

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, JUDGE PRESIDING

- - - - -

ECHOSTAR SATELLITE CORP., et)	
al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
vs.)	No. SACV 03-950 DOC
)	Day 2, Volume III
NDS GROUP PLC, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Jury Trial

Santa Ana, California

Thursday, April 10, 2008

Debbie Gale, CSR 9472, RPR
 Federal Official Court Reporter
 United States District Court
 411 West 4th Street, Room 1-053
 Santa Ana, California 92701
 (714) 558-8141

EchoStar 2008-04-10 D2V3

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFF ECHOSTAR SATELLITE CORPORATION, ET AL.:

T. WADE WELCH & ASSOCIATES

BY: CHAD M. HAGAN

CHRISTINE D. WILLETTS

ROSS WOOTEN

WADE WELCH

Attorneys at Law

2401 Fountainview

Suite 700

Houston, Texas 77057

(713) 952-4334

FOR DEFENDANT NDS GROUP PLC, ET AL.:

O'MELVENY & MYERS

BY: DARIN W. SNYDER

DAVID R. EBERHART

Attorneys at Law

275 Embarcadero Center West

Suite 2600

San Francisco, California 94111

(415) 984-8700

-and-

HOGAN & HARTSON

BY: RICHARD L. STONE

KENNETH D. KLEIN

Attorneys at Law

1999 Avenue of the Stars

Suite 1400

Los Angeles, California 90067

(310) 785-4600

ALSO PRESENT:

David Moskowitz

Dov Rubin

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

WITNESSES DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS

SHKEDY, Svi

By Mr. Noll

4

73

By Mr. Snyder

34

79

EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT NO.

IDENTIFICATION

IN EVIDENCE

2-A

Draft, Headend
Project Report

8

98

Final Headend Project
Report

19

459

E-mail

67

789

E-mail

61

791

Computer program

65

1 SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, APRIL 10, 2008

2 Day 2, Volume III

3 (1:00 p.m.)

4 (In the presence of the jury.)

5 THE COURT: All right. We're back in session.
6 The jury's present. All counsel are present. Thank you for
7 your courtesy.

8 Counsel, the witness, Mr. Shkedy, is present with
9 the interpreter. And, Counsel, if you'd like to continue
10 your direct examination. Once again for the record just
11 identify yourself.

12 MR. NOLL: Okay. David Noll for the plaintiffs,
13 Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

15 ZVI SHKEDY, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN,

16 RESUMED THE STAND

17 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

18
19 BY MR. NOLL:

20 Q. Mr. Shkedy, do you recall, before lunch -- before we
21 took our break, you were talking about the device that NDS
22 has in its offices in Haifa, Israel called the "sniffer."
23 Do you recall that, sir?

24 A. Yes, sir.

25 Q. This is a device that NDS has never patented; is that

1 correct?

2 A. That is correct.

3 Q. In fact, NDS has never applied for a patent for the
4 sniffer; is that correct?

5 A. As far as I know, you are correct.

6 Q. Okay. And you're not aware of NDS providing the
7 sniffer technology to anyone outside of NDS?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Okay. I want to talk to you a little bit about --
10 earlier you testified about reverse engineering, what you
11 did to hack the EchoStar Smart Card.

12 Now, we heard you talk a little bit about a focused ion
13 beam, and I think you said that NDS may have leased the
14 focused ion beam. Do you recall saying that?

15 A. I said that we lease the focused ion beam in '98.

16 Q. Okay. Now, does -- thank you for the time frame
17 clarification.

18 When did NDS purchase the focused ion beam?

19 A. We had a contract with a company name Micron from 1998
20 up to about 2000. And we had the FIB leased for this period
21 of time.

22 Q. So just to be clear, NDS does have in its lab in Haifa
23 a focused ion beam now; is that right?

24 A. Now, no.

25 Q. What happened to the one that NDS had?

1 A. The contract had been terminated on, I think it was
2 2001. And the company didn't want to extend the contract
3 further, the Micron, I mean, the one that --

4 THE INTERPRETER: The leasing company did not want
5 to continue the lease.

6 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

7 BY MR. NOLL:

8 Q. Going back to the 1998 time frame, which you testified
9 is when the EchoStar hack was done by NDS, would you say
10 that the focused ion beam was a rare piece of equipment?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Do you know how many labs around the world had a
13 focused ion beam in 1998?

14 A. I don't know, but I'm personally went twice to England,
15 to a different laboratory, and I use the FIB over there, the
16 focused ion beam.

17 Q. Now, when you went to England, did you do that in
18 connection with the EchoStar hack?

19 A. One time it was connection with the EchoStar. The
20 second time I went for evaluation of one of the NDS chip.

21 Q. All right. So we've now talked about what you did to
22 extract the codes from EchoStar's Smart Card. So what I
23 want to do now, going forward, is talk about what you did
24 with that information. Okay, sir?

25 A. Yes, sir.

1 Q. Now, you're aware of a report that was written by you
2 and David Mordinson concerning the EchoStar hack?

3 A. Of course.

4 Q. And this report is called the Headend Project Report;
5 is that correct?

6 A. It is correct.

7 Q. And I'm going to hand to you what's been premarked
8 Exhibit 2 and ask you to take a look at that document, sir.

9 A. Thank you. Yes, please.

10 Q. Okay. Do you recognize Exhibit 2, sir?

11 A. Yes, sir.

12 Q. And is it correct that this document is the first draft
13 of the Headend Project Report?

14 A. I don't know if this is a first draft. I have to look
15 further down. But it seems so.

16 MR. SNYDER: For the record, Your Honor, I believe
17 this is Exhibit 2-A.

18 THE COURT: 2-A?

19 THE WITNESS: 2-A, yes.

20 THE COURT: Thank you.

21 THE WITNESS: I don't know if this -- I cannot say
22 whether this is a draft or the final report. I have to go
23 through it. It will take some time. If you want, I can do
24 it.

25

1 BY MR. NOLL:

2 Q. Okay. There was two -- there was an initial draft and
3 then a final Headend Project Report; is that correct?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Okay. Now, the document that you're looking at, marked
6 2-A, that's a document that was made in Israel by you and
7 David Mordinson; is that correct?

8 A. It is correct.

9 Q. And you kept that document in the ordinary course of
10 business in the Haifa lab in Israel; is that correct?

11 A. We have it not as a hard copy but as a file on the
12 computer.

13 Q. Okay.

14 MR. NOLL: At this time Plaintiffs offer Exhibit 2
15 into evidence.

16 THE COURT: No objection?

17 MR. SNYDER: No objection to Exhibit 2-A.

18 MR. NOLL: 2-A, I'm sorry.

19 THE COURT: 2-A is received.

20 (Exhibit No. 2-A received in evidence.)

21 BY MR. NOLL:

22 Q. Take a look, sir, at the top right-hand corner of 2-A.
23 It has an October 27th, 1998 date. Do you see that, sir?

24 A. Yes, sir.

25 Q. Does that refresh your recollection that this was the

1 draft, the initial draft of the Headend Project Report?

2 A. I can browse through the document and see whether or
3 not this was the final or a draft. In the draft there are a
4 few paragraphs that are missing, and it had been edited
5 afterward to the final version. So I can go through there,
6 and then I will be sure whether or not this is a final or a
7 draft.

8 Q. Okay. Do you want to look -- would you like to do
9 that?

10 A. Yes.

11 THE COURT: Do you have my copy of 2-A?

12 MS. WILLETTS: Yes, Your Honor.

13 THE WITNESS: This is the draft. I can recognize
14 it by turning to page 11. And there are two paragraphs that
15 are missing that says "Zvi" that I had to add to the report.
16 So this is a draft.

17 BY MR. NOLL:

18 Q. Okay, sir. Let's focus your attention back to the
19 first page of Exhibit 2-A, please. I'm going to direct your
20 attention to No. 2, Hardware. Do you see that, sir?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. I'll read for you. Underneath that it says "the
23 SGS Thomson ST16CF54 CPU has a standard Motorola 6805
24 architecture with the following differences."

25 Did I read that correctly?

1 A. Yes, correct.

2 And may I add something?

3 Q. Sure.

4 A. In my deposition I made an error, and I said its
5 architecture is 8501 of Intel, and I found it when I browsed
6 through my deposition about a week ago. So I would like to
7 correct my deposition if it's possible.

8 Q. Thank you very much, sir.

9 Okay. Now, this particular architecture, this Thomson
10 ST16CF54 architecture, this is a chip that NDS never used;
11 isn't that correct, sir?

12 A. It is correct.

13 Q. Okay. And it's also true that during the time frame
14 that you were performing this EchoStar hack in 1998, NDS
15 didn't use any ST microprocessor; isn't that correct?

16 A. It is correct.

17 Q. And as far as you know, Mr. Shkedy, NDS had no business
18 relationship with ST in 1998; isn't that correct?

19 A. As far as I know, you are correct.

20 Q. And focusing back on this 1998 time frame when you were
21 performing the EchoStar hack, DirectTV's conditional access
22 technology had been compromised; isn't that correct?

23 A. It is correct.

24 Q. And NDS was the provider of DirectTV's conditional
25 access at that time?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. The exhibit we're looking at, Exhibit 2-A, this Headend
3 Project Report draft, this wasn't prepared in an effort by
4 NDS in order to fight the compromise of the DirecTV system;
5 is that true, sir?

6 A. Can you please repeat. I didn't --

7 Q. Sure. You just testified that the DirecTV system was
8 hacked in 1998, right?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And focusing on this exhibit, 2-A, this document was
11 not prepared by NDS in any effort to try to fight against
12 the compromise of DirecTV's system, correct?

13 A. One moment.

14 (Witness confers with interpreter.)

15 THE INTERPRETER: Can you kindly repeat the
16 question?

17 BY MR. NOLL:

18 Q. Sure. At the time you created this Headend Project
19 Report, Exhibit 2-A --

20 THE INTERPRETER: (Interprets.)

21 BY MR. NOLL:

22 Q. -- you didn't do so in order to combat against the
23 piracy that DirecTV was suffering.

24 THE INTERPRETER: (Interprets.)

25 THE WITNESS: Of course, no.

1 BY MR. NOLL:

2 Q. You agree with me, right?

3 A. Yes, yes.

4 Q. And, Mr. Shkedy, at the time you did create this
5 report, to your knowledge, EchoStar was secure?

6 A. As far as I know, yes.

7 Q. And you would agree with me, sir, that hacking or
8 modifying original access cards so you can watch TV without
9 paying for it is an illegal act?

10 A. You mean pirating?

11 Q. Yeah. Is that illegal?

12 A. Pirating is illegal.

13 Q. Is watching TV without paying for it illegal?

14 A. As far as I know -- well, I am not a lawyer, but as far
15 as I know the law, you are right.

16 Q. Is hacking or modifying access cards illegal?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Okay. I'd like to focus your attention on
19 Paragraph 3.5.2. It's page 13 of 32.

20 THE COURT: What exhibit number, again, Counsel?

21 MR. NOLL: 2-A. We're still on 2-A.

22 BY MR. NOLL:

23 Q. In the center it says, "Cloned and Universal
24 Subscription." I'll read it for you. It says, "this is a
25 classic 3M hack. The subscriber subscribing to a basic

1 package of service for a minimal possible charge can view
2 any services, excluding PPV, even if he/she is not
3 authorized to view them."

4 Did I read that correctly, sir?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And you just testified, sir, that hacking and watching
7 television without paying for it is an illegal act, right?

8 A. Watching TV illegal -- I think it's unlawful.

9 Q. And in Exhibit 2, in the section we're looking at,
10 3.5.2, you're describing how a satellite hacker, or pirate,
11 can view EchoStar's satellite signals without paying for
12 them.

13 A. Yes. This is what is said in the document.

14 Q. Okay. I want to move you down to Section 3.5.3
15 entitled Cloned and Universal PPV Entitlement. Do you see
16 that, sir?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. I'm going to read for you the first sentence: "As said
19 above, the IRD allows the subscriber to switch to a PPV
20 service only when an appropriate file, 0B, containing
21 correct information regarding this service, exists on the
22 card. The difficulty in 'faking' the 0B for a particular
23 PPV service is that the ID number is different for each
24 service, even if they have the same content and are
25 broadcast on the same channel, and such ID cannot be easily

1 discovered."

2 Did I read that correctly, sir?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. The long and short of what you're describing here, sir,
5 is that the cloned and universal pay-per-view entitlement
6 hack allows a pirate to watch pay TV without paying for it;
7 is that correct, sir?

8 A. Yes. If you are modifying the content of the EEPROM,
9 you can do whatever you want.

10 Q. And focus your attention, sir, to the top of page 13.
11 In the center you can see it says "secret." Do you see
12 that?

13 A. Which -- no.

14 Q. Very center, at the top of the page.

15 A. Oh, yeah, okay.

16 Q. You and David Mordinson labeled this document "secret";
17 is that correct?

18 A. Of course.

19 Q. And nothing in this document relates to NDS's
20 technology; is that correct, sir?

21 A. I have to elaborate a little bit on your question, with
22 your permission.

23 When you are looking on such a chip or such a system,
24 so you try to find out weak point and to say whether or not
25 we in NDS are suffering from the same type of weaknesses.

1 So you can just transfer information that you study in
2 one chip to the designer to say, "Hey, be alerted. Don't do
3 the same mistake, because otherwise you get whole bunch of
4 weaknesses or compromising of the system. So be careful."

5 So the intention was over here to say that the
6 technology or the ways -- the weaknesses that we found in
7 this chip are relevant to our NDS chip, and be aware of such
8 weaknesses.

9 Q. Sir, the truth is this Exhibit 2-A does not relate to
10 NDS's technology; isn't that correct, sir?

11 MR. SNYDER: Objection. Asked and answered.

12 THE COURT: Overruled.

13 You can answer, sir.

14 THE WITNESS: Okay. It's regard to the technology
15 that says if there is a weakness in the system, you are
16 prone to hacker -- to pirate attack. So one kind of
17 weakness is exactly what we describe in this document.

18 And then we transfer the information to Jerusalem.
19 Jerusalem is a design group. And we told them, "Look, if
20 you are missing or you are not protecting this type of
21 weaknesses, you are open -- you are wide open to many, many
22 attacks."

23 So what we told Jerusalem or we feedback them is a
24 way that it can be compromised, so be aware and don't expose
25 ourselves to the same type of attack.

1 BY MR. NOLL:

2 Q. Sir, when we started this examination, do you recall I
3 asked -- I reminded you that I took your deposition in this
4 case, correct?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And you recall at the time that you gave a deposition,
7 you swore to tell the truth; is that right, sir?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. I didn't haul you off the streets in New York. We had
10 a prearranged meeting time to come and have you sit for your
11 deposition; is that correct?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. You had your lawyers present too; is that right, sir?

14 A. It is correct.

15 Q. And your testimony is that Exhibit 2 -- you're now
16 saying something different than you said in your deposition;
17 is that right, sir?

18 A. I don't think so.

19 Q. Okay.

20 MR. NOLL: Your Honor, I'd offer as impeachment --

21 THE COURT: Not yet. I'm going to allow you to
22 answer the question again.

23 Your answer concerned technology. He's asking you
24 about technology, not process or method.

25 So maybe I'm confused, but I don't believe he's

1 answered the question yet.

2 MR. NOLL: Okay.

3 THE COURT: Ask it again. You asked about
4 technology, if this technology was the same. He's gone
5 through an answer about process.

6 MR. NOLL: All right.

7 THE COURT: Listen to the question again, sir.

8 BY MR. NOLL:

9 Q. Nothing in this document, Exhibit 2-A, the draft
10 project, Headend Report, relates to NDS's technology,
11 correct?

12 A. I want to --

13 THE COURT: Define "technology" for him, Counsel.
14 Maybe that would be helpful.

15 BY MR. NOLL:

16 Q. Well, you testified that the ST Thomson chip is not a
17 chip that was ever used by NDS, right?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. So the subject of the document is the ST Thomson chip
20 and the hack of that chip, correct?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. So nothing in this document relates to any chip that
23 was ever used by NDS in any of its access cards, correct,
24 sir?

25 A. It is correct if you are looking only to the chip. But

1 if you are looking at what we call the technology, how to
2 protect a channel, a TV channel against hacker to compromise
3 the system, so over here we say there is another technique
4 that the hacker may use, and please defend ourselves against
5 such an attack.

6 In this instance I think it's related to our
7 technology. So we study weak point in other system, and we
8 try to eliminate them in our design.

9 I hope that I answer your question.

10 MR. NOLL: I mean, I request permission to play
11 the clip, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Can I have a copy of that clip,
13 please.

14 MR. NOLL: It's page 274, lines 11 through 15.

15 THE COURT: 11 through 16?

16 MR. NOLL: 11 through 15. Did I say -- it's 247.
17 Did I give the wrong page?

18 MS. WILLETTS: Yeah. (Document handed to court.)

19 THE COURT: You may.

20 MR. NOLL: 274.

21 (Video clip played starting at 1:20 p.m.)

22 (Video clip playing ends at 1:21 p.m.)

23 BY MR. NOLL:

24 Q. Now, sir, going back to this document being labeled
25 secret, you labeled it secret because you didn't want a

1 hacker to have it; is that correct?

2 A. Yes, it is correct.

3 Q. But according to you, the Headend Report, Exhibit 2-A,
4 was given to Mr. Oliver Kommerling; is that correct?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And as you testified earlier, Mr. Kommerling was one
7 satellite pirate and hacker that NDS had a special
8 relationship with, right?

9 A. It was an ex-pirate.

10 Q. Okay. I want to focus your attention on another
11 exhibit, marked 98.

12 MR. NOLL: Please hand him Exhibit 98.

13 MS. WILLETTS: (Complies.)

14 BY MR. NOLL:

15 Q. Can you identify Exhibit 98, Mr. Shkedy?

16 A. Yes. This is the final version of the Headend project.

17 Q. And this is the final version that you and
18 Mr. Mordinson prepared and created; is that correct?

19 A. Yes.

20 MR. NOLL: At this time plaintiffs offer
21 Exhibit 98 into evidence.

22 MR. SNYDER: No, objection, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Received.

24 (Exhibit 98 received in evidence.)

