

1

2

3

4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SOUTHERN DIVISION

5

6

7

8

HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, JUDGE PRESIDING

9

- - - - -

10

EHOSTAR SATELLITE CORP.,)
et al.,)

11

Plaintiffs,)

12

vs.)

No. SACV-03-950-DOC
DAY 2, VOL. IV

13

NDS GROUP PLC, et al.,)

14

Defendants.)

15

16

17

18

19

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

20

Santa Ana, California

21

April 10, 2008

22

SHARON A. SEFFENS
Federal Official Court Reporter
United States District Court
411 West 4th Street, Room 1-053
Santa Ana, California 92701
(714) 543-0870

23

24

25

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

†

1

APPEARANCES:

2

FOR PLAINTIFF EHOSTAR SATELLITE CORPORATION, ET AL.:

3

T. WADE WELCH & ASSOCIATES
BY: CHAD M. HAGAN
CHRISTINE D. WILLETTS
ROSS WOOTEN
WADE WELCH

4

5

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Attorneys at Law
2401 Fountainview
Suite 700
Houston, Texas 77057
(713) 952-4334

FOR DEFENDANT NDS GROUP PLC, ET AL.:

O'MELVENY & MYERS
BY: DARIN W. SNYDER
DAVID R. EBERHART
Attorneys at Law
275 Embarcadero Center West
Suite 2600
San Francisco, California 94111
(415) 984-8700

-and-

HOGAN & HARTSON
BY: RICHARD L. STONE
KENNETH D. KLEIN
Attorneys at Law
1999 Avenue of the Stars
Suite 1400
Los Angeles, California 90067
(310) 785-4600

ALSO PRESENT:

David Moskowitz
Dov Rubin

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

INDEX

PAGE

PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RE CROSS
DAVID MORDINSON				
PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS:		MARKED		RECEIVED
DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT NO. 1				62
DEFENSE WITNESSES:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RE CROSS
(None)				
DEFENSE EXHIBITS:		MARKED		RECEIVED

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

4

1 SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, APRIL 10, 2008; 3:00 P.M.

2 (Jury present.)

3 MR. SNYDER: Mr. Snyder and I spoke, and I think
4 the way that we could handle the issue of when Kommerling
5 got the report is we are just going to stipulate in front of
6 the jury that it was sometime in 1998.

7 MR. SNYDER: Yes.

8 THE COURT: That way you are free to argue it
9 also.

10 (Recess.)

11 (Jury present.)

12 THE COURT: We are back in session. The jury is
13 present. Counsel are present. The parties are present.

14 Counsel, I believe there is a stipulation that you
15 wish to impart to the jury. That stipulation is what?

16 MR. SNYDER: The stipulation is that
17 Mr. Kommerling received a copy of the Headend Report in
18 1998.

19 THE COURT: Stipulated to by the plaintiffs?

20 MR. WELCH: Yes.

21 MR. HAGAN: With the understanding that Oliver
22 Kommerling received that report from NDS engineer Zvi

24 MR. SNYDER: That's correct, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Fine. There is a stipulation that in

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

5

15:10:46 1 1998 Oliver Kommerling received the Headend Report from the
2 witness Mr. Shkedy; is that correct?

3 MR. SNYDER: Yes.

4 THE COURT: And that's stipulated to by both
5 parties.

6 Then with that stipulation, are you done with your
7 examination from both sides at this time?

8 MR. HAGAN: Yes.

9 MR. SNYDER: Yes.

10 THE COURT: Now, I am placing all of the witnesses
11 on call. It has been represented to me that this case was
12 to take four weeks, and I have represented that to the jury.
13 I am not so sure about that, but I am hoping. We will see.
14 But it may be necessary that you or another witness return.
15 We just don't know. If that's the case, you need to be
16 available to us regardless of vacation or professional
17 responsibility on a 72-hour notice. Is that understood?

18 THE WITNESS: Yes.

19 THE COURT: If there was a reason that you did not
20 return, there would be an adverse inference drawn, and there
21 would be an instruction to the jury that would be damaging
22 in this matter. Do you understand that?

23 THE WITNESS: Yes.

24 THE COURT: All right, thank you very much, sir.
25 Counsel, your next witness, please.

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

6

15:11:51 1 MR. HAGAN: Certainly. The plaintiffs call

2 THE COURT: Thank you.

3 Stephanie Williams Robert; is that correct?

4 MR. HAGAN: Yes. Her name now is Stephanie
5 Williams. At the time relevant to the case, she was not
6 married. Her name was Stephanie Roberts.

7 THE COURT: Are you ready to proceed with that
8 deposition?

9 MR. SNYDER: Yes.

10 THE COURT: If you would play Stephanie Williams
11 Roberts' deposition.

12 THE COURT: Is it acceptable that the court
13 reporter does not need to take a transcription?

14 MR. HAGAN: Yes.

15 MR. SNYDER: That's correct. Stipulated.

16 MR. HAGAN: We will enter a copy of the video
17 clips for the record.

18 THE COURT: I would prefer that. Let me say this.
19 Even though you are not taking a transcription, that should
20 be part of your record. Instead of taking the
21 transcription, you are going to insert in your daily like
22 anything else because the Circuit then doesn't have to
23 search. Counsel, I think that's a nice way to preserve the
24 record, and although it will increase the page number a
25

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

7

15:13:05 1 little bit, it will just go right inside the daily you keep.

2 MR. HAGAN: At the relevant time in the video
3 clip, we would ask to provide the jury with a copy of the
4 three exhibits.

5 THE COURT: You may. Stop at that point. We have
6 gone over each of those. Also inform them about the
7 numbering because the numbering is not synchronized.

8 MR. HAGAN: We have a chart for them.

9 THE COURT: Thank you.

10 MR. HAGAN: Preserving the record, I would

11 formally move to admit Trial Exhibit 19 based on the Court's
12 prior ruling and the parties' oral argument.

13 THE COURT: Trial Exhibit 19 will be received.
14 (Exhibit 19 received.)

15 THE COURT: Trial Exhibit 19 is going to be
16 referred to in this video by a different number. That
17 number is what?

18 MR. HAGAN: Exhibit 351.

19 Your Honor, at this time, just for purposes of
20 preserving the record, I would formally offer Trial Exhibit
21 17, which is Deposition Exhibit 353 into evidence based on
22 the Court's prior rulings on motions in-limine and the oral
23 arguments by the party.

24 THE COURT: Trial Exhibit 17 will be received,
25 which is Deposition Exhibit 353.

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

8

15:35:38 1 (Exhibit 17 received.)

2 MR. HAGAN: As this time for purposes of
3 preserving the record, I would formally move to admit Trial
4 Exhibit 27, which is Deposition Exhibit 354.

