Page 1

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
               CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
         HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, JUDGE PRESIDING
                         . _ _ _ _ _ _
ECHOSTAR SATELLITE CORP., et )
al.,
                                  )
                                  )
          Plaintiffs,
                                  )
                                 ) No. SACV 03-950 DOC
      vs.
                                      Day 1, Vol. III
                                  )
NDS GROUP PLC, et al.,
                                  )
                                  )
          Defendants.
                                  )
                                  )
```

```
REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
Jury Trial
Santa Ana, California
Wednesday, April 9, 2008
```

Debbie Gale, CSR 9472, RPR Federal Official Court Reporter United States District Court 411 West 4th Street, Room 1-053 Santa Ana, California 92701 (714) 558-8141

EchoStar 2008-04-10 D1V3

1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 3 FOR PLAINTIFF ECHOSTAR SATELLITE CORPORATION, ET AL.: 4 T. WADE WELCH & ASSOCIATES 5 BY: CHAD M. HAGAN CHRISTINE D. WILLETTS 6 ROSS WOOTEN WADE WELCH 7 Attorneys at Law 2401 Fountainview 8 Suite 700 Houston, Texas 77057 9 (713) 952-4334 10 11 FOR DEFENDANT NDS GROUP PLC, ET AL.: 12 O'MELVENY & MYERS 13 BY: DARIN W. SNYDER DAVID R. EBERHART 14 Attorneys at Law 275 Embarcadero Center West 15 Suite 2600 San Francisco, California 94111 16 (415) 984-8700 17 -and-18 HOGAN & HARTSON BY: RICHARD L. STONE 19 KENNETH D. KLEIN Attorneys at Law 20 1999 Avenue of the Stars Suite 1400 21 Los Angeles, California 90067 (310) 785-4600 22 23 ALSO PRESENT: 24 David Moskowitz Dov Rubin 25

		Page 3
1	I N D E X	
2	PROCEEDINGS	PAGE
3	Preliminary Jury Instructions	4
4	Plaintiffs' Opening Statement	13
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	rage
1	SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA, WEDNESDAY, APRIL 9, 2008
2	Day 2, Vol. III
3	(1:28 p.m.)
4	(In the presence of the jury.)
5	THE COURT: All right. We're back in session.
6	The jury's present. All counsel are present.
7	Ladies and gentlemen of the jury: You're now the
8	jury in this case. I'm going to give you a couple
9	pre-instructions to help you at the beginning of the case.
10	It's my duty to instruct you on the law. You must
11	not infer from these instructions or from anything I may
12	have said or do as indicating that I have an opinion
13	regarding the evidence or what your verdict should be.
14	It is your duty to find the facts from all the
15	evidence in the case. To those facts you will apply the law
16	as I give it to you at the end of the case.
17	You must follow the law as I give it to you
18	whether you agree with it or not, and you must not be
19	influenced by any personal likes or dislikes, opinions,
20	prejudices, or sympathy. That means that you must decide
21	the case solely on the evidence before you. You will recall
22	that you took an oath to do so.
23	In following my instructions, you must follow all
24	of them and not single out some and ignore the others. They
25	are all important.

24e25980-2cb2-479d-b939-c9634121ff29

	rage
1	To help you follow the evidence, I'll give you a
2	brief summary of the positions of the parties.
3	The plaintiffs, EchoStar and NagraStar, seated at
4	plaintiff's table, claim that the defendants, NDS Group and
5	NDS Americas, violated the following laws: The Digital
6	Millennium Copyright Act, 17 USC Sections 1201(a)(1) and
7	1201(a)(2); the Communications Act of 1934; and
8	47 USC Section 605(a); and the Racketeer Influenced and
9	Corrupt Organizations Act, RICO, 18 USC Section 1962(c); and
10	the California Penal Code Sections 593(d)(a) and 593(e)(b).
11	Plaintiffs have the burden of proving these claims.
12	Defendants deny those claims and assert the
13	following affirmative defenses to those claims: Statute of
14	limitations, unclean hands, and mitigation of damages.
15	Defendants have the burden of proof on these affirmative
16	defenses.
17	Defendants NDS Group and NDS Americas, seated just
18	across the court from you, assert two counterclaims against
19	plaintiffs EchoStar and NagraStar: That EchoStar and
20	NagraStar misappropriated defendant's trade secrets in
21	violation of California Civil Code Section 3426 and violated
22	the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 USC Section 1030.
23	Defendants have the burden of proof on these counterclaims.
24	EchoStar and NagraStar deny each of the
25	defendant's counterclaims and assert the affirmative

Page 6

defenses of estoppel, unclean hands, and mitigation of damages. EchoStar and NagraStar have the burden of proof on these affirmative defenses.

At the end of the presentation of evidence, I'll provide you with additional instructions on the law governing the specific claims of the parties that you are to consider in deliberations.

8 After all the evidence has been heard and 9 arguments and instructions are finished, you will need to 10 make your decision. You will determine the facts from all 11 the evidence and other evidence that is presented. You are 12 the sole and exclusive judges of these facts. I must stress 13 that you are required to accept the rules of law that I give 14 you whether or not you agree with them.

15 The law permits me to comment on the evidence in 16 the case during the trial or while I instruct the jury. 17 Such comments are only expressions of my opinion as to the 18 facts. In fact, you may at the end of the case or during 19 the case hear something called an adverse inference, but 20 I'll wait to see how this case develops. You may disregard 21 these comments entirely because you are to determine for 22 yourself the weight of the evidence and credibility of each 23 of the witnesses.

There are rules of evidence that control what can be received into evidence. When a lawyer asks a question or

1 offers an exhibit into evidence and a lawyer on the other 2 side thinks that it is not permitted by the rules of 3 evidence, that lawyer may object. If I overrule the 4 objection, the question may be answered or the exhibit 5 received. If I sustain the objection, the question cannot 6 be answered, and the exhibit cannot be received. Whenever I 7 sustain an objection to a question, you must ignore the 8 question and must not guess what the answer might have been. 9 Sometimes I may order the evidence to be stricken 10 from the record and that you should disregard it and ignore 11 the evidence. That means that when you are deciding the 12 case, you must not consider the evidence that I told you to 13 disregard. 14 I'm going to say a few words about the conduct of

¹⁴ I'm going to say a few words about the conduct of ¹⁵ the jurors, and I've already said these, but let me say them ¹⁶ again more formally.

17 First, you're not to discuss this case with 18 anyone, including the members of your family, people 19 involved in the trial, or anyone else. This includes 20 discussing the case in Internet chat rooms or through 21 Internet blogs, Internet bulletin boards, or e-mails, nor 22 are you allowed to permit others to discuss the case with 23 you. If anyone approaches you and tries to talk to you 24 about the case, please let me know about it immediately. 25 Second, do not read or listen to any news stories,

1 articles, radio or television or online reports about the 2 case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. 3 Third, do not do any research such as consulting 4 dictionaries, searching the Internet, or using any other 5 research materials, and do not make any investigation about 6 the case on your own. All the evidence will come to us in 7 court from people under oath who testify in your presence. 8 Fourth, if you need to communicate with me, simply 9 hand a signed note to me through Kristee, who's the Court 10 clerk, or another court member of my staff, and they'll give 11 it to me. 12 And fifth, do not make up your mind about what the 13 verdict should be until after you've gone to the jury room 14 to decide the case and you and your fellow jurors have 15 discussed the evidence. Keep an open mind until then. 16 Finally, until this case is given to you for your 17 deliberations and verdict, you're not to discuss the case 18 with your fellow jurors. You can talk about anything else, 19 but not this case. 20 During deliberations, you will have to make up 21 your decision -- or you will have to make your decision 22 based on what you recall of the evidence. You will not have 23 a transcript of the trial, but if necessary you'll be 24 allowed to ask for portions of the transcripts to be read 25 back to you during deliberations to refresh your memory. I

24e25980-2cb2-479d-b939-c9634121ff29

Page 9

1 urge you to pay close attention to the testimony given. 2 Now, we're going to have probably three, possibly 3 four court reporters over the trial. Let's say you got to 4 witness No. 3 for either side, and you were in a dispute 5 about what that witness said and it was an issue. You could 6 send out a note and tell us what you need, and we'll bring you back into open court and have it read to you. Bear with 7 8 me, though. That court reporter could be in Riverside or 9 downtown Los Angeles because we're going to shuffle a lot of 10 court reporters through our court. So I urge you to pay 11 close attention to the testimony given. 12 If at any time you cannot hear or see the 13 testimony, evidence, questions, or arguments, let me know so 14 I can correct the problem. And that includes restroom 15 breaks. If you have any issue concerning restroom breaks, 16 let me know because as judges we're trained not to take 17 them. I'm just kidding. 18 If you wish, you may take notes to help you 19 remember the evidence. If you do take notes, please keep 20 them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the 21 jury room to decide the case. Do not let note-taking 22 distract you. 23 When you leave, your notes shall be left in the 24 jury room right on the seat that you occupy. Don't even 25 take them back to the deliberations. Just leave them on the

1 seat that you occupy. No one will read your notes, and 2 they'll be destroyed at the conclusion of the case unless 3 you wish to take 'em. 4 Whether or not you take notes, you should rely on 5 your own memory of the evidence. Notes are only to assist 6 your memory. You should not be overly influenced by your 7 notes or those of your fellow jurors. 8 Languages other than English may be used during 9 this trial. The evidence to be considered by you is only 10 that provided through the official court interpreters. 11 Although some of you may know the foreign language, it is 12 important for all jurors to consider the same evidence. 13 Therefore, you must accept the English translation, and you 14 must disregard any different meaning. 15 You must not make any assumptions about a witness 16 or a party based solely upon the use of an interpreter to 17 assist that witness or party. 18 During a trial, I may sometimes ask a witness 19 questions. Please do not assume that I have any opinion 20 about the subject matter of my request or questions. I may 21 ask a question simply to clarify a matter, not to help one 22 side of the case or hurt the other side. Remember at all 23 times that you as jurors are the sole judges of the facts in 24 this case. 25 A deposition is the sworn testimony of a witness

taken before trial. The witness is placed under oath to tell the truth, and lawyers for each party may ask questions. The questions and answers are videotaped. When a person is unavailable to testify at trial, that deposition of that person may be used at the trial.

You should consider deposition testimony presented
to you in court in lieu of live testimony insofar as
possible in the same way as if the witness had been present
to testify.