25

1 BY MR. NOLL:

2 Q. Exhibit 98 is a more complete version of Exhibit 2;
3 isn't that right, Mr. Shkedy?

4 A. Yes.

5 THE COURT: 2 or 2-A?

6 MR. NOLL: 2-A. I'm sorry, I keep saying that,
7 Your Honor.

8 BY MR. NOLL:

9 Q. I'm going to focus your attention to page 12,
10 Exhibit 98. Do you see the circuitry on that page,
11 Mr. Shkedy?

12 A. One moment. Yes.

13 Q. Now, this circuitry, this is actually a picture of the
14 ST Thomson chip that was reversed and hacked by NDS,
15 correct?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Let's go to page 16. I'm going to focus your attention
18 on Paragraph 4.

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Okay. Paragraph 4 is entitled 3M Hack in Practice. Do
21 you see that, sir?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Did I read that correctly?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. 3M is an abbreviation for Three-Musketeers; is that

1 right?

2 A. Yes, it is right.

3 Q. And the slogan for Three-Musketeers means all for one
4 and one for all?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And in cyberpiracy talk what that means is you buy a
7 few of the basic channels but you expand the service to get
8 the premium channels for free?

9 A. Other channels for free, yes.

10 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to read for you, sir. It says,
11 "In order to implement -- to try to implement 3M hack in
12 practice, an authorized IRD and its married card of ROM
13 version 003 were taken. The IR3 (sic) and -- and the card
14 were intended for DISH Network USA. Once the card EEPROM
15 contents were downloaded, the card was not used anymore.
16 Its EEPROM image was burned up to another card. And this
17 card was utilized in all 3M hack attempts. The IRD, of
18 course, was disconnected from the telephone line."

19 Did I read that correctly, sir?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Paragraph 3 of Exhibit 98 explains how you and
22 Mr. Mordinson were attempting to create a 3M hack to use on
23 the DISH Network system in the United States, correct?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And the IRD refers to the receiver; is that right?

1 A. Yes. It's also known as a set-top box. I think this
2 is a name that is used in the United States.

3 Q. It stands for integrated receiver/decoder, correct?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And you say that the IRD was disconnected from the
6 telephone line, right?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And you disconnected it from the telephone line because
9 you didn't want the receiver to call DISH Network and notify
10 them that you had subscribed to pay-per-view; isn't that
11 correct, sir?

12 A. Almost correct. It notify the center about whether or
13 not we are very -- sorry.

14 (Witness confers with interpreter.)

15 THE INTERPRETER: The card informs the center that
16 we have purchased too many movies.

17 THE WITNESS: That we exceed the limit, the debit
18 limit.

19 BY MR. NOLL:

20 Q. And you didn't want the card to inform the center,
21 because you and Mr. Mordinson were hacking the system, isn't
22 that the truth, sir?

23 A. No, it was not because we hacked the system but because
24 we made many, many tries of trying to purchase movies, and
25 we didn't -- that we didn't watch. So it's just

1 accumulating the debit, the price.

2 Q. Let's focus our attention down to Section 4.1 titled
3 DISH Network USA.

4 A. Okay.

5 Q. Do you see that, sir?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And I'll read for you. That section says, "The card
8 initially had a minimal subscription and an option to
9 purchase pay-per-view services."

10 Did I read that correctly?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Now, earlier you testified you didn't know where the
13 Smart Cards that you hacked had come from.

14 A. Yes. You are right, of course.

15 Q. All right. But you knew that at least one of these
16 cards that you were hacking came from a gentleman named Eyal
17 in Fort Lee, New Jersey.

18 A. Yes, one of the card came from there.

19 Q. And Reuven Hasak -- do you know who Reuven Hasak is?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Reuven Hasak is the chief of operational security for
22 NDS; is that right?

23 A. It is correct.

24 Q. He's one of the top officers in NDS's organization,
25 right?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And Reuven Hasak gave you Eyel's name and made
3 arrangements for you to stay at his house in the
4 United States; isn't that right, sir?

5 A. It is right.

6 Q. You then went to Eyel's house, correct?

7 A. We went to their house.

8 Q. You picked up his receiver and Smart Card?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And this was in 1998?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. You don't know how much Eyel was paid for the receiver
13 and Smart Card?

14 A. No.

15 Q. And David Mordinson, the engineer we'll hear from later
16 in this case, was with you; is that right?

17 A. Yes, it is correct.

18 Q. And you did this because you were instructed by NDS to
19 come to the United States in 1998 to test the hack that you
20 were working on of the EchoStar system?

21 A. No. We came to Fort Lee, to Eyel house, just to
22 monitor the data that flows between the Smart Card and the
23 set-top box using the sniffer that you show previously.

24 Q. Is monitoring the data with the sniffer part of the
25 hack that you were performing on EchoStar's conditional

1 access system?

2 A. It's part of the data that we use to test our hack.

3 Q. And you also went to Canada to purchase receivers and
4 Smart Cards in 1998, sir?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. You went in July or August of 1998, correct?

7 A. Something like that. I don't remember the date, but
8 around July, August '98.

9 Q. Okay. Let's focus back on the Headend Project Report
10 for a minute. I want to direct your attention to page 17,
11 Section 4.1.2, titled Universal Subscription.

12 A. Okay.

13 Q. Do you see that section, sir?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. This describes a more -- it's a more technical
16 description of the steps necessary for a 3M hack and what a
17 satellite pirate would have to do in order to steal
18 EchoStar's programming, correct?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. I'm gonna focus your attention on appendix C. I
21 believe it's page 27.

22 A. One moment, please. Yes.

23 Q. And the title is Memory Access Messages?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Okay. This is an example of an instruction on how to

1 dump out data from EchoStar's conditional access card's
2 memory?

3 A. It is report how we did it.

4 Q. And if someone were to obtain this information, it
5 would give them the technique to dump EchoStar's access
6 cards?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Focus on where it says EEPROM, E-E-P-R-O-M.

9 A. Can you guide me where it is?

10 Q. Let me ask you generally, what is EEPROM, sir?

11 A. EEPROM is type of a memory. In a chip there are
12 several types of memory. The first one is ROM, R-O-M, which
13 is abbreviation for read-only. And if somebody would like
14 just to have an example, it's like a book. Somebody print
15 it. You just can read it. You cannot modify or writing
16 with a pen.

17 There is another type of memory which is E-PROM or
18 EEPROM, which is -- if you would like an example, is like
19 writing with a pencil. So you write down. It remains, but
20 you can erase and to change it. So EEPROM is kind of a
21 memory that can be written. It is permanent. So even if
22 you remove the power, the data does not change. That you
23 can do using a certain electrical procedure.

24 Q. Okay.

25 A. The abbreviation for EEPROM is electrical erasable ROM.

1 The EE is electrical erasable.

2 Q. So, sir, knowing the structure of the fields of
3 EchoStar's EEPROM code from the ST Thomson card does not
4 make NDS's technology any more secure, correct?

5 A. It is correct.

6 Q. I'm going to focus your attention on appendix H on
7 page 39. And I'll read for you. It says --

8 A. One moment.

9 Q. I'm sorry. Are you there, sir?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Appendix H, 3M Hack in Practice.

12 A. Okay.

13 Q. Says that at the top?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Now, what this is describing is the procedure for
16 resetting pay-per-view, which was something we just
17 discussed, correct?

18 A. Correct.

19 Q. And through your hacking efforts, you identified a hole
20 in EchoStar's chip; is that right?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Then you wrote that information down in Exhibit 98,
23 this document that we're looking at here today. Yes?

24 A. Sorry. Okay. Can you repeat, please?

25 Q. Yeah. You identified through your hacking effort a

1 hole in EchoStar's chip.

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And then you wrote that information down in the
4 exhibits that we're looking at here today.

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And this document, sir, teaches anyone that reads it
7 how to create a 3M hack of the EchoStar access cards.

8 A. Yes. And at the same time it teaches NDS how to
9 protect ourselves in not having the same error also.

10 Q. Mr. Shkedy, you're aware that this lawsuit against NDS
11 concerns EchoStar's conditional access codes being posted on
12 the Internet.

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And let's look back at the Headend Report, Exhibit 2.
15 And we're gonna look at appendix A on page 14.

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Are you there, sir?

18 A. In which document, please?

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. In 98?

21 Q. No. Exhibit 2.

22 A. Okay. 2-A. Yes.

23 Q. Yes. 2-A, page 14 of 32.

24 A. Okay. One moment. Yes, please.

25 Q. Okay. I'm gonna focus your attention to the second to

1 last row in this matrix. And if you scroll down or just
2 focus your attention down to the last -- second to the last
3 row in the matrix, if you read across and you see the
4 language in the center column says "Nipper."

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Do you see that, sir?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. When NDS reverse-engineered and hacked EchoStar's
9 system, you discovered the word Nipper in EchoStar's code?

10 A. Yes. It was --

11 THE COURT: Counsel, I'm sorry. Would you point
12 that out? I didn't see that. I don't think you have the
13 right document up.

14 MR. NOLL: Maybe it's 98.

15 Highlight it, please, Clint.

16 THE COURT: Where are you?

17 MS. WILLETTS: 2-A.

18 THE COURT: Just a moment. Is this 2-A?

19 MR. NOLL: 2-A.

20 THE COURT: And you're at appendix what?

21 MR. NOLL: Appendix A.

22 THE WITNESS: I apologize for the language that
23 EchoStar inserted in the card.

24 BY MR. NOLL:

25 Q. Okay. You discovered this language in the lab in

1 Haifa, Israel in 1998?

2 A. Yes, in the code we extracted from the chip. So it was
3 there.

4 Q. Okay. Let's go to appendix F, same document.

5 A. Page?

6 Q. Page 31.

7 Do you see at the top it says "Stack Overwrite
8 Example"?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And this is the instruction for the EEPROM contents
11 download?

12 A. This is one example how you can utilize the arrow in
13 the card in order to do whatever you want. So one example
14 is how to dump the EEPROM. Another one is how to modify the
15 permission table and so forth. So you can do many things
16 using the same code.

17 Q. EEPROM, you just testified a little bit about it
18 earlier. EEPROM is memory that can be changed or rewritten?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And because you can modify EEPROM, someone posting the
21 EchoStar code on the Internet could do so anonymously. Do
22 you agree with that?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. All you have to do is change the EEPROM to conceal that
25 person's true identity, correct?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. Mr. Shkedy, you don't know who posted EchoStar's codes
3 on the Internet, do you?

4 A. No, I don't know.

5 Q. It's your testimony here today under oath in front of
6 this jury that when you change EEPROM, there is no way to
7 determine the source, correct?

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. To use your words specifically, if you were doing a
10 good job, you can't trace it.

11 A. If you are doing a good job, you can conceal your
12 identity, yes.

13 Q. Now, we discussed earlier how you labeled the document
14 "secret," the Headend Project Report was labeled "secret."
15 Do you remember that?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Okay. And you wanted to keep it secret, right?

18 A. Yes. We wanted results should be secret.

19 Q. But you gave it to Oliver Kommerling, correct?

20 A. We gave it to him, yes.

21 THE COURT: Gave it to who?

22 THE WITNESS: To Mr. Oliver Kommerling.

23 BY MR. NOLL:

24 Q. You also gave it to Mr. Chaim Shin-Orr, correct?

25 A. Yes. He was the head of the group.

1 Q. And you provided EchoStar's code to all the members of
2 the Haifa team, correct, sir?

3 A. All the member in Haifa team that worked on the
4 project, partially or fully.

5 Q. And at some point in time you came to understand that
6 Mr. Kommerling's special relationship with NDS ended, right?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And it's your belief that that relationship ended
9 because NDS tried to blame Mr. Kommerling for the posting of
10 the Canal+ codes on the Internet?

11 A. It is correct.

12 Q. You came to know of an individual named Christopher
13 Tarnovsky in 1997, Mr. Shkedy?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And it's your testimony that you probably showed
16 Mr. Tarnovsky reverse-engineering techniques on ST Thomson
17 chips, right, sir?

18 A. Yes, but in 2004.

19 Q. The EchoStar code could be sent out by e-mail?

20 A. Everything can be sent by an e-mail.

21 Q. And you may have e-mailed it to somebody, you just
22 can't recall who, right?

23 A. I didn't e-mail, I didn't testify so, and I didn't do
24 it.

25 Q. To your knowledge, NDS never gave a copy of the Headend

1 Project Report to EchoStar, correct?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. NDS never gave a copy of the project Headend Report to
4 NagraStar, correct?

5 A. Correct.

6 Q. NDS never gave this report to Kudelski, correct?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. NDS never gave this report to NagraVision?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. Mr. Shkedy, you'll agree with me, sir, that the code
11 that you extracted from EchoStar's Smart Card was the
12 lifeblood of the system?

13 A. If it would leak, it would be very, very harmful.

14 Q. This is where all the secrets of the Smart Card were
15 kept, correct?

16 A. Not all the secrets.

17 Q. This is where the most important secrets of the Smart
18 Card were kept, sir.

19 A. It opened the way to read the secret, yes.

20 Q. In the wrong hands this report, Mr. Shkedy, would allow
21 satellite pirates to steal EchoStar's programming.

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And this same report in the wrong hands would allow a
24 satellite pirate to post EchoStar's secret codes for its
25 Smart Cards on the Internet.

1 A. Yes. And this report was not leaked.

2 MR. NOLL: Pass the witness.

3 THE COURT: Cross-examination, please.

4 Would you identify yourself for the record,
5 please.

6 MR. SNYDER: Yes, Your Honor. Darin Snyder for
7 the defendants, Your Honor.

8 CROSS-EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. SNYDER:

10 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Shkedy.

11 A. Good afternoon.

12 Q. Mr. Shkedy, I know you've been on the stand for a
13 little while, but you really haven't had a chance to
14 introduce yourself to the jury. Could you tell the jury
15 where you live, please?

16 A. I'm living in Israel, in a small town about 25 miles
17 out of Haifa.

18 Q. Do you have a family, Mr. Shkedy?

19 A. Yes, I do. I have a wife and four child and four
20 grandchildren.

21 Q. Thank you. Who do you work for?

22 A. I'm working for NDS-Israel.

23 Q. And what does NDS do?

24 A. NDS is supplying conditional access to TV providers.

25 Q. Okay. Mr. Shkedy, have you prepared a graphic to help

1 illustrate your testimony about what conditional access
2 systems are?

3 A. Yes, I did.

4 Q. Okay.

5 MR. SNYDER: Could you show him demonstrative
6 No. 1, please.

7 Your Honor, may I publish that to the jury?

8 THE COURT: Any objection, Counsel?

9 MR. NOLL: I don't have a copy of it.

10 THE COURT: Not yet.

11 MR. NOLL: No, objection.

12 THE COURT: You may.

13 (Document displayed.)

14 BY MR. SNYDER:

15 Q. Mr. Shkedy, could you please describe for the jury what
16 a conditional access system is?

17 A. Yes, I will try.

18 Okay. The story started with the ground station. And
19 the ground station that you can see on the bottom left.
20 They store -- the provider store all the information --
21 movies, sport channel and so forth, news channel -- that
22 they wanted to transmit to its subscribers. Each one of
23 these channel is encrypted, so you cannot watch this channel
24 unless there are certain conditions that I will explain a
25 little bit later.

1 Associated data is also what we call the encryption
2 data, or keys, that help open the encryption of the program.
3 So what we see, we see four blue lines that represent four
4 TV channel, and the red line which represents the keys that
5 is associated data that may help open or decrypt the
6 channel.

7 All this data is transmitted to a satellite that is up
8 in the middle (indicating). And the satellite retransmits
9 this information to the subscriber that has a dish. He
10 receive the channel and the associated data which is
11 transferred to the set-top box, or IRD, which are synonym.
12 And the data is then splitted into two part.

13 The encryption data goes to the Smart Card that is a
14 blue small rectangle that stick out of the set-top box. And
15 the duty of this -- of the Smart Card is to verify whether
16 the subscriber has permission to watch a certain channel.
17 If yes, the Smart Card decrypt, supplies the set-top box
18 with the key, and the set-top box decrypt the channel and
19 display to the subscriber.

20 If the subscriber does not have permission to watch,
21 the set-top -- the Smart Card does not possess the
22 encryption data, does not supply key to the set-top box, and
23 you cannot watch.

24 The battlefield between the pirate and any conditional
25 access provider is over this small Smart Card.

1 Q. And, Mr. Shkedy, have you prepared a graphic to help
2 illustrate the Smart Card for the jury?

3 A. Yes, I did.

4 MR. SNYDER: Could you show him Demonstrative
5 No. 2, please.

6 MR. O'DONNELL: (Complies.)

7 MR. NOLL: No objection.

8 MR. SNYDER: May we publish this to the jury, Your
9 Honor?

10 THE COURT: You may. There's not an objection.

11 MR. SNYDER: Thank you.

12 (Document displayed.)

13 BY MR. SNYDER:

14 Q. Mr. Shkedy, can you describe the Smart Card for the
15 jury, please?

16 A. Yes. The Smart Card is a piece of plastic the size
17 more or less of a credit card. Okay. In the middle you can
18 see -- which is exposed on the top, the blue rectangle,
19 there is eight contact of a gold color. Underneath this
20 contact there is a small chip, what we call chip or piece of
21 electronic, of the size of about 1/8th of an inch. So the
22 entire card is just empty plastic, and we have a small chip
23 of the size of -- in millimeters it's about 3 or
24 4 millimeter. And in English system is about 1/8th of an
25 inch. Might be a little bit more, might be a little bit

1 less. Okay.

2 The whole battle is whether or not somebody can gain
3 control on this Smart Card. The communication between the
4 Smart Card and the set-top box is done via this eight
5 contact, which actually only five of them are function --
6 functional. The rest are nonfunctional contacts.

7 Q. And before we talk about some of the work you did
8 related to this case, Mr. Shkedy, allow me to get a little
9 bit of background. Can you describe for the jury your
10 educational background, please?

11 A. Yes. I'm an electronic engineer. I was graduated in
12 the Israeli Institute of Technology. I hold a bachelor of
13 science degree since 1969. And I hold a master of science
14 from 1884 -- sorry -- 1984. I'm not so old. Sorry.