5 THE COURT: 354 is received. Your summary sheet
6 is not. This is to be argued. This is simply a summary
7 sheet. I have already received Exhibit 354, which is
8 Exhibit 27.

9 (Exhibit 27 received.)

10 (Portion of videotape deposition of Stephanie
11 Williams Roberts played.)

12 MR. HAGAN: That concludes the video offerings for
13 plaintiffs. I think that the defendants may have some
14 counterdesignations.

15 THE COURT: Do you want to play 106 presentations
16 at this time or wait until the commencement of your case?

17 MR. SNYDER: We will play them now.

18 THE COURT: These are 106 insertions that have not

April 10, 2008 Volume 4 Mordinson Juror schedule.txt
19 been played by the plaintiffs that the defendants wishes to
20 play in the same video.

21 MR. HAGAN: The 106 clips were played with ours.
22 We woved them in. I thought they may have some
23 additional --

24 THE COURT: Are these additional?

25 MR. SNYDER: These are additional --

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

9

15:47:22 1 THE COURT: why don't you play them then at this
2 time.

3 MR. SNYDER: The deposition refers to Exhibit 360,
4 which is Trial Exhibit 29.

5 THE COURT: Would you like that handed out?

6 MR. SNYDER: Yes.

7 THE COURT: 29 will be 360, so the Court will
8 receive 360 at the present time -- the Court will receive
9 Exhibit 29. That's your exhibit, but you are going to here
10 the deposition refer to this same exhibit as 360.

11 (Exhibit 29 received.)

12 THE COURT: If you would like to continue.

13 (Portion of videotape deposition
14 continued to be played:)

15 MR. SNYDER: That's the end, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: That will conclude the deposition of
17 Stephanie Williams Roberts.

18 Counsel, your next witness, please.

19 MR. HAGAN: The plaintiffs call defendants'
20 engineer and one of their corporate representatives, David
21 Mordinson.

22 THE COURT: Mr. Mordinson, if you would step
23 forward, sir, between the two double doors. would you be
24 kind enough to stop and would you raise your right hand.
25 Christy will administer an oath to you.

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

15:59:37 1 DAVID MORDINSON, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN
2 THE COURT: would you please be seated here in the
3 witness box which is just to my left.
4 Once again there is an interpreter with the
5 gentleman.
6 Could I get the interpreter's name, please?
7 THE INTERPRETER: Hebrew interpreter Ayalla
8 Dollinger. My oath is on file.
9 THE COURT: Thank you very much.
10 Mr. Mordinson, do you speak any English?
11 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
12 THE COURT: Do you need the services of the
13 interpreter throughout your entire testimony, or do you feel
14 capable of testifying in English and then if you need
15 further translation or help relying upon the interpreter?
16 THE WITNESS: I will use the services of the
17 interpreter during the course of my testimony.
18 THE COURT: Thank you.
19 This will be direct examination then by Mr. Hagan
20 on behalf of Echostar of Mr. Mordinson.
21 Mr. Mordinson, would you state your full name,
22 sir.
23 THE WITNESS: David Mordinson.
24 THE COURT: would you spell your last name, sir.
25 THE WITNESS: M-o-r-d-i-n-s-o-n.

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

16:01:14 1 THE COURT: It appears that you speak very good
2 English. Why don't we have the interpreter here, and if you
3 need her services, then we will rely upon them.
4 THE WITNESS: I would like to be sure that my
5 answers are exact and I understand the questions exactly to
6 provide a truthful answer.

7 THE COURT: All right, thank you.

8 Counsel, your direct examination.

9 DIRECT EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. HAGAN:

11 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Mordinson.

12 A Good afternoon.

13 Q My name is Chad Hagan. I am one of the lawyers
14 representing plaintiffs EchoStar and NagraStar in this
15 litigation.

16 We have met before haven't we, sir?

17 A Yes.

18 Q In fact, we met on two separate occasions when we
19 conducted your deposition for almost 14 hours; is that
20 correct?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And through the course of that deposition, you
23 testified the majority of the time in English?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And if today just as in your deposition -- if I ask a

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

‡

12

16:02:10 1 question and you don't understand the question, you can
2 either ask me to clarify, or you can certainly use the
3 interpreter that you brought with you today?

4 A Can you ask that question again?

5 Q Sure. Let me go slower.

6 If I ask you a question and you don't understand it or
7 you need the interpreter, then just let us know, and we will
8 pause for you?

9 A I will.

10 Q Mr. Mordinson, you are employed by the defendants in
11 case, case?

12 A Yes.

13 Q What is your official title with the defendants?

14 A At the moment?

- 15 Q Yes, sir.
- 16 A I am a technical consultant for NDS marketing Europe
- 17 and Israel.
- 18 Q When did you first start working for the defendants?
- 19 A I started working for NDS in September 1997.
- 20 Q And you started at NDS Israel's office; is that
- 21 correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And you started there as an engineer?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q When you started to work for the defendants, they

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

13

- 16:03:16 1 didn't tell you at that time that part of your job would be
- 2 hacking into their competitors' technology; is that correct?
- 3 A I was hired as a software engineer and security analyst
- 4 which I was supposed to be a security analyst and incur also
- 5 hacking into security systems.
- 6 Q Now, as you understood it, when you started working for
- 7 NDS in 1997, they were in the business and still are of
- 8 providing conditional access technology, correct?
- 9 A Yes, that's correct.
- 10 Q And one of NDS's largest clients, especially in the
- 11 United States, is DirectTV?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q NDS competes in the conditional access market with the
- 14 plaintiff NagraStar?
- 15 A With Nagra Vision.
- 16 Q And NagraStar is Nagra Vision's United States presence
- 17 as you understand it, correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q So in the United States, NDS competes directly with
- 20 NagraStar?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q NagraStar's largest client as you understood it at the
- 23 time of your deposition in the United States is the

24 plaintiff Echostar?

25 A Yes.

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

14

16:04:54 1 Q Echostar competes with DirectTV to provide satellite
2 television?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Now, when you started with NDS in 1997, it's fair to
5 say that the defendants' encryption technology was
6 compromised, correct? I can rephrase.

7 when you started working for NDS in 1997, you
8 understood as an engineer for the company at that time that
9 the defendants' technology was compromised or hacked,
10 correct?

11 A Correct.

12 Q And you knew that that technology was hacked both in
13 the United States and in European countries, correct?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And NDS engaged in two things to try to get their
16 technology a little bit better as you understood it,
17 correct?

18 A Can you be more specific?

19 Q During the 1997 time frame when you started to work for
20 NDS, the technology that NDS provided was hacked, correct?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And NDS took certain steps to try to improve that
23 technology, correct?