At times there may be exhibits referred to during the deposition that have different numbers than the exhibits used at trial. When this situation arises, the Court will inform you of the correct trial exhibit number that is being referred to in the deposition testimony.

15 So you may have had a deposition which is an 16 out-of-jury-presence hearing where both lawyers and the 17 Court -- the Court isn't there. And that deposition takes 18 place, let's say, in an office or a room. They may refer to 19 an exhibit that we've marked 5000, but at the deposition 20 they marked it 200. So we'll make sure when they're 21 referring to 200 on the deposition that might have occurred 22 a year ago, that we all know it's going to be Exhibit 5000. 23 And that will be clear to you.

Although certain exhibits were numbered
 differently in the deposition, the trial exhibits you

receive will be the same document referred to in the deposition, and you should not be concerned with any difference in numbering.

Some witnesses because of education or experience are permitted to state opinions and the reasons for those opinions. Opinion testimony should be judged just like any other testimony. You may accept it or reject it and give it as much weight as you think it deserves, considering the witness' education and experience, the reasons given for the opinion, and all the other evidence in this case.

Now, Counsel, there's a prior order for the exclusion of live witnesses, so look around the room and make certain if you have any witnesses that are going to testify that they're in the hallway during the opening statement.

That opening statement is not evidence. What you're about to hear is a summation of what they believe the evidence will show, but it may be materially different than what you hear or eventually comes to you during this case. So it's a summary, in a sense, of what they hope and expect their case presentation will be.

In addition to that, please don't let note-taking distract you, but you're more than welcome to take notes. If you need more pads, just tell Kristee, and she'll put them up there. Okay?

	rage 1
1	All right. Counsel, your opening statement on
2	behalf of NDS, and introduce yourself once again for the
3	jury by name and use the lectern so we can hear you.
4	MR. WELCH: Okay.
5	Good afternoon. As you recall, my name is Wade
6	Welch. I'm from Houston, Texas, and along with a lot of
7	people made this case possible. We've got Mr. Hagan, who
8	worked hard on the case; we've got Mr. Noll, he also works
9	with me; and Ms. Willett. They spent a long time working on
10	this, and we're glad to be here.
11	PLAINTIFF'S OPENING STATEMENT
12	MR. WELCH: I'd like to start out explaining to
13	you who the parties are. We've got my clients that I've
14	represented since approximately 1992. They're EchoStar
15	Communications Corporation, EchoStar Satellite Corporation,
16	EchoStar Technologies Corporation, and NagraStar. And we're
17	in the satellite business. We're in what's called
18	"subscription television" or "pay TV."
19	Everybody knows what pay TV is. It's like cable
20	or satellite. Some of you have satellite systems. You pay
21	monthly for programming. And how we deliver that
22	programming is, we have an uplink facility, we send it up in
23	a satellite and it comes back down; and you have a receiver,
24	and that receiver is in your home. It's attached to your
25	television.

Now, that programming that we deliver to
consumers, we buy that from some other companies. You know
them as HBO, Showtime, Cinemax, ABC, NBC, CBS, Fox, things
like that. Those are called "programmers." And we have to
pay them.

6 What are we required to do? We're required to 7 protect those revenues. And why do we do that? We're in 8 the business of providing good television at a fair price. 9 So we have to protect the signal so that people aren't out 10 there getting it for free. Because if the satellite signals 11 are received for free, then the rest of everybody else in 12 the United States is having to pay for these signals. Okay? 13 It increases costs and cuts down on the number of new 14 programs that you can see.

We had -- I remember back in the 1970s, you didn't even have cable, and programming grew from there. And it grows from there because you consumers buying the programming and you get more choices. So we're required by the programmers to keep those signals secure.

20 So why are we here? It's our contention that 21 defendants NDS -- and there's two defendants -- there's NDS 22 Americas and there's NDS Group. Okay? And they are in the 23 encryption business. We get our encryption from a company 24 call NagraStar. The defendants are competitors of 25 NagraStar.

1 So the basic allegation is, they hacked into our 2 system, thereby causing us harm. The harm was we ended up 3 having to replace all the cards in the system. You'll see 4 the evidence as it comes out. It's a little bitty smart 5 card like this. 6 Okay. So a lot of you are probably wondering, why 7 are we here in California? We're here in California because 8 this is where NDS has one of its headquarters. It's right 9 down the street at Newport Beach. In addition, one of the 10 main players for NDS that we allege did a lot of these acts, 11 his name is Christopher Tarnovsky. He lives in this area as well. 12 13 Okay. So let me take you through EchoStar a 14 little bit. 15 Okay. These guys are my friends, and I'm very 16 passionate about this case. And I've worked with them for a 17 long time. And they believe in television. 18 And you're gonna hear from Mr. Ergen. He's going 19 to be our first witness, and he's going to tell you how the 20 business operates. 21 And what happened in this case -- okay -- is, we 22 entered into a merger agreement with them in June -- or 23 February of 1997. Okay? As part of that merger agreement, 24 their parent, News Corporation, wanted us to use their 25 conditional access system that NDS provided.

1 My clients wanted to have the best product 2 available that was the most secure and that was the most 3 economical to keep costs down for everybody. Okay? They 4 pulled out of that deal. They pulled out because we 5 wouldn't use their system. They couldn't prove that their 6 system was more secure and more economical. 7 And that's what Mr. Ergen wanted: He wanted the 8 best system so that we could provide the best television 9 available with the most choices. So they pulled out, and we 10 ended up having a dispute with them. And the crux of that 11 was, whose system was better? 12 At that time, in June of '97 when the parties 13 split ways -- it was basically May '97, okay -- at that 14 time, our conditional access supplier, NagraStar, had not 15 been hacked. But NDS, on the other hand, they had a 16 world-wide presence, and they were hacked throughout the 17 world. And they also had a presence in the United States 18 with DirecTV, who is a competitor of EchoStar. 19 DirecTV was hacked almost from its inception using 20 the NDS product. It was hacked in '94, it was hacked in 21 '95, it was hacked in '96, it was hacked in '97 when we did 22 our deal, and ours was secure. So when the lawsuit started, 23 they chose to prove that our conditional access system was 24 weaker. But it wasn't. There was no evidence. 25 And something else happened at that time as well.

1 DirecTV was getting fed up. They'd been hacked, losing 2 revenues, and NDS was in dire straits of losing that 3 contract and losing those revenues. So you have two things 4 going on in 1998. Their only presence in the United States 5 was DirecTV at the time, and now they're not gonna be with 6 EchoStar. So they weren't gonna have a business here 7 anymore. 8 So what did they do to fix this problem? They 9 came up with a plan. And their plan was, let's take the 10 pirates that are hacking us, NDS, take them off the street, 11 get them to quit hacking us -- quit hacking NDS -- and turn 12 'em on the competitors. Turn 'em on NagraStar, Kudelski. 13 Rather than coming up with a better product, they chose to 14 tear our product apart. 15 So what happens in 1998 is, they have a lab in 16 Haifa, Israel, and they commission a team, and they call 17 this team -- this is what they call it: They call it the 18 "Black Hat Team." 19 THE COURT: A black what? 20 MR. WELCH: Black hat. 21 THE COURT: Black hat. 22 MR. WELCH: You'll see documents, and you'll hear 23 testimony from their own witnesses. This is what they named 24 this team. And this team was tasked with taking our card --25 you'll see, it's a little credit-sized -- credit card sized,

about that big -- and in the middle of it you'll see a little square, and we'll let you see it. And it's a microchip. And it's fascinating what things can do. You can have this little bitty chip, and it can take these signals and can unscramble it, and it can protect all these revenues. And these revenues aren't just ours. They belong to the programmers. We're a pass-through.

8 Okay? So let's talk about what they did in '98.
9 You have two individuals, and you're gonna hear from them.
10 There's Zvi Shkedy and David Mordinson.

11 Okay? So the first thing they do is, they take 12 this card. And if you look at it, it's got a foil covering, 13 so they're going to have to peel the foil off of it. This 14 is not as easy as it sounds. They had to use various 15 processes. The first thing they do is they take nitric 16 acid, and they have to vaporize this top layer off to expose 17 the underlying circuitry. And you'll see pictures of what 18 that looks like.

And then they take that circuitry, and they use high-dollar equipment -- this is a very expensive lab in Haifa. There's about a handful of 'em in the world. And they -- what they do is, they use things called focused ion beams, electron microscopes, and they slice this card up -once they've got the little square, the microchip, they slice it up, they dig into it, they drill into it. And what

1 they're trying to do, what Mr. Shkedy is trying to do, is 2 release what we call the "code." It's a series of ones and 3 zeros. It's binary. It's digital. 4 Once he does that -- and this he doesn't do 5 overnight -- once they do that, he passes it off to 6 David Mordinson, who's a software engineer. And it's 7 Mr. Mordinson's job to then take all this information that's 8 all scrambled up and make some sense of it. And so he does 9 that. 10 And you'll see the evidence. You'll actually see 11 the report that they come up with. And he's got all these 12 pretty charts, and he turns all this mess of scrambled 13 numbers into our code that tells you how our system works. 14 But in addition to that, it took them approximately six 15 months to complete this project. 16 Now, they're gonna tell you that this was lawful 17 reverse engineering. Okay? If that was the point, you 18 would look and they stop right there, and they would try to 19 make their product better. 20 But they took a fork in the road. They could have 21 gone left and hacked the system; they could have gone right 22 and reverse engineered it to keep it with them and make 23 their own product better. But you're not going to hear any 24 evidence that they made their product better. 25 What they did once they dumped this code, they had 1 to come to the United States because EchoStar had an 2 additional layer of protection. And it's called a "box 3 pairing key." What that means -- those of you that are 4 familiar with it -- you have a receiver, and the card sticks 5 in there. Okay? You can't just take the card out, go over 6 to your neighbor's house, and put the card in some other 7 box. When the box is activated, the card and the box get 8 married together, and that's the way it stays.

9 For them to be able to duplicate this, they had to 10 figure out how to circumvent that. What they did is, they 11 went on what I call "planes, trains, and automobiles." 12 Mr. Mordinson and Mr. Shkedy fly from Israel, they fly into 13 Baltimore, and they make two stops. One is in 14 Silver Springs, Maryland, and one is in Fort Lee, 15 New Jersey. What they do there is, they bring over a device 16 called a "nipper" that they have built. All this was set 17 up -- they did all this work over in Israel, and it was 18 designed to have an impact over in the United States.

So they put in this in line and activate the box, and they basically log our data stream. They're trying to figure out how this box activation works, the box pairing keys.

