Cas	e 8:03-cv-00950-DOC-JTL Do	cument 1120-2	Filed 06/06/2008	Page 1 of 5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	T. WADE WELCH & ASSO Chad M. Hagan (<i>pro hac vice</i> 2401 Fountainview, Suite 700 chagan@twwlaw.com Houston, Texas 77057 Telephone: (713) 952-4334 Facsimile: (713) 952-4334 Facsimile: (713) 952-4994 DLA PIPER US LLP David A. Grenardo (State Bat Cynthia A. Ricketts (<i>pro hac</i> david.grenardo@dlapiper.com 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 4th Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 595-3031 Facsimile: (310) 595-3331	e))) r No. 223142) <i>vice</i>) <u>n</u>		
13 14 15 16 17	Attorneys for Plaintiffs ECHOSTAR SATELLITE CORP., et al. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN DIVISION			
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DLA PIPER US LLP	ECHOSTAR SATELLITE al., Plaintiffs/ Countercla Defendant v. NDS GROUP PLC, et al., Defendant Countercla Plaintiffs.	aim s,	No. SA CV 03-950 DO	POSED] ER
DLA PIPER US LLP Los Angeles	WEST\21438369.1		PLAINTIFFS' [PROPOSEI	D] INJUNCTION ORDER

WHEREFORE, EchoStar Satellite LLC, EchoStar Communications
 Corporation, EchoStar Technologies Corporation and NagraStar LLC (collectively
 "EchoStar") filed suit against NDS Group PLC and NDS Americas, Inc.
 (collectively "NDS") on June 6, 2003;

5 WHEREFORE, EchoStar proceeded to trial against NDS on April 9, 2008
6 on the following causes of action: (1) Digital Millennium Copyright Act
7 ("DMCA") 17 U.S.C. § 1201(a)(1)(A); (2) DMCA 17 U.S.C. §1201(a)(2); (3)
8 Federal Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. § 605(a); (4) California Penal Code
9 § 593d(a); (5) California Penal Code § 593e(b); (6) RICO, 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c);
10 and (7) California Business and Professions Code § 17200.

WHEREFORE, the Court submitted Claims Nos. 1-6 to the jury for
determination on May 13, 2008 and Claim No. 7 was submitted to the Court for
determination;

WHEREFORE, the jury found that NDS violated the Communications Act,
47 U.S.C. § 605(a); California Penal Code § 593d(a); and California Penal Code §
593e(b);

WHEREFORE, the Court has considered EchoStar's Proposed Findings ofFact and Conclusions of Law on Claim No. 7;

NOW THEREFORE, the Court hereby incorporates by reference its
separately entered Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law with respect to
Plaintiffs' § 17200 claim. Based upon the these findings and conclusions of law,
the Court hereby

ORDERS that Plaintiff EchoStar is entitled to an award of restitution from
Defendants in the amount of \$94,638,625.00;

ORDERS that Plaintiffs are entitled to a permanent injunction pursuant to
 Cal. Bus. and Prof. Code §§ 17200 and 17203, and hereby enters the following
 permanent injunction against NDS and any individual or entity acting in concert
 with, or in active participation with, or on behalf of NDS, permanently enjoining
 ULP PLAINTIFFS' [PROPOSED] INJUNCTION ORDER

all such individuals and entities from engaging in the following conduct:

- Knowingly and willfully making or maintaining or assisting in the making or maintaining of an unauthorized connection or connections, whether physically, electrically, electronically, or inductively, to EchoStar's encrypted satellite signal, or any other component of EchoStar's encrypted satellite signal, or to a cable, wire, or other media, or receiver that is attached to EchoStar's satellite signal, EchoStar's satellite system, EchoStar's conditional access system ("CAS"), or any part or component thereof (collectively "EchoStar's Satellite Signal or Security System");
- Knowingly and willfully purchasing, possessing, attaching, causing to be attached, or maintaining or assisting in the purchasing, possessing, attaching, causing to be attached, or maintaining of the attachment of any unauthorized device or devices to any cable, wire, or other component of EchoStar's Satellite Signal or Security system, or to a cable, wire, or other media, or receiver that is attached to EchoStar's Satellite Signal or Security System;
 - 3. Knowingly and willfully making or maintaining or assisting in the making or maintaining of any modification or alteration to any device installed without the authorization of EchoStar for purposes of using said device to intercept or decrypt EchoStar's Satellite Signal or circumvent EchoStar's Security System;
 - Knowingly and willfully making or maintaining any modifications or alterations or assisting in the making or maintaining of any modifications or alterations to any access device that authorizes services intended to obtain EchoStar's Satellite Signal or any EchoStar service;

5. Knowingly and willfully obtaining or assisting in the obtaining of any unauthorized access device and/or using or assisting in using any -2-WEST\21438369.1
PLAINTIFFS' [PROPOSED] INJUNCTION ORDER

DLA PIPER US LLP Los Angeles

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

modified, altered, or unauthorized access device intended to obtain EchoStar's Satellite Signal or any EchoStar service;

- and willfully manufacturing, 6. Knowingly importing, assembling, distributing, selling, offering to sell, possessing, advertising for sale, or assisting in manufacturing, otherwise providing or importing, assembling, distributing, selling, offering to sell, possessing, advertising for sale or otherwise providing any device, plan or kit for a device or for a printed circuit, designed in whole or in part to decode, descramble, intercept, or otherwise make intelligible any encoded, scrambled, or otherwise nonstandard signal broadcasted by EchoStar's Satellite Signal or carried by EchoStar's services;
- 12 7. Intercepting, without authorization, or assisting others in intercepting
 13 without authorization, any interstate or foreign communication
 14 broadcasted by EchoStar's Satellite Signal or carried by EchoStar's
 15 services;
- 8. Disclosing to any employee, contractor, or consultant of Defendants who
 has ever been engaged in satellite piracy, without Plaintiffs' prior written
 consent, the findings, results, descriptions, or illustrations of any reverse
 engineering, by Defendants or anyone acting on Defendants' behalf or in
 active concert or participation with Defendants, of EchoStar or
 NagraStar's CAS or any portion thereof;
 - Distributing or publishing or assisting in the distributing or publishing to any third party, without Plaintiffs' prior written consent, any methodology to obtain EchoStar's Satellite Signal or any EchoStar service without authorization;

ORDERS that Plaintiffs shall be entitled to an award of damages in the
 amount of \$94,638,625.00, as well as an award of attorneys' fees and costs, in the
 event of any violation of this injunction by Defendants or any individual or entity
 WEST\21438369.1

DLA PIPER US LLP Los Angeles

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

22

23

24

25

1	acting in concert with, active participation with, or on behalf of Defendants. Such			
2	an award shall be cumulative of, and notwithstanding, any award of damages for			
3	violations of any statute cited herein, or any other claim for damages arising from			
4	or related to the conduct described herein.			
5				
6	DATED:			
7				
8				
9	The Honorable David O. Carter			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	-4-			
A PIPER US LLP Los Angeles	WEST\21438369.1 PLAINTIFFS' [PROPOSED] INJUNCTION ORDER			

DLA PIPER