24 A Correct.

25 Q One of those steps was to hire some of the hackers that

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

15

16:06:21 1 were responsible for hacking into that technology, correct?

2 A I don't know what you are talking about. Can you name

3 some?

4 Q Certainly.

5 You are familiar with the name Christopher Tarnovsky
6 and Oliver Kommerling aren't you, sir?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And you understood that Chris Tarnovsky and Oliver
9 Kommeling were hired to come and work for NDS, correct?

10 A Chris Tarnovsky, yes, I knew him as an NDS employee.
11 Oliver Kommeling, I didn't know if he was an NDS employee or
12 not.

13 Q You understood that Mr. Kommerling had a special
14 relationship with NDS; is that correct?

15 A Please define "special relationship."

16 Q You understood that Mr. Kommerling was doing consulting
17 work for NDS?

18 A That's my understanding.

19 Q And you understood that at that time -- withdraw. You
20 understood that prior to NDS hiring Mr. Kommeling he was
21 engaged in illegal hacking activity, correct?

22 A I know that.

23 Q And NDS hired Mr. Kommerling in '97 -- or at least
24 retained some type of consultancy agreement with him in '97,
25 and Mr. Kommerling taught you and some of the other

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

16

16:07:46 1 engineers in Haifa how to reverse engineer and hack?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q In fact, Mr. Kommerling has notoriety in this area
4 because he has published an article with an individual named
5 Marcus Koon. Are you familiar with that article?

6 A Yes, I know of this article published by Oliver
7 Kommerling together with Marcus Koon.

8 Q You recall that the name of that publication was
9 "Design Principles of Tamper-Resistant Smart Cards"?

10 A Possibly, yes.

April 10, 2008 Volume 4 Mordinson Juror schedule.txt
11 Q And you understood that Mr. Kommerling published this
12 article prior to forming a consultancy relationship with
13 NDS, correct?

14 A According to my knowledge, this article was published
15 in 1999.

16 Q So two years after he started working?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And is it fair to say that Mr. Kommerling taught you
19 and Zvi Shkedy certain techniques to attack the computer
20 chip or microcontroller that is contained within Smart
21 Cards?

22 A It was mostly to Zvi Shkedy, not to me.

23 Q And those are some of the invasive attacks that Haifa
24 Research Center used?

25 A Yes.

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

17

16:09:24 1 Q In addition to the defendants hiring some of these
2 hackers in 1997, they also engaged in reverse engineering
3 and hacking its competitors' technology?

4 A Correct.

5 Q And you were principally involved in those efforts
6 weren't you?

7 A I was.

8 Q You and the gentleman that the jury heard from this
9 morning, Zvi Shkedy?

10 A Yes.

11 Q If I understand it correctly, Mr. Shkedy was the
12 defendants' engineer that reverse engineered the hardware on
13 the chip, correct?

14 A Yes.

15 Q In other words, he popped that chip out and used some
16 of the techniques taught by Mr. Kommerling to pull the
17 code -- the secret encryption code out of that chip; is that
18 correct?

19 A I would not agree with the definition of "secret

20 encryption code."

21 Q Let's briefing go through the steps from your
22 deposition.

23 A Okay.

24 Q Mr. Shkedy first pulled the chip, the CPU or
25 microcontroller, off of Echostar's access card?

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

18

16:10:36 1 A Yes.

2 Q After he did that, he used nitric acid to dissolve the
3 epoxy that was surrounding that chip?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Once he did that, he used hydrofluoric acid to delayer
6 the chip?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Then he used an optical microscope to analyze the fine
9 layers that he had decapsulized from the chip?

10 A Yes.

11 Q After he did that, Mr. Shkedy engaged in what you
12 called a biological process where he used his mind to
13 analyze the chip, correct?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Then Mr. Shkedy used a FIB, or what we have referred to
16 as a focus ion beam, in order to disconnect the instruction
17 latch and expose the data bus on that chip?

18 A In this particular chip, yes.

19 Q And these are some of the same invasive attack
20 techniques that Mr. Kommerling had taught you and Zvi
21 Shkedy?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Now, once Mr. Shkedy was able to pull the code out of
24 Echostar's microcontroller, that's where you came in; is
25 that right?

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

16:11:53 1 A Yes.
2 Q You were a software engineer?
3 A Yes.
4 Q And it was your job on behalf of the defendants to take
5 that code and disassemble it, correct?
6 A Yes.
7 Q Can you explain to the jury what disassembling of code
8 means?
9 A Disassembling of code consists of turning the binary
10 code, or what was written in machine language which is not
11 understandable by humans, into kind of a language that can
12 be understandable by humans and can be analyzed. This
13 process is called disassembling.
14 Q During the course of your work -- let me back up for a
15 second. The project that you and Zvi Shkedy worked on for
16 the defendants where you reverse engineered Echostar's
17 Conditional Access System was referred to as the Headend
18 Project; is that correct?
19 A Yes.
20 Q Now, your portion of the Headend Project, about how
21 many months did that take?
22 A I started to work on the code that Zvi Shkedy extracted
23 from the chip in April -- I believe it was in the beginning
24 of April 1998. It took me almost four months -- or
25 four-and-a-half months to get a complete understanding of

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

16:13:25 1 this code, and after that I prepared a report about the
2 findings on this project.
3 Q During your work on the Headend Project, in addition to
4 disassembling the code, you also analyzed that code?
5 A Yes.
6 Q In order to perform that analysis, you created certain

April 10, 2008 Volume 4 Mordinson Juror schedule.txt
7 software applications?

8 A Yes.

9 Q One of those software applications was a program called
10 SC Talk, correct?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And the SC stands for Smart Card?

13 A Yes.

14 Q What was the purpose of creating that application?

15 A The SC Talk application was helping to communicate with
16 the Smart Card.

17 Q You also developed a software for purposes of the
18 Echostar project called Get ATR, correct?

19 A Yes.

20 Q What does the ATR stand for?

21 A ATR stands for after to recent. According to the
22 standard, which every Smart Card should comply with, the
23 first message that Smart Card sends in response to a recent
24 signal to the device that reads the -- hosts the Smart Card
25 is a short message. This message is a standard message.

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

21

16:15:04 1 THE COURT: Move the microphone just a little
2 closer to you. Thank you.

3 BY MR. HAGAN:

4 Q Now, SC Talk and Get ATR were not the only software
5 applications that you personally developed for use in the
6 Echostar project, correct?

7 A Yes.

8 Q You also developed a software application that you
9 referred to as Sniff Host?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And can you explain to the jury what the purpose of
12 that application was?

13 A Sniff Host was an application that was communicating
14 with a sniffer device, therefore the name, and this
15 application was responsible to get information from a

16 sniffer device and record it on a file on a disk of a
17 personal computer.