So then they fly back to Israel, and they figure
that out. That's Mr. Mordinson.

25

Now, they're gonna come tell you we had a shoddy

1 product, that NagraStar had a shoddy product, but you got to 2 remember it took 'em six months to do this. 3 And they say it was reverse engineering to make 4 their product better? What you're gonna hear out of their 5 own mouth is that it was a different type of architecture. 6 So there is no way they could have used that information to make theirs better. It was a different type of chip. 7 8 So they figure that out: how to get around the 9 box pairing keys. Then they come back for planes, trains, 10 and automobiles, part two. They fly back over to the 11 United States, they pick up a receiver -- they drive -- they 12 pick up a receiver, they drive back to Baltimore, they fly 13 to Cleveland, they rent a car, they come around, they go up 14 into Canada. And they did that because they didn't want to 15 pull the signal down in the United States 'cause they knew 16 what they were doing was wrong. 17 So they go over there, and they try to test their 18 actual hack: Let's see if we can actually make it work. So 19 they go over there. Sure enough, they put a card in. Now 20 they have a working hack. 21 So they reverse their steps, and then they fly 22 back to Israel, and they prepare a report. You're going see 23 it, it's Exhibit 98, and this is called the "Project 24 Head-End Report." 25 And the proof's in the pudding when you see the

1 report. Was this a lawful reverse engineer, or was this a 2 hack? You'll be able to look at it. It has two sections. 3 It says "DISH Network U.S.A. 3M hack in practice." It uses 4 the word "hack." 5 Nowhere in this report will you see anything where 6 they say, "We can make our card better, we can make our 7 product better. We need to make this change or that 8 change." 9 They don't do that. 10 So what do they do with it at that point? 11 They take that report, and Mr. Mordinson has it. 12 And he flies over to the United States, and he hands this 13 report to a gentleman by the name of Chris Tarnovsky. He's 14 a gentleman that lives here in California that works for NDS 15 Americas. 16 And let me back up and tell you a little bit about 17 Chris Tarnovsky. Now, remember, NDS provided conditional 18 access service to DirecTV. Chris Tarnovsky was one of the 19 individuals responsible for hacking DirecTV. 20 Now, you're gonna hear testimony from people in 21 worldwide security for NDS that will tell you when they 22 hired him, they knew he was a criminal. They knew he would 23 continue -- there was a risk that he would continue his 24 criminal ways. 25 So what they do is they put him over here, and

they don't have him supervised. He's actually working out of his home. Their story is they're doing this so he can infiltrate the pirate community. But if that's his job, why does he need this report? Another question you need to ask yourself.

Because he's not working -- you're gonna hear testimony from him that he's not involved in making their chips better.

9 So he goes over there -- and you'll like this. 10 Mr. Tarnovsky, he's gonna tell you about this. This happens 11 in 1999. Mordinson goes over to his house and he shows him 12 the report. And this is actually what he testifies to, that 13 Mordinson held this report -- and remember, if this is 14 lawful reverse engineering, it would be nice and normal. 15 What he does, he holds the report up and he flips the pages 16 for Mr. Tarnovsky to see.

Mr. Tarnovsky doesn't want to touch it. Why not? Nr. Tarnovsky doesn't want to touch it. Why not? So what do they do at that point if this is a lawful reverse engineering? Okay. There's no problem with this report. What do they do? They go down and they take this report and they shred it together right away. They go down to his basement together or his garage.

And subsequent to that, the code that was dumped that you'll see in the report was posted on the Internet. It was posted on the Internet in December of 2000. What was posted was basically the instructions on how to create your own card for DISH Network. It was disseminated over the Internet. You had the entire pirate community that could now look on the Internet with a series of instructions and make their own card.

And at that point we didn't know how bad it was. So what did we do? We tried to fight off the piracy through electronic countermeasures.

9 And what electronic countermeasures are, they're 10 basically code that we can rewrite to the card to try and 11 plug up the holes.

12 We did that for a while. And then ultimately the 13 card was just -- it was widespread. We could not --14 basically the hackers were coming up with new types of --15 you know, it's like a virus: It spreads. There's new 16 strains. It started to spread to where it was hopelessly 17 cracked, and when it was hopelessly cracked, the only option 18 at that point was to replace all the cards in the field. So 19 you have -- to replace these cards is a monumental task.

Now, the second it was posted on the Internet --I'm going to tell you right now, no, we did not go out and start replacing cards. I mean, that wouldn't be prudent. We had to try to fix it as cheaply, as economically, as reasonably as we could. So we didn't panic.

25

And then at the same time we're going down this

1 parallel path of figuring out we may -- we might have to 2 replace cards. And that takes time for them to generate a 3 new version and get them out in the field. So at 4 approximately 2002 we start replacing the cards. And that 5 process goes through 2005. 6 Okay. And that's the general framework of the 7 lawsuit. 8 So now let me take you back and tell you some 9 other tidbits of information. What you're gonna hear about 10 Mr. Tarnovsky. Okay. 11 He was involved in hacking DirecTV both before 12 being hired by NDS as well as while he was on the NDS 13 payroll while NDS is selling their system to DirecTV. 14 They're just gonna turn a blind eye to it. 15 But that doesn't work either because what you'll 16 hear from John Norris, who was Mr. Tarnovsky's supervisor, 17 is that he knew that Mr. Tarnovsky was getting cash from 18 these pirate activities. He will actually testify that in 19 1998, while he was on their payroll, he went up to Canada, 20 met with some pirates up there. 21 They had cash, and he didn't want to bring it 22 across the border, so he set up this elaborate scheme where 23 it would go through a Mailbox and More, a PO box. And then 24 that money would go to that one PO box, and then it would go 25 to another PO box where he lived in Carlsbad, California.

1 Now, how do we know that NDS was aware of this, 2 condoned it, ratified it, authorized it? They knew he was 3 gonna do it before he went. Mr. Norris is gonna testify to 4 that. 5 And then when he came back, they let him keep the 6 money. And if this was some legitimate operation, why did 7 he have to go through all these different mailboxes? And 8 NDS was paying for these mailboxes. 9 They did the same thing; they used the same cash 10 scheme with EchoStar. And what happened is Mr. Tarnovsky 11 got caught. In approximately August of 2000, he had the one 12 in Manassas, Virginia. That was a DirecTV route for getting 13 cash. 14 In the EchoStar case he set up a Mailbox and More 15 account in San Marcos, Texas. He pretended to be a student 16 at Southwest Texas State University, which is in San Marcos, 17 Texas. And then he would have his mail forwarded to a 18 Mailbox and More type place in San Marcos, California. 19 And in August of 2000 -- you're gonna hear 20 testimony from Detective Mark Cumberland. He's with the 21 Hays County Narcotics Task Force. Mr. Tarnovsky was getting 22 these suspicious packages, and so somebody alerted the 23 authorities. So they came over and they opened up some of 24 the packages. They opened up two, to be exact. 25 And what they found in the first package was a DVD

1 player. And it had \$20,000 cash tucked inside of it. 2 Two days later they get -- I think it was a CD 3 player first and then a DVD, but it's just electronics. 4 They find 20,100 that's getting shipped. So they found 5 those. And you'll hear testimony that those weren't the 6 only two shipments. He was getting shipments -- and he's 7 not gonna tell you what was in 'em, but you'll hear evidence 8 that he was getting shipments of these consumer electronics 9 devices approximately every other day. 10 Now, just like DirecTV where NDS was paying for 11 the Mailbox and More up there so they could funnel the cash, 12 NDS was paying for the San Marcos account that was being 13 used in connection with pirating our system. 14 And you're gonna hear testimony from various 15 witnesses that support their activities. And the important 16 thing you're going to do is when you see -- hear 17 Mr. Mordinson get on the stand -- he's their software 18 engineer that drafted up this report, this Exhibit 97. And 19 he's gonna tell you that they were the best in the world in 20 doing this type of thing, these type of hacks. And he's 21 gonna tell you that they're the only person in the world --22 they're the only company in the world that had all the 23 components necessary to hack the EchoStar conditional access 24 system, post it on the Internet and do this harm. 25 And when he was deposed, he was deposed as a

1 corporate rep. What that means is he is NDS. He is 2 speaking for NDS. They don't get to have another witness 3 come up and contradict him. That was their position. 4 So you need to ask yourself -- they're gonna 5 have -- they're gonna come up and they're gonna try to say 6 that this was a lawful reverse engineering. They're not 7 gonna have explanations for why all the cash, why all the 8 planes, trains and automobiles. You're gonna hear 9 Mr. Mordinson, you're gonna hear Mr. Hasak, both NDS 10 employees, say that they didn't do anything to make their 11 card better. There was no need to do it. 12 So how do we know that he posted this information 13 on the Internet? 14 Mr. Tarnovsky would use various aliases to hide 15 his identity. He used aliases to hide his identify. He 16 used aliases such as Von, VonRat, which if you take 17 Tarnovsky backwards and take off the S-K-Y, you get Von Rat. 18 Shrimp. Da Shrimp. 19 And what's important in this case is the alias 20 Nipper. Because you'll see on December 24th and 21 December 21st the post of our code. On October 24th of 22 2000, Mrs. Avigail Gutman, an NDS Group employee, sends an 23 e-mail to Mr. Tarnovsky, tells him to open up an account 24 name on a website, a pirate website called PiratesDen. 25 Now, on December 24th that code was posted on

1 PiratesDen by a NiPpEr2000. Okay.

2	And now, how do we know that that code is ours?
3	We had a specific word put in our code that wasn't necessary
4	for the code. It was kind of like a fingerprint on the code
5	that you knew it was ours. If somebody hacked it, it would
6	show up. And that word was "Nipper." And where Nipper
7	comes from, it's the mascot for RCA, which RCA built the
8	original boxes for DirecTV. Those are the boxes that
9	Mr. Tarnovsky hacked.
10	So how do we know he's Nipper? DirecTV, once they
11	got fed up with what they were doing over here, they started
12	to investigate Mr. Tarnovsky and his direct supervisor,
13	Mr. Norris. Mr. Norris is the head of security for the
14	United States, who is supposed to be the direct supervisor
15	of Mr. Tarnovsky.
16	And they investigate, DirecTV does. They're not
17	trying to find out who NiPpEr2000 is that posted our code.
18	They're trying to figure out if the people that are selling
19	them their conditional access system are bad. As a
20	by-product of this, they issue a report. And you're gonna
21	see that report. It's Exhibit 2. It's by a company called
22	ICG. That's stands for Internet Crimes Group.
23	And so what they did is they performed an

And so what they did is they performed an
 investigation trying to figure out all the aliases that
 Mr. Tarnovsky used.

