rule 1:21 42:14	shift 35:18	stashed 37:2	T 2:4,5	32:2,16,17 33:2
rumors 22:20	shipments 37:5	state 20:24	take 14:6 31:25	35:14 40:24,25
run 4:18	show 16:5 18:14	stated 32:5	32:3	thing 5:20 8:11
running 5:25 34:6	27:21	statement 33:16	taken 40:22	15:12 31:21
	signals 21:24	States 1:1,22	talented 23:7	38:3
S	significant 9:5,9	19:16,19 21:20	talk 12:2 35:19	things 5:12 8:12
SACV 1:8	significantly 7:15	26:17 42:5,9	talked 13:12 29:3	8:13,15 12:15
safely 40:21	similar 10:15	status 16:22,25	talking 4:11 9:5	12:18 15:10,11
Saggiori 41:1	simply 31:23	stenographically	15:1	think 6:20 7:7 8:1
San 2:16,22	sir 18:8 19:7,10	42:6	Tarnovsky 23:3,6	9:12 15:21
Santa 1:17,23 4:1	19:24 20:11,18	step 40:24	23:7,9,12,15,18	31:21 34:1,12
Satan's 14:6,8	20:21,24 21:12	Stone 2:20 3:8 7:7	23:20,24 35:19	39:21 40:16
satellite 1:6 2:3	22:11,24 23:23	8:3 9:4,4 13:1	35:20 36:8,13	thought 18:5
34:8,16 36:14	24:2 26:6,10,22	14:1,2,4,23 15:6	36:17 37:1,5,21	time 4:22 7:18 9:5
36:18,21,23	29:11,15,22	17:3,9	37:25 38:11,21	12:15 15:11
38:13	30:11,18 31:2	stop 25:17	38:23 39:4,8,12	22:2 23:2,6
saw 18:23	32:1,2 33:2 35:8	stored 18:14,15	39:13,15,17,22	24:20,24 25:1,3
saying 12:4 15:12	35:18 38:7,18	strange 8:14	40:6,9	26:11,24 27:15
says 17:1 28:25	39:3,14 40:5,24	Street 1:23 2:15	Tarnovsky's	33:14 34:2,3,8,9
29:9,14,17	sitting 14:23	2:21	23:24	37:13 38:5,6
scrambled 6:4	situation 28:6	struck 15:11	technology 22:22	39:5
seated 20:22	29:10	stuff 6:21	22:25 24:1	times 18:1 21:14
second 11:11 12:2	six 6:5	styles 18:17	television 21:24	Title 42:5
section 29:20,21	skills 23:10 30:10	subsequently	tell 15:4 25:25	today 16:9 24:23
34:23,25 42:4	Smart 21:25	5:24	38:13	37:19 38:20
secure 24:20,24	23:25 24:18	substantial 7:22	test 16:3	told 6:12 38:5,15
25:14 26:1,12	26:6 33:13,22	23:9	tested 15:16	39:4
Secured 17:1	35:5 36:24	Suite 2:8,15,22	testified 5:4 6:12	tomorrow 40:13
security 6:17 9:11	SNYDER 2:12	summarizing 7:7	6:14 7:13 9:18	40:20
10:2 11:13 20:9	society 8:24	summary 28:5	15:2,7,10,25	tools 29:21
21:20 28:9,12	software 4:7	superior 37:13	35:20	top 28:25
29:1,5 37:16	21:25 36:19,21	supervised 39:19	testify 10:23	train 39:13,15,17
see 5:22 6:25	somebody 6:9	support 37:11	14:14 17:10	training 39:20
14:25 16:5,15	someone's 10:20	supposedly 6:10	18:2	transcript 1:15
16:16,19 18:25	sophisticated	Sure 4:11 8:7	testifying 14:20	42:6,8
28:25 29:14	4:16	19:23 26:25	16:20	transit 4:13
40:20	sorry 22:14 25:4	27:23	testimony 5:7,9	Trial 1:16
seen 5:25 15:25	29:25 30:21	surprised 5:13,20	7:3 8:19,22 9:7	tried 8:3 12:22
16:9,10,21	40:8	sustain 25:16	10:1,8 11:6,20	true 24:5 28:16
17:22 18:1	so-called 30:12	Sustained 30:19	13:1,16 15:8,9	38:21 39:3 42:5