18 Q Now, did you develop any other software applications
19 for purposes of the Echostar project other than Sniff Host,
20 Get ATR, and SC Talk?

21 A As far as I recall, I developed also file forma
22 converters, which had to convert data from one forma to
23 another.

24 Q And that software application was used to convert some
25 of the code that Zvi Shkedy had extracted from the card,

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

‡

22

16:16:32 1 correct?

2 A Among other things, yes.

3 Q Now, did anyone assist you in developing these software
4 applications that you used and the defendants used in
5 reverse engineering EchoStar's technology?

6 A No.

7 Q You developed those software applications as part of
8 your work for the defendants?

9 A Yes.

10 Q You developed those software applications specifically
11 for use in reverse engineering work that you did for the
12 defendants, correct?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Have you patented any of these software applications?

15 A I'm sorry?

16 Q Have you applied for patents on any of the software
17 applications that you developed?

18 A According to my knowledge, software is not subject for
19 patenting.

20 Q Have you applied for any sort of intellectual property
21 rights for the these software applications that you
22 developed?

23 A I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?

April 10, 2008 Volume 4 Mordinson Juror schedule.txt
24 Q Have you made an application for any intellectual
25 property rights for the software applications that you

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

23

16:17:36 1 developed for use in the Echostar project?

2 A No.

3 Q Once you were able to disassemble the code, you
4 analyzed Echostar's code in order to try to out the memory
5 locations?

6 A Yes.

7 Q You mapped out for instance where the RAM or Random
8 Access Memory starts?

9 A Yes.

10 Q You mapped out where the ROM and EEPROM memory
11 locations are?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Can you explain to the jury the difference between ROM
14 and EEPROM that you analyzed from Echostar's code?

15 A Certainly. ROM stands for read on the memory. This
16 memory is programmed in the production stage and cannot be
17 modified during the lifetime of the Smart Card or the chip.
18 EEPROM stands for electronically erasable programmable read
19 on the memory, which can be modified anytime and data
20 retained in this memory even if the power supply is
21 disconnected.

22 Q Now, once you have mapped out the memory locations, you
23 began to study and analyze those memory locations as part of
24 your work on the reverse engineering project, correct?

25 THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry, counsel. Can you

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

24

16:19:25 1 repeat the question again?

2 BY MR. HAGAN:

3 Q April 10, 2008 Volume 4 Mordinson Juror schedule.txt
Once you mapped out the memory locations from

4 Echostar's code, you then analyzed those memory locations
5 and the ROM, RAM, and EEPROM code contained within them?

6 A Actually, the code is contained on the ROM and EEPROM.
7 RAM does not contain any code because it's Random Access
8 Memory, and its content is lost when the power supply is
9 disconnected.

10 Q Thank you. You are the expert in this case. Let me
11 rephrase my question.

12 Once you mapped out the ROM and EEPROM, you analyzed
13 both those memory locations and the code as part of your
14 work for the defendants, correct?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And one of the reasons that you analyzed that code was
17 to determine whether or not there were vulnerabilities or
18 weaknesses in Echostar's security system, correct?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And, in fact, that was the main goal to use your words
21 of the Headend Project, correct?

22 A No.

23 Q What was the main goal then?

24 A The main goal of this project was to get the complete
25 understanding of the Echostar -- Nagra Conditional Access

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

25

16:20:57 1 system. That's it.

2 Q When you say Nagra, you are referring to Echostar's
3 system supplied by NagraStar?

4 A Yes.

5 Q The plaintiffs in this case?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Now, once you extracted the code, converted the code,
8 analyzed the code, you were able to determine that there
9 were certain inherent flaws or vulnerabilities in that code;
10 isn't that correct?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And one of those was the IO buffer overflow?
13 A It's not exactly that.
14 Q Are you familiar with the term "IO buffer overflow"?
15 A Yes.
16 Q In fact, that term is in the document that you created,
17 the Headend Report, that we looked at earlier today?
18 A Yes.
19 Q And IO stands for "input/output"; is that correct?
20 A Yes.
21 Q Explain to the jury what you mean by buffer overflow.
22 A Certainly. The buffer overflow technique or IO buffer
23 overflow technique consists of filling the IO buffer, which
24 is supposed to store the data that is passed through the
25 Smart Card, so filling this buffer -- this extra information

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

26

16:22:19 1 will override the data which is located after -- in memory
2 located after this IO buffer is placed in it. In this way,
3 somebody can modify the data which was not supposed to be
4 modified in RAM.
5 Q Now, the microprocessor that the defendants used at
6 this time didn't have an IO buffer overflow vulnerability
7 did it?
8 A No.
9 Q So studying that particular vulnerability in Echostar's
10 system certainly didn't help NDS improve its technologies in
11 any way, correct?
12 A Okay, yes.
13 Q In addition, you also determined through your analysis
14 of Echostar's system that you could execute code in the RAM
15 portion of the memory; is that correct?
16 A Yes, that's correct.
17 Q And what do you mean by executing code in RAM? Can you
18 explain that to the jury?
19 A Codes, which means machine instructions that the media

April 10, 2008 Volume 4 Mordinson Juror schedule.txt
20 processor of the Smart Card is executing, they allocate it
21 in memory and are fetched one by one by the microprocessor.
22 These instructions can be located in memory which can be
23 RAM, ROM, or EEPROM in this particular architecture, so
24 putting codes or putting instructions into RAM isn't
25 different from putting them into ROM or into EEPROM. All it

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

27

16:24:09 1 takes is just to make the microprocessor execute them.
2 Q And you determined from the defendants' analysis and
3 your analysis of Echostar's security system that you could
4 execute code in the RAM portion of the card, correct?
5 A Yes.
6 Q That includes malicious or pirate code?
7 A Not necessarily.
8 Q In fact, you used some of that type of code in order to
9 dump the EEPROM, for example?
10 A I used code to dump EEPROM.
11 Q And you executed that code in the RAM portion of the
12 memory?
13 A Yes.
14 Q Now, you also determined from reverse engineering
15 Echostar's security system that there was an inherit
16 characteristic called RAM ghosting effect or address
17 aliasing; is that correct?
18 A RAM ghost effect, yes.
19 Q Can you explain to the ladies and gentlemen what RAM
20 ghost effect is?
21 A Actually, it's more general than RAM ghost effect.
22 It's memory ghost effect. A good analogy for this can be if
23 you look at the number of a house at a particular address
24 and you just ignore, for example, the hundred of this number
25 and look only at 10 and the rest, the last two digits of the

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

16:25:59 1 house -- if you are delivering correspondence, for example,
2 to house No. 101 or 201 or No. 1, the oldest correspondence
3 will arrive exactly at the same house because there is no
4 difference between those addresses if you ignore the
5 hundred.