15 Okay.

16 Q. Mr. Shkedy, have you been a practicing engineer since
17 1969?

18 A. Yes, about 39 years.

19 Q. When did you start working for NDS?

20 A. '97.

21 Q. And was -- have you worked continuously for NDS since
22 1997?

23 A. No. I work two stint. One was from 1997 up to 2001,
24 and I quitted. And then I rejoined NDS 2004 to date.

25 Q. When you quit NDS in 2001, who did you go to work for?

1 A. I worked for a company name SanDisk. That is a
2 manufacturer of design of what you know -- memory card.

3 Q. And did you work for anyone other than SanDisk?

4 A. Yes. I worked for the government of Israel for about
5 one and a half year.

6 Q. When you were working for the government of Israel, did
7 you work on classified projects?

8 A. Yes, I did.

9 Q. Are you allowed to say anything about the content of
10 those projects?

11 A. No. I am sorry.

12 Q. Would it be fair to say, Mr. Shkedy, that the
13 government of Israel relies on you to maintain the secrecy
14 and confidentiality of those projects?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Now, Mr. Shkedy, I'd like to focus on the time at NDS
17 from 1997 to 2001, okay?

18 A. Okay.

19 Q. During that time, what was your title?

20 A. Principal engineer of the HRC group. HRC is the Haifa
21 Research Center.

22 Q. And what were you hired to do as the principal engineer
23 of the Haifa Research Center?

24 A. To evaluate NDS chip to find out weak point and to feed
25 back the design group that is located in Jerusalem about my

1 finding so they can improve the system.

2 Q. Now, you mentioned the design group was in Jerusalem.
3 Was the Haifa Research Center located in a different place,
4 a different location?

5 A. Yes. It's about 100-mile from Jerusalem.

6 MR. SNYDER: Okay. Could you show the witness the
7 photograph exhibits, please.

8 May I publish these to the jury, Your Honor?

9 THE COURT: Yes.

10 BY MR. SNYDER:

11 Q. Mr. Shkedy, can you tell the jury what this is a
12 photograph of?

13 A. This is an industrial park which is located near Haifa,
14 which is a city in the north of Israel. And there are many
15 companies over there, high-tech companies.

16 Q. Is the building where the Haifa Research Center was
17 located in this picture?

18 A. Yes. It's in the center, the cross-shape building.

19 Q. Perhaps you can turn around and on the large television
20 point it out for the jury, please.

21 A. Yes. This is the one.

22 MR. SNYDER: Okay. Could you go to the next
23 picture, please.

24 (Picture displayed.)

25

1 BY MR. SNYDER:

2 Q. Mr. Shkedy, can you tell the jury, please, what this
3 picture is.

4 A. It's the same building.

5 Q. Is that the building where the Haifa Research Center
6 was located?

7 A. Yes. The cross-shaped building in the center.

8 This is a close-up of the same building -- sorry, I had
9 two copies of the same picture. I was confused, sorry.

10 MR. SNYDER: And if you could turn to the next
11 picture, please.

12 (Picture displayed.)

13 BY MR. SNYDER:

14 Q. And what is this a picture of, Mr. Shkedy?

15 A. This is the same building. Okay. We move -- in 2005
16 we move from this building to next -- to other building.
17 And this building is now occupied by other companies.

18 Q. And while the Haifa Research Center was located in this
19 building, what were the other occupants?

20 A. On the first floor it was Hewlett-Packard, HP.
21 Agilant, A-G-I-L-A-N-T, a small subsidiary.

22 And the second and the fourth floor was Zeron, a chip
23 producer. And we were located on the third floor.

24 Q. Mr. Shkedy, could you describe for the jury the purpose
25 of the Haifa Research Center?

1 A. As I mentioned previously, the first one was to
2 evaluate our chip, our NDS chip, to see if there are any
3 weak point, and to feedback to Jerusalem. And other task
4 was to investigate as a candidate to be a platform in our
5 language, our chips that we can input inside the Smart Card.

6 Q. And when you say evaluate NDS chips, could you explain
7 to the jury what you mean by that?

8 A. To find out weak point software and how to -- the ways
9 that a pirate may use in order to compromise our system.

10 Q. Okay. And then there was another task of the Haifa
11 Research Center?

12 A. Yes, to evaluate other chip.

13 Q. Okay. And what was the purpose of evaluating other
14 chips?

15 A. Twofold. First of all, if they meet our standard, then
16 we can use them, or to find out other techniques, idea, that
17 if they are good ideas, we can adopt them, of course,
18 without infringing any patents.

19 Q. Now, Mr. Shkedy, as part of your work at the Haifa
20 Research Center, did you do any work with a group called
21 operational security?

22 A. Yes, I did.

23 Q. What is operational security?

24 A. In order to fight the pirate, which was one of our
25 tasks, NDS formed to launch two effort. One of them is HRC

1 group, the group that I was a part of it. And the other one
2 is operational security that chases hacker and try to bring
3 them into court, which they succeed many times, to sue them
4 and to send them to jail.

5 Q. And what relationship did you have as a member of the
6 Haifa Research Center, or HRC, with operational security?

7 A. The first one was to study techniques and way of
8 thinking from the hacker so that we know -- we will know the
9 enemy so we can fight him. So we adopt techniques, we adopt
10 way of thinking from the hacker, and otherwise I was a point
11 of contact for hacker that had been recruited by NDS, so I
12 can -- and I was the technical point of contact with them.

13 Q. Was Oliver Kommerling one of those ex-hackers?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Why were you chosen to be the point of contact between
16 NDS and Oliver Kommerling?

17 A. The first time that I flew to Germany where his
18 laboratory was located, in order to learn that he teach me
19 techniques, immediately we found out a common language,
20 chemistry, between ourselves. So it was kind of an open
21 channel of mutual appreciation and mutual way of thinking,
22 creative, innovative, and so forth. So we feel that we can
23 work together very well.

24 Q. Now, the lab of Mr. Kommerling's that you visited in
25 Germany, was that an NDS lab?

1 A. No, it was his private one.

2 Q. Did NDS provide him with that lab?

3 A. Not at the beginning. Afterward we purchased some
4 equipment for him.

5 Q. This was after he became an NDS consultant?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Now, as part of your work at the Haifa Research Center,
8 did you reverse-engineer cards?

9 A. Yes, I did.

10 Q. Can you explain for the jury what it means to
11 reverse-engineer a card?

12 A. Yes, okay. To open the chip as I explain previously,
13 to look at them from the hardware and software point of
14 view, to see what are the good point, what are the bad
15 point, where they are well protected, where they are not
16 protected, and to feed back the people in the design group
17 if you find out weak points so that we will not make the
18 same mistake again. And if we are finding -- if we found
19 good or strong point, to adopt the idea and to try to
20 implement it in a better way.

21 Q. Mr. Shkedy, during your testimony today you've been
22 asked a lot of questions about hacking.

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Is hacking the same as reverse engineering?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Is hacking or reverse engineering the same as piracy?

2 A. No.

3 Q. What is the difference to you between hacking, or
4 reverse engineering, and piracy?

5 A. Reverse engineering is understanding what is going in a
6 chip. We can enlarge, then, or broaden the idea to any
7 other technology. For example, if you are going to
8 reverse-engineer a car, you find out what our -- how
9 efficient is the motor so you can find out that the motor is
10 okay or is doing well, so you adopt the ideas. And if it is
11 consuming too much gas, okay, you say, "I know what is the
12 weak point of this motor, and I can design a better one
13 overcoming the deficiencies in the design."

14 So by learning from other people mistake, you can just
15 do a better job.

16 Q. Mr. Shkedy, did the Haifa Research Center
17 reverse-engineer or hack NDS chips?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And did you also reverse-engineer or hack competitor
20 chips?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. What was the point of reverse-engineering or hacking
23 NDS's own chips?

24 A. We are not angel. Also we make mistake. So the people
25 in Jerusalem made mistakes. We found them, we feed back to

1 them about any mistakes that they did, and they fix them.

2 Q. Now, also part of your work at the Haifa Research
3 Center was reverse-engineering other companies' chips,
4 correct?

5 A. Correct.

6 Q. Can you identify for the jury some of the other
7 companies' chips that you reverse-engineered?

8 A. Yes. I did it in -- I started, as I say, it was a
9 Hitachi chip back in 1997.

10 THE COURT: Hitachi?

11 THE WITNESS: Hitachi.

12 BY MR. SNYDER:

13 Q. Was that the first chip that you reverse-engineered?

14 A. Yes. And afterward I work on a Dalas chip, which is a
15 secure chip that the hacker and the pirate uses in their
16 product. So we dump the code of the hacker and the pirate,
17 and we saw what are the techniques that they use in order to
18 penetrate our system.

19 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I missed part of that.

20 The Hitachi chip?

21 THE WITNESS: The Hitachi chip was --

22 THE COURT: Just a moment.

23 THE WITNESS: Sorry, sorry.

24 THE COURT: I want to make certain I'm hearing
25 correctly.

1 Hitachi?

2 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

3 THE COURT: And the second chip was?

4 THE WITNESS: Dalas chip.

5 THE COURT: Spell that term? Like Dallas, Texas?

6 THE WITNESS: D-A-L-A-S.

7 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. Okay.

8 Thank you.

9 Counsel.

10 THE WITNESS: Okay.

11 BY MR. SNYDER:

12 Q. Mr. Shkedy, you mentioned that the Dalas chip was the
13 one that was being used by pirates?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And you reverse-engineered that chip?

16 A. Yes. I dump the code.

17 Q. Can you -- is reverse engineering and piracy the same
18 thing?

19 A. No.

20 Q. What is the difference between reverse engineering and
21 piracy?

22 A. Reverse engineering is understanding how something is
23 functioning. Piracy is to take this one with a malintention
24 to make damage.

25 THE INTERPRETER: Cause damage.

1 THE WITNESS: Damage to a system, to a company.

2 BY MR. SNYDER:

3 Q. Is there anything wrong in your mind with reverse
4 engineering?

5 A. Not at all. This is the way that --

6 THE INTERPRETER: Humanity?

7 THE WITNESS: That humanity advances. By reverse
8 engineering, you are looking at a system. For example, when
9 you look at a bird and you ask yourself how the bird is
10 flying, you invent the airplane. So this is kind of reverse
11 engineering of nature, but it's the same applied also to
12 technology.

13 BY MR. SNYDER:

14 Q. Now, do other companies sometimes ask NDS to
15 reverse-engineer their chips?

16 A. Yes. One of the -- one of them -- I think you know
17 them now -- Thompson, the same one that we
18 reverse-engineering the card and developed month ago. I
19 report them deficiencies in one of their chip, and they fix
20 it.

21 Q. So the Thompson Company asked NDS to reverse-engineer
22 their chip?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And why did the Thompson Company ask NDS to do that?

25 A. Because they thought that we have the capability of

1 doing a good job.

2 Q. Is reverse engineering something that's unusual?

3 A. No, it's usual. And it -- first of all, it's legal.

4 And the reason that it's legal is because it is necessary
5 for the humanity.

6 Regarding your question, there is a company in Canada,
7 which name Semiconductor Insight -- it's a small company of
8 140 employees. The only task that they are doing is reverse
9 engineering of chip legally.

10 There is another company, also, Chip World, also in
11 Canada, another one in Europe, and there are a few of them
12 in China.

13 Q. What was the name of the company in Europe?

14 A. Raith, R-A-I-T-H.

15 Q. Mr. Shkedy, were some of the chips that you
16 reverse-engineered competitor chips?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And were those competitor chips different from the
19 chips that NDS uses?

20 A. The color is different, but there are many similarities
21 between the chips.

22 Q. If NDS doesn't use the competitor chip, what is the
23 purpose of reverse engineering those chips?

24 A. I'll give you an example. Previously I had been asked
25 whether or not there is a -- the memory of the Thompson chip

1 is divided into six parts. And I say, yes. And I overcome
2 by a single shot of laser.

3 COURT REPORTER: Did you say a single shot of a
4 laser?

5 THE WITNESS: Yes. Okay. So the idea of using
6 such a protection is a nice idea. The implementation was
7 not so good. So what we are doing, we are reporting to
8 Jerusalem, and they using same type of protection, hopefully
9 with a better engineering.

10 BY MR. SNYDER:

11 Q. When you say that it was -- that such a thing was a
12 good idea, what is the "thing" you're referring to?

13 A. How to protect the memory so you cannot read from one
14 memory space to another memory space. In this case, if the
15 memory space -- if this type of protection would work well,
16 I couldn't read the EEPROM by using the dumps that I show in
17 the document.

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. So by neutralize this feature, I could dump the code.

20 Q. Let me make sure I understand, Mr. Shkedy.

21 The chip had the memory divided into six parts?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. But you were able -- and the purpose of dividing the
24 memory was to make it harder to access that memory or to
25 dump that -- the contents of that memory?

1 A. Yes. So if I can install program in the RAM, it cannot
2 read -- I'll use the EEPROM, for example.

3 Q. But you were able to defeat that security feature that
4 separated the memory into six different parts?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And how were you able to defeat that security measure?

7 A. Single shot with a laser. I think in this case I have
8 use the FIB to bypass the protection, but it can be done
9 with a single shot of laser as well.

10 Q. And did you later learn that NDS implemented a similar
11 security feature?

12 A. Security feature that protects a memory, yes.

13 Q. And did NDS implement it in a way that would protect it
14 against the way that you defeated that security feature?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. How did NDS do that?

17 A. The moment that you know that you can neutralize this
18 feature easily, so you start to think, and when you start to
19 think, when you know the question, you know how to find an
20 answer. If you don't know the question, you don't know how
21 to answer. So when they know the problem, they know how to
22 try to put protect against such a problem.

23 Q. Mr. Shkedy, you don't work at the design -- on the
24 design teams in Jerusalem, correct?

25 A. It is correct.

1 Q. So how did you know that NDS implemented this same
2 security idea but in an improved way?

3 A. Because I tried to reverse-engineer the next
4 generation, and it was much harder to me to overcome the
5 protection layers that they inserted.

6 Q. Are there other examples, Mr. Shkedy, of weaknesses
7 that you identified in your reverse engineering that were
8 later corrected in NDS chips?

9 A. Yes. We mentioned previously the instruction latch.
10 and I have said that I could neutralize the instruction
11 latch by putting the needle on one of the line of the
12 instruction latch that you saw in my document. What NDS
13 did, they just dissolved the instruction latch. We don't
14 have any instruction latch, so this type of attack is not
15 relevant anymore to our products. So we learned it from
16 other chip, and we implement solution in our chips.

17 Q. And again, how did you know that NDS had corrected
18 against that defect or weakness in its chips?

19 A. Because we work for more than six months in order to
20 locate the point, and we couldn't find them.

21 Q. Now, Mr. Shkedy, in doing this reverse engineering, is
22 one of the purposes to assist piracy?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Have you ever done anything to assist piracy?

25 A. No.

1 Q. To the best of your knowledge, has anyone else on the
2 Haifa research team, the team at the Haifa Research Center,
3 done anything to assist piracy?

4 A. No.

5 Q. When you started at the Haifa Research Center, who
6 worked on that team?

7 A. Mr. Chaim Shen-Orr was the head of the group. He came
8 before me. There was another guy named Danny Ratner, which
9 he -- he worked for NDS, but in Jerusalem. I was the
10 principal engineer. And afterwards, a few other people.

11 Q. What's the largest number of people that ever worked at
12 Haifa?

13 A. Sorry. Can you repeat?

14 Q. What is the largest number of people that ever worked
15 on the reverse engineering team or reverse engineering
16 project?

17 A. Total, at the peak, we were about 12, but not all of
18 them work on the reverse engineering. The core of the
19 reverse engineering is about four to five people.

20 Q. Now, earlier you were asked some questions about the
21 process that you use to reverse-engineer chips. Do you
22 recall that testimony?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Okay. And I believe you were describing the reverse
25 engineering you did for the EchoStar chip, the ST Thompson

1 16CF54?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Is that the same process that you used for
4 reverse-engineering all chips?

5 A. Yes, basically the same.

6 Q. Do you use the same process for reverse-engineering NDS
7 chips?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Do you use the same equipment regardless of the chip
10 that you're reverse-engineering?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. So, for example, you can use a logic analyzer?

13 A. I need to use a logic analyzer when I reverse NDS chip.

14 Q. Okay.

15 A. This is my eyes.

16 Q. Okay. Do you also use an oscilloscope?

17 A. This is as an eye.

18 Q. Mr. Shkedy, you were asked some questions about a
19 focused ion beam. Has NDS ever owned a focused ion beam?

20 A. Yes. As I say, we have it on lease since '98 until
21 2001.

22 Q. My question's a little more specific, Mr. Shkedy. I
23 just want to make sure the record's clear. Has NDS ever
24 owned a focused ion beam?

25 A. No.

1 Q. You only leased it?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Are focused ion beams unusual?

4 A. No, it's a common equipment. It was developed to
5 assist failure analysis effort while you design and -- while
6 you design new chips.

7 Q. Do you say "failure analysis"?

8 A. Failure analysis. Yes, I did.

9 Q. And I believe you said in some of your reverse
10 engineering efforts you leased time on a focused ion beam?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Is that difficult to do?

13 A. No, it costs up 2800 pound, and then you have enough
14 time to do what you need.

15 Q. For how many chips?

16 A. We did it -- I don't remember the number. It was more
17 than one, but I don't remember the number.

18 Q. Now, Mr. Shkedy, you also were asked some questions
19 about the Headend Report. Do you recall that?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And as part of your reverse engineering project, did
22 you also create data or files or information?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Did you consider that information to be secret or
25 confidential?

1 A. Yes. And you saw it also on the report that it bills a
2 title "secret."

3 Q. Can you describe for the jury how you stored the
4 information related to the reverse engineering project, and
5 let's focus on the reverse engineering of the EchoStar card.

6 A. Okay. We had a separate computer in our facility in
7 Haifa that we store sensitive data on this computer. The
8 normal procedure is to encrypt the data with a program named
9 "PGP."

10 We have another set of computer that is linked to
11 Jerusalem and to the network in Jerusalem for e-mail and
12 communication with Jerusalem.

13 Sometime -- also we have a sometime a separate computer
14 not connected to other computer. The most important one in
15 the sense that I'm talking today is the computer of
16 Mr. David Mordinson, that -- he will be the next witness.

17 Q. Okay. Who has access to Mr. Mordinson's computer?

18 A. David -- only David.

19 Q. And who -- Mr. Mordinson?

20 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Who has access to that
21 computer?

22 A. Only Mr. David Mordinson.

23 THE COURT: Thank you.

24 BY MR. SNYDER:

25 Q. And who has access to the secure network at the Haifa

1 Research Center?

2 A. Only the engineer in Haifa.

3 Q. Did you ever share any of the information from the
4 EchoStar reverse engineering project with anyone other than
5 the team at the Haifa Research Center?