And lo and behold! They found out that one of the aliases was Nipper. Okay. That didn't help them for their lawsuit, but it helped for ours. We didn't know that. They did not do this for us. This is DirecTV. This is a competitor that found out this. So that's how we know that Mr. Tarnovsky -- we can link him.

7 In addition to that, you'll hear testimony from a 8 Dr. Avi Rubin. He's our software expert. He's from Johns 9 Hopkins University. And he's been hired by companies such 10 as Apple to do legitimate reverse engineering. And he'll 11 give you testimony on what's a legitimate reverse engineer 12 and what's not. And he's going to tell you that they went 13 too far. What he's also going to tell you is that the 14 methodology that's set forth in Exhibit 98, this project, 15 Headend Report, is the same methodology that appears in the 16 December 21st post and the December 24th. It's kind of like 17 its fingerprints are all over. It has the same 18 misspellings, things like that. So he's going to provide 19 that evidence.

Another thing that you're going to see is you're going to see Exhibit 113. This is an e-mail from Mr. Tarnovsky the day after the December 21st post.

And the "re" line on this e-mail -- he sends this e-mail to his direct supervisor, John Norris. But we're not talking just NDS Americas; he also copies NDS Group, the 1 people that did it over in Israel.

And the "re" line is "cat's out of the bag." What other evidence do we have that he did this? You're gonna see Exhibit 41, which is an internal e-mail between Abigail Gutman, Chris Tarnovsky, using his alias that NDS gave him of Mike George. And in this e-mail -- you've got to understand they released it on December 21st and the 24th.

9 January 21st of 2001 is the Super Bowl. So what 10 they did is they dumped this code in advance of the Super 11 Bowl. And they're gonna talk to you about what's called 12 Black Sunday. What they did is DirecTV was hacked. So they 13 sent down an ECM so that anybody on DirecTV, you weren't 14 getting the Super Bowl. And what did it do? It pushed 15 people over to try and get pirate cards for EchoStar. And 16 you're gonna see in Exhibit 41 where they actually make 17 light of this. And it says EchoStar lost XXX dollars while 18 DirecTV made zillions.

This comes from NDS Group in Israel. And it's to the NDS Americas employees with Chris Tarnovsky. So did they know what he was doing? You bet they knew what he was doing. He worked under the direct supervision of John Norris.

So what does that do? When our system's
hopelessly compromised like that, we have to go out and we

have to perform a card swap, and that took approximately three years. And you're gonna hear testimony that that cost approximately \$90 million.

Now, you're also gonna hear testimony -- and I'm
not gonna give you the numbers right now, but you're also
gonna hear testimony of the different types of harm that it
causes. We talked about some of that while we were in voir
dire.

9 You have these viruses and they spread and they 10 shut down businesses and they take resources. You have 11 customer services that have spikes in calls while you're 12 doing these card swaps. And also, instead of having all 13 your subscribers, you have programmers, you have DISH 14 Network, and then you have the consumers. Instead of having 15 all paying consumers, that group splinters and you have 16 legitimate, honest, lawful consumers, and then you have the 17 illegal ones that have these pirate devices. That was part 18 of their plan.

And so the costs are borne by basically the rest of America, all the law-abiding citizens, because we still have to -- we have to pay the programming. And so it costs us money. Instead of those people being paying subscribers, they're pirate subscribers, and it costs everybody.

So that's pretty much the crux of the case. We
know they did it. They have a bunch of unanswered questions

1 that when they get up here they need to explain to you. 2 They need to give you a reason why all this cash was coming. 3 Now, what they did with Mr. Tarnovsky once they 4 found out -- did they fire him in 2000? No. What you're 5 gonna hear is they hung onto Mr. Tarnovsky through thick and 6 thin. 7 Now, we took Mr. Tarnovsky's deposition 8 approximately April 2nd-ish in 2007. The Friday before --9 that was a Tuesday. The Friday before, they call 10 Mr. Tarnovsky into the attorney's office and they fire him. 11 And what we found out during that deposition is 12 they also fired another individual by the name of Al Menard. 13 We didn't know that Mr. Menard was on the payroll. 14 Mr. Menard was a gentleman that was sending him the money. 15 What happened is, after we filed the lawsuit against NDS, 16 they went and they hired Mr. Menard. 17 Now, this is a job that I want: 18 They paid him \$6,000 a month to surf the Internet. 19 They paid him \$383,000 and then fired him the very 20 same day they fired Chris Tarnovsky. 21 You've got to ask yourself why. 22 They just turn a blind eye to all the activities 23 that were going on because it was a designed play. They 24 meant for this harm to happen. And the proof's in the 25 pudding when you see all the documents.

1 So we appreciate you being here. It's been a long 2 fight and it's gonna be a long trial. 3 And you're gonna hear additional evidence. I'm 4 just giving you the framework so that you understand it. 5 And then you'll hear the evidence come on through witnesses. 6 You're gonna hear from Mr. Ergen first. He's gonna tell you 7 about the business, the growth of the business. You'll hear 8 from Mr. Pascal Lenoir, NagraStar. He's going to tell you 9 the things that they do, that they don't operate their 10 business that way and how they were harmed. 11 Now, they may come over and try to say that they 12 do the same things. But you're not gonna have any evidence 13 that we went through this elaborate scheme to hurt them. 14 And another thing is, if our card was so bad like 15 they claim, if I'm trying to make a new, better rubber tire, 16 am I going to reverse-engineer a wagon wheel? And that's 17 basically what they're saying, that their product was so bad

18 and they were superior. If that was the case, why did it 19 take 'em six months? And why did they even bother? Because 20 they wanted to hang onto the business in the United States 21 and continue their worldwide dominance in the conditional 22 access market.

23 We talked about competition in the voir dire. 24 And, yes, the Kudelski Group and NagraStar was a smaller 25 company. But smaller companies are where better mousetraps

1 come from. You get better ideas. Remember when IBM was all 2 the rage? All the PC's and everything were IBM. Then you 3 had Apple, and it was an upstart. And then calculators came 4 along. 5 There's always a better mousetrap. But instead of 6 making their product better, what they did is they kept 7 everybody else down. That's not fair competition by a long 8 shot. It's not lawful reverse engineering by a long shot. 9 So make them come up here and give you a good explanation, 10 'cause they don't have one. 11 Now, what they're gonna do is they're gonna say, 12 "It wasn't us." 13 I mean, you can't run from these e-mails, you 14 can't run from their project Headend Report. You can't run 15 from their testimony that nobody else in the world could 16 have done it. 17 But what they do is they go through a series and 18 they start trying to point here and there and everywhere. 19 And they're going to start talking about a company called 20 DISH Plex out of Barrie, Ontario, Canada. 21 But what that company did was it sold devices. 22 They're called EK1's. Okay. They were in the business of 23 selling a product: You know, let me sell you a Smart Card. 24 Okay. That's how they made their money. 25 They did not post this information on the

1 Internet. If they had posted the information on the 2 Internet, they would have put themselves out of business. 3 They're in the retail business. Okay. That's where DISH 4 Plex was. 5 It was not in the business of going and trying to 6 tear down a competitor. It had no motive. The only people 7 that had any motive to do anything in this situation were 8 these people over here. They wanted to encircle the globe 9 and they wanted to keep the United States, and so they did 10 it through improper means. 11 And we're here to have you set the record straight 12 once and for all because they have done this to DirecTV, 13 their own client and they've done it to us. And who knows 14 if they'll continue to do it. But it's time for you as the 15 citizens to decide what is fair competition in the 16 United States. 17 MR. STONE: Sorry to interrupt, but it seems like 18 we're getting a little bit beyond the evidence. 19 THE COURT: You're getting into argument, Counsel. 20 MR. WELCH: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 So you'll get to make the decisions. So the 22 questions that we'll ask you are did they do this act? Did 23 they intend this harm? Because all these works are 24 copyrighted, and so we're trying to protect the copyrights 25 with this conditional access system. And those are the

questions you're gonna be asked. Did they do it? Did they do it either knowingly with the executives at NDS, or did they do it through their employee, knowing that their employee was gonna do it, and accept the benefits of that. Now, one of the things we're also gonna be asking

6 you for is to disgorge NDS of the profits they made from 7 their activities. Because the evidence you'll hear is that 8 they were in dire straits of losing the DirecTV contract. 9 DirecTV recognized that NagraStar had a better product. 10 They actually -- DirecTV went to NagraStar and they paid 11 them a hundred thousand dollars to perform a study to see if 12 they could help them switch systems away from NDS. That's 13 why NDS hacked 'em. That's why NDS hacked NagraStar. And 14 the proof's in the pudding: They got their contract renewed 15 in '99. So they got a four-year deal with NDS.

Now, did NDS stop right there? No. They ended up being hacked again. You're gonna hear Mr. Norris testify that they were hacked consistently between 1994, '95, '96, 97, '98, '99, 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003. But then they became secure in 2004 for the first time in their history. And why did they become secure?

We talked about News Corporation earlier that was gonna do the merger with us back in '97. Well, News Corporation purchased the controlling share of DirecTV. So when it suited their needs, all of a sudden DirecTV became

1 secure. They control who's secure and who's not secure in 2 the conditional access because they have control of all 3 these pirates. 4 When you hear all the evidence, I think that 5 you're gonna put a stop to it. 6 So I thank you on behalf of my client. And they 7 thank you for your time and the time that you're gonna spend 8 listening to the evidence and going through all the 9 documents. And you're gonna see a lot of hard evidence. 10 Thank you. 11 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Counsel. Why don't you take a brief recess. I want to get set up before 12 13 we start opening statement on behalf of the defendant. 14 Probably ten minutes at the most. 15 (Proceedings recessed at 2:23 p.m.) 16 (Further proceedings reported by Sharon 17 Seffens in Volume IV.) 18 -000-19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	-000-							
2								
3	CERTIFICATE							
4								
5	I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753,							
6	Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing is a true and							
7	correct transcript of the stenographically reported							
8	proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the							
9	transcript page format is in conformance with the							
10	regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.							