6 It's similar in a microprocessor. When you address
7 memory, you have to specify the number of the house that you
8 are addressing it to. If you ignore the major part of the
9 address -- the more significant part of the address, you
10 will get -- it will get to the same location even if you
11 address it to a different location.

12 Q Now, it took me quite a bit of time to understand this
13 concept in the deposition, so let me see if I have it right
14 now, and let's try to explain it to the jury in a way that
15 they can get their arms around it.

16 Your hacking and reverse engineering of Echostar's
17 security system identified three characteristics: the IO
18 buffer overflow, the RAM ghost effect, and the ability to
19 execute code in RAM, correct?

20 A The third is a particular technique that utilizes the
21 latter two. I would say the memory ghost effect or memory
22 analyzing and the possibility to execute code from RAM. IO
23 buffer overflow is the technique that used these two
24 vulnerabilities.

25 Q So the IO buffer overflow was the exploit or the

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

16:28:08 1 technique that you were able to use in order to leverage the
2 other two vulnerabilities: the RAM ghost effect and the
3 executing code in RAM, correct? Do you want me to repeat
4 it?

5 THE INTERPRETER: Yes.

6 BY MR. HAGAN:

7 Q Utilizing the IO buffer, in other words, overflowing

8 that buffer was the method that you discovered to leverage
9 both the RAM ghost effect and the ability to execute code in
10 RAM?

11 THE COURT: You may have to break that down if
12 it's being translated. Let's make sure the translator got
13 that.

14 THE WITNESS: What do you mean by leverage?

15 MR. HAGAN: Let me try to rephrase it.

16 BY MR. HAGAN:

17 Q You developed a technique in order to hack Echostar's
18 ROM 3 access card, correct?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And that technique included overflowing the
21 input/output buffer, correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Once you overflowed the buffer, you were able to use
24 the RAM ghosting effect or address aliasing to remap code
25 that overflow the buffer to other parts of the RAM memory

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

30

16:29:39 1 locations, correct?

2 A Let me explain it in my way.

3 Q Certainly.

4 A As I explained the principles of IO buffer overflow, by
5 overflowing the buffer, I was able to implant code or put
6 the code into the RAM and make the microprocessor execute
7 it, and the basic of this method or technique was the memory
8 ghost effect and the possibility to execute code from RAM.
9 That's it.

10 Q You also -- in addition to the IO buffer overflow, the
11 RAM ghost effect, and the ability to execute code in RAM,
12 you determined that there were two other characteristics for
13 Echostar's card? Those were the index variable and
14 exception handling; is that correct?

15 A I don't recall.

April 10, 2008 Volume 4 Mordinson Juror schedule.txt
16 Q Are you familiar with the term "index variable" as it
17 relates to Echostar's security system?
18 A I don't recall that. Sorry.
19 Q We will take a look at your Headend Report a little bit
20 later, and we will see if it refreshes your recollection.
21 Once you finished your analysis of Echostar's security
22 system, the code that had been extracted, you used that
23 information to develop or construct a method to hack
24 Echostar's card, correct?
25 A Yes.

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

31

16:31:25 1 Q Prior to doing that, though, you had to get some type
2 of data communication logs from an Echostar receiver and a
3 Echostar Smart Card, correct?
4 A Yes.
5 Q In order to get that information, you and Zvi Shkedy
6 came over to the United States, correct?
7 A Yes.
8 Q When was that?
9 A The first time was in June 1998.
10 Q And you and Mr. Shkedy flew over to the United States
11 from Israel, correct?
12 A Yes.
13 Q You flew over to the United States as part of your work
14 for the defendants?
15 A Yes.
16 Q And you flew over to the United States pursuant to
17 their instructions in fact, correct?
18 A Yes.
19 Q When you got to the United States, though, you didn't
20 go to an NDS office did you?
21 A No, we didn't.
22 Q You didn't go to an NDS employee's home did you?
23 A No.
24 Q In fact, you didn't go to any official establishment at

25 all did you?

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

32

16:32:32 1 A We didn't.

2 Q You were instructed to go to two homes of private
3 citizens that you didn't know, correct?

4 A We were instructed to go to one private home, and the
5 second was our decision.

6 Q The second was your personal decision?

7 A Not my personal decision, but we experienced some
8 difficulties in the first house, and, therefore, we had to
9 use an alternative location.

10 Q Let me back up for a second and make sure we understand
11 this.

12 The defendants instructed you to fly over to the
13 United States in order to log the data stream between one of
14 my client's Smart Cards and their set-top-boxes, correct?

15 A Yes.

16 Q But they didn't tell you to go to any official
17 establishment? They told you to go to this private
18 residence?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Did you ask anyone why you weren't going to an NDS
21 office or any type of official facility to conduct this
22 research?

23 A No, I didn't ask.

24 Q Is there any particular reason why not?

25 A No.

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

33

16:33:46 1 Q At your deposition, you told me that the reason was
2 that it was obvious? The Headend Project was supposed to be
3 kept secret, correct?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And not just the part of the project when you flew over
6 to the United States with Mr. Shkedy but all aspects of the
7 project, correct?

8 A Yes.

9 Q All the work that you did and Zvi Shkedy did on behalf
10 of the defendants to hack Echostar's security system, you
11 you were instructed to keep that secret?

12 A Yes.

13 Q When you got to the United States, you had to capture
14 this data stream? You used a device that the defendants
15 developed; is that right?

16 A Yes, we developed it.

17 Q That device is called the sniffer?

18 A Yes.

19 Q As I understand it, that device plugs into both the
20 Echostar receiver and then has a slot for the Echostar Smart
21 Card, correct?

22 A You don't describe it exactly, but in principle, that's
23 it.

24 MR. HAGAN: Your Honor, can we set up a
25 demonstrative in the well of the courtroom? I have spoken

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

34

16:34:55 1 to Mr. Snyder about this at the break, and it's my
2 understanding that the defendants do not have any objection.

3 THE COURT: As long as you both agree, I am more
4 than pleased do that.

5 MR. SNYDER: If it's the one we were shown, Your
6 Honor, we have no objection.

7 BY MR. HAGAN:

8 Q While we are going to get that, let's talk a little bit
9 about this process that you and Zvi Shkedy engaged in when
10 you came to the United States.

