- U			
1	JAMES A. DiBOISE, State Bar No. 083296 ELIZABETH M. SAUNDERS, State Bar No. 138249 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI		
2			
3	Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300		
4	Facsimile: (650) 565-5100		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
6	GROUPE CANAL+ S.A., CANAL+ TECHNOLOGIES, S.A. and		
7	CANAL+ TECHNOLOGIES, INC.		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11			
12	GROUPE CANAL+ S.A., CANAL+) CASE NO.: C02-01178 VRW	
13	TECHNOLOGIES, S.A., CANAL+ TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	DECLARATION OF JAMES A.	
14		DIBOISE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR	
15	Plaintiffs,	ORDER EXPEDITING	
16	V.	DISCOVERY AND ORDER TO PRESERVE DOCUMENTS AND	
17	NDS GROUP PLC, NDS AMERICAS, INC.,	THINGS AND STIPULATION TO SHORTEN TIME	
18	Defendants.) Date:	
19) Time:) Place: Courtroom 6, 17 th Floor	
20) (Hearing date and time to be determined	
21		pursuant to stipulation to shorten time.)	
22	I, James A. DiBoise, declare as follows:	,	
23	1. I am a member of the law firm Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, 650 Page Mill		
24	Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304, attorneys for the plaintiffs in this lawsuit. I make each of the		
25	following statements of my personal knowledge, and each of them is true and correct. If called		
26	as a witness herein I could and would testify to the truth of each of the following statements.		
27	as a without horom i could und would today to the		
28			
20	DIBOISE DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF	2109465.01	

DIBOISE DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS FOR EXPEDITED DISCOVERY AND SHORTENED SCHEDULE NO. C02-01178.VRW

- 2. The plaintiffs in this action have filed (a) a motion for expedited discovery; and (b) a stipulation to shorten the briefing and hearing schedule on the motion for expedited discovery. Pursuant to Northern District Civil Local Rules 6-3(a)(2) and 37-1(a), I engaged in a meet and confer discussion with counsel for defendants, Mr. Patrick Lynch of O'Melveney & Myers, to see if the defendants would agree to expedited discovery and/or the shortened schedule.
- 3. Mr. Lynch and I agreed that plaintiffs' may proceed with their motion on shortened time for hearing and with an agreed briefing schedule, subject to this Court's approval of the stipulated accelerated hearing date. Mr. Lynch and I were unable to agree that expedited discovery is appropriate at this time. Because we were unable to so agree, plaintiffs are moving forward with their motion for expedited discovery.
- 4. With regard to the stipulation to shorten the briefing and hearing schedule on its motion for expedited discovery, Canal+ strongly believes that if must wait for the minimum 35 days under the Local Rules to have its motion for expedited discovery heard, its goals in seeking that discovery may be undermined. In a soon-to-be-filed motion for preliminary injunction, Canal+ will ask this Court to enjoin the defendants from any efforts to improperly discover or reveal the new, latest-technology "smart card" that Canal+ will launch in April 2002. It is imperative that the defendants' organized efforts to unfairly compete with and harm Canal+'s business be put to a stop before they can once again destroy the value of the Canal+ smart card.
- 5. Canal+ needs immediate leave to take discovery targeted to the defendants' hacking activities and their efforts to sabotage Canal+'s market strength. A five- or six-week delay to have that motion heard will impede Canal+'s ability to gather the necessary evidence, move quickly for a preliminary injunction, and stop the defendants from endeavoring to sabotage Canal+'s new "smart card" when it hits the market next month. By contrast, a shortened briefing and hearing schedule will speed up Canal+'s discovery, its motion, and its showing that the defendants' activities must be put to a stop.

-2-

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

- 6. There have been no previous time modifications in this lawsuit. At this early stage of the case, Canal+ does not believe that its requested time modification will have any impact on the overall schedule for the case.
- 7. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the document request that Canal+ seeks to serve on defendant NDS Group PLC.
- 8. Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the document request that Canal+ seeks to serve on defendant NDS Americas, Inc.

I declare under penalty of perjury that each of the above statements is true and correct. Executed at Palo Alto, California on March 20, 2002.

-3-

James A. DiBoise