11								
12	Date: April 10, 2008							
13								
14								
15								
	DEBBIE GALE, U.S. COURT REPORTER							
16	CSR NO. 9472, RPR							
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

				Page 1
A	allowed 7:22 8:24	authorized 26:2	35:1,5,6 37:9	called 6:19 13:17
$\overline{\mathbf{ABC}}$ 14:3	America 32:20	automobiles	beyond 36:18	14:4 18:22 20:2
	Americas 5:5,17	20:11 21:10	big 18:1	20:16 21:23
Abigail 31:5	14:22 22:15	28:8	binary 19:3	28:24 29:21
able 20:9 22:2	30:25 31:20	available 16:2,9	bit 15:14 22:16	31:11 35:19,22
above-entitled	Ana 1:16,23 4:1	Avenue 2:20	36:18	calls 32:11
39:8	Angeles 2:21 9:9	Avi 30:8	bitty 15:4 18:4	Canada 21:14
Abuse 5:22	answer 7:8	Avigail 28:22	black 17:18,19,20	25:19 35:20
accept 6:13 10:13	answered 7:4,6	aware 26:1	17:21 31:12	car 21:13
12:7 37:4	answers 11:3	awai c 20.1	blind 25:14 33:22	card 15:5 17:24
access 15:25	anybody 31:13	В	blogs 7:21	17:25 18:12,23
16:14,23 22:18	anymore 17:7	back 4:5 8:25 9:7	boards 7:21	20:4,5,6,7 21:19
27:23 29:19	apart 17:14	9:25 13:23	border 25:22	22:6 24:2,5,10
34:22 36:25	APPEARANCES	14:15 20:23	borne 32:19	24:13 28:11
38:2	2:1	21:9,10,12,22	bother 34:19	32:1,12 34:14
account 26:15	appears 30:15	22:16 25:8 26:5	Bowl 31:9,11,14	35:23
27:12 28:23	Apple 30:10 35:3	37:23	box 20:2,7,7,7,19	cards 15:3 24:18
acid 18:16		backwards 28:17	20:21,21 21:9	24:19,22 25:2,4
act 5:6,7,9,22	apply 4:15	bad 24:6 29:19	-	31:15
36:22	appreciate 34:1	34:14,17	25:23,24,25 boxes 29:8,8	Carlsbad 25:25
activate 20:19	approaches 7:23	bag 31:2	breaks 9:15,15	CARTER 1:3
activated 20:7	approximately 13:14 19:14	Baltimore 20:13	brief 5:2 38:12	
activation 20:21	25:4 26:11 27:9	21:12		case 4:8,9,15,16
activities 25:18		Barrie 35:20	bring 9:6 20:15 25:21	4:21 6:16,18,19
27:15 33:22	32:1,3 33:8	based 8:22 10:16		6:20 7:12,17,20
37:7	April 1:17 4:1 33:8 39:12	basement 23:22	built 20:16 29:7 bulletin 7:21	7:22,24 8:2,6,14
acts 15:10	architecture 21:5	basic 15:1	bunch 32:25	8:16,17,19 9:21 10:2,22,24
actual 21:18	area 15:11	basically 16:13		10.2,22,24 12:10,19,21
addition 12:22		20:20 24:1,10	burden 5:11,15 5:23 6:2	
15:9 19:14 30:7	argument 36:19	24:14 32:19	business 13:17	13:7,8 15:16,21 26:14 28:19
additional 6:5	arguments 6:9	34:17	14:8,23 15:20	
20:2 34:3	9:13 arises 11:12	Beach 15:9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	32:24 34:18
advance 31:10	articles 8:1	beams 18:23	17:6 34:7,7,10 34:20 35:22	cash 25:17,21 26:9,13 27:1,11
adverse 6:19	asked 37:1	Bear 9:7	36:2,3,5	28:7 33:2
affirmative 5:13	asking 37:5	beginning 4:9	businesses 32:10	cat's 31:2
5:15,25 6:3	aski g 57.5 asks 6:25	behalf 13:2 38:6	buy 14:2	caught 26:11
afternoon 13:5	assert 5:12,18,25	38:13	buying 14:17	cause 21:15 35:10
ago 11:22	assist 10:5,17	behold 30:1	by-product 29:20	causes 32:7
agree 4:18 6:14	ASSOCIATES	believe 12:17	by-product 29.20	causing 15:2
agreement 15:22	2:4	15:17	C	CBS 14:3
15:23	assume 10:19	belong 18:6	cable 13:19 14:16	CD 27:2
al 1:5,8 2:3,11	assumptions	benefits 37:4	calculators 35:3	CD 27.2 Center 2:14
33:12	10:15	best 16:1,8,8	California 1:2,16	CENTRAL 1:2
alerted 26:22	attached 13:24	27:19	1:23 2:15,21 4:1	certain 11:24
alias 28:19 31:6	attention 9:1,11	bet 31:21	5:10,21 15:7,7	12:13
aliases 28:14,15	Attorneys 2:7,14	better 16:11	22:14 25:25	CERTIFICATE
28:16 29:24	2:19	17:13 19:19,23	26:18	39:3
30:2	attorney's 33:10	19:24 21:4,7	call 14:24 17:16	certify 39:5
allegation 15:1	August 26:11,19	22:6,7 23:8	17:17,17 19:2	CHAD 2:5
allege 15:10	authorities 26:23	28:11 34:15,25	20:11 33:9	change 22:7,8
	autior ities 20.23			change 22.7,0
L				

				Page 2
charts 19:12	community 23:3	control 6:24 38:1	D	6:21
chat 7:20	24:4	38:2		develops 6:20
cheaply 24:23	companies 14:2	controlling 37:24	D 2:5,19 3:1	device 20:15
chip 18:4 21:7	30:9 34:25	copies 30:25	Da 28:18	devices 27:9
chips 23:8	company 14:23	Copyright 5:6	damages 5:14 6:2	32:17 35:21
choices 14:18	27:22 29:21	copyrighted	DARIN 2:13	dictionaries 8:4
16:9	34:25 35:19,21	36:24	data 20:20	difference 12:3
chose 16:23 17:13	competition	copyrights 36:24	Date 39:12	different 10:14
Chris 22:13,17,18	34:23 35:7	CORP 1:5	David 1:3 2:13,24	11:11 12:18
31:5,20 33:20	36:15	corporate 28:1	18:10 19:6	21:5,7 26:7 32:6
CHRISTINE 2:5	competitor 16:18	Corporation 2:3	day 1:8 4:2 27:9	differently 11:25
Christopher	30:5 36:6	13:15,15,16	30:22 33:20	dig 18:25
15:11	competitors	15:24 37:22,24	days 27:2	digital 5:5 19:3
Cinemax 14:3	14:24 17:12	correct 9:14	deal 16:4,22	dire 17:2 32:8
circuitry 18:17,19	complete 19:15	11:13 39:7	37:15	34:23 37:8
circumvent 20:10	components	Corrupt 5:9	Debbie 1:21 39:15	direct 29:12,14
citizens 32:20	27:23	cost 32:2	December 23:25	30:24 31:22
36:15	compromised	costs 14:13 16:3	28:20,21,25	DirecTV 16:18,19
Civil 5:21	31:25	32:19,21,23	30:16,16,22	17:1,5 22:18,19
claim 5:4 34:15	Computer 5:22	counsel 4:6 12:11	31:8	25:11,13 26:12
claims 5:11,12,13	concerned 12:2	13:1 36:19	decide 4:20 8:14	27:10 29:8,10
6:6	concerning 9:15	38:11	9:21 36:15	29:16 30:4
clarify 10:21	conclusion 10:2	counterclaims	deciding 7:11	31:12,13,18
clear 11:23	conditional 15:25	5:18,23,25	decision 6:10 8:21	36:12 37:8,9,10
clerk 8:10	16:14,23 22:17	countermeasures	8:21	37:24,25
Cleveland 21:13	27:23 29:19	24:8,9	decisions 36:21	discuss 7:17,22
client 36:13 38:6	34:21 36:25	County 26:21	defendant 2:11 38:13	8:17
clients 13:13 16:1	38:2	couple 4:8	defendants 1:9	discussed 8:15
close 9:1,11	condoned 26:2	court 1:1,21,22		discussing 7:20
code 5:10,21 19:2	conduct 7:14	4:5 5:18 8:7,9	5:4,12,15,17,23 14:21,21,24	disgorge 37:6
19:13,25 23:23	Conference 39:10	8:10 9:3,7,8,10	defendant's 5:20	DISH 22:3 24:2
24:10 28:21,25	conformance	9:10 10:10 11:7	5:25	32:13 35:20
29:2,3,4,4,17	39:9	11:12,17,17	defenses 5:13,16	36:3
31:10 39:6	connection 27:13	17:19,21 36:19	6:1,3	dislikes 4:19
come 8:6 19:11	consider 6:7 7:12	38:11 39:15	deliberations 6:7	dispute 9:4 16:10
20:1,25 21:9,13	10:12 11:6	covering 18:12	8:17,20,25 9:25	disregard 6:20
28:3,5 34:5,11	considered 10:9	cracked 24:17,17	deliver 13:21 14:1	7:10,13 10:14
35:1,9	considering 12:8	create 24:2	denver 15.21 14.1 deny 5:12,24	disseminated
comes 12:19	consistently 37:18	credibility 6:22	deposed 27:25,25	24:3
13:23 15:4 29:7	consulting 8:3	credit 17:25	deposition 10:25	distract 9:22
31:19	consumer 27:8	credit-sized 17:25	11:4,6,11,14,15	12:23
coming 17:13	consumers 14:2	Crimes 29:22	11:17,19,21,25	District 1:1,2,22
24:14 33:2	14:17 32:14,15	criminal 22:22,24	12:2 33:7,11	DOC 1:7
comment 6:15	32:16	crux 16:10 32:24	deserves 12:8	document 12:1
comments 6:17	contention 14:20	CSR 1:21 39:16	designed 20:18	documents 17:22
6:21	continue 22:23,23	Cumberland	33:23	33:25 38:9
commission 17:16	34:21 36:14	26:20	destroyed 10:2	doing 21:16 23:2
communicate 8:8	contract 17:3	customer 32:11	Detective 26:20	27:20 29:11