11 You hooked this device up, the sniffer, that the

April 10, 2008 Volume 4 Mordinson Juror schedule.txt
12 defendants developed to an Echostar subscriber's system,
13 correct?
14 A Yes.
15 Q And that device was able to capture the data stream
16 that went back and forth between the Echostar Smart Card and
17 the Echostar receiver, correct?
18 A Yes.
19 Q And you needed to log that data as part of your efforts
20 to hack Echostar's security system or at least to speed up
21 the process?
22 A To speed up the process, yes.
23 Q Now, Mr. Mordinson, we heard Zvi Shkedy testify earlier
24 today that the reason you had to come to the United States
25 was because you weren't able to receive Echostar's encrypted

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

35

16:36:40 1 signal from NDS's office in Israel; is that correct?
2 A Yes.
3 Q So you came over here to do it, right?
4 A Over here?
5 Q Over to the United States.
6 A Yes.
7 Q And when you got here, you set this equipment up, and
8 you logged the data stream for what, 10 minutes, 20 minutes?
9 A I believe it was longer.
10 Q And you logged the data stream at a particular time?
11 In other words, you didn't just log the data stream coming
12 down from any program or any channel? You needed to log
13 that data stream when the card was being paired or married
14 to the receiver; is that correct?
15 A Yes.
16 Q Can you explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury
17 what that process is for Echostar's system, the process of
18 pairing or marrying a Smart Card with a receiver?
19 A The Smart Card as counsel said is married to the
20 set-top-box in the way that the Smart Card and the

21 set-top-box is the same key in order -- used in order to
22 encrypt the communication -- certain communication between
23 the Smart Card and IOD after the authorization or after the
24 pairing.

25 MR. HAGAN: Can we take a look at the picture that

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

36

16:38:10 1 has been marked as Plaintiff's Demonstrative No. 5 I
2 believe? It's a picture of the sniffer.

3 BY MR. HAGAN:

4 Q I am handing you a copy of what we have previously used
5 in this trial as Plaintiff's Demonstrative No. 5. Can you
6 tell the jury what this is?

7 A Yes. From what I can see, I can see a sniffer device
8 which is upside down of course for some reason. I see a
9 Smart Card slot, and I see a tong, which is an adapter, and
10 a flex cable connection between the devices.

11 Q This is the device that the defendants built?

12 A Yes.

13 Q If I understand it, the way this device works is you
14 take Echostar's Smart Card, and you put it into one side of
15 it? There is a slot for it?

16 A I can't hear you.

17 Q You put an Echostar Smart Card into the slot in this
18 device that you call the sniffer?

19 A Correct.

20 Q And the other part of that device goes into the
21 Echostar receiver?

22 A Yes, that's correct.

23 Q And the purpose of this device is to capture the data
24 stream that goes between the receiver and the card?

25 A Yes.

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

16:39:47 1 Q Once you got that information, what did you do? What
2 was the next step in your process to develop a hack for
3 Echostar's security system?

4 A I analyzed messages running between the Smart Card and
5 the set-top-box because the communication between those
6 devices consists of messages, so I couldn't distinguish
7 between messages. By analyzing the software of the Smart
8 Card, I could identify particular functions or particular
9 blocks in the software which were responsible for parsing or
10 processing those messages. In that way, it helped to speed
11 up the process of analyzing.

12 THE COURT: Let's be careful with the terms.

13 Is a set-top-box the same as the receiver?

14 MR. HAGAN: Yes.

15 THE COURT: Well, then you are going to change it.
16 You used receiver, and the gentleman used set-top-boxup box.
17 I just want to make sure the jury understands that.

18 BY MR. HAGAN:

19 Q Let's use the term you are familiar with,
20 "set-top-box."

21 So the sniffer goes between the set-top-box and the
22 card?

23 A No.

24 Q Are there any other components outside of the access
25 card, the set-top-box, the sniffer, and the computer that

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

38

16:41:14 1 would you use to log the data?

2 A According to my knowledge and according to what you
3 represented, I can see that this device is connected in
4 parallel to the communication line between the Smart Card
5 and the set-top-box.

6 Q Is this the same device that you and Zvi Shkedy used in
7 the United States?

8 A April 10, 2008 Volume 4 Mordinson Juror schedule.txt
I believe so.

9 Q I will represent to you that your lawyers in this case
10 produced this device for us to inspect. You don't have any
11 reason to believe that they gave us a different device do
12 you?

13 A No.

14 Q Now, once you had that logged data stream, you went
15 back over to the defendants' office in Israel?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And you used that information as well as all of the
18 other information that you and Zvi Shkedy developed in order
19 to create a hack for Echostar's system?

20 A In order to analyze the Echostar system.

21 Q Well, you went a little bit further than that. Let's
22 be fair.

23 You not only analyzed it, but you created a method to
24 hack it?

25 A That's correct.

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

‡

39

16:42:26 1 Q In fact, that's what you put in the Headend Report,
2 among other things?

3 A Among other things, yes.

4 Q Now, once you developed that hack, you didn't stop at
5 that point. You went a little bit further. You had to come
6 back to North America to test that hack?

7 A Yes.

8 Q When was that?

9 A It was in September 1998.

10 MR. HAGAN: Permission to approach the well.

11 THE COURT: You may.

12 BY MR. HAGAN:

13 Q Again, in September '98, it was you and Zvi Shkedy that
14 came back over here to the United States?

15 A I didn't hear you.

16 Q In September '98, the second trip, it was you and Zvi

17 Shkedy again?

18 A Yes.

19 Q You came on this second trip as part of your work for
20 the defendants?

21 A Yes.

22 Q They knew that you were coming over here to test the
23 hack that you had developed?

24 A Who?

25 Q The defendants. NDS knew that you and Zvi Shkedy were

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

40

16:43:29 1 coming to the United States to test this hack?

2 A Yes.

3 Q When you got to the United States -- you flew from
4 Israel to Baltimore?

5 A Yes.

6 Q What did you do next?

7 A In Baltimore, we had a rental car. We went to a house,
8 private house, of Ms. Vared (phonetic). We took the
9 set-top-box from her, and we returned to Baltimore by the
10 same car. We took a flight to Cleveland, and from
11 Cleveland, we took another car, a rental car, and went to
12 Windsor, Ontario, Canada.

13 Q Let me make sure I understand this. You flew to
14 Baltimore, rented a car, and you went and picked up a
15 Echostar receiver?

16 A Yes.

17 Q You didn't get that receiver from Echostar, right?

18 A No.

19 Q In fact, even though you could have purchased that
20 receiver at Wal Mart or Target or Sears, you didn't do that
21 either?

22 A No, we didn't.

23 Q You went to a private citizen's house to?

24 A Yes.

25 Q April 10, 2008 Volume 4 Mordinson Juror schedule.txt
One of the individuals that you came to the first time

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

41

16:44:56 1 to capture the data stream between the receiver and the
2 Smart Card?