6 A. Only with Mr. -- only with Kommerling.

7 Q. And at that time, was Mr. Kommerling working with NDS?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Does Mr. Kommerling work for NDS now?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Who does Mr. Kommerling work for now?

12 A. He's a consultant for Atmel and for Nagra. Atmel,
13 A-T-M-E-L.

14 Q. Nagra, the company that's one of the plaintiffs?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Other than the team at the Haifa Research Center and
17 Mr. Kommerling, did you share any of the information related
18 to the reverse engineering of the EchoStar card with anyone
19 else?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Did you e-mail the Head End Report to anyone?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Did you e-mail any part of the information developed
24 during the EchoStar reverse engineering project to anyone?

25 A. No.

1 Q. Did you e-mail any of the code that was developed as
2 part of that project to anyone?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Now, you were asked earlier, Mr. Shkedy, when you
5 discussed reverse engineering techniques with Christopher
6 Tarnovsky. Do you recall that question?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. When was the first time that you discussed reverse
9 engineering techniques with Christopher Tarnovsky?

10 A. It was after -- in the second term that I was in NDS,
11 so it was after 2004. I don't remember well if it was 2005
12 or 2004.

13 Q. Okay. Why did you discuss reverse engineering
14 techniques with Christopher Tarnovsky in 2004?

15 A. Chris Tarnovsky read the techniques in a paper that
16 were published in USNIX, which is a conference for a
17 security of chips, and the techniques that I used appeared
18 in this paper. He couldn't do it well, and when he visited
19 our laboratory in Israel, I showed him what type of analysis
20 that he did -- or some improvement in the technique that he
21 used.

22 Q. Mr. Shkedy, before 2004, had you ever discussed reverse
23 engineering techniques with Christopher Tarnovsky?

24 A. No.

25 Q. And before -- well, I'll withdraw that question.

1 Did you ever share with Mr. Tarnovsky any of the
2 information from your reverse engineering of an EchoStar
3 card or chip?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Did you give Mr. Tarnovsky a copy of the Head End
6 Report?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Did you give Mr. Tarnovsky any of the information that
9 you had extracted from the EchoStar card?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Did you give Mr. Tarnovsky any of the code or other
12 information that you developed as part of that project?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Now, Mr. Shkedy, just a few more questions.

15 Is the Haifa Research Center sometimes referred to as
16 the "Black Hat Team"?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Where does that name come from?

19 A. It was a name that was adopted by Mr. Chaim Shen-Orr,
20 which was the head of the group. And he just borrow it from
21 the same group that was constructed at IBM.

22 Q. And what did the group at IBM do that got it the name
23 the Black Hat Team?

24 A. From my best of knowledge they did the same task as we
25 did in Haifa.

1 Q. That was reverse-engineering chips?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Products?

4 A. This is what I know.

5 Q. Mr. Shkedy, was part of your work at the Haifa Research
6 Center analyzing information about competitor chips that was
7 sent to you?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. That would be information that might be sent to you by
10 operational security?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Could I show you, please, Exhibit 789.

13 Have you seen Exhibit 789 before today, sir?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Can you tell me generally what it is?

16 A. This is an e-mail that's sent to me by Mr. Yoni Shiloh.
17 Yoni Shiloh work in the security department, and they sent
18 me an e-mail asking me about an information that appeared in
19 one of the -- in the Internet -- one of the sites over the
20 Internet, sorry -- okay. And what -- the file said that it
21 shows many, many keys that were used in several system like
22 Express View, DISH Network, and Sky Vista in Spain, and they
23 asked me if I recognize 'em. All the system had been
24 designed by Nagra.

25 Q. Mr. Shkedy, was this an e-mail that was sent to you at

1 your work at the Haifa Research Center?

2 A. Yes.

3 MR. SNYDER: Your Honor, I move Exhibit 789.

4 MR. NOLL: I haven't seen the exhibit yet,
5 Your Honor.

6 Can you locate a copy for me, please.

7 MR. SNYDER: This was one of the exhibits that we
8 went over last night, Your Honor.

9 MR. NOLL: No objection.

10 THE COURT: Received.

11 (Exhibit No. 789 received in evidence.)

12 MR. SNYDER: Thank you, Your Honor.

13 May I publish the exhibit?

14 THE COURT: You may.

15 (Document displayed.)

16 BY MR. SNYDER:

17 Q. Mr. Shkedy, can you identify for the jury the date of
18 this e-mail?

19 A. September 19 --

20 Q. Maybe you can highlight the date so they can see it,
21 please.

22 I'm sorry, Mr. Shkedy. What is the date?

23 A. September '99.

24 Q. What is being sent to you in this e-mail?

25 A. Those are the key that had been used -- that are used

1 in several Nagra system, all of them based on the same chip
2 and the same system.

3 Q. And these keys were being sent to you in 1999?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. What is the importance of the keys in the system?

6 A. As I explain it previously in the first slide about
7 what is a conditional access, the moment that you have the
8 key, so you can decrypt all the programs that are sent to
9 the satellite down to the earth.

10 Q. Where were these keys found on the Internet?

11 A. A site called DISHPlex.com.

12 Q. Is that described in the e-mail?

13 A. Yes, it's the second line in the message.

14 Q. So in the second line where it says,

15 HTTP:\\www.DISHPlex.com?

16 A. Yes, this is what it said.

17 Q. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Shkedy.

18 Could you please look at Exhibit 459. Can you tell me
19 generally, Mr. Shkedy, what Exhibit 459 is?

20 A. One moment, please. I don't recognize it. It will
21 take me some time -- It's kind of a pirate activity, but
22 I -- I don't know.

23 Q. You don't recall this e-mail, Mr. Shkedy?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Okay. Could I get you, please, to look at Exhibit 791?

1 THE COURT: Just a moment, Counsel.

2 The last was 459?

3 MR. SNYDER: Should have been 459.

4 THE COURT: This is the document that we went over
5 Saturday?

6 MR. SNYDER: I believe we looked --

7 THE COURT: And this refers to the Russians and
8 the Bulgarians? Are you sure you gave me the right one?

9 THE WITNESS: This is the wrong one, I think.

10 THE COURT: You might have given me the wrong
11 document.

12 Okay. Thank you very much. I didn't think that
13 that was the correct document, Counsel.

14 BY MR. SNYDER:

15 Q. Do you have Exhibit 791 in front of you, Mr. Shkedy?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Can you tell me generally what that exhibit is?

18 A. Generally speaking, it's the same type of information
19 or help that we gave to first-line security. They pick up
20 files from the network, and they ask us to identify what is
21 the content. In this case, it's a part of a program that
22 appeared on the Internet, the second part. And it sees like
23 a regular program written in assembly language, not in human
24 one, not in human language, and they asked us whether or not
25 we can identify the file.

1 Q. And this was an e-mail that was sent to you as part of
2 your work at the Haifa Research Center --

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. -- in October of 1999?

5 A. Yes.

6 THE COURT: Counsel, can you clarify once again
7 who the gentleman Yehonatan Shiloh is? I don't know if the
8 jury knows.

9 MR. SNYDER: Sure.

10 BY MR. SNYDER:

11 Q. Mr. Shkedy, who is Yehonatan Shiloh?

12 A. Okay. We are pronouncing it Yoni Shiloh, but he is one
13 of the team -- of the operational security team working
14 under the name -- sorry -- is working in the operational
15 security team under the supervision of Mr. Reuven Hasak.
16 And I work with him during this -- this time in evaluating
17 data that he got from the Internet.

18 Q. And that was part of your work at the Haifa Research
19 Center?

20 A. Yes, I was a point of contact for such activity.

21 THE COURT: So, in other words, to be clear, he is
22 part of the NDS?

23 MR. SNYDER: He is part of the NDS operational
24 security, yes.

25 THE COURT: Very good.

1 MR. SNYDER: Your Honor, I move Exhibit 791.

2 THE COURT: Any objection?

3 BY MR. NOLL: No, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Received.

5 (Exhibit No. 791 received in evidence.)

6 MR. SNYDER: May I publish it to the jury?

7 THE COURT: You may.

8 BY MR. SNYDER:

9 Q. Mr. Shkedy, could you point out for the jury where it
10 identifies the date of this e-mail?

11 A. Yes, it's in the second line. It's October '99.

12 Q. Okay. And then could you look down to the first body
13 of the e-mail, about four lines down, where it says: "This
14 is the first of two files"?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Do you see that? It says: "This is the first of two
17 files, are the disassembled version of the E-Star ROM info
18 that was posted on pound DISH Network."

19 Do you see that?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. What does that mean?

22 A. That means that somebody extracted the code of the
23 EchoStar. E-Star is EchoStar, and they put the code on the
24 Internet on the site named DISHPlex.

25 Q. And why is that important?

1 A. Because somebody could dump the code of the EchoStar
2 card ROM and to put it on the Net. I mean, it was a very
3 good hack to the pirate.

4 Q. This shows that someone had dumped the EchoStar ROM?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. In 1999?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And had put it on the Internet?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And what was the web site where this was found?

11 A. DISHPlex.com.

12 Q. Okay. If we could go back, please, Mr. Shkedy, to
13 Exhibit 459 -- we'll try and get you the right exhibit this
14 time. I apologize for confusing everyone.

15 A. Okay.

16 Q. Can you describe generally, Mr. Shkedy, what
17 Exhibit 459 is?

18 A. The same type of activity as the previous two files --
19 two exhibits. And this is a response to an e-mail that was
20 sent to me by Mr. Yoni Shiloh, the same one sent previous
21 two files. And the -- there is an attachment of a file.
22 And they asked me to identify what is the content of this
23 file.

24 And what I told him, that the file is not part of the
25 Smart Card. Might be that it is part of the IRD, what we

1 called the set-top box previously.

2 Q. So Mr. Shkedy, this is -- the bottom -- the original
3 e-mail is an e-mail from Mr. Shiloh to you?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And the upper portion is your response to Mr. Shiloh's
6 message?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And this e-mail exchange was done as part of your work
9 at the Haifa Research Center?

10 A. Yes.

11 MR. SNYDER: Your Honor, I move Exhibit 459.

12 THE COURT: Any objection?

13 MR. NOLL: No objection.

14 THE COURT: Received.

15 (Exhibit No. 459 received in evidence.)

16 MR. SNYDER: May we publish it, Your Honor?

17 THE COURT: You may.

18 MR. SNYDER: Can you blow up the upper portion,
19 please?

20 BY MR. SNYDER:

21 Q. What is the date of this exchange between you and
22 Mr. Shiloh?

23 A. October '99.

24 Q. And what is the information that Mr. Shiloh had
25 forwarded on to you?

1 A. It's kind of a file that he found on the DISHPlex site,
2 and they asked me to identify the content of the file.

3 Q. And what did you tell Mr. Shiloh was the content of the
4 file?

5 A. First of all, that the code is not out of the Smart
6 Card that we have in our disposal. And secondly, that this
7 might be from the IRD, if at all. I mean, we were not sure
8 what is the content of the file. So in this case, we failed
9 to identify the file.

10 Q. And what was the website that this was found on?

11 A. DISH -- DISHPlex.

12 Q. Mr. Shkedy, if I can go back -- you were asked a few
13 questions about your trips to the United States. Do you
14 recall that?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. As part of the reverse engineering of the EchoStar
17 card, how many trips, did you make to the United States?

18 A. Two to the United States and one to Canada.

19 Q. Okay. So let's try and take those one at a time.

20 A. Okay.

21 Q. Where did you go on the first trip to the
22 United States?

23 A. To Fort Lee, to the house of a guy named Eyal, E-Y-A-L.

24 Q. And what did do you when you were in Fort Lee?

25 A. We tried to monitor the transaction or the data that

1 goes between the set-top box and the card, what we refer to
2 previously, the sniffing.

3 Q. Okay. And while you were there, did you receive any
4 EchoStar programming that you weren't authorized to receive?

5 A. No. Actually, the card failed after a while, and we
6 couldn't accomplish the tasks that we -- that we traveled
7 for.

8 Q. Did you decrypt any television programming from
9 EchoStar that you weren't authorized to decrypt?

10 A. No.

11 Q. When was the second time that you came to the United
12 States as part of the EchoStar project?

13 A. A little bit afterward. I don't remember if it was one
14 or two months, something like that. And we went to
15 Maryland, to one of NDS employee named Veret Anikster,
16 V-E-R-E-T, A-N-I-K-S-T-E-R.

17 THE COURT: Just a moment. A-N-I-K-S-T-E-R.

18 THE INTERPRETER: Would the Court wish for the
19 interpreter to do spellings?

20 THE COURT: I have A-N-I-K-S-T-E-R.

21 THE INTERPRETER: That's correct.

22 THE COURT: Thank you.

23 BY MR. SNYDER:

24 Q. Mr. Shkedy, what did you do on that second trip to the
25 United States?

1 A. We try again to monitor the data that flows between the
2 Smart Card and the IRD.

3 Q. And were you successful this time?

4 A. No.

5 Q. As part of that visit to the United States, did you
6 receive any EchoStar programming that you weren't authorized
7 to receive?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Did you decrypt any EchoStar programming that you
10 weren't authorized to decrypt?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Now, you made one more trip to North America, correct?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Why did you come to the United States or Canada as part
15 of the reverse engineering project? Why didn't you do the
16 work in Israel?

17 A. Okay. I will use my fist with -- okay. This is a
18 globe. Here is United States. Here is Israel. The
19 satellite is -- hang over United States. In Israel, we are
20 in the shadow. We cannot receive any signal from the
21 satellite that is hang up over the United States. So we
22 didn't have any other option but to go to the United States,
23 or to North America, actually.

24 Q. So if you wanted to log the information between the IRD
25 and the Smart Card, were you able to do that in Israel?

1 A. No.

2 Q. You had to go to North America to do that?

3 A. Only in North America. In professional world, it would
4 be the footprint of the satellite does not cover Israel.
5 But just to show that I know some technical words -- okay.

6 Q. Mr. Shkedy, you made a third trip to North America as
7 part of the project?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And where did you go that time?

10 A. To Canada -- Windsor, Canada.

11 Q. And what did you do in Windsor, Canada?

12 A. We try to verify whether or not we accomplished the
13 task of reverse-engineering the EchoStar card. And the
14 reason is to verify whether or not there are still as a
15 security measure that we didn't find in our
16 reverse-engineering effort in Israel, so just a verification
17 that we found a security measure and they don't hide any
18 other tricks in the card.

19 Q. And did you understand that anything you were doing in
20 Canada was wrong or illegal?

21 A. As far as I know, it's legal.

22 Q. Now, Mr. Shkedy, did you share the results of any of
23 the information you collected on your trips to the
24 United States or Canada with Chris Tarnovsky?

25 A. No.

1 Q. Did you share any -- did you share any of the results
2 of your visits to the United States or Canada with any
3 pirates?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Did you -- was -- did you share any of the information
6 from the EchoStar reverse-engineering project with any
7 pirates?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Was any of the information from the EchoStar
10 reverse-engineering project used to assist piracy?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Was that one of the purposes of the project?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Has any of the reverse engineering that you have done
15 been done for the purpose of assisting piracy?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Is the purpose of the Haifa research facility to fight
18 piracy, Mr. Shkedy?

19 A. Yes, this is our main task.

20 MR. SNYDER: No further questions, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Redirect.

22 Once again, introduce yourself back to the record
23 so I have a clear record.

24 MR. NOLL: Thank you, Your Honor.

25 David Noll on behalf of plaintiffs.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

1
2 BY MR. NOLL:

3 Q. I want to cover a few points that you just made in your
4 examination by Mr. Snyder, Mr. Shkedy.

5 One of the things you just testified to is that Israel
6 is in the shadow when you tried to demonstrate to the jury
7 the way the satellite spot beam works. Do you recall that?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Truth is, Mr. Shkedy, Israel is not in the shadow. The
10 footprint that EchoStar broadcasts its signal is to the
11 United States; is that correct?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. You looked at Exhibits 459, 784, and 791. Do you
14 recall that, sir?

15 A. Those are the three e-mails that I --

16 Q. Yeah.

17 A. Okay. Yes.

18 Q. Yeah, your counsel just showed those to you, correct?

19 A. Okay. Yes.

20 Q. And you recall those exhibits dealt with a web address
21 called -- dealing with DISHPlex. Do you recall that?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Okay. Now, the DISHPlex -- there's some code posted or
24 something that appears to be something like code in 791, and
25 you testified that it related to ROM.

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Okay. When somebody has a ROM of a conditional access
3 system, they don't have the ability to write to the access
4 card; is that correct?

5 A. It is correct.

6 Q. Yeah. So in order to write to the access card, you
7 need the EEPROM, which we discussed was demonstrated in the
8 Headend Report, correct?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. And these exhibits, they don't contain EEPROM. Is that
11 right, sir?

12 A. It is right.

13 Q. In fact, Exhibit 459 -- you analyzed the code from the
14 DISHPlex website, and you say in your e-mail that the code
15 is not part of the Smart Card.

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And you knew that because you already had the code in
18 your possession from a year earlier in 1998; isn't that
19 correct, sir?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. You testified earlier about two tasks that NDS has for
22 its lab in Haifa, Israel. Do you recall that, sir?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. First task is NDS tries to find weak points in its
25 chips, correct?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. And the second task is NDS evaluates other chips from
3 competitors, correct?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Okay. And you testified that you flew to the
6 United States two times concerning the EchoStar hack,
7 correct?

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. You came over here from Israel in order to obtain
10 EchoStar access cards, correct?

11 A. (No audible response.)

12 Q. You came over here to test -- excuse me -- you came
13 over here to test your hack of the EchoStar access cards.

14 A. In Canada.

15 Q. That's right. You did that in Canada one time, right?

16 A. Canada, and twice in the United States.

17 Q. And we understand from your testimony that the chips
18 that are in these access cards come from -- that is, the
19 EchoStar access cards -- come from a company called
20 ST Thompson, correct?

21 A. The chips is come from ST Thompson, yes.

22 Q. And in order to test the EchoStar access cards, you got
23 the cards from NDS's operational security, right?

24 A. Right.

25 Q. And isn't it true, sir, that you could have just worked

1 directly with ST Thompson in order to evaluate the chips
2 that they put out in the market?

3 A. It could be done also, yes, we did it also now with
4 ST Thompson, but they didn't contact us at that time.

5 Q. You didn't do it that way, did you?

6 A. We didn't do it that way, yes.

7 Q. And you also testified that reverse engineering is
8 understanding how something functions.

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Giving the Headend project report to a satellite
11 hacker, Mr. Shkedy, does not further any reverse
12 engineering. Do to agree with that?