Communications	37:8,14	cuts 14:13	determine 6:10	31:21,22 32:12
5:7 13:15	contradict 28:3			dollars 31:17
	l	I	I	

Page	3

				Page
37:11	encircle 36:8	experience 12:4,9	27:3 34:6 37:20	give 4:8,16,17 5:1
dominance 34:21	encryption 14:23	expert 30:8	fix 17:8 24:23	6:13 8:10 12:7
Dov 2:24	14:23	explain 33:1	flies 22:12	30:11 32:5 33:2
downtown 9:9	ended 15:2 16:10	explaining 13:12	flips 23:15	35:9
Dr 30:8	37:16	explanation 35:9	fly 20:12,12,23	given 8:16 9:1,11
drafted 27:18	engineer 19:6	explanation 55.9 explanations 28:7	21:10,12,21	12:9
drill 18:25	22:1 27:18	expose 18:16	focused 18:22	giving 34:4
drive 21:11,12	30:11	expressions 6:17	foil 18:12,13	glad 13:10
dumped 19:25	engineered 19:22	eye 25:14 33:22	follow 4:17,23 5:1	globe 36:8
23:23 31:10	engineering 19:17	e-mail 28:23	following 4:23 5:5	go 9:20 20:5
duplicate 20:9	21:3 23:14,19	30:21,23,24	5:13	21:13,17,19
duty 4:10,14	28:6 30:10 35:8	31:5,7	Force 26:21	23:20,21 24:21
DVD 26:25 27:3	English 10:8,13	e-mails 7:21	foregoing 39:6	25:23,24,24
D1V3 1:25	entered 15:22	35:13	foreign 10:11	26:7 31:25
D1 V 5 1.25	entire 24:3		fork 19:20	35:17
E	entirely 6:21	F	formally 7:16	goes 23:9,11 25:5
E 3:1	equipment 18:20	facility 13:22	format 39:9	going 4:8 7:14 9:2
earlier 37:22	Ergen 15:18 16:7	fact 6:18	Fort 20:14	9:9 11:22 12:13
easy 18:14	34:6	facts 4:14,15 6:10	forth 30:14	15:18,19 17:4
EBERHART	estoppel 6:1	6:12,18 10:23	forwarded 26:17	18:13 19:23
2:13	et 1:5,8 2:3,11	fair 14:8 35:7	found 26:25 27:4	21:22 24:21,25
EchoStar 1:5,25	eventually 12:19	36:15	30:1,5 33:4,11	27:16 30:12,13
2:3 5:3,19,19,24	everybody 13:19	familiar 20:4	Fountainview 2:7	30:18,20,21
6:2 13:14,15,16	14:11 16:3	family 7:18	four 9:3	33:23 34:8,16
15:13 16:18	32:23 35:7	far 30:13	Fourth 8:8	35:19 36:5 38:8
17:6 20:1 26:10	evidence 4:13,15	fascinating 18:3	four-year 37:15	gonna 15:18 17:5
26:14 27:23	4:21 5:1 6:4,8	February 15:23	Fox 14:3	17:6 18:9 19:16
31:15,17	6:11,11,15,22	fed 17:1 29:11	framework 25:6	20:25 21:4
ECM 31:13	6:24,25 7:1,3,9	Federal 1:21	34:4	22:20 23:6,10
economical 16:3,6	7:11,12 8:6,15	fellow 8:14,18	Francisco 2:15	25:9,14 26:3,3
economically	8:22 9:13,19	9:20 10:7	Fraud 5:22	26:19 27:7,14
24:23	10:5,9,12 12:10	field 24:18 25:3	free 14:10,11	27:19,21 28:4,5
education 12:4,9	12:16,18 15:4	fifth 8:12	Friday 33:8,9	28:5,7,8,9 29:20
either 9:4 25:15	16:24 19:10,24	fight 24:7 34:2	friends 15:15	31:4,11,16 32:2
37:2	27:7 30:19 31:3	figure 20:10,21	funnel 27:11	32:4,5,6 33:5
EK1's 35:22	34:3,5,12 36:18	20:23 21:8	Further 38:16	34:2,3,6,6,12
elaborate 25:22	37:7 38:4,8,9	29:18,24		35:11,11 37:1,4
34:13	exact 26:24	figuring 25:1	G	37:5,17,23 38:5
electron 18:23	exclusion 12:12	filed 33:15	Gale 1:21 39:15	38:7,9
electronic 24:8,9	exclusive 6:12	Finally 8:16	garage 23:22	good 13:5 14:8
electronics 27:3,8	executives 37:2	find 4:14 27:4	general 25:6	35:9
em 10:3 17:12,12	exhibit 7:1,4,6	29:17	generate 25:2	governing 6:6
18:21 21:2 27:7	11:13,19,22	fingerprint 29:4	gentleman 22:13	grew 14:16
34:19 37:13	21:23 27:18	fingerprints	22:14 33:14	group 1:8 2:11
Embarcadero	29:21 30:14,21	30:17	gentlemen 4:7	5:4,17 14:22
2:14	31:4,16	finished 6:9	George 31:6	28:22 29:22
employee 28:22	exhibits 11:10,11	fire 33:4,10	getting 14:10 17:1	30:25 31:19
37:3,4	11:24,25	fired 33:12,19,20	25:17 26:12,21	32:15 34:24
employees 28:10 31:20	expect 12:20	first 7:17 15:19	27:4,6,8 31:14	grows 14:17
31.20	expensive 18:20	18:11,15 26:25	36:18,19	growth 34:7
	1	1	1	1

				Page 4
au aca 7.9	12.17 10 12.2	immediately 7:24	20.17 22 21.22	Importingly 27.2
guess 7:8	12:17,19 13:3 15:18 17:22	immediately 7:24	20:17,23 21:22	knowingly 37:2 knows 13:19
Gutman 28:22 31:5		impact 20:18	31:1,19	
	18:9 19:23 21:4	important 4:25 10:12 27:15	issue 9:5,15 29:20 IV 38:17	36:13 Viriates 8:0 12:24
guys 15:15	22:20 23:6 25:9	28:19	IV 38:17	Kristee 8:9 12:24
H	25:16 26:19		J	Kudelski 17:12 34:24
hack 21:18,20	27:5,7,14,16	improper 36:10	January 31:9	34.24
22:2,3,4 27:23	28:8,9 30:7 32:2	inception 16:19 includes 7:19 9:14	Jersey 20:15	L
hacked 15:1	32:4,6 33:5 34:3		job 19:7 23:3	$\overline{L2:18}$
16:15,16,19,20	34:5,6,7 37:7,17 38:4	including 7:18 increases 14:13	33:17	lab 17:15 18:20
16:20,21,21	heard 6:8		John 25:16 30:24	Ladies 4:7
17:1 19:21 29:5	hearing 11:16	indicating 4:12 individual 33:12	31:22	language 10:11
29:9 31:12	held 23:13 39:8	individuals 18:9	Johns 30:8	Languages 10:8
37:13,13,17,18	help 4:9 5:1 9:18	22:19	JUDGE 1:3	law 2:7,14,19 4:10
hackers 24:14	10:21 30:2	infer 4:11	judged 12:6	4:15,17 6:5,13
hacking 17:10,11	37:12	inference 6:19	judges 6:12 9:16	6:15
17:11 22:19	helped 30:3	infiltrate 23:3	10:23	lawful 19:16 22:1
25:11	he'll 30:10	influenced 4:19	Judicial 39:10	23:14,18 28:6
hacks 27:20	hide 28:14,15	5:8 10:6	June 15:22 16:12	32:16 35:8
Hagan 2:5 13:7	high-dollar 18:20	inform 11:13	jurors 7:15 8:14	laws 5:5
Haifa 17:16 18:21	hired 22:22 25:12	information 19:7	8:18 9:20 10:7	lawsuit 16:22
hallway 12:14	30:9 33:16	21:6 25:9 28:12	10:12,23	25:7 30:3 33:15
hand 8:9 16:15	history 37:20	35:25 36:1	jury 1:15 3:3 4:4	lawyer 6:25 7:1,3
handful 18:21	HOGAN 2:18	inside 27:1	4:7,8 6:16 8:13	lawyers 11:2,16
hands 5:14 6:1	holds 23:15	insofar 11:7	9:21,24 13:3	law-abiding 32:20
22:12	holes 24:11	instruct 4:10 6:16	jury's 4:6	layer 18:16 20:2
hang 34:20	home 13:24 23:2	instructions 3:3		leave 9:23,25
happen 33:24	homest 32:16	4:11,23 6:5,9	K	lectern 13:3
happened 15:21	Honor 36:20	24:1,5	keep 8:15 9:19	Lee 20:14
16:25 26:10	HONORABLE	intend 36:23	14:19 16:3	left 9:23 19:21
33:15	1:3	internal 31:4	19:22 26:5 36:9	legitimate 26:6
happens 17:15	hope 12:20	Internet 7:20,21	KENNETH 2:19	30:10,11 32:16
23:10	hopelessly 24:16	7:21 8:4 23:24	kept 35:6	Lenoir 34:8
hard 13:8 38:9	24:17 31:25	23:25 24:3,4,20	key 20:3	let's 9:3 11:18
harm 15:2,2	Hopkins 30:9	27:24 28:13	keys 20:22 21:9	17:9 18:8 21:18
27:24 32:6	house 20:6 23:11	29:22 33:18	kidding 9:17	lieu 11:7
33:24 36:23	Houston 2:8 13:6	36:1,2	kind 29:4 30:16	light 31:17
harmed 34:10	hundred 37:11	interpreter 10:16	KLEIN 2:19	likes 4:19
HARTSON 2:18	hung 33:5	interpreters	knew 21:15 22:22	limitations 5:14
Hasak 28:9	hurt 10:22 34:13	10:10	22:22 25:17	line 20:19 30:23
hat 17:18,20,21		interrupt 36:17	26:2 29:5 31:21	31:2
Hays 26:21	I	introduce 13:2	know 7:24 9:13	link 30:6
HBO 14:3	IBM 35:1,2	investigate 29:12	9:16 10:11	listen 7:25
head 29:13	ICG 29:22	29:16	11:22 14:2 24:6	listening 38:8
Headend 30:15	ideas 35:1	investigation 8:5	24:15 26:1	little 15:4,14
35:14	identify 28:15	29:24	28:12 29:2,10	17:25 18:2,4,24
headquarters	identity 28:15	involved 7:19	30:3,5 31:21	22:16 36:18
15:8	ignore 4:24 7:7,10	23:7 25:11	32:25 33:13	live 11:7 12:12
Head-End 21:24	III 1:8 4:2	ion 18:22	35:23	lived 25:25
hear 6:19 9:12	illegal 32:17	Israel 17:16 20:12	knowing 37:3	lives 15:11 22:14
	l	l	l	I

r				Page 5
lo 30:1	methodology	named 17:23	number 11:13	out-of-jury-pre