3 A Yes.

4 Q You brought with you one of the Echostar Smart Cards
5 that you had in the office in Israel?

6 A Yes.

7 Q You also brought a computer with you?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And on that computer, you had the hack software, the
10 program, that you had developed for Echostar's system,
11 correct?

12 A No. I composed it later. Let me explain. When
13 counsel are talking about the software -- actually the
14 image, the hacking, the knowledge existed. For example, the
15 method or the technique to override IO buffer and implement
16 code into the Smart existed on my computer. It was not
17 particularly ready for establishing the hack. The image or
18 what was tested was composed at a later stage in Ontario,
19 Canada.

20 Q So you actually finalized the development of the hack
21 in North America?

22 A In Canada.

23 Q In North America?

24 A Yes.

25 Q During the second trip that you made to the

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

42

16:46:19 1 United States into Canada?

2 A Yes.

3 Q So you picked the receiver. You got your Smart Card,

April 10, 2008 Volume 4 Mordinson Juror schedule.txt
4 and you got the software that you had developed, and you
5 finalized that on this trip? You rented another car, and
6 you drove across the border into Ontario?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And when you got to Ontario, you didn't go to an NDS
9 office, right?

10 A There is no NDS office in Ontario.

11 Q You didn't go to any official office did you?

12 A No.

13 Q You went to a basement in someone's house that you
14 didn't know, correct?

15 A I didn't know, yes.

16 Q You went to the basement?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And when you got to the basement of this person's house
19 you didn't know, you hooked up the Echostar receiver that
20 you got in the United States to a satellite DISH like this
21 one?

22 A It was not the DISH network. It was Express View.

23 Q Bell Express?

24 A Bell Express.

25 Q You understood at that time that Bell Express View also

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

43

16:47:22 1 used the encryption technology developed and provided to
2 Echostar by NagraStar?

3 A I realized it later during the trip.

4 Q So once you looked up the satellite DISH to the
5 Echostar receiver, what did you do next?

6 A I'm sorry?

7 Q What did you do after you hooked up the Echostar
8 receiver with the satellite DISH?

9 A I took the Smart Card that I brought from Israel and I
10 finalized the image or the memory -- the EEPROM memory
11 content for this card. I wrote this image to the card, and
12 then I inserted it into the set-top-box.

13 Q Does this look like one of the Echostar Smart Cards
14 that you used?
15 A No.
16 Q What did the one you used look like?
17 A It was a blue color with a lot of types of various
18 programming on it, with DISH network logo on it on the image
19 side.
20 Q So the card was blue, and it had DISH network on it?
21 A Yes.
22 Q And different programming logos like HBO, Cinemax,
23 Showtime --
24 A Like that. I don't remember exactly.
25 Q On the back of that card was the microprocessor?

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

44

16:48:53 1 A Yes.
2 Q That Zvi Shkedy ripped out of the card and reverse
3 engineered and you analyzed?
4 A Like that one, yes.
5 Q Once you had the card you had to reprogram the card in
6 order to test the hack you developed?
7 A Yes.
8 Q And you built a device to reprogram Echostar's access
9 card, correct -- actually, you modified the sniffer that you
10 had already developed?
11 A Yes.
12 Q With some of the software that you had developed?
13 A Yes.
14 Q So you took Echostar's card -- let me back up. It was
15 Echostar's card at the time, right? It said "DISH Network"
16 on it?
17 A It was DISH Network I believe.
18 Q You take Echostar card and you reprogram it with the
19 sniffer device that the defendants developed?
20 A Yes.

April 10, 2008 Volume 4 Mordinson Juror schedule.txt
21 Q And then you put the card into the receiver, correct?
22 A Yes.
23 Q Did it work?
24 A Yes.
25 Q Initially or did you have to take a couple of steps?

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

45

16:49:53 1 A The reception signal was not sufficient to establish
2 the reception of the satellite signal -- I'm sorry. From
3 the beginning, because we used not the original DISH network
4 satellite DISH, the strength of the signal that we managed
5 to acquire by this -- receive by this satellite DISH was not
6 sufficient to establish a satellite system.

7 The problem was that the LNB that you see in the
8 presentation of the block in the front of the DISH of the
9 antenna -- this block was not suitable for receiving a
10 signal from a DISH network satellite, so we had to somehow
11 acquire or to buy this suitable LNB, or low noise block,
12 which will give us enough strength of the signal.

13 Q The LNB that you were using initially was for a Bell
14 Express View system?

15 A Yes.

16 Q So you tried to hack. It didn't work. Then you
17 sent -- Zvi Shkedy went back into the United States to get
18 an LNB that would work for Echostar's system?

19 A Yes.

20 Q He brings that back across the border to Canada, and
21 you hook up that up to the DISH?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Then you test your hack again?

24 A Actually we didn't test the hack before. We didn't
25 manage to establish the satellite system. The reception was

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

46

16:51:41 1 very bad. Therefore, that was the reason to obtain the LNB
2 or the part of antenna which was suitable for such a system,
3 and once we obtained the low noise block suitable antenna,
4 we tested the card.

5 Q Once you put the Echostar LNB onto the satellite DISH,
6 you were able to successfully test the hack that you
7 developed?

8 A Yes.

9 Q It opened up all of Echostar's channels?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And you knew that because you looked at the EPG, the
12 Electronic Programming Guide?

13 A Yes.

14 Q So the hack that you developed on behalf of the
15 defendants for Echostar's security system was a success?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Let's back up for just a second. You mentioned
18 programming a minute ago.

19 You understand as part of your work for NDS that the
20 programming content is scrambled because it's copyrighted?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And NDS or DirecTV just like Echostar is required to
23 take certain steps in order to protect that copyrighted
24 programming, correct?

25 A I understand that, yes.

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

47

16:53:09 1 Q And one of those steps is to develop and utilize these
2 Conditional Access Systems?

3 A Yes.

4 Q You understand that the copyrighted programming for
5 Echostar and for DirecTV is sent out to its subscribers
6 across the United States?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And those subscribers pay a monthly fee for that

9 programming?
10 A I believe so.
11 Q As you understand it?
12 A Yes.
13 Q So if the hack that you developed for the defendants
14 and successfully tested in Canada with Zvi Shkedy were to
15 get out into the pirate community, that would allow them to
16 steal Echostar's copyrighted programming, correct?
17 A Hypothetically speaking, yes.
18 Q Well, we don't have to talk about hypothetically,
19 though, because you know that there was a hack methodology
20 posted on the Internet on a pirate website in December of
21 2000?
22 A I got this knowledge in the summer of last year, yes.
23 Q You took a look at that methodology?
24 A Yes.
25 Q You took a look at the instructions to hack Echostar's

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

48

16:54:29 1 system that was posted on the Internet?
2 A Can you be specific when?
3 Q You certainly looked at before you gave sworn
4 deposition testimony in this case?
5 A Yes.
6 Q And you agreed with me at your deposition that there
7 were certain fundamental similarities between the hack that
8 was posted on the Internet and the hack that you developed
9 on behalf of the defendants, correct?
10 A They use the same -- they utilize the same weaknesses
11 and vulnerabilities, yes.
12 Q They use both hacks, the -- we will call the one on the
13 Internet the Nipper hack because you understood that that
14 was the alias they posted it?
15 A Can you repeat, please?
16 Q Your understanding of the hack that was posted on the

April 10, 2008 Volume 4 Mordinson Juror schedule.txt
17 Internet was posted under an alias Nipper, right?