13 A. Sorry. Can you repeat, please?

14 Q. Yeah. You recall the Headend project report you did
15 concerning the hack of the EchoStar system, correct?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And your counsel elicited testimony from you that you
18 believe that's just reverse engineering, correct?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Now, if you or anyone at NDS was to give that Headend
21 project report to a satellite pirate or hacker, that
22 wouldn't be in furtherance of reverse engineering. Do you
23 agree with me?

24 A. Sorry? Can you repeat?

25 THE COURT: That has a double negative.

1 THE WITNESS: I think that I didn't got some of
2 the word and --

3 BY MR. NOLL:

4 Q. I'll make it simple.

5 A. Okay.

6 Q. Giving the Headend project report that deals with the
7 EchoStar hack to a satellite pirate or hacker is not reverse
8 engineering, correct?

9 THE INTERPRETER: (Interprets.)

10 THE WITNESS: Okay. You are right. We didn't
11 give it.

12 BY MR. NOLL:

13 Q. Can you think of any good reason, Mr. Shkedy, that NDS
14 would have had to give the Headend project report to
15 Christopher Tarnovsky?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Right. And you agree with me, sir, if the evidence
18 shows that NDS gave that Headend project report to
19 Christopher Tarnovsky, that that act was not in furtherance
20 of reverse engineering?

21 A. I can testify only on the part where I was involved
22 until 2001. Until 2001 we didn't give any -- any report to
23 Mr. Tarnovsky.

24 Q. Okay. And in your testimony with -- when your counsel
25 was examining you, you made a distinction between piracy and

1 reverse engineering. Do you recall that?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And we already talked about reverse engineering. But
4 you said piracy -- and I'll use your words -- is to cause
5 damage to another company, correct?

6 A. Correct, or to take advantage of selling something,
7 forgery or something like that. So I use the word "damage."
8 But you have to take it in the sense to make damage or to
9 make illegal profit, something like that.

10 Q. And you agree with me, sir, that if this jury right
11 here finds that Christopher Tarnovsky posted EchoStar's
12 codes on the Internet, that would be piracy, sir?

13 A. This is a question or a process? I don't know.

14 Q. You would also agree with me, sir, that if this jury
15 finds that it's illegal, correct?

16 A. It -- I think it is illegal, yes.

17 Q. And based on your definition of piracy, this jury finds
18 that Christopher Tarnovsky did those acts, it would cause
19 damage to EchoStar, sir; isn't that correct?

20 A. This is legal advice, or this is -- I don't know if I'm
21 capable of answering this question.

22 Q. Okay.

23 BY MR. NOLL: No further questions.

24 THE COURT: Recross.

25

1 RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 THE COURT: This is Mr. Snyder on behalf of NDS.

3 BY MR. SNYDER:

4 Q. Just a couple of questions, Mr. Shkedy. I think there
5 may be some confusion about the difference between a
6 footprint and a shadow for a satellite.

7 A. Okay.

8 Q. EchoStar's satellite that beams transmissions to the
9 United States and to North America -- can you receive that
10 signal in Israel?

11 A. No.

12 Q. So if you wanted to log the communications between an
13 IRD, a set-top box, and a Smart Card, would you -- were you
14 able to do that in Israel?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Did you have to travel to North America to do that?

17 A. Yes. May I give an example? Let's assume that we have
18 a flashlight and a sphere, or the sun which is shining. And
19 so we have day on one side; we have night on the other side.
20 From the night side, you cannot see the sun, so you cannot
21 see the transmission.22 Okay. The satellite act like a sun. And the
23 transmissions is like whether or not we have -- we have
24 light or not. So if you have this globe, we have this
25 satellite over here transmitting its transmission onto the

1 globe, you can receive the signal only on one side of the
2 globe and not from the other side where Israel is located.

3 Q. And, unlike the sun, Mr. Shkedy, is the satellite set
4 up so that it's always over the same part of the earth?

5 A. Yes. The technical word is "geostationary."

6 Q. Geostationary?

7 A. Which mean it hang up always above the same point on
8 the globe.

9 Q. And Mr. Shkedy, you were also asked some questions
10 about giving the Headend report to pirates. Did you give
11 the Headend report to any pirates?

12 A. No.

13 MR. SNYDER: Thank you, Mr. Shkedy.

14 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

15 THE COURT: All right. Now, we're going to take a
16 recess until -- about 20 minutes this time. I need just
17 about five or ten minutes with counsel.

18 You're admonished not to discuss this matter
19 amongst yourselves nor to form or express any opinion
20 concerning this case.

21 Thank you very much.

22 Sir, if you would remain for just a moment.

23 THE WITNESS: Sir.

24 (The jury exits the courtroom.)

25 (Outside the presence of the jury.)

1 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, if you would be
2 seated for just one moment, we'll remain on the record.

3 The jury is no longer present. All counsel are
4 present.

5 I don't propose to enter into this lawsuit in the
6 presence of the jury unless there's an adverse inference
7 being drawn by the Court. With this particular witness, he
8 has every likelihood of returning, so as a courtesy I can
9 speak to you now, or just put you on notice that in all
10 likelihood he's returning.

11 You can have my thoughts.

12 (To the witness:) Sir, if you would remain outside
13 for just a moment, and we'll call you back in just a moment.

14 THE WITNESS: Okay.

15 THE COURT: But that's up to you. It will become
16 clear why if one of the parties request in the future, but
17 as I said, I can divulge a thought to you and have you each
18 deal with it, but I will not do this in front of the jury.

19 MR. SNYDER: Please, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: The gentleman is no longer present.

21 There's a tremendous disconnect. If you listen to
22 the gentleman, he disassociates himself from a pirate. He
23 keeps tossing in "ex-pirate," referring to Kommerling. So
24 my notes show that on direct examination the gentleman
25 testified that the Headend report was given to Oliver

1 Kommerling, Mr. Shen-Orr, and all members of the Haifa team.

2 When he uses the word "pirate," he doesn't include
3 Mr. Kommerling. He defines Mr. Kommerling as an ex-pirate.
4 I'm going to joke with you a moment -- I don't know if they
5 have retirement systems or what, but the end result is
6 there's a disconnect between the two of you.

7 You've labored hard to say that he doesn't
8 disclose this report. To a pirate, that's definitional.
9 Mr. Kommerling may not be a pirate. He may have been a
10 pirate at one time, but now he's a retired pirate.

11 If you two want to leave that where it is, maybe
12 he's not coming back, but within 72 hours if there's concern
13 about his questioning, he's back on that plane.

14 The second thing is, I don't know, because it's
15 not clear from this examination, what year Mr. Kommerling
16 received this information. In other words, the question's
17 been asked, when did Kommerling get the information about
18 the Headend reports. But it's not clear what year. Do
19 either one of you know?

20 MR. SNYDER: I believe it was about the time of
21 the project, Your Honor. I'm not sure that anyone knows
22 precisely, but the 1998 period.

23 MR. HAGAN: We have, Your Honor, and that's the
24 best evidence, is mid-1998.

25 THE COURT: Did that come out in front of the

1 jury? Does anybody know?

2 MR. HAGAN: I do not.

3 THE COURT: I'll leave you in a quandary: How
4 much he's inconvenienced, how many times he comes back,
5 maybe never. All right, Counsel. You'll deal with it how
6 you want to. I'll have him back on the stand and just
7 admonish him in front of the jury that he's subject to a
8 72-hour recall.

9 Take a recess until five after the hour.

10 MR. HAGAN: Thank you, Judge.

11 (Recess is held at 2:48 p.m.)

12 (Further proceedings reported by Sharon
13 Seffens in Volume IV.)

14 -oOo-

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 -oOo-

2
3 CERTIFICATE

4
5 I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753,
6 Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing is a true and
7 correct transcript of the stenographically reported
8 proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the
9 transcript page format is in conformance with the
10 regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

11
12 Date: April 11, 2008

13
14
15 _____
16 DEBBIE GALE, U.S. COURT REPORTER

17 CSR NO. 9472, RPR
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A			B	
abbreviation	76:12,23 77:17 78:10,14	arrangements	bachelor 38:12	bottom 35:19 67:2
20:25 26:13,25	airplane 48:10	24:3	back 4:5 6:8 9:18	box 22:1 24:23
ability 74:3	al 1:5,8 2:3,11	arrow 30:12	10:20 18:24	36:11,14,17,18
able 50:23 51:3,6	alerted 15:2	asked 15:11 16:3	25:9 28:14	36:22 38:4 67:1
70:25 79:14	allow 16:21 33:20	17:3 44:22	39:25 44:16	69:1 79:13
above-entitled	33:23 38:8	48:21 49:24	45:25 46:9	break 4:21
84:8	allowed 39:9	53:20 54:18	66:12 68:12	bring 43:2
access 10:21,25	allows 13:19 14:6	55:18 58:4	72:22 81:13	broadcast 13:25
12:8,16 17:23	America 70:12,23	60:23 63:24	82:12,13 83:4,6	broadcasts 73:10
25:1,23 26:1,5	71:2,3,6 79:9,16	66:22 68:2,12	background 38:9	broaden 45:6
28:7,11 34:24	Ana 1:16,23 4:1	80:9 82:17	38:10	browse 9:2
35:1,16 36:25	analysis 55:5,7,8	asking 16:23	bad 44:14	browsed 10:5
50:24 56:17,20	58:19	60:18	based 62:1 78:17	building 40:16,18
56:25 62:7 74:2	analyzed 74:13	assembly 63:23	basic 12:25 21:7	41:4,5,7,8,15,16
74:3,6 75:10,13	analyzer 54:12,13	53:3 55:5 72:10	basically 54:5	41:16,17,19
75:18,19,22	analyzing 60:6	assisting 72:15	battle 38:2	Bulgarians 63:8
accomplish 69:6	angel 45:24	associated 36:1,5	battlefield 36:24	bunch 15:3
accomplished	Angeles 2:21	36:10	beam 5:13,14,15	burned 21:16
71:12	Anikster 69:15	ASSOCIATES	5:18,23 6:10,13	business 8:10
accumulating	anonymously	2:4	6:16 54:19,19	10:17
23:1	30:21	assume 79:17	54:24 55:10	buy 21:6
act 12:9 13:7	answer 15:13	Atmel 57:12,12	73:7	bypass 51:8
77:19 79:22	16:22,23 17:5	attachment 66:21	beams 55:3 79:8	
activity 62:21	18:9 51:20,21	attack 15:16,25	beginning 44:3	C
64:20 66:18	answered 15:11	18:5 52:14	behalf 72:25 79:2	C 25:20
acts 78:18	17:1	attacks 15:22	belief 32:8	California 1:2,16
add 9:15 10:2	answering 78:21	attempting 21:22	believe 7:16 16:25	1:23 2:15,21 4:1
address 73:20	anybody 83:1	attempts 21:17	25:21 53:24	call 18:1 22:9
admonish 83:7	anymore 21:15	attention 9:18,20	55:9 63:6 76:18	36:1 37:20
admonished	52:15	12:18 14:10	82:20	81:13
80:18	apologize 29:22	19:10 20:9,17	best 53:1 59:24	called 4:22 7:4
adopt 42:17 43:9	66:14	23:2 25:10,20	82:24	42:20 62:11
43:9 44:19	APPEARANCES	27:6 28:25 29:2	better 44:20	67:1 73:21
45:10	2:1	Attorneys 2:7,14	45:12,15 50:9	75:19
adopted 59:19	appeared 58:17	2:19	bills 56:1	Canada 25:3 49:6
advances 48:7	60:18 63:22	audible 75:11	bird 48:9,9	49:11 68:18
advantage 78:6	appears 73:24	August 25:6,8	bit 5:9,12 14:21	70:14 71:10,10
adverse 81:6	appendix 25:20	authorized 13:3	30:17 35:25	71:11,20,24
advice 78:20	27:6,11 28:15	21:12 69:4,9	37:25,25 38:9	72:2 75:14,15
afternoon 34:10	29:20,21 30:4	70:6,10	69:13	75:16
34:11	applied 5:3 48:11	Avenue 2:20	Black 59:16,23	Canal 32:10
afterward 9:5	appreciation	aware 5:6 7:1	blame 32:9	candidate 42:4
44:3 46:14	43:21	15:7,24 28:10	blow 67:18	capability 48:25
69:13	appropriate	A-G-I-L-A-N-T	blue 36:3,14	capable 78:21
Agilant 41:21	13:20	41:21	37:18	car 45:8
ago 10:6 48:18	April 1:17 4:1	A-N-I-K-S-T-E...	body 65:12	card 5:11 6:22
agree 12:2,7	84:12	69:16,17,20	book 26:14	13:22 21:12,13
30:22 33:10	architecture 9:24	A-T-M-E-L 57:13	borrow 59:20	21:14,15,16,17
	10:5,9,10			22:15,20 23:7

<p>23:18 24:8,13 24:22 27:3 29:23 30:13 33:11,14,18 36:13,15,17,21 36:25 37:2,14 37:16,17,22 38:3,4 39:2 42:5 44:11 48:18 56:5 57:18 59:3 59:9 66:2,25 68:6,17 69:1,5 70:2,25 71:13 71:18 74:4,6,15 79:13</p> <p>cards 12:8,16 17:23 23:13,16 25:4 26:6 28:7 33:25 44:8 75:10,13,18,19 75:22,23</p> <p>card's 26:1</p> <p>careful 15:4</p> <p>CARTER 1:3</p> <p>case 16:4 24:16 38:8 50:14 51:7 63:21 68:8 80:20</p> <p>cause 47:25 78:4 78:18</p> <p>center 2:14 12:23 14:11,14 22:12 22:15,20 29:4 39:21,23 40:3 40:16,18 41:5,7 41:18,25 42:11 42:20 43:6 44:7 45:16 46:3 53:2 53:5 57:1,5,16 59:15 60:6 61:1 64:2,19 67:9</p> <p>CENTRAL 1:2</p> <p>certain 26:23 35:24 36:16 46:24</p> <p>CERTIFICATE 84:3</p> <p>certify 84:5</p> <p>CHAD 2:5</p> <p>Chaim 31:24 53:7</p>	<p>59:19</p> <p>chance 34:13</p> <p>change 26:20,22 30:24 31:6</p> <p>changed 30:18</p> <p>channel 13:25 18:2,2 35:21,21 35:23,23 36:4,6 36:10,16,18 43:21</p> <p>channels 21:7,8,9</p> <p>charge 13:1</p> <p>chases 43:2</p> <p>chemistry 43:20</p> <p>chief 23:21</p> <p>child 34:19</p> <p>China 49:12</p> <p>chip 6:20 10:10 14:23 15:2,7,7 17:16,17,19,20 17:22,25 20:14 26:11 27:20 28:1 30:2 37:20 37:20,22 39:24 41:22 42:2,2,12 44:12 45:6 46:9 46:13,14,15,20 46:21 47:3,4,12 47:15 48:19,22 49:9,10,22,25 50:21 52:16 53:25 54:9,13 59:3 62:1</p> <p>chips 32:17 42:5,6 42:14 45:17,20 45:23 46:3,7 48:15 49:15,16 49:18,19,21,23 52:8,16,18 53:21 54:4,7 55:6,15 58:17 60:1,6 74:25 75:2,17,21 76:1</p> <p>chosen 43:15</p> <p>Chris 58:15 71:24</p> <p>CHRISTINE 2:5</p> <p>Christopher 32:12 58:5,9,14 58:23 77:15,19 78:11,18</p>	<p>circuitry 20:10,13</p> <p>city 40:14</p> <p>clarification 5:17</p> <p>clarify 64:6</p> <p>classic 12:25</p> <p>classified 39:7</p> <p>clear 5:22 54:23 64:21 72:23 81:16 82:15,18</p> <p>Clint 29:15</p> <p>clip 18:11,12,21 18:22</p> <p>cloned 12:23 13:15 14:5</p> <p>close-up 41:8</p> <p>code 27:3 29:9 30:2,16,21 32:1 32:19 33:10 46:16 47:16 50:19 58:1 59:11 65:22,23 66:1 68:5 73:23 73:24 74:13,14 74:17 84:6</p> <p>codes 6:22 28:11 31:2 32:10 33:24 78:12</p> <p>collected 71:23</p> <p>color 37:19 49:20</p> <p>column 29:4</p> <p>combat 11:22</p> <p>come 16:10 23:13 24:19 59:18 70:14 75:18,19 75:21 82:25</p> <p>comes 83:4</p> <p>coming 82:12</p> <p>common 43:19 55:4</p> <p>communication 38:3 56:12</p> <p>communications 79:12</p> <p>companies 40:15 40:15 41:17 46:3,7 48:14</p> <p>company 5:19 6:2 6:4 39:1 48:1,21 48:24 49:6,7,10 49:13 57:14</p>	<p>75:19 78:5</p> <p>competitor 45:19 49:16,18,22 60:6</p> <p>competitors 75:3</p> <p>complete 20:2</p> <p>Complies 19:13 37:6</p> <p>compromise 11:4 11:12 18:2 42:9</p> <p>compromised 10:22 15:24</p> <p>compromising 15:4</p> <p>computer 3:14 8:12 56:6,7,10 56:13,14,15,17 56:21</p> <p>conceal 30:24 31:11</p> <p>concern 82:12</p> <p>concerned 16:23</p> <p>concerning 7:2 75:6 76:15 80:20</p> <p>concerns 28:11</p> <p>conditional 10:21 10:24 24:25 26:1 28:11 34:24 35:1,16 36:24 62:7 74:2</p> <p>conditions 35:24</p> <p>conference 58:16 84:10</p> <p>confers 11:14 22:14</p> <p>confidential 55:25</p> <p>confidentiality 39:14</p> <p>conformance 84:9</p> <p>confused 16:25 41:9</p> <p>confusing 66:14</p> <p>confusion 79:5</p> <p>connected 56:14</p> <p>connection 6:18 6:19</p> <p>consider 55:24</p>	<p>constructed 59:21</p> <p>consultant 44:5 57:12</p> <p>consuming 45:11</p> <p>contact 37:19,20 38:5 43:11,12 43:15 64:20 76:4</p> <p>contacts 38:6</p> <p>contain 74:10</p> <p>containing 13:20</p> <p>content 13:24 14:8 39:9 63:21 66:22 68:2,3,8</p> <p>contents 21:15 30:10 50:25</p> <p>continue 4:9 6:5</p> <p>Continued 4:17</p> <p>continuously 38:21</p> <p>contract 5:19 6:1 6:2</p> <p>control 38:3</p> <p>copies 41:9</p> <p>copy 8:11 9:11 18:12 32:25 33:3 35:9 59:5 61:6</p> <p>core 53:18</p> <p>corner 8:22</p> <p>CORP 1:5</p> <p>CORPORATI... 2:3</p> <p>correct 5:1,2,4,5 7:5,6,12 8:3,7,8 8:10 10:1,7,11 10:12,15,16,18 10:19,22,23 11:12 13:21 14:7,17,20 15:10 16:4,11 16:14 17:11,20 17:21,23,25 19:1,2,4,18 20:15 21:23 22:3,11,12 23:23 24:6,17 25:6,18,19 27:4 27:5,17,18 30:25 31:1,7,8</p>
--	---	---	---	--