log 20:20	30:14,15	Narcotics 26:21	14:13	11:16
long 13:9 15:17	microchip 18:3	NBC 14:3	numbered 11:24	overly 10:6
34:1,2 35:7,8	18:24	NDS 1:8 2:11 5:4	numbering 12:3	overnight 19:5
look 12:12 18:12	microscopes	5:5,17,17 13:2	numbers 11:11	overrule 7:3
19:18 22:2 24:4	18:23	14:21,21,22	19:13 32:5	O'MELVENY
looks 18:18	middle 18:1	15:8,10,25	17.15 52.5	2:12
Los 2:21 9:9	Mike 31:6	16:15,20 17:2	0	2.12
losing 17:1,2,3	Millennium 5:6	17:10,11 22:14	01:3	P
37:8	million 32:3	22:17,21 25:12	oath 4:22 8:7 11:1	package 26:25
lost 31:17	mind 8:12,15	25:12,13 26:1,8	object 7:3	packages 26:22
lot 9:9 13:6 15:6	minutes 38:14	27:10,12 28:1,2	objection 7:4,5,7	26:24
15:10 38:9	misappropriated	28:9,22 30:25	occupy 9:24 10:1	pads 12:24
15.10 50.7	5:20	30:25 31:6,19	occurred 11:21	page 3:2 39:9
Μ	misspellings	31:20 33:15	October 28:21	pages 23:15
M 2:5	30:18	37:2,6,12,13,13	offers 7:1	paid 33:18,19
mail 26:17	mitigation 5:14	37:15,16	office 11:18 33:10	37:10
Mailbox 25:23	6:1	necessary 8:23	official 1:21 10:10	pairing 20:3,21
26:14,18 27:11	money 25:24 26:6	27:23 29:3	okay 12:25 13:4	21:9
mailboxes 26:7,8	32:22 33:14	need 6:9 8:8 9:6	14:12,22 15:6	panic 24:24
main 15:10	35:24	12:24 22:7 23:4	15:13,15,21,23	parallel 25:1
making 23:7 35:6	month 33:18	23:4 28:4,11	16:3,13 18:8,11	parent 15:24
Manassas 26:12	monthly 13:21	33:1,2	19:17 20:5	part 15:23 21:10
Marcos 26:15,16	months 19:15	needs 37:25	23:19 25:6,10	32:17
26:18 27:12	21:2 34:19	neighbor's 20:6	29:1 30:2 35:22	parties 5:2 6:6
Mark 26:20	monumental	Network 22:3	35:24 36:3	13:13 16:12
marked 11:19,20	24:19	24:2 32:14	once 13:2 18:24	party 10:16,17
market 34:22	Mordinson 18:10	new 14:13 20:15	19:4,5,25 29:10	11:2
married 20:8	19:6 20:12,24	24:14,15 25:3	33:3 36:12	Pascal 34:8
Maryland 20:14	22:11 23:11,13	34:15	ones 19:2 32:17	passes 19:5
mascot 29:7	27:17 28:9	Newport 15:9	online 8:1	passionate 15:16
materially 12:18	Mordinson's 19:7	news 7:25 15:24	Ontario 35:20	pass-through
materials 8:5	Moskowitz 2:24	37:22,23	oOo 38:18 39:1	18:7
matter 10:20,21	motive 36:6,7	nice 23:14	open 8:15 9:7	path 25:1
39:8	mousetrap 35:5	nipper 20:16	28:23	pay 9:1,10 13:18
mean 24:22 35:13	mousetraps 34:25	28:20 29:6,6,10	opened 26:23,24	13:19,20 14:5
meaning 10:14	mouth 21:5	30:2	opening 3:4 12:14	14:12 32:21
means 4:20 7:11	MYERS 2:12	NiPpEr2000 29:1	12:16 13:1,11	paying 26:8 27:10
20:3 28:1 36:10		29:17	38:13	27:12 32:15,22
meant 33:24	<u> </u>	nitric 18:15	operate 34:9	payroll 25:13,19
member 8:10	N 3:1	Noll 13:8	operates 15:20	33:13
members 7:18	NagraStar 5:3,19	normal 23:14	operation 26:6	PC's 35:2
memory 8:25	5:20,24 6:2	Norris 25:16 26:3	opinion 4:12 6:17	peel 18:13
10:5,6	13:16 14:24,25	29:13,13 30:24	10:19 12:6,10	Penal 5:10
Menard 33:12,13	16:14 17:12	31:23 37:17	opinions 4:19	people 7:18 8:7
33:14,16	21:1 34:8,24	note 8:9 9:6	12:5,6	13:7 14:9 22:20
merger 15:22,23	37:9,10,13	notes 9:18,19,23	option 24:17	29:18 31:1,15
37:23	name 13:3,5	10:1,4,5,7 12:23	order 7:9 12:11	32:22 36:6,8
mess 19:12	15:11 22:13	note-taking 9:21	Organizations 5:9	perform 32:1
met 25:20	28:24 33:12	12:22	original 29:8	37:11
	I	l	I	

				Page 6
performed 29:23	28:25 29:17	protect 14:7,9	7:6 14:11	resources 32:10
permit 7:22	36:1	18:5 36:24	receiver 13:23,24	responsible 22:19
permits 6:15	practice 22:3	protection 20:2	20:4 21:11,12	rest 14:11 32:19
permitted 7:2	prejudices 4:20	prove 16:5,23	recess 38:12	restroom 9:14,15
12:5	Preliminary 3:3	provide 6:5 16:8	recessed 38:15	retail 36:3
person 11:4,5	prepare 21:22	30:18	recognized 37:9	revenues 14:7
27:21	presence 4:4 8:7	provided 10:10	record 7:10 36:11	17:2,3 18:6,6
personal 4:19	16:16,17 17:4	15:25 22:17	refer 11:18	reverse 19:17,22
pick 21:11,12	present 2:23 4:6,6	providing 14:8	referred 11:10,14	21:3,21 22:1
pictures 18:17	11:8	proving 5:11	12:1	23:14,18 28:6
piracy 24:7	presentation 6:4	prudent 24:22	referring 11:21	30:10,11 35:8
pirate 23:3 24:3	12:21	pudding 21:25	refresh 8:25	reverse-engineer
25:18 28:24	presented 6:11	33:25 37:14	regarding 4:13	34:16
31:15 32:17,23	11:6	pull 21:15	regulations 39:10	rewrite 24:10
pirates 17:10	PRESIDING 1:3	pulled 16:4,4,9	reject 12:7	RICHARD 2:18
25:20 38:3	pretended 26:15	purchased 37:24	release 19:2	RICO 5:9
PiratesDen 28:24	pretty 19:12	pursuant 39:5	released 31:7	right 4:5 9:24
29:1	32:24	pushed 31:14	rely 10:4	13:1 15:8 19:18
pirating 27:13	pre-instructions	put 12:24 20:6,19	remember 9:19	19:21 23:21
place 11:18 26:18	4:9	21:19 22:25	10:22 14:15	24:21 32:5
placed 11:1	price 14:8	29:3 36:2 38:5	21:2 22:17	37:16
PLAINTIFF 2:3	prior 12:11	p.m 4:3 38:15	23:13 35:1	risk 22:23
plaintiffs 1:6 3:4	probably 9:2 15:6		renewed 37:14	Riverside 9:8
5:3,11,19	38:14	Q	rent 21:13	road 19:20
plaintiff's 5:4	problem 9:14	question 6:25 7:4	rep 28:1	room 1:22 8:13
13:11	17:8 23:19	7:5,7,8 10:21	replace 15:3	9:21,24 11:18
plan 17:9,9 32:18	proceedings 1:14	23:4	24:18,19 25:2	12:12
planes 20:11 21:9	3:2 38:15,16	questions 9:13	replacing 24:22	rooms 7:20
28:8	39:8	10:19,20 11:3,3	25:4	ROSS 2:6
play 33:23	process 25:5	32:25 36:22	report 19:11	route 26:12
player 27:1,3	processes 18:15	37:1	21:22,24 22:1,5	RPR 1:21 39:16
players 15:10	product 16:1,20	quit 17:11,11	22:11,13 23:4	rubber 34:15
PLC 1:8 2:11	17:13,14 19:19		23:12,13,15,19	Rubin 2:24 30:8
please 7:24 9:19	19:23,24 21:1,1	<u> </u>	23:20,24 27:18	rules 6:13,24 7:2
10:19 12:22	21:4 22:7 34:17	R 2:13	29:20,21 30:15	run 35:13,14,14
Plex 35:20 36:4	35:6,23 37:9	Racketeer 5:8	35:14	
plug 24:11	profits 37:6	radio 8:1	reported 38:16	<u> </u>
PO 25:23,24,25	programmers	rage 35:2	39:7	SACV 1:7
point 19:17 22:10	14:4,19 18:7	Rat 28:17	reporter 1:21 9:8	San 2:15 26:15,16
23:18 24:6,18	32:13	ratified 26:2	39:15	26:18 27:12
35:18	programming	RCA 29:7,7	reporters 9:3,10	Santa 1:16,23 4:1
portions 8:24	13:21,22 14:1	read 7:25 8:24 9:7	REPORTER'S	satellite 1:5 2:3
position 28:3	14:16,18 32:21	10:1	1:14	13:15,17,20,20
positions 5:2	programs 14:14	reason 33:2	reports 8:1	13:23 14:10
possible 11:8 13:7	project 19:15	reasonably 24:24	represented	saying 34:17
possibly 9:2	21:23 30:14	reasons 12:5,9	13:14	says 22:3 31:17
post 27:24 28:21	35:14	recall 4:21 8:22	request 10:20	scheme 25:22
30:16,22 35:25	proof 5:15,23 6:2	13:5	required 6:13	26:10 34:13
posted 23:24,25	proof's 21:25	receive 12:1	14:6,6,18	scrambled 19:8
24:1,20 28:12	33:24 37:14	received 6:25 7:5	research 8:3,5	19:12
2 7 .1,20 20.12	JJ.27 J/.14		1 cocar cii 0.3,3	

				rage /
searching 8:4	21:1	stands 29:22	12:20	35:19
seat 9:24 10:1	shot 35:8,8	Stars 2:20	summation 12:17	Tarnovsky 15:11
seated 5:3,17	show 12:18 29:6	start 13:12 24:22	Sunday 31:12	22:13,17,18
second 7:25 24:20	shows 23:11	25:4 35:18,19	Super 31:9,10,14	23:10,16,17
secrets 5:20	Showtime 14:3	38:13	superior 34:18	25:10,17 26:10
Section 5:8,9,21	shred 23:21	started 16:22	supervised 23:1	26:21 28:14,17