18 A I don't remember that. It was represented to me in
19 August last year, 2007, during preparation for my
20 deposition. I didn't pay attention what was the name or
21 alias of the person who posted it.

22 Q You are familiar with the name Nipper? You have heard
23 that term before?

24 A Yes.

25 Q In fact, you discovered the term "Nipper" embedded

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

49

16:56:15 1 inside Echostar's code that was pulled out of the
2 microcontroller?

3 A I found this name in Echostar's EEPROM, yes.

4 Q In Echostar's code that you pulled out of the
5 microcontroller?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Now, you agreed with me at your deposition that the
8 hack that was posted on the Internet and the hack that you
9 developed for the defendants both used the IO buffer
10 overflow method of attack, correct?

11 A Yes.

12 Q You also agreed that both of those hack methodologies
13 exploit the RAM ghost effect or address-aliasing
14 characteristic of Echostar's system?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And that was an inherent characteristic that you
17 discovered through your work on the Headend Project?

18 A I discover this, yes.

19 Q You also agree that both of those hacking methodologies
20 use the ability to execute code in RAM in order to work,
21 correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q After you tested the hack that you developed for
24 Echostar's system, you went back over to NDS's office in
25 Israel?

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

50

16:57:45 1 A Yes.
2 Q And you created a report, correct?
3 A Yes.
4 Q And you called that the Headend Report?
5 A Yes.
6 Q In that report, you described in specific detail the
7 steps that you took to hack Echostar's security system?
8 A Yes.
9 Q You also you also described the IO buffer overflow, the
10 RAM ghost effect?
11 A Yes.
12 Q And the ability to execute code in RAM, qualities of
13 the card that you had discovered, correct?
14 A Yes.
15 Q And then you distributed this report to people within
16 the Haifa Research Team?
17 A Correct.
18 MR. HAGAN: Your Honor it's 5:00. I am at a
19 stopping point in the cross-examination. If the jury wants
20 to go home, we can conclude for the day.
21 THE COURT: All right.
22 You are admonished not to discuss this case
23 amongst yourselves or form or express any opinion on the
24 case.
25 Let me speak to two jurors.

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

51

16:58:57 1 Ms. Harris, would you remain.
2 And, Mr. Bender, would you remain.
3 The rest of you have a nice evening. See you
4 tomorrow morning.

5 Let me speak to each of you individually.

6 Mr. Bender, could you wait out in the hall for a
7 minute.

8 Sir, you may return tomorrow at 8:00. You may
9 step down.

10 (Jury not present.)

11 THE COURT: We are going to resolve this issue you
12 have been asking Christy about.

13 There was some concern initially about your
14 employer. I am just checking back in. Christy called your
15 employer. You have a very good employer who is going to let
16 you serve on jury service, but to decrease your hours and so
17 you can get some sleep, you have to make a couple of
18 requests.

19 Your supervisor indicated they have already
20 adjusted your schedule, so you are not part of the schedule
21 during the your jury service in this trial, and they are
22 going to pay during the duration of the trial. She did
23 indicate if you want to change your shift that you could
24 ask, and they would be flexible. So make that request.
25 They are going to cooperate, and they are going to allow you

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

52

17:01:48 1 to sit.

2 (Ms. Harris exits courtroom.)

3 THE COURT: All right, let's have Mr. Bender come
4 in this morning. He had some additional scheduling
5 problems. Let's hear what they are so we are all cognizant
6 of them.

7 (Mr. Bender enters courtroom.)

8 THE COURT: Mr. Bender, you had some additional
9 scheduling issues you would like to talk to us about.

10 JUROR BENDER: First of all, as to my daughter's
11 graduation, that's one of the things I want to make sure I
12 can attend.

13 THE COURT: You will be at your daughter's
14 graduation. What are those dates again?
15 JUROR BENDER: From the 8th of May through the
16 12th of May.
17 THE COURT: May 8 is a Thursday. The 9th is a
18 Friday, and then the 12th is Monday.
19 JUROR BENDER: That's correct.
20 THE COURT: When does your daughter actually
21 graduate?
22 JUROR BENDER: On the 10th.
23 THE COURT: So you want to fly out on Thursday?
24 JUROR BENDER: The flight I want to leave on --
25 THE COURT: Probably the early morning flight.

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

53

17:03:55 1 JUROR BENDER: The only one available is the Sky
2 Bus Airline out of Burbank at 8:40 in the morning.
3 THE COURT: Then we are forewarned, and we could
4 potentially lose two days in there, but all counsel are
5 aware of that. You are going to your daughter's graduation.
6 You can take the anguish off your family and yourself.
7 How else can we help you?
8 JUROR BENDER: On the 22nd of April, I am
9 committed -- I am a finalist to give a presentation to the
10 Orange County Transit Authority for a quarter million dollar
11 project for management and leadership development, and I
12 need to be there. That's the only day that they have
13 available for me.
14 THE COURT: I used to know the board over there.
15 JUROR BENDER: I can get you a name.
16 THE COURT: Give me the name. They are going to
17 cooperate with you. They are going to cooperate with us.
18 They are very nice people. You give me the name because I
19 want to keep the jury going. We are not going to harm your
20 profession. We will make that work. Let me get a name from
21 you tomorrow. Don't start calling yourself. Let me make

22 some inquiries. I probably know 90 percent of people on
23 that board.

24 Thank you. We will see you at 8:00 tomorrow.
25 (Mr. Bender exits courtroom.)

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

54

17:05:48 1 THE COURT: Let's go off the record.
2 (Discussion off the record.)
3 (Proceedings were adjourned.)

4 -ooo-

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀

55

17:05:50

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

-000-

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753,
Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing is a true and
correct transcript of the stenographically reported
proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the
transcript page format is in conformance with the
regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

Date: April 10, 2008

Sharon A. Seffens 4/10/08

SHARON A. SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

SHARON SEFFENS, U.S. COURT REPORTER

♀