31:19,24 32:2 32:11 33:1,2,4,5 33:6,7,9,15 46:4 46:5 51:24,25 63:13 69:21 70:12 73:11,18 74:4,5,8,9,19,25 75:1,3,7,8,10,20 76:15,18 77:8 78:5,6,15,19 84:7 corrected 52:8,17 correctly 9:25 13:4 14:2 20:23 21:19 23:10 46:25 costs 55:13 counsel 4:6,8,9 12:20 17:13 29:11 35:8 47:9 63:1,13 64:6 73:18 76:17 77:24 80:17 81:1,3 83:5 couple 79:4 course 7:3 8:9 11:25 14:18 21:18 23:14 42:17 court 1:1,21,22 4:5,14 7:18,20 8:16,19 9:11 12:20 15:12 16:21 17:3,7,13 18:12,15,18,19 19:23 20:5 29:11,16,18,20 31:21 34:3 35:8 35:10,12 37:10 40:9 43:3 46:10 46:19,22,24 47:3,5,7 50:3 56:20,23 61:10 61:14 63:1,4,7 63:10 64:6,21 64:25 65:2,4,7 67:12,14,17 69:17,18,20,22 72:21 76:25 78:24 79:2	80:15 81:1,7,15 81:20 82:25 83:3 84:15 courtesy 4:7 81:8 courtroom 80:24 cover 71:4 73:3 CPU 9:23 create 12:4 21:22 28:7 55:22 created 11:18 19:18 creative 43:22 credit 37:17 CROSS 3:3 Cross-examinat... 34:3,8 cross-shape 40:18 cross-shaped 41:7 CSR 1:21 84:16 cyberpiracy 21:6 <hr/> D D 2:5,19 3:1 Dalas 46:14 47:4 47:12 Dallas 47:5 damage 47:24,25 48:1 78:5,7,8,19 Danny 53:8 Darin 2:13 34:6 data 24:22,24 25:2 26:1,22 36:1,2,5,7,10,12 36:13,22 55:22 56:7,8 64:17 68:25 70:1 date 8:23 25:7 38:24 61:17,20 61:22 65:10 67:21 84:12 David 1:3 2:13,24 4:12 7:2 8:7 14:16 24:15 56:16,18,18,22 72:25 day 1:8 4:2 79:19 deal 81:18 83:5 dealing 73:21 deals 77:6 dealt 73:20	Debbie 1:21 84:15 debit 22:17 23:1 decrypt 36:5,17 36:18 62:8 69:8 69:9 70:9,10 defeat 51:3,6 defeated 51:14 defect 52:18 defend 18:4 DEFENDANT 2:11 defendants 1:9 34:7 deficiencies 45:13 48:19 Define 17:13 defines 82:3 definition 78:17 definitional 82:8 degree 38:13 demonstrate 73:6 demonstrated 74:7 demonstrative 35:5 37:4 department 60:17 deposition 10:4,6 10:7 16:3,6,11 16:16 describe 15:17 35:15 37:14 38:9 41:24 56:3 66:16 described 62:12 describes 25:15 describing 13:10 14:4 27:15 53:24 description 25:16 design 15:19 18:8 39:2,25 40:2 44:16 45:12,13 51:23,24 55:5,6 designed 60:24 designer 15:2 determine 31:7 developed 48:18 55:4 57:23 58:1 59:12 device 4:21,25	difference 45:3 47:20 79:5 differences 9:24 different 6:15 13:23 16:16 40:3,4 49:18,20 51:4 difficult 55:12 difficulty 13:22 direct 3:3 4:10,17 9:19 25:10 81:24 directly 76:1 DirecTV 11:4,7 11:23 DirecTV's 10:21 10:24 11:12 disassembled 65:17 disassociates 81:22 disclose 82:8 disconnect 81:21 82:6 disconnected 21:18 22:5,8 discovered 14:1 29:9,25 discuss 58:13 80:18 discussed 27:17 31:13 58:5,8,22 74:7 dish 21:14,23 22:9 23:3 36:9 60:22 65:18 68:11 DISHPlex 65:24 68:1,11 73:21 73:23 74:14 DISHPlex.com 62:11 66:11 display 36:19 displayed 35:13 37:12 40:24 41:12 61:15 disposal 68:6 dissolved 52:13 distinction 77:25 District 1:1,2,22	divided 50:1,21 dividing 50:23 divulge 81:17 DOC 1:7 document 7:8,12 8:5,6,9 9:2 11:10 13:13 14:16,19 15:17 17:9,19,22 18:18,24 27:23 28:6,18 29:13 30:4 31:13 35:13 37:12 50:17 52:12 61:15 63:4,11 63:13 doing 31:9,11 45:10 49:1,8 50:7 52:21 71:19 double 76:25 Dov 2:24 download 30:11 downloaded 21:15 draft 3:9 7:12,14 7:22 8:2 9:1,1,3 9:3,7,13,16 11:3 17:9 drawn 81:7 dump 26:1,5 30:14 46:16 47:16 50:19,25 66:1 dumped 66:4 dumps 50:16 duty 36:15 D-A-L-A-S 47:6 D2V3 1:25 <hr/> E E 3:1 earlier 5:10 19:6 23:12 30:18 31:13 53:20 58:4 74:18,21 earth 62:9 80:4 easily 13:25 51:18 EBERHART 2:13
--	--	--	---	---

EchoStar 1:5,25 2:3 5:11 6:9,18 6:19 7:2 10:14 10:21 12:5 24:20 28:7 29:23 30:21 32:19 33:1 53:25 56:5 57:4 57:18,24 59:2,9 65:23,23 66:1,4 68:16 69:4,9,12 70:6,9 71:13 72:6,9 73:10 75:6,10,13,19 75:22 76:15 77:7 78:19	Embarcadero 2:14 employee 69:15 employees 49:8 empty 37:22 encrypt 56:8 encrypted 35:23 encryption 36:1,2 36:13,22 ended 32:6,8 ends 18:22 enemy 43:9 engineer 24:15 38:11,16 39:20 39:22 53:10 57:2 engineering 5:10 44:24 45:1,4,5 47:17,20,22 48:4,8,11 49:2,9 49:23 50:9 52:7 52:21 53:15,15 53:18,19,25 55:10,21 56:4,5 57:4,18,24 58:5 58:9,13,23 59:2 68:16 70:15 72:14 76:7,12 76:18,22 77:8 77:20 78:1,3 England 6:14,17 English 37:24 enlarge 45:6 enter 81:5 entire 37:22 entitled 13:15 20:20 entitlement 13:15 14:5 equipment 6:10 44:4 54:9 55:4 erasable 26:25 27:1 erase 26:20 error 10:4 28:9 et 1:5,8 2:3,11 Europe 49:11,13 evaluate 39:24 42:2,6,12 76:1 evaluates 75:2	evaluating 42:13 64:16 evaluation 6:20 evidence 3:8 8:15 8:20 19:21,24 61:11 65:5 67:15 77:17 82:24 exactly 15:17 examination 4:10 4:17 16:2 73:1,4 81:24 82:15 examining 77:25 example 25:25 26:14,18 30:8 30:12,13 45:7 48:8 49:24 51:2 54:12 79:17 examples 52:6 exceed 22:17 exchange 67:8,21 excluding 13:2 excuse 75:12 exhibit 3:8 7:8,10 7:17 8:14,17,20 9:19 11:2,2,10 11:19 12:20 13:9 15:9 16:15 17:9 19:3,11,12 19:15,21,24 20:2,2,10 21:21 27:22 28:14,21 60:12,13 61:3,4 61:11,13 62:18 62:19,25 63:15 63:17 65:1,5 66:13,13,17 67:11,15 74:13 exhibits 3:7 28:4 40:7 61:7 66:19 73:13,20 74:10 exists 13:21 exits 80:24 expand 21:7 explain 35:24 42:6 44:10,12 62:6 explains 21:21 expose 15:24 exposed 37:18	express 60:22 80:19 extend 6:2 extract 6:22 extracted 30:2 33:11 59:9 65:22 ex-hackers 43:13 ex-pirate 19:9 81:23 82:3 Eyal 68:23 eye 54:17 Eyel 23:16 24:12 24:21 Eyel's 24:2,6 eyes 54:15 E-E-P-R-O-M 26:8 e-mail 3:12,13 32:19,20,23 56:11 57:21,23 58:1 60:16,18 60:25 61:18,24 62:12,23 64:1 65:10,13 66:19 67:3,3,8 74:14 e-mailed 32:21 e-mails 73:15 E-PROM 26:17 E-Star 65:17,23 E-Y-A-L 68:23	feedback 15:23 42:3 feel 43:22 FIB 5:20 6:15 51:8 fields 27:2 fight 11:4,11 42:24 43:9 72:17 file 8:11 13:20 60:20 63:25 66:21,23,24 68:1,2,4,8,9 files 55:22 63:20 65:14,17 66:18 66:21 final 3:10 7:22 8:3 9:3,5,6 19:16,17 find 14:24 39:24 42:8,16 44:17 45:8,9 51:19 52:20 71:15 74:24 finding 40:1 44:18 finds 78:11,15,17 first 7:12,14 9:19 13:18 26:12 41:20 42:1,15 43:7,17 46:13 49:3 58:8 62:6 65:12,14,16 68:5,21 74:24 first-line 63:19 fist 70:17 five 38:5 53:19 80:17 83:9 fix 46:1 48:19 flashlight 79:18 flew 43:17 75:5 floor 41:20,22,23 flows 24:22 70:1 flying 48:10 focus 9:18 12:18 14:10 19:10 20:9,17 23:2 25:9,20 26:8 27:6 28:25 29:2 39:16 56:5 focused 5:12,14
			F	
			F 30:4 facility 56:6 72:17 fact 5:3 74:13 failed 68:8 69:5 failure 55:5,7,8 fair 39:12 faking 13:22 family 34:18 far 5:5 10:17,19 12:6,14,14 71:21 feature 50:19 51:3,11,12,14 51:18 Federal 1:21 feed 39:24 44:16 45:25	

5:15,18,23 6:10 6:13,16 54:19 54:19,24 55:3 55:10 focusing 10:20 11:10 following 9:24 footprint 71:4 73:10 79:6 foregoing 84:6 forgery 78:7 form 80:19 format 84:9 formed 42:25 Fort 23:17 24:21 68:23,24 forth 30:15 35:21 43:22 forward 6:23 forwarded 67:25 found 10:5 15:6 43:19 44:18 45:25 62:10 66:10 68:1,10 71:17 Fountainview 2:7 four 34:19,19 36:3,3 53:19 65:13 fourth 41:22 frame 5:16 6:8 10:13,20 Francisco 2:15 free 21:8,9 front 31:5 63:15 81:18 82:25 83:7 fully 32:4 function 38:5 functional 38:6 functioning 47:23 functions 76:8 further 6:3 7:15 72:20 76:11 78:23 83:12 furtherance 76:22 77:19 future 81:16	gain 38:2 Gale 1:21 84:15 gas 45:11 generally 26:10 60:15 62:19 63:17,18 66:16 generation 52:4 gentleman 23:16 64:7 81:20,22 81:24 geostationary 80:5,6 Germany 43:17 43:25 give 18:17 26:5 49:24 59:5,8,11 76:20 77:11,14 77:22 79:17 80:10 given 19:4 63:10 81:25 giving 76:10 77:6 80:10 globe 70:18 79:24 80:1,2,8 go 7:22 9:5 20:17 30:4 38:25 40:22 66:12 68:12,21 70:22 71:2,9 goes 36:13 69:1 going 6:8,23 7:7 9:19 13:18 16:21 18:24 20:9,17 21:10 27:6 45:5,7 80:15 82:4 gold 37:19 gonna 25:20 28:15,25 good 31:10,11 34:10,11 42:17 44:14,19 49:1 50:7,12 64:25 66:3 77:13 government 39:4 39:6,13 graduated 38:11 grandchildren 34:20	graphic 34:25 37:1 ground 35:18,19 group 1:8 2:11 15:19 31:25 39:20,25 40:2 42:20 43:1,1 44:16 53:7 59:20,21,22 guide 26:9 guy 53:8 68:23	H	H 27:6,11 hack 5:11 6:9,18 7:2 10:14,21 12:25 14:6 17:20 20:20 21:11,17,22 24:19,25 25:2 25:16 27:11 28:7 45:17,19 66:3 75:6,13 76:15 77:7 hacked 11:8 20:14 22:23 23:13 29:8 hacker 13:10 15:16 18:2,4 19:1,7 43:2,8,10 43:11 46:15,16 76:11,21 77:7 hacking 12:7,16 13:6 22:21 23:16 27:19,25 44:22,24 45:1,3 45:22 HAGAN 2:5 82:23 83:2,10 Haifa 4:22 5:22 8:10 30:1 32:2,3 34:17 39:20,23 40:3,13,16 41:5 41:18,25 42:10 42:19 43:6 44:7 45:16 46:2 53:2 53:2,5,12 56:7 56:25 57:2,5,16 59:15,25 60:5 61:1 64:2,18	67:9 72:17 74:22 82:1 half 39:5 hand 7:7 19:12 handed 18:18 hands 33:20,23 hang 70:19,21 80:7 happened 5:25 hard 8:11 82:7 harder 50:24 52:4 hardware 9:20 44:13 harmful 33:13 HARTSON 2:18 Hasak 23:19,19 23:21 24:2 64:15 Hat 59:16,23 haul 16:9 head 31:25 53:7 57:21 59:5,20 Headend 3:9,10 7:4,13 8:3 9:1 11:2,18 17:10 19:3,16 25:9 28:14 31:14 32:25 33:3 55:19 74:8 76:10,14,20 77:6,14,18 80:10,11 81:25 82:18 hear 24:15 heard 5:12 hearing 46:24 held 83:11 84:8 help 34:25 36:2,5 37:1 63:19 helpful 17:14 Hewlett-Packard 41:20 Hey 15:2 he/she 13:2 hide 71:17 highlight 29:15 61:20 high-tech 40:15 hired 39:22 Hitachi 46:9,10	46:11,20,21 47:1 HOGAN 2:18 hold 38:12,13 hole 27:19 28:1 Honor 4:13 7:16 9:12 16:20 18:11 19:22 20:7 34:6,7 35:7 37:9 40:8 61:3,5 61:8,12 65:1,3 67:11,16 72:20 72:24 81:19 82:21,23 HONORABLE 1:3 hope 18:9 hopefully 50:8 hour 83:9 hours 82:12 house 24:3,6,7,21 68:23 Houston 2:8 HP 41:20 HRC 39:20,20 42:25 43:6 HTTP 62:15 human 63:23,24 humanity 48:6,7 49:5
G			I	IBM 59:21,22 ID 13:23,25 idea 42:16 44:19 45:6 50:5,6,12 52:2 ideas 42:17 45:10 IDENTIFICAT... 3:8 identified 27:19 27:25 52:7 identifies 65:10 identify 4:11 19:15 34:4 46:6 61:17 63:20,25 66:22 68:2,9 identity 30:25 31:12 III 1:8 4:2		

illegal 12:9,11,12 12:13,16 13:7,8 71:20 78:9,15 78:16	innovative 43:22	58:19 70:16,18 70:19,25 71:4 71:16 73:5,9 74:22 75:9 79:10,14 80:2	knew 23:15 74:17	latch 52:9,11,12 52:13,14
illustrate 35:1 37:2	input 42:5	Israeli 38:12	know 5:5 6:12,14 7:14,21 10:17 10:19 12:6,14 12:15 23:12,19 24:12 31:2,4 32:12 34:12 39:2 43:8,8 45:11 48:16 51:17,19,19,20 51:20,21,21 52:1,17 60:4 62:22 64:7 71:5 71:21 78:13,20 82:4,14,19 83:1	launch 42:25
image 21:16	inserted 29:23 52:5	IV 83:13	12:15 23:12,19 24:12 31:2,4 32:12 34:12 39:2 43:8,8 45:11 48:16 51:17,19,19,20 51:20,21,21 52:1,17 60:4 62:22 64:7 71:5 71:21 78:13,20 82:4,14,19 83:1	law 2:7,14,19 12:15
immediately 43:19	inside 42:5	<hr/> J <hr/>	knowing 27:2	lawsuit 28:10 81:5
impeachment 16:20	Insight 49:7	jail 43:4	knowledge 12:5 32:25 53:1 59:24	lawyer 12:14
implement 21:11 21:11 44:20 51:13 52:16	install 51:1	Jersey 23:17	known 22:1	lawyers 16:13
implementation 50:6	instance 18:6	Jerusalem 15:18 15:19,23 39:25 40:2,5 42:3 45:25 50:8 51:24 53:9 56:11,11,12	Kommerling 19:4 19:6 31:19,22 32:9 43:13,16 57:6,7,9,11,17 81:23 82:1,3,3,9 82:15,17	layers 52:5
implemented 51:10 52:1	Institute 38:12	July 25:6,8	Kommerling's 32:6 43:24	leak 33:13
importance 62:5	instructed 24:18	jury 1:15 4:4 31:6 34:14,14 35:7 35:15 37:2,8,15 38:9 40:8,11,20 41:2,24 42:7 44:10 46:6 56:3 61:17 64:8 65:6 65:9 73:6 78:10 78:14,17 80:24 80:25 81:3,6,18 83:1,7	Kudelski 33:6	learned 34:1
important 33:17 56:14 65:25	instruction 25:25 30:10 52:9,10 52:12,13,14	jury's 4:6	<hr/> L <hr/>	learn 43:18 51:10
improve 40:1	integrated 22:3		L 2:18	learned 52:15
improved 52:2	Intel 10:5		lab 5:22 8:10 29:25 43:24,25 44:2 74:22	learning 45:14
improvement 58:20	intended 21:14		labeled 14:16 18:24,25 31:13 31:14	lease 5:15 6:5 54:20
inch 37:21,25	intention 15:5		laboratory 6:15 43:18 58:19	leased 5:13,20 55:1,10
include 82:2	Internet 28:12 30:21 31:3 32:10 33:25 60:19,20 62:10 63:22 64:17 65:24 66:8 78:12		labored 82:7	leasing 6:4
inconvenienced 83:4	interpreter 4:9 6:4 11:14,15,20 11:24 22:14,15 47:25 48:6 69:18,19,21 77:9		labs 6:12	leave 82:11 83:3
indicating 36:8	Interprets 11:20 11:24 77:9		language 29:4,22 29:25 42:5 43:19 63:23,24	Lee 23:17 24:21 68:23,24
individual 32:12	introduce 34:14 72:22		largest 53:11,14	left 35:19
industrial 40:13	invent 48:10		laser 50:2,4 51:7 51:9	legal 49:3,4 71:21 78:20
inference 81:6	investigate 42:4			legally 49:9
info 65:17	involved 77:21			let's 9:18 20:17 23:2 25:9 28:14 30:4 56:5 68:19 79:17
inform 22:20	ion 5:12,14,15,18 5:23 6:10,13,16 54:19,19,24 55:3,10			lifeblood 33:12
information 6:24 13:21 15:1,18 26:4 27:22 28:3 35:20 36:9 55:22,24 56:4 57:3,17,23 59:2 59:8,12 60:6,9 60:18 63:18 67:24 70:24 71:23 72:5,9 82:16,17	IRD 13:19 21:12 21:17,25 22:5 36:11 66:25 68:7 70:2,24 79:13			light 79:24
informs 22:15	IR3 21:13			likelihood 81:8,10
infringing 42:18	Israel 4:22 8:6,10 30:1 34:16 39:4 39:6,13 40:14			limit 22:17,18
initial 8:2 9:1				line 21:18 22:6,8 36:4 52:11 62:13,14 65:11
initially 23:8				lines 18:14 36:3 65:13