5:22 39:5	Shrimp 28:18,18	24:16 29:11	supervision 31:22	28:23 29:9,12
sections 5:6,10	shuffle 9:9	state 12:5 26:16	supervisor 25:16	29:15,25 30:6
22:2	shut 32:10	statement 3:4	29:12,14 30:24	30:22 31:5,20
secure 14:19 16:2	side 7:2 9:4 10:22	12:15,16 13:1	supplier 16:14	33:3,5,10,20
16:6,22 37:20	10:22	13:11 38:13	support 27:15	Tarnovsky's
37:21 38:1,1,1	signal 14:9 21:15	States 1:1,22	supposed 29:14	25:16 33:7
security 22:21	signals 14:10,12	14:12 16:17	supposed 29:11 sure 11:20 21:19	task 24:19 26:21
29:13	14:19 18:5	17:4 20:1,18	surf 33:18	tasked 17:24
see 6:20 9:12	signed 8:9	21:11,15 22:12	suspicious 26:22	team 17:16,17,18
14:14 15:3	Silver 20:14	29:14 34:20	suspicious 20.22 sustain 7:5,7	17:24,24
17:22,25 18:1,2	simply 8:8 10:21	36:9,16 39:6,10	sustain 7.5,7 swap 32:1	tear 17:14 36:6
18:17 19:10,10	single 4:24	Statute 5:13	swap 32:12	Technologies
21:18,22,25	situation 11:12	stays 20:8	switch 37:12	13:16
22:5 23:16,24	36:7	stenographically	sworn 10:25	television 8:1
27:16 28:20	six 19:14 21:2	39:7	sympathy 4:20	13:18,25 14:8
29:21 30:20,21	34:19	steps 21:21	system 15:2,3,25	15:17 16:8
31:4,16 33:25	sized 17:25	sticks 20:4	16:5,6,8,11,23	tell 9:6 11:2 12:24
37:11 38:9	slice 18:23,25	STONE 2:18	19:13,21 25:13	15:19 19:16
Seffens 38:17	smaller 34:24,25	36:17	27:13,24 29:19	20:25 22:16,21
sell 35:23	smart 15:4 35:23	stop 19:18 37:16	36:25	23:10 24:21
selling 25:13	SNYDER 2:13	38:5	systems 13:20	25:8 27:7,19,21
29:18 35:23	software 19:6	stops 20:13	37:12	30:12,13 34:6,8
send 9:6 13:22	27:17 30:8	stories 7:25	system's 31:24	tells 19:13 28:23
sending 33:14	sold 35:21	story 23:2	S-K-Y 28:17	ten 38:14
sends 28:22 30:23	sole 6:12 10:23	straight 36:11		test 21:17
sense 12:20 19:8	solely 4:21 10:16	strains 24:16	T	testifies 23:12
sent 31:13	somebody 26:22	straits 17:2 37:8	T 2:4	testify 8:7 11:4,9
series 19:2 24:4	29:5	stream 20:20	table 5:4	12:14 25:18
35:17	Sorry 36:17	street 1:22 15:9	take 9:16,18,19	26:3 37:17
service 22:18	sounds 18:14	17:10	9:25 10:3,4	testimony 9:1,11
services 32:11	Southwest 26:16	stress 6:12	12:23 15:13	9:13 10:25 11:6
session 4:5	speaking 28:2	stricken 7:9	17:9,10 18:4,11	11:7,14 12:6,7
set 20:16 25:22	specific 6:6 29:3	student 26:15	18:15,19 19:7	17:23 22:20
26:14 30:14	spend 38:7	study 37:11	20:5 22:11	23:7 26:20 27:5
36:11 38:12	spent 13:9	subject 10:20	23:20 25:8	27:14 30:7,11
share 37:24	spikes 32:11	subscribers 32:13	28:16,17 32:10	32:2,4,6 35:15
Sharon 38:16	splinters 32:15	32:22,23	34:19 38:12	Texas 2:8 13:6
she'll 12:24	split 16:13	subscription	taken 11:1	26:15,16,17
shipments 27:6,6	spread 24:16 32:9	13:18	takes 11:17 25:2	thank 36:20 38:6
27:8	spreads 24:15	subsequent 23:23	talk 7:23 8:18	38:7,10,11
shipped 27:4	Springs 20:14	sudden 37:25	18:8 31:11	theirs 21:7
Shkedy 18:10	square 18:2,24	Suite 2:8,15,20	talked 32:7 34:23	They'd 17:1
19:1 20:12	staff 8:10	suited 37:25	37:22	thick 33:5
shoddy 20:25	stand 27:17	summary 5:2	talking 30:25	thin 33:6
	I		I	I

				Page 8
thing 18:11,15	Tuesday 33:9	videotaped 11:3	18:7 24:25	zeros 19:3
26:9 27:16,20	turn 17:11,12	violated 5:5,21	30:24 36:11,18	zillions 31:18
30:20 34:14	25:14 33:22	violation 5:21	36:24 37:5	Zvi 18:10
things 14:3 17:3	turns 19:12	Virginia 26:12	we've 11:19 13:7	
18:3,22 30:18	TV 13:18,19	virus 24:15	13:8,13	\$
34:9,12 37:5	two 5:18 14:21	viruses 32:9	wheel 34:16	\$20,000 27:1
think 12:8 27:2	17:3 18:9 20:13	voir 32:7 34:23	widespread 24:13	\$383,000 33:19
38:4	21:10 22:2	Vol 1:8 4:2	Willett 13:9	\$6,000 33:18
thinks 7:2	26:24 27:2,6	Volume 38:17	WILLETTS 2:5	\$90 32:3
Third 8:3	type 21:5,7 26:18	Von 28:16,17	wish 9:18 10:3	
thousand 37:11	27:20,20	VonRat 28:16	witness 9:4,5	0
three 9:2 32:2	types 24:14 32:6	vs 1:7	10:15,17,18,25	03-950 1:7
tidbits 25:9			11:1,8 12:9	1
time 9:12 13:9	U	W	15:19 28:2	
15:17 16:12,14	ultimately 24:12	W 2:13	witnesses 6:23	11:8
16:25 17:5	unanswered	Wade 2:4,6 13:5	12:4,12,13	1-053 1:22
24:25 25:2	32:25	wagon 34:16	17:23 27:15	1:28 4:3
36:14 37:20	unavailable 11:4	wait 6:20	34:5	10 39:12
38:7,7	unclean 5:14 6:1	want 21:14 23:17	wondering 15:6	1030 5:22
times 10:23 11:10	underlying 18:17	25:21 33:17	WOOTEN 2:6	113 30:21
tire 34:15	understand 31:7	38:12	word 22:4 29:3,6	1201(a)(1) 5:6
Title 39:6	34:4	wanted 15:24	words 7:14	1201(a)(2) 5:7
told 7:12	United 1:1,22	16:1,7,7 34:20	work 20:17 21:18	13 3:4
top 18:16	14:12 16:17	36:8,9	25:15	1400 2:20
touch 23:17	17:4 20:1,18	wasn't 16:24 29:3	worked 13:8	17 5:6
trade 5:20	21:11,15 22:12	35:12	15:16 31:22	18 5:9,22
trained 9:16	29:14 34:20	way 11:8 20:8	working 13:9	1934 5:7
trains 20:11 21:9	36:9,16 39:6,10	21:6 34:10	21:20 23:1,6	1962(c) 5:9
28:8	University 26:16	ways 16:13 22:24	works 13:8 19:13	1970s 14:15
transcript 1:14	30:9	weaker 16:24	20:21 22:14	1992 13:14
8:23 39:7,9	unscramble 18:5	website 28:24,24	36:23	1994 37:18
transcripts 8:24	uplink 13:22	Wednesday 1:17	world 16:17 18:21	1997 15:23
translation 10:13	upstart 35:3	4:1	27:19,21,22	1998 17:4,15
trial 1:15 6:16	urge 9:1,10	weight 6:22 12:8	35:15	25:19
7:19 8:23 9:3	USC 5:6,8,9,22	Welch 2:4,6 13:4	worldwide 22:21	1999 2:20 23:11
10:9,18 11:1,4,5	use 10:16 13:3	13:6,12 17:20	34:21	2
11:12,13,25	15:24 16:5	17:22 36:20	world-wide 16:16	$\frac{2}{24:229:21}$
34:2	18:14,19,22	welcome 12:23	wouldn't 16:5	
tried 24:7	28:14	went 20:11 25:19	24:22	2nd-ish 33:8 2:23 38:15
tries 7:23	uses 22:3	26:3 30:12	wrong 21:16	
true 39:6	U.S 39:15	33:16 34:13		20,100 27:4
truth 11:2	U.S.A 22:3	37:10	X	200 11:20,21
try 19:18 21:17		weren't 17:6 27:5	X 3:1	2000 23:25 26:11 26:19 28:22
24:10,23 28:5	V	31:13	XXX 31:17	
31:15 34:11	vaporize 18:16	West 1:22 2:14		33:4 37:19 2001 21:0 27:10
trying 19:1,1	various 18:14	we'll 9:6 11:20	Y	2001 31:9 37:19
20:20 29:17,18	27:14 28:14	18:2 36:22	year 11:22	2002 25:4 37:19
29:24 34:15	verdict 4:13 8:13	we're 4:5 9:2,9,16	years 32:2	2003 37:19 2004 37:20
35:18 36:5,24	8:17	13:10,16,17		2004 37:20
tucked 27:1	version 25:3	14:6,7,18 15:7	Z	2005 25:5
			l	l

				Page s
	1	T C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1	
2007 33:8	95 16:21 37:18			
	952-4334 2:9			
2008 1:17 4:1				
39:12	96 16:21 37:18			
2008-04-10 1:25	97 16:12,13,21			
21st 28:21 30:16	27:18 37:19,23			
30:22 31:8,9	98 18:8 21:23			
24th 28:20,21,25	30:14 37:19			
30:16 31:8	984-8700 2:16			
2401 2:7	99 37:15,19			
2600 2:15				
275 2:14				
28 39:6				
20 37.0				
3				
3 9:4				
3M 22:3				
310 2:21				
3426 5:21				
4				
43:3				
4th 1:22				
41 31:4,16				
411 1:22				
415 2:16				
47 5:8				
5				
5000 11:19,22				
558-8141 1:23				
593(d)(a) 5:10				
593(e)(b) 5:10				
373(0)(0) 3.10				
6				
605(a) 5:8				
7				
700 2:8				
713 2:9				
714 1:23				
753 39:5				
77057 2:8				
785-4600 2:21				
9				
9 1:17 4:1				
90067 2:21				
92701 1:23				
94 16:20				
94111 2:15				
9472 1:21 39:16				
	1	I	I	