32:15 Soffens 41:5 7	speak 4:20 37:25	switch 4:3 41:5	15:14,17,20	try 10:3 12:16
Seffens 41:5,7	specific 11:20	SWORN 20:19	19:8 24:23	trying 15:3 20:1
sending 4:11	speculation 26:3	system 6:17 9:11	25:13	turn 28:1
sense 5:13 6:13	Speed 12:23	10:2 22:3 24:4,6	testing 6:8 Texas 2:8 12:22	turned 12:24
September 29:18	spell 21:2	24:9 26:12,16	1 CAAS 4.0 14.44	two 5:3,12
1				

SACV 03-950-DOC

	<u> </u>	l	1	
two-year 38:4	WADE 2:4,5	12:17,18	2nd 16:7,11,22	9
	wait 26:20	wrap 8:14	2000 24:12 27:5	90s 22:9
<u>U</u>	want 23:20 29:12	write 17:17,18,19	28:6 29:10 39:5	92701 1:23
understand 7:23	35:18,18 40:13	written 17:14	2001 16:8,11,23	9316 1:21 42:15
22:9,24 23:2	wanted 23:15,18	wrong 20:17	24:14	94111-3305 2:16
27:7 33:11 38:4	36:6	wrote 11:9,10	2002 24:16,20	2:22
understanding	wasn't 7:3 27:3	13:17 16:10	25:1	952-4334 2:9
27:1	35:24 38:5	www.dr7.com	2003 24:20 25:1	984-8700 2:16,23
understood 22:5	wasting 34:9	27:8 29:19	27:19 30:9	,
22:17 26:15	way 9:5 12:4 13:2	W-2 35:22	2008 1:18 4:1	
27:3 37:8	13:8,11,16		42:11	
unique 8:6	21:24	X	21 3:9	
United 1:1,22	ways 25:19	X 3:1	22 31:12,19 32:14	
19:16,18 21:20	website 11:17		2401 2:7	
26:16 42:5,9	13:17 27:8,13	<u>Y</u>	25 31:12,19 32:14	
university 10:12	29:19 30:4,5	yeah 7:18 9:1,12	2600 2:15,22	
10:14	34:18,22	12:13 19:13,17	275 2:15,21	
unusual 5:9	Wednesday 1:18	22:16 23:14	28 3:17 42:5	
update 17:2	4:1	24:5,15 39:16		
use 23:9 36:21	week 9:17	year 25:9 38:4	3	
38:16,17	weeks 5:23	7	3 16:22 17:10	
useful 16:1	WELCH 2:4,5	<u>Z</u>	18:3	
uses 22:24	well-known 29:18	zeros 6:21 7:25	317 32:13 35:2	
usually 17:19	went 12:16	\$	318 31:11,19	
utilize 8:12	weren't 15:23			
U.S 6:8 29:4	16:20	\$20,000 37:22	4	
42:14	West 1:23 2:14	39:9	43:7	
	we'll 11:11 27:21	0	4th 1:23	
V	we've 14:19 21:12	03-0950-DOC 1:8	403 34:2	
V 41:6	21:14	08-04-16 1:25	411 1:23	
Vague 30:14	widely 16:14	08-04-10 1.23	415 2:16,23	
value 30:10	WILLETTS 2:6	1	48 19:21	
variable 5:14,16	witness 3:6 4:4	1-053 1:23		
6:22 8:14	7:11 13:25 15:1	103 40:12	5	
various 14:14	19:13,17,23,25	109 40.12 1099 35:24	5 1:10 4:2	
vernacular 34:6	20:2,19,20,23	1186 3:16 16:5,7	5:30 41:2	
35:14	21:1,3 25:20	17:3,7	558-7755 1:24	
video 33:1	26:5,22 30:2,18	14 3:8		
VIP 17:1	31:21 32:2,7	15 6:12,15 7:2	7	
Virginia 37:3,23	33:2 40:25	16 1:18 4:1	700 2:8	
Volume 1:10 4:2	witnesses 25:18	17 3:16 42:11	713 2:9	
41:6	words 12:20 23:9		714 1:24	
vs 1:8	35:24	1990s 27:5	7381 18:20	
vulnerabilities	work 12:17 21:17	1997 22:2,8 23:2	74 3:17 28:1,4,7	
12:14		23:12	28:15,19,21	
vulnerability 12:5	23:24 24:2 29:6	1998 24:6 26:15	29:13	
15:15,18,24	worked 13:18	26:18 27:1	753 42:4	
	working 15:22	29:18	77057 2:8	
W	worry 9:2	1999 24:9 39:5		
W 2:12	worse 14:10	2	8	
	wouldn't 7:25 8:1		8:00 40:20	
	•	•	•	•