located 39:25 40:3,13,17 41:6 41:18,23 43:18 80:2	members 32:1 82:1	month 48:18	42:25 43:11,16	20:1,6,8 22:19
location 40:4	memory 25:23 26:2,11,12,17	months 52:19 69:14	43:25 44:2,5	29:14,19,21,24
log 70:24 79:12	26:21 30:18	Mordinson 7:2 8:7 14:16 19:18	45:17 48:14,21	31:23 34:2 35:9
logic 54:12,13	39:2 49:25	21:22 22:21	48:24 49:19,22	35:11 37:7 61:4
long 14:4	50:13,14,14,15	24:15 56:16,19	51:10,13,16	61:9 65:3 67:13
longer 81:3,20	50:21,24,24,25	56:22	52:1,8,12,17	72:24,25 73:2
look 7:8,14 8:22 9:8 15:19 28:14	51:4,12	Mordinson's 56:17	53:9 54:6,13,19	77:3,12 78:23
28:15 44:13	mentioned 40:2 42:1 47:12 52:9	Moskowitz 2:24	54:23 57:7,9	nonfunctional 38:6
48:9 62:18,25 65:12	message 62:13 67:6	motor 45:9,9,12	58:10 64:22,23	normal 56:8
looked 63:6 73:13	Messages 25:23	Motorola 9:23	69:15 74:21,24	north 40:14 70:12
looking 8:5 11:2 13:9 14:23	method 16:24	move 13:14 41:15	75:2 76:20	70:23 71:2,3,6
17:25 18:1	Micron 5:19 6:3	41:16 61:3 65:1	77:13,18 79:2	79:9,16
27:23 28:4 48:8	microprocessor 10:15	67:11	NDS's 14:19 15:10 17:10	notes 81:24
Los 2:21	middle 36:8 37:17	movies 22:16,24 35:21	23:24 27:4	notice 81:9
lot 44:22	mid-1998 82:24	mutual 43:21,21	45:23 75:23	notify 22:9,12
lunch 4:20	miles 34:16	MYERS 2:12	NDS-Israel 34:22	number 12:20 13:23 53:11,14 55:16,17
<hr/> M <hr/>	millimeter 37:24	<hr/> N <hr/>	near 40:13	
M 2:5	millimeters 37:23	N 3:1	necessary 25:16 49:4	<hr/> O <hr/>
main 72:19	mind 48:3	Nagra 57:12,14 60:24 62:1	need 54:13 55:14 74:7 80:16	O 1:3
maintain 39:13	minimal 13:1 23:8	NagraStar 33:4	needle 52:11	oath 31:5
malintention 47:23	minute 25:10	NagraVision 33:8	negative 76:25	objection 8:16,17 15:11 19:22
manufacturer 39:2	minutes 80:16,17	name 5:19 22:2 24:2 39:1 49:7	Net 66:2	35:8,11 37:7,10
marked 8:5 19:11	missed 46:19	49:13 59:18,19	network 21:14,23 22:9 23:3 56:11	61:9 65:2 67:12
market 76:2	missing 9:4,15 15:20	59:22 64:14	56:25 60:22	67:13
married 21:12	mistake 15:3 44:18 45:14,24	named 23:16 32:12 53:8 56:8	63:20 65:18	obtain 26:4 75:9
Maryland 69:15	mistakes 45:25 46:1	65:24 68:23	neutralize 50:19 51:17 52:10	occupants 41:19
master 38:13	modify 26:15 30:14,20	69:15	never 4:25 5:3 10:10 32:25	occupied 41:17
matrix 29:1,3	modifying 12:8 12:16 14:8	nature 48:11	33:3,6,8 83:5	October 8:23 64:4 65:11 67:23
matter 80:18 84:8	moment 11:13 20:12 25:22	NDS 1:8 2:11 4:21 4:25 5:3,6,7,13	new 16:9 23:17 55:6	offer 8:14 16:20 19:20
mean 6:3 12:10 18:10 42:7	27:8 28:24	5:18,22,25 6:9	news 35:21	officers 23:24
65:21 66:2 68:7	29:18 46:22	6:20 10:10,14	nice 50:6	offices 4:22
80:7	51:17 62:7,20	10:17,24 11:4	night 61:8 79:19 79:20	Official 1:21
means 21:3,6 44:10 65:22	63:1 69:17	11:11 14:25	Nipper 29:4,9	Oh 14:15
measure 51:6 71:15,17	80:22 81:2,13	15:7 17:17,23	Noll 3:4 4:12,12 4:19 6:7 8:1,14	okay 4:12 5:6,9 5:16 6:24 7:10
meet 42:15	81:13 82:4	19:7 20:14	8:18,21 9:17	8:2,5,13 9:8,18
meeting 16:10	monitor 24:22 68:25 70:1	23:22 24:18	11:17,21 12:1	10:9,13 12:18
member 32:3 43:5	monitoring 24:24	28:8,10 29:8	12:21,22 16:1	13:14 14:15
		32:6,9,25 33:3,6	16:20 17:2,6,8	15:14 16:19
		33:8 34:23,24	17:15 18:10,14	17:2 19:10
		38:19,21,24,25	18:16,20,23	20:20 21:10
		39:16,24 42:2,6	19:12,14,20	23:4 25:9,12,25
				26:24 27:12,24
				28:19,22,24,25

29:25 30:4 31:17 34:25 35:4,18 37:17 38:1,15 39:17 39:18 40:6,22 41:15 42:10,13 44:12 45:10,11 47:7,7,10 50:5 50:18 53:24 54:14,16 56:6 56:17 58:13 60:20 62:17,25 63:12 64:12 65:12 66:12,15 68:19,20 69:3 70:17,17 71:5 73:17,19,23 74:2 75:5 77:5 77:10,24 78:22 79:7,22 81:14 old 38:14 Oliver 19:4 31:19 31:22 43:13,16 81:25 once 4:10 21:14 64:6 72:22 oOo 83:14 84:1 open 15:21,21 36:2,5 43:20 44:12 opened 33:19 operational 23:21 42:21,23 43:2,6 60:10 64:13,14 64:23 75:23 opinion 80:19 option 23:8 70:22 order 11:4,22 21:11 25:17 30:13 42:9,24 43:18 46:17 52:19 74:6 75:9 75:22 76:1 ordinary 8:9 organization 23:24 original 12:8 67:2 oscilloscope 54:16 outside 5:7 80:25 81:12	overcome 50:1 52:4 overcoming 45:13 OVERRULED 15:12 Overwrite 30:7 owned 54:19,24 O'DONNELL 37:6 O'MELVENY 2:12 <hr/> P <hr/> package 13:1 page 9:14,19 12:19 14:10,14 18:14,17 20:9 20:10,17 25:10 25:21 27:7 28:15,23 30:5,6 84:9 paid 24:12 paper 58:15,18 Paragraph 12:19 20:18,20 21:21 paragraphs 9:4 9:14 park 40:13 part 24:24 25:2 36:12 42:19 43:1 44:7 46:2 46:19 55:21 57:23 58:2 59:12 60:5 63:21,22 64:1 64:18,22,23 66:24,25 67:8 68:16 69:12 70:5,14 71:7 74:15 77:21 80:4 partially 32:4 particular 10:9 13:22 81:7 parties 81:16 parts 50:1,21 51:4 Pass 34:2 patent 5:3 patented 4:25 patents 42:18 pay 14:6	paying 12:9,13 13:7,11 14:6 pay-per-view 14:5 22:10 23:9 27:16 peak 53:17 pen 26:16 pencil 26:19 penetrate 46:18 people 44:16 45:14,24 53:10 53:11,14,19 performing 10:14 10:21 24:25 period 5:20 82:22 permanent 26:21 permission 14:22 18:10 30:15 36:16,20 personally 6:14 person's 30:25 PGP 56:9 photograph 40:7 40:12 pick 63:19 picked 24:8 picture 20:13 40:17,23,24 41:3,9,11,12,14 piece 6:10 37:16 37:20 piracy 11:23 45:1 45:4 47:17,21 47:23 52:22,24 53:3 72:10,15 72:18 77:25 78:4,12,17 pirate 13:10 14:6 15:16 19:7 25:17 33:24 36:24 42:9,24 46:15,16 62:21 66:3 76:21 77:7 81:22 82:2,8,9 82:10,10 pirates 33:21 47:13 72:3,7 80:10,11 pirating 12:10,12 place 40:3	PLAINTIFF 2:3 plaintiffs 1:6 4:12 8:14 19:20 57:14 72:25 PLAINTIFF'S 4:15 plane 82:13 plastic 37:16,22 platform 42:4 play 18:10 played 18:21 playing 18:22 PLC 1:8 2:11 please 7:9 9:19 11:6 18:4,13 19:12 25:22 27:24 28:18,24 29:15 34:3,5,15 35:6,15 37:5,15 38:10 40:7,20 40:23 41:2,11 60:12 61:6,21 62:18,20,25 66:12 67:19 76:13 81:19 point 14:24 18:7 29:11 32:5 39:24 40:20 42:3,8 43:10,12 43:15 44:13,14 44:15,19 45:12 45:22 52:20 64:20 65:9 80:7 points 44:17 73:3 74:24 portion 67:5,18 possess 36:21 possession 74:18 possible 10:7 13:1 post 33:24 posted 28:11 31:2 65:18 73:23 78:11 posting 30:20 32:9 pound 55:13 65:18 power 26:22 PPV 13:2,15,19 13:23	practice 20:20 21:12 27:11 practicing 38:16 prearranged 16:10 precisely 82:22 premarked 7:7 premium 21:8 prepared 11:3,11 19:18 34:25 37:1 presence 4:4 80:25 81:6 present 2:23 4:6,6 4:8 16:13 81:3,4 81:20 PRESIDING 1:3 previous 66:18,20 previously 4:15 24:23 42:1 44:12 49:24 52:9 62:6 67:1 69:2 price 23:1 principal 39:20 39:22 53:10 print 26:14 private 44:1 probably 32:15 problem 51:21,22 procedure 26:23 27:15 56:8 proceedings 1:14 83:12 84:8 process 16:24 17:5 53:21 54:3 54:6 78:13 producer 41:23 product 46:16 products 52:15 60:3 professional 71:3 profit 78:9 program 3:14 36:2 51:1 56:8 63:21,23 programming 25:18 33:21 69:4,8 70:6,9 programs 62:8
--	---	---	--	---

project 3:9,10 7:4 7:13 8:3 9:1 11:3,18 17:10 19:16 25:9 31:14 32:4 33:1 33:3 53:16 55:21 56:4 57:4 57:24 58:2 59:12 69:12 70:15 71:7 72:6 72:10,12 76:10 76:14,21 77:6 77:14,18 82:21	p.m 4:3 18:21,22 83:11	79:9 80:1	32:8 43:5	resetting 27:16
projects 39:7,10 39:14	quandary 83:3	received 8:19,20 19:23,24 61:10 61:11 65:4,5 67:14,15 82:16	relevant 15:7 52:15	response 66:19 67:5 75:11
prone 15:16	question 11:16 14:21 16:22 17:1,7 18:9 49:6 51:19,20 58:6 58:25 78:13,21	receiver 21:25 22:9 24:8,12	relies 39:13	rest 38:6
pronouncing 64:12	questioning 82:13	receivers 25:3	remain 80:22 81:2,12	result 82:5
propose 81:5	questions 44:22 53:20 54:18 55:18 59:14 68:13 72:20 78:23 79:4 80:9	receiver/decoder 22:3	remains 26:19	results 31:18 71:22 72:1
protect 18:2 28:9 50:13 51:13,22	question's 54:22 82:16	recess 80:16 83:9 83:11	remember 25:7 31:15 55:16,17 58:11 69:13	RESUMED 4:16
protected 44:15 44:16	quit 38:25	recognize 7:10 9:13 60:23 62:20	reminded 16:3	retired 82:10
protecting 15:20	quitted 38:24	recollection 8:25	remove 26:22	retirement 82:5
protection 50:6,8 50:15 51:8 52:5	R	record 4:10 7:16 34:4 72:22,23 81:2	repeat 11:6,15 27:24 53:13 76:13,24	retransmits 36:8
protects 51:12	R 2:13	record's 54:23	report 3:9,11 7:1 7:4,4,13,22 8:3 9:1,15 11:3,19 12:5 17:10 19:3 25:9 26:3 28:14 31:14 33:1,3,6,8 33:20,23 34:1 48:19 55:19 56:1 57:21 59:6 74:8 76:10,14 76:21 77:6,14 77:18,22 80:10 80:11 81:25 82:8	returning 81:8,10
provide 44:2	Raith 49:14	Recross 3:3 78:24	reported 83:12 84:7	Reuven 23:19,19 23:21 24:2 64:15
provided 32:1	RAM 51:1	RECROSS-EX... 79:1	Reporter 1:21 50:3 84:15	reverse 5:10 44:24 45:1,4,5 47:17,20,22 48:3,7,10 49:2,8 49:23 52:7,21 53:15,15,18,19 53:24 54:13 55:9,21 56:4,5 57:4,18,24 58:5 58:8,13,22 59:2 68:16 70:15 72:14 76:7,11 76:18,22 77:7 77:20 78:1,3
provider 10:24 35:20 36:25	rare 6:10	rectangle 36:14 37:18	REPORTER'S 1:14	reversed 20:14
providers 34:24	Ratner 53:8	red 36:4	reporting 50:7	reverse-engineer 44:8,11 45:8,17 45:19 48:15,21 52:3 53:21
providing 5:6	read 9:22,25 12:24 13:4,18 14:2 20:23 21:10,19 23:7 23:10 26:15 27:7 29:3 33:19 50:13,16 51:2 58:15	Redirect 3:3 72:21 73:1	reports 82:18	reverse-enginee... 29:8 46:7,13 47:15 49:16
publish 35:7 37:8 40:8 61:13 65:6 67:16	reads 28:6	refer 69:1	represent 36:3	reverse-enginee... 32:16 45:22 46:3 48:18 54:4 54:6,10 60:1 71:13,16 72:6 72:10
published 58:16	read-only 26:13	referred 59:15	request 18:10 81:16	rewritten 30:18
purchase 5:18 22:24 23:9 25:3	really 34:13	referring 50:12 81:23	research 39:21,23 40:3,16 41:5,18 41:25 42:11,20 43:6 44:7 45:16 46:2 53:2,2,5 57:1,5,16 59:15 60:5 61:1 64:2 64:18 67:9 72:17	RICHARD 2:18
purchased 22:16 44:3	reason 49:4 71:14 77:13	refers 21:25 63:7		right 4:5 5:23 6:21 11:8 12:2 12:15 13:7 16:7 16:13,17 17:6 17:17 19:8 20:3
purpose 41:24 42:13 49:23 50:23 72:15,17	recall 4:20,23 5:14 16:2,6 32:22 53:22 55:19 58:6 62:23 68:14 73:7,14,20,21 74:22 76:14 78:1 83:8	refresh 8:25		
purposes 52:22 72:12	regular 63:23	regard 15:14		
pursuant 84:5	regulations 84:10	regarding 13:21 49:6		
put 51:22 65:23 66:2,8 76:2 81:9	rejoined 38:24	regardless 54:9		
putting 52:11	relate 15:9	regular 63:23		
	related 18:6 38:8 56:4 57:17 73:25	related 18:6 38:8 56:4 57:17 73:25		
	relates 14:19 17:10,22	relates 14:19 17:10,22		
	relationship 10:18 19:8 32:6	relationship 10:18 19:8 32:6		

21:1,2,25 22:6 23:14,15,22,25 24:4,5,16 27:20 29:13 31:17 32:6,17,22 63:8 66:13 74:11,12 75:15,15,23,24 77:10,17 78:10 80:15 81:1 83:5 right-hand 8:22 ROM 21:12 26:12 26:25 65:17 66:2,4 73:25 74:2 Room 1:22 ROSS 2:6 row 29:1,3 RPR 1:21 84:16 Rubin 2:24 Russians 63:7 R-A-I-T-H 49:14 R-O-M 26:12	second 6:20 28:25 29:2 41:22 47:3 58:10 62:13,14 63:22 65:11 69:11,24 75:2 82:14 secondly 68:6 secrecy 39:13 secret 14:11,16 18:25,25 31:14 31:14,17,18 33:19,24 55:24 56:2 secrets 33:14,16 33:17 section 13:9,14 23:2,7 25:11,13 84:5 secure 12:5 27:4 46:15 56:25 security 23:21 42:21,23 43:2,6 51:3,6,11,12,14 52:2 58:17 60:10,17 63:19 64:13,15,24 71:15,17 75:23 see 8:23 9:2,20 13:15 14:11,11 20:10,21 23:5 25:13 29:3,6,12 30:7 35:19 36:3 36:3 37:18 42:2 44:14 61:20 65:16,19 79:20 79:21 seen 60:13 61:4 sees 63:22 Seffens 83:13 selling 78:6 Semiconductor 49:7 send 43:4 sense 56:15 78:8 sensitive 56:7 sent 32:19,20 60:7 60:9,16,17,25 61:24 62:3,8 64:1 66:20,20 sentence 13:18	separate 56:6,13 separated 51:4 September 61:19 61:23 service 13:1,20,21 13:23,24 21:7 services 13:2 23:9 session 4:5 set 56:10 80:3 set-top 22:1 24:23 36:11,14,17,18 36:21,22 38:4 67:1 69:1 79:13 SGS 9:23 shadow 70:20 73:6,9 79:6 share 57:3,17 59:1 71:22 72:1 72:1,5 Sharon 83:12 Shen-Orr 53:7 59:19 82:1 Shiloh 60:16,17 64:7,11,12 66:20 67:3,22 67:24 68:3 Shiloh's 67:5 shining 79:18 Shin-Orr 31:24 Shkedy 3:4 4:8,15 4:20 10:17 12:4 19:15 20:3,11 28:10 31:2 32:13 33:10,20 34:10,12,18,25 35:15 37:1,14 38:8,16 39:12 39:16 40:11 41:2,14,24 42:19 44:21 45:16 47:12 49:15 50:20 51:23 52:6,21 54:18,22 55:18 58:4,22 59:14 60:5,25 61:17 61:22 62:17,19 62:23 63:15 64:11 65:9 66:12,16 67:2	68:12 69:24 71:6,22 72:18 73:4,9 76:11 77:13 79:4 80:3 80:9,13 short 14:4 shot 50:2,3 51:7,9 show 24:23 35:5 37:4 40:6 50:16 60:12 71:5 81:24 showed 32:15 58:19 73:18 shows 60:21 66:4 77:18 sic 21:13 side 79:19,19,20 80:1,2 signal 70:20 73:10 79:10 80:1 signals 13:11 similar 51:10 similarities 49:20 simple 77:4 single 50:2,3 51:7 51:9 sir 4:23,24 6:24 6:25 7:8,10,11 8:22,23,24 9:18 9:20 10:8,11 11:5 12:7 13:4,6 13:16 14:2,4,7 14:10,20 15:9 15:10,13 16:2,7 16:13,17 17:7 17:24 18:24 20:21 21:10,19 22:11,22 23:5 24:4 25:4,13 26:10 27:2,9 28:6,17 29:6 32:2,17 33:10 33:18 47:2 60:13 73:14 74:11,19,22 75:25 77:17 78:10,12,14,19 80:22,23 81:12 sit 16:10 site 62:11 65:24	66:10 68:1 sites 60:19 six 50:1,21 51:4 52:19 size 37:16,21,23 Sky 60:22 slide 62:6 slogan 21:3 small 34:16 36:14 36:25 37:20,22 41:21 49:7 Smart 5:11 6:22 23:13 24:8,13 24:22 25:4 33:11,14,17,25 36:13,15,17,21 36:25 37:2,14 37:16 38:3,4 42:5 66:25 68:5 70:2,25 74:15 79:13 sniffer 4:22 5:4,7 24:23,24 sniffing 69:2 Snyder 2:13 3:5 7:16 8:17 15:11 19:22 34:6,6,9 35:5,14 37:4,8 37:11,13 40:6 40:10,22 41:1 41:10,13 46:12 47:11 48:2,13 50:10 56:24 61:3,7,12,16 63:3,6,14 64:9 64:10,23 65:1,6 65:8 67:11,16 67:18,20 69:23 72:20 73:4 79:2 79:3 80:13 81:19 82:20 software 42:8 44:13 solution 52:16 somebody 26:13 26:14 32:21 38:2 65:22 66:1 74:2 sorry 8:18 20:6 22:13 27:9,24
S				
SACV 1:7 San 2:15 SanDisk 39:1,3 Santa 1:16,23 4:1 satellite 1:5 2:3 13:10,11 19:7 25:17 33:21,24 36:7,8 62:9 70:19,21 71:4 73:7 76:10,21 77:7 79:6,8,22 79:25 80:3 Saturday 63:5 saw 46:17 52:12 56:1 saying 5:14 16:16 20:6 says 9:15,22 12:23,24 14:11 15:15 21:10 23:7 26:8 27:7 27:13 29:4 30:7 62:14 65:13,16 science 38:13,13 scroll 29:1 seated 81:2				

29:11 38:14,14 39:11 41:8,9 46:19,23,23 53:13 56:20 60:20 61:22 64:14 76:13,24 source 31:7 space 50:14,14,15 Spain 60:22 speak 81:9 speaking 63:18 special 19:7 32:6 specific 54:22 specifically 31:9 Spell 47:5 spellings 69:19 sphere 79:18 splitted 36:12 sport 35:21 spot 73:7 ST 10:15,18 17:16 17:19 20:14 27:3 32:16 53:25 75:20,21 76:1,4 Stack 30:7 stand 4:16 34:12 83:6 standard 9:23 42:15 stands 22:3 Stars 2:20 start 38:19 51:18 51:18 started 16:2 35:18 46:8 53:5 starting 18:21 States 1:1,22 21:23 22:2 24:4 24:19 68:13,17 68:18,22 69:12 69:25 70:5,14 70:18,19,21,22 71:24 72:2 73:11 75:6,16 79:9 84:6,10 station 35:18,19 stay 24:3 steal 25:17 33:21 stenographically	84:7 steps 25:16 stick 36:14 stint 38:23 STONE 2:18 store 35:20,20 56:7 stored 56:3 story 35:18 Street 1:22 streets 16:9 strong 44:19 structure 27:2 study 15:1 18:7 43:7 ST16CF54 9:23 10:10 subject 17:19 83:7 subscribed 22:10 subscriber 12:25 13:19 36:9,16 36:19,20 subscribers 35:22 subscribing 12:25 subscription 12:24 23:8 25:11 subsidiary 41:21 succeed 43:3 successful 70:3 sue 43:3 suffering 11:23 14:25 Suite 2:8,15,20 sun 79:18,20,22 80:3 supervision 64:15 supplies 36:17 supply 36:22 supplying 34:24 sure 9:6 10:3 11:7 11:18 50:20 54:23 63:8 64:9 68:7 82:21 Svi 3:4 switch 13:19 swore 16:7 SWORN 4:15 synonym 36:11	system 11:4,7,12 14:23 15:4,15 18:3,7 21:23 22:21,23 24:20 25:1 29:9 33:12 35:16 37:24 40:1 42:9 46:18 48:1,8 60:21,23 62:1,2,5 74:3 76:15 systems 35:2 82:5 <hr/> T <hr/> T 2:4 table 30:15 take 7:8,23 8:22 47:23 62:21 68:19 78:6,8 80:15 83:9 taken 21:13 talk 5:9,12 6:23 21:6 38:7 talked 6:21 78:3 talking 4:21 56:15 Tarnovsky 32:13 32:16 58:6,9,14 58:15,23 59:1,5 59:8,11 71:24 77:15,19,23 78:11,18 task 42:3,10 49:8 59:24 71:13 72:19 74:24 75:2 tasks 42:25 69:6 74:21 teach 43:18 teaches 28:6,8 team 32:2,3 53:2 53:2,6,15 57:5 57:16 59:16,23 64:13,13,15 82:1 teams 51:24 technical 25:15 43:12 71:5 80:5 technique 18:3 26:5 58:20 techniques 32:16 42:16 43:7,9,19	46:17 58:5,9,14 58:15,17,23 technology 5:7 10:22 14:20 15:6,10,14 16:23,24 17:4,4 17:10,13 18:1,7 27:4 38:12 45:7 48:12 telephone 21:18 22:6,8 television 13:7 40:19 69:8 tell 16:7 34:14 40:11 41:2 60:15 62:18 63:17 68:3 ten 80:17 term 47:5 58:10 terminated 6:1 test 24:19 25:2 75:12,13,22 testified 5:10 6:8 11:7 13:6 17:16 19:6 23:12 30:17 73:5,25 74:21 75:5 76:7 81:25 testify 32:23 77:21 testimony 16:15 31:5 32:15 35:1 44:21 53:22 75:17 76:17 77:24 Texas 2:8 47:5 thank 4:6,14 5:16 6:6 7:9,20 10:8 34:21 37:11 47:7,8 56:23 61:12 62:17 63:12 69:22 72:24 80:13,14 80:21 83:10 thing 47:18 50:11 50:12 82:14 things 30:15 73:5 think 5:13 6:1 13:8 16:18 18:6 22:1 29:12	48:16 51:7,18 51:19 63:9,12 77:1,13 78:16 79:4 thinking 43:8,10 43:21 third 41:23 71:6 Thompson 48:17 48:21,24 49:25 53:25 75:20,21 76:1,4 Thomson 9:23 10:9 17:16,19 20:14 27:3 32:16 thought 48:25 81:17 thoughts 81:11 three 73:15 Three-Muskete... 20:25 21:3 Thursday 1:17 4:1 time 5:16,21 6:8 6:19,20 7:23 8:14 10:13,20 10:25 11:18 12:4 16:6,10 19:20 28:8 32:5 39:16,19 43:17 55:10,14 57:7 58:8 62:21 64:16 66:14 68:19 69:11 70:3 71:9 75:15 76:4 80:16 82:10,20 times 43:3 75:6 83:4 title 25:23 39:19 56:2 84:6 titled 23:2 25:11 today 27:23 28:4 31:5 44:21 56:15 60:13 told 15:19,23 66:24 top 8:22 14:10,14 23:24 27:13 30:7 37:18
---	---	--	--	---

tossing 81:23	41:9 42:25	U.S 84:15	39:24 42:3,8	38:23,25 39:3,7
Total 53:17	65:14,16 66:18	<hr/>	44:17 45:12	42:19,20 43:23
town 34:16	66:19,21 68:18	V	74:24	44:7 46:2,14
trace 31:10	69:14 74:21	Veret 69:15	weakness 15:15	50:15 51:23
transaction 68:25	75:6 82:6,11	verification 71:16	15:17 52:18	52:19 53:18
transcript 1:14	Twofold 42:15	verify 36:15 71:12	weaknesses 14:25	57:9,11 60:5,17
84:7,9	type 14:25 15:20	71:14	15:4,6,8,21 52:6	61:1 64:2,16,18
transfer 15:1,18	15:25 26:11,17	version 9:5 19:16	web 66:10 73:20	67:8 70:16
transferred 36:11	50:8,15 52:14	19:17 20:2	website 68:10	worked 32:3
transmission	58:19 63:18	21:13 65:17	74:14	38:21 39:1,4
79:21,25	66:18	Video 18:21,22	week 10:6	53:6,9,11,14
transmissions	types 26:12	view 13:1,3,11	WELCH 2:4,6	75:25
79:8,23	<hr/>	44:14 60:22	went 6:14,17,20	working 24:20
transmit 35:22	U	visit 70:5	24:6,7 25:3,6	34:22 38:19
transmitted 36:7	Underneath 9:22	visited 43:24	61:8 63:4 69:14	39:6 57:7 64:13
transmitting	37:19	58:18	weren't 69:4,9	64:14
79:25	understand 32:5	visits 72:2	70:6,10	works 73:7
travel 79:16	50:20 71:19	Vista 60:22	West 1:22 2:14	world 6:12 49:10
traveled 69:6	75:17	Volume 1:8 4:2	we'll 24:15 66:13	71:3
tremendous	understanding	83:13	81:2,13	wouldn't 76:22
81:21	45:5 47:22 76:8	vs 1:7	we're 4:5 11:2	write 26:19 74:3,6
Trial 1:15	United 1:1,22	V-E-R-E-T 69:16	12:21 13:9	writing 26:15,19
tricks 71:18	21:23 22:2 24:4	<hr/>	27:23 28:4,15	written 7:1 26:21
tried 32:9 52:3	24:19 68:13,17	W	80:15	63:23
68:25 73:6	68:18,22 69:11	W 2:13	we've 6:21	wrong 18:17
tries 22:24 74:24	69:25 70:5,14	WADE 2:4,6	wide 15:21	33:20,23 48:3
trip 68:21 69:24	70:18,19,21,22	want 5:9 6:2,4,23	wife 34:19	63:9,10 71:20
70:12 71:6	71:24 72:2	7:23 9:8 13:14	WILLETTS 2:5	wrote 27:22 28:3
trips 68:13,17	73:11 75:6,16	14:9 17:12	9:12 18:18	www.DISHPlex...
71:23	79:9 84:6,10	18:25 19:10	19:13 29:17	62:15
true 10:13 11:5	universal 12:23	22:9,20 25:10	Windsor 71:10,11	<hr/>
30:25 75:25	13:15 14:5	30:13 46:24	withdraw 58:25	X
84:6	25:11	54:23 73:3	witness 4:8,15 6:6	X 3:1
truth 15:9 16:7	unlawful 13:8	82:11 83:6	7:19,21 9:13	<hr/>
22:22 73:9	unusual 49:2 55:3	wanted 31:17,18	11:14,25 15:14	Y
try 11:11 14:24	upper 67:5,18	35:22 70:24	22:14,17 29:22	yeah 12:11 14:15
18:8 21:11	USA 21:14 23:3	79:12	31:22 34:2 40:6	18:18 27:25
35:17 43:2	use 6:15 10:15	wasn't 11:3	46:11,21,23	73:16,18 74:6
44:19 51:22	18:4 21:22 25:2	watch 12:8 14:6	47:2,4,6,10 48:1	76:14
66:13 68:19	31:9 42:9,16	22:25 35:23	48:7 50:5 56:16	year 39:5 74:18
70:1 71:12	46:17 49:22	36:16,20,23	63:9 77:1,10	82:15,18
trying 22:24	51:2,8 53:21	watching 12:13	80:14,23 81:7	years 38:18
turn 40:19 41:10	54:6,9,12,13,16	13:6,8	81:12,14	Yehonatan 64:7
turning 9:14	70:17 78:4,7	way 15:24 31:6	WITNESSES 3:3	64:11
TV 12:8,13 13:8	uses 46:15 49:19	33:19 43:7,10	WOOTEN 2:6	Yoni 60:16,17
14:6 18:2 34:24	82:2	43:21 44:20	word 29:9 77:2	64:12 66:20
36:4	USNIX 58:16	48:5 51:13,14	78:7 80:5 82:2	York 16:9
twice 6:14 75:16	usual 49:3	52:2 73:7 76:5,6	words 31:9 64:21	<hr/>
two 8:2 9:14	utilize 30:12	ways 15:6 42:8	71:5 78:4 82:16	Z
36:12 38:23	utilized 21:17	weak 14:24 18:7	work 34:21 38:7	Zeron 41:22

Zvi 4:15 9:15	8:19,20,22 9:11	415 2:16	99 61:23 65:11
<hr/> 0 <hr/>	9:19 11:2,10,19	459 3:12 62:18,19	67:23
0B 13:20,22	12:21,21 15:9	63:2,3 66:13,17	
003 21:13	17:9 19:3 20:5,6	67:11,15 73:13	
03-950 1:7	28:22,23 29:17	74:13	
<hr/> 1 <hr/>	29:18,19	<hr/> 5 <hr/>	
1 35:6	2:48 83:11	558-8141 1:23	
1-053 1:22	20 80:16	<hr/> 6 <hr/>	
1/8th 37:21,24	2000 5:20	61 3:13	
1:00 4:3	2001 6:2 38:23,25	65 3:14	
1:20 18:21	39:17 54:21	67 3:12	
1:21 18:22	77:22,22	6805 9:23	
10 1:17 4:1	2004 32:18 38:24	<hr/> 7 <hr/>	
100-mile 40:5	58:11,12,14,22	700 2:8	
11 9:14 18:14,15	2005 41:15 58:11	713 2:9	
18:16 84:12	2008 1:17 4:1	714 1:23	
12 20:9 53:17	84:12	72 82:12	
13 12:19 14:10	2008-04-10 1:25	72-hour 83:8	
14 28:15,23	2401 2:7	73 3:4	
140 49:8	247 18:16	753 84:5	
1400 2:20	25 34:16	77057 2:8	
15 18:14,16	2600 2:15	784 73:13	
16 18:15 20:17	27 25:21	785-4600 2:21	
16CF54 54:1	27th 8:23	789 3:13 60:12,13	
17 25:10	274 18:14,20	61:3,11	
1884 38:14	275 2:14	79 3:5	
19 3:10 61:19	28 84:6	791 3:14 62:25	
1969 38:13,17	2800 55:13	63:15 65:1,5	
1984 38:14	<hr/> 3 <hr/>	73:13,24	
1997 32:13 38:22	3 21:21 37:23	<hr/> 8 <hr/>	
38:23 39:17	3M 12:25 20:20	8 3:9	
46:9	20:25 21:11,17	8501 10:5	
1998 5:19 6:8,13	21:22 25:16	<hr/> 9 <hr/>	
8:23 10:14,18	27:11 28:7	90067 2:21	
10:20 11:8	3.5.2 12:19 13:10	92701 1:23	
24:10,19 25:4,6	3.5.3 13:14	94111 2:15	
30:1 74:18	31 30:6	9472 1:21 84:16	
82:22	310 2:21	952-4334 2:9	
1999 2:20 62:3	32 12:19 28:23	97 38:20	
64:4 66:6	34 3:5	98 3:10 5:15	
<hr/> 2 <hr/>	39 27:7 38:18	19:11,12,15,21	
2 1:8 4:2 7:8,10	<hr/> 4 <hr/>	19:24 20:2,10	
8:14 9:20 13:9	4 3:4 20:18,20	21:21 25:8	
16:15 20:2,5	37:24	27:22 28:20	
28:14,21 37:5	4th 1:22	29:14 54:20	
2-A 3:9 7:17,18	4.1 23:2	984-8700 2:16	
7:19 8:6,17,18	4.1.2 25:11		
	411